

## 222. A Note on Function-theoretic Null-sets of Class $N_{\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{D}}$

By Nobuyuki SUITA

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Dec. 12, 1967)

1. Let  $\Omega$  be a plane region and let  $E$  be its complementary set with respect to the Riemann sphere. Following Ahlfors and Beurling [1], we shall say that  $E$  is of class  $N_{\mathfrak{B}}$  (resp.  $N_{\mathfrak{D}}$ ,  $N_{\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{B}}$ , and  $N_{\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{D}}$ ), if  $\Omega$  carries no non-constant analytic function in it which is bounded (resp. with finite Dirichlet integral, univalent and bounded, and univalent and with finite Dirichlet integral). They showed that  $N_{\mathfrak{B}} \subsetneq N_{\mathfrak{D}} \subsetneq N_{\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{B}} = N_{\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{D}}$ .

It is known that the union of a finite number of sets of class  $N_{\mathfrak{B}}$  (resp.  $N_{\mathfrak{D}}$ ) belongs to the same class. This was proved by Kametani [4] for mutually disjoint sets of class  $N_{\mathfrak{B}}$  and later by Kuroda [5] for the same class without the restriction of disjointness. This is also true for the union of a countable number of these sets, so long as it is compact (see Noshiro [6], footnote, p. 11).

The class  $N_{\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{D}}$  does not have this property. We shall verify this by constructing a counterexample.

2. A boundary component  $\alpha$  of a plane region is called *weak* [8], if its image under every conformal mapping is always a point. Among several properties of weak boundary components obtained by Grötzsch [2], Sario [7, 8], Jurchescu [3], and others, the following will be needed in the next section;

i) (Jurchescu [3]) The weakness is a boundary property. That is, if there exists a conformal mapping, denoted by  $f(z)$ , of a neighborhood of a weak boundary component  $\alpha$  onto a neighborhood of  $f(\alpha)$  of a region, then  $f(\alpha)$  is weak with respect to the region.

ii) (Sario [7] and Jurchescu [3]) A compact set  $E$  is of class  $N_{\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{D}}$ , if and only if each boundary component of  $E^c$  is weak.

iii) (Grötzsch [2])  $\alpha$  is weak, if there exists a sequence of mutually disjoint doubly connected regions  $\{R_n\}$  such that  $R_{n+1}$  separates  $\alpha$  from  $R_n$  and that the series  $\sum \text{mod } R_n$  diverges. Here  $\text{mod } R_n$  is the logarithm of the ratio of the outer and the inner radius of a conformally equivalent annulus of  $R_n$ .

By the properties i) and ii) we get immediately

**Lemma.** *The union of a finite number of mutually disjoint sets of class  $N_{\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{D}}$  is in the same class.*

3. We now construct our example, stating

**Theorem.** *There exist two compact sets of class  $N_{\mathfrak{D}}$ , whose union is not of class  $N_{\mathfrak{D}}$ .*

**Proof.** *Let  $\{A_n\}$  be a sequence of annuli,*

$$A_n: 2^n < |z| < 2^{n+1} (n=0, 1, \dots).$$

There exists a compact set, denoted by  $e$ , of class  $N_{\mathfrak{D}}$  and with positive area, say  $k$  [1]. We may assume that it is contained in the open square  $S$ :  $|\operatorname{Re} z| < 1/2$  and  $|\operatorname{Im} z| < 1/2$ . We shall insert such a set  $e_n$  of class  $N_{\mathfrak{D}}$  into each  $A_n$  that the area of the region  $A_n - e_n$  is less than  $1/2^n$ . To this end, using a net system with sides parallel to the axes, we can express the region  $A_n$  as the union of a sequence of closed non-overlapping squares, denoted by  $\{W_n^{(\nu)}\}$ . Each square  $W_n^{(\nu)}$  has a linear transformation from  $S$  onto it, denoted by  $1_n^{(\nu)}$ . Put  $1_n^{(\nu)}(e) = e_n^{(\nu)}$ , which is clearly of class  $N_{\mathfrak{D}}$ . Take a sufficiently large number of  $e_n^{(\nu)}$ 's so that the area

$$m\left(A_n - \bigcup_{\nu=0}^{N_1} e_n^{(\nu)}\right) < h m(A_n),$$

where  $h$  is a fixed positive number less than  $1-k$  and  $m(*)$  denotes the Lebesgue area.

Applying this procedure to the region  $A_n - \bigcup_{\nu=0}^{N_1} e_n^{(\nu)}$  and so on, we can take a finite number of mutually disjoint sets of class  $N_{\mathfrak{D}}$ , whose union, denoted by  $e_n$ , is of class  $N_{\mathfrak{D}}$  by the lemma and satisfying that  $m(A_n - e_n) < 1/2^n$ .

We set  $E_1 = \overline{\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} e_{2n}}$  and  $E_2 = \overline{\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} e_{2n+1}}$  which are both of class  $N_{\mathfrak{D}}$  and whose intersection is clearly the point at infinity. In fact, for example, every component of  $E_1$  other than the point at infinity is weak by the lemma and the property i) while so is the point at infinity by the property iii), since  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{mod} A_{2n+1} = \infty$ , where  $\operatorname{mod} A_{2n+1} = \log 2$  ( $n=0, 1, \dots$ ).

On the other hand the union  $E_1 \cup E_2$  is not of class  $N_{\mathfrak{D}}$  by the definition. Indeed the area of its complementary set, which is a region, is finite and the function  $z$  is univalent and with finite Dirichlet integral in the region. Thus we complete the proof.

4. This example shows that the union of mutually disjoint but a countable number of sets of class  $N_{\mathfrak{D}}$  is not, in general, so, even if it is compact. Indeed, the sets  $e_n$  and the point at infinity are the desired.

#### References

- [ 1 ] Ahlfors, L. V., and Beurling, A.: Conformal invariants and function-theoretic null-sets. *Acta Math.*, **83**, 109-129 (1950).  
 [ 2 ] Grötzsch, H.: Eine Bemerkung zum Koebeschen Kreisnormierungsprinzip. *Ber. Verh. Sächs. Akad. Wiss. Leipzig Math-Nat.*, **87**, 319-324 (1935).

- [ 3 ] Jurchescu, M.: Modulus of a boundary component. *Pacific J. Math.*, **8**, 791-804 (1958).
- [ 4 ] Kametani, S.: On Hausdorff's measure and generalized capacities with some of their applications to the theory of functions. *Jap. J. Math.*, **19**, 217-257 (1945-1948).
- [ 5 ] Kuroda, T.: On analytic functions on some Riemann surfaces. *Nagoya Math. J.*, **10**, 27-50 (1956).
- [ 6 ] Noshiro, K.: *Cluster Sets*. Springer-Verlag (1960).
- [ 7 ] Sario, L.: Capacity of the boundary and a boundary component. *Ann. Math.*, **59**, 135-144 (1954).
- [ 8 ] —: Strong and weak boundary components. *J. Analyse Math.*, **5**, 389-398 (1958).