253. Stable Properties of Gaussian Flows

By Eijun KIN

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Dec. 12, 1970)

1. It is important to study the stability of dynamical systems as a generalization of mixing property. The strong and the weak stabilities for an automorphism on a probability space were studied by A. Maitra [3] and by S. Natarajan and K. Viswanath [4] (cf. Renyi [5]).

In this paper we shall study the stabilities (mixing property) of a Gaussian flow (flow of the Brownian motion) together with skew product flow of it and a measurable flow with pure point spectrum. As will be seen later, the stabilities coincide with the corresponding mixing properties on each ergodic part of a given dynamical system. Anzai's method in [1] and [2] of skew product dynamical systems is very useful to construct some kinds of models in ergodic theory. In fact we shall be able to give some characteristic properties of a Gaussian process and a Brownian motion by using such a method in § 3 and § 4.

2. Let (Ω, \mathcal{B}, m) be a probability measure space on which a measurable flow $\{T_t\}$ is given and $\{U_t\}$ denote the one parameter group of unitary operators induced by $\{T_t\}$.

Definition 1. A flow $\{T_i\}$ is said to be *weakly stable* if there exists a constant C(f, g) such that

(1)
$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |(U_t f, g) - C(f, g)| dt = 0$$

holds for arbitrary bounded measurable functions f and g.

Definition 2. A flow $\{T_i\}$ is called *strongly stable* if there exists a constant C(f, g) such that

(2)
$$\lim_{T \to \infty} (U_t f, g) = C(f, g)$$

holds for arbitrary bounded measurable functions f and g.

Definition 3. Let (f_0, f_1, \dots, f_r) be an arbitrary (r+1)-tuple of bounded functions of $L^2(\Omega)$ and $(t_0^n, t_1^n, \dots, t_r^n)$ be an arbitrary (r+1)-tuple of real numbers satisfying the condition:

(3) $t_0^n < \cdots < t_r^n \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} \min_{1 \le j \le r} (t_j^n - t_{j-1}^n) = \infty.$

We call $\{T_t\}$ an *r*-order stable flow if there exists a constant $C(f_0, \dots, f_r)$ such that

(4)
$$\lim \left(\prod_{j=0}^r U_{t_j^n} f_j, \mathbf{1}\right) = C(f_0, \cdots, f_r).$$

If $\{T_t\}$ is r-order stable for any positive integer r, the flow $\{T_t\}$ is said to be all order stable.

3. Let $\{x(t, \omega), -\infty < t < \infty\}$ be a real measurable stationary Gaussian process on a probability measure space (Ω, \mathcal{B}, m) . A stationary Gaussian process is completely determined by giving the mean $E\{x(t)\}$ and the covariance $\rho(t) = E\{x(t)x(o)\}$. A Gaussian flow $\{T_t\}$ induced by the process is given as follows: $x(s, T_t\omega) = x(s+t, \omega)$ for all tand s. We may assume without loss of generality the mean of the process is zero: $E\{x(t)\}=0$ for all t. Let $\{S_t\}$ be a measurable flow on another probability measure space (Y, Σ, μ) with pure point spectrum and let us define a skew product flow $\{Z_t\}$ of the flows $\{T_t\}$ and $\{S_t\}$ as follows:

$$(5) Z_t(\omega, y) = (T_t\omega, S_{x(t,\omega)-x(0,\omega)}y), -\infty < t < \infty.$$

Using the fundamental arguments for Gaussian distributions we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. For a Gaussian flow $\{T_i\}$, the following statements are pairwise equivalent:

- (i) $\{T_t\}$ is strongly stable (i.e. 1-rder stable),
- (ii) $\{T_t\}$ is all order stable,
- (iii) It holds that $\lim_{t \to \infty} \rho(t) = C$

for some constant C (note that the constant C is not necessarily equal to zero).

Corollary 2 ([6]). Let $\{T_i\}$ be a Gaussian flow. Then the following statements are pairwise equivalent:

- (i) $\{T_t\}$ is strongly mixing (i.e. 1-order mixing),
- (ii) $\{T_i\}$ is all order mixing,
- (iii) It holds that $\lim \rho(t)=0$.

Theorem 3. Let $\{T_t\}$ be a strongly stable Gaussian flow. Then the skew product flow $\{Z_t\}$ of the flows $\{T_t\}$ and $\{S_t\}$ given by (5) is all order stable.

Proof. In order to prove the theorem, it is sufficient to show that the flow $\{Z_t\}$ is *r*-order stable for any positive integer *r*. Let (f_0, \dots, f_r) be an arbitrary (r+1)-tuple of bounded measurable functions on $\Omega \times Y$ and (t_0^n, \dots, t_r^n) be an arbitrary (r+1)-tuple of real numbers satisfying the condition (3).

Case I. Suppose $f_j(\omega, y)$, $o \leq j \leq r$, are functions of the forms:

(6) $\varphi_j(\omega) = F_j(x(t_{j1}, \omega), \cdots, x(t_{jk_j}, \omega)),$

(7) $f_j(\omega, y) = \varphi_j(\omega) \psi_j(y), \qquad o \leq j \leq r,$

where $k_j, t_{j1}, \dots, t_{jk_j}, o \leq j \leq r$, are arbitrary, $F_j(u_1, \dots, u_{k_j}), o \leq j \leq r$, are bounded continuous functions and $\psi_j(y), o \leq j \leq r$, are proper functions of $\{S_t\}$. Then the left hand side of (4) is equal to

E. Kin

$$\left(\prod_{j=1}^{r}\psi_{j}, \overline{\psi}_{0}\right)\lim_{n\to\infty}\int\left\{\prod_{j=1}^{r}F_{j}(x(t_{j1}+t_{j}^{n}-t_{0}^{n}, \omega), \cdots, x(t_{jkj}+t_{j}^{n}-t_{0}^{n}, \omega))\right\}\times\exp i\lambda_{j}(x(t_{j}^{n}-t_{0}^{n}, \omega)-x(0, \omega))\left\{\overline{F_{0}(x(t_{01}, \omega\cdots, x(t_{0k0}, \omega))}dm\right\}$$

where $\lambda_j, 1 \leq j \leq r$, are proper values of $\{S_t\}$. Next we consider the following functions

$$egin{aligned} G_0(x(t_{01},\omega),\cdots,x(t_{0k_0},\omega)\!=\!\overline{F_0(x(t_{01},\omega)\cdots,x(t_{0k_0},\omega))},\ G_j(x(0,\omega),x(t_j^n\!-\!t_0^n,\omega),x(t_{j1}\!+\!t_j^n\!-\!t_0^n,\omega),\cdots,x(t_{jk_j}\!+\!t_j^n\!-\!t_0^n,\omega))\ &=\!F_j(x(t_{j1}\!+\!t_j^n\!-\!t_0^n,\omega),\cdots,x(t_{jk_j}\!+\!t_j^n\!-\!t_0^n,\omega))\ & imes i\lambda_j(x(t_j^n\!-\!t_0^n,\omega)\!-\!x(0,\omega)), \quad 1\!\leq\!j\!\leq\!r. \end{aligned}$$

The $k_0 + k_1 + \cdots + k_r + 2r$ dimensional random vector

$$(x(t_{01}, \omega), \dots, x(t_{0k_0}, \omega), x(0, \omega), x(t_1^n - t_0^n, \omega), x(t_{11} + t_1^n - t_0^n, \omega) (8) \qquad \dots, x(t_{1k_1} + t_1^n - t_0^n, \omega), \dots, x(0, \omega), x(t_r^n - t_0^n, \omega), x(t_{r1} + t_r^n - t_0^n, \omega), \dots, x(t_{rk_r} + t_r^n - t_0^n, \omega))$$

is Gaussian with the mean vector 0 and the covariance matrix:

$$N_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} N_{00}(n), N_{01}(n), \dots, N_{0r}(n) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ N_{r0}(n), N_{r1}(n), \dots, N_{rr}(n) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $N_{00}(n)$ is a $k_0 \times k_0$ -matrix with (p, q)-element: (9) $E\{x(t_{0p})x(t_{0q})\} = \rho(t_{0q} - t_{0p})$

and for $(i, j) \neq (0, 0), N_{ij}(n)$ is a $(k_i+2) \times (k_j+2)$ -matrix with (p, q)-element:

$$\begin{split} E\{x(0)x(0)\} &= \rho(0) & \text{if } p = q = 1 \\ E\{x(t_i^n - t_0^n)x(t_j^n - t_0^n)\} &= \rho(t_j^n - t_i^n) & \text{if } p = q = 2, \\ (10) & E\{x(0)x(t_j^n - t_0^n)\} &= \rho(t_j^n - t_0^n) & \text{if } p = 1 \text{ and } q = 2 \\ E\{x(0)x(t_{jq-2} + t_j^n - t_0^n)\} &= \rho(t_{jq-2} + t_j^n - t_0^n) & \text{if } p = 1 \text{ and } q > 2, \\ E\{x(t_i^n - t_0^n)x(t_{jq-2} + t_j^n - t_0^n)\} &= \rho(t_{jq-2} + t_j^n - t_i^n) & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ and } q > 2, \\ E\{x(t_{ip-2} + t_i^n - t_0^n)x(t_{jq-2} + t_j^n - t_0^n)\} &= \rho(t_{jq-2} - t_{ip-2} + t_j^n - t_i^n) \\ & \text{if } p, q > 2. \end{split}$$

By virtue of Theorem 1, (9) and (10) we have

(11)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} N_n = \begin{pmatrix} N_{00}, N, \cdots, N \\ \ddots \\ N, \cdots, N_{rr} \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{N}$$

where $\lim_{n \to \infty} N_{00}(n) = N_{00}$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} N_{ii}(n) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho(0), C, C, C, C, \cdots, C\\ C, \rho(0), \rho(t_{i1}), \rho(t_{i2}), \cdots, \rho(t_{ik_i})\\ C, \rho(t_{i1}), \rho(0), \rho(t_{i2} - t_{i1}), \rho(t_{ik_i} - t_{i1})\\ \vdots \\ C, \rho(t_{i1}), \rho(0), \rho(t_{i2} - t_{i1}), \rho(t_{ik_i} - t_{i1})\\ \vdots \\ C, \rho(0) \end{pmatrix} = N_{ii}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} N_{ij}(n) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho(0), C, \dots, C \\ C, C, \\ & \ddots \\ C, & & C \end{pmatrix} = N \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

The relation (11) means that the distribution of the random vector (8) converges the Gaussian distribution of the mean vector 0 and the covariance matrix N. Thus the relation (4) follows. Now denote by \mathcal{L} the set of all finite linear combinations of the functions of the form (6). Then it is easily verified that the equality (4) holds for arbitrary functions $f_j, 0 \leq j \leq r$, of the form (7) in which $\varphi_j(\omega), 0 \leq j \leq r$, are in \mathcal{L} .

Case II. Let f_0, \dots, f_r be arbitrary bounded measurable functions on $\Omega \times Y$. Noticing that the family \mathfrak{A} of all proper functions of $\{S_i\}$ is a complete orthogonal system of $L^2(Y)$ and \mathcal{L} is dense in $L^2(\Omega)$, for any positive number ε , there exist functions $g_{jp}, 0 \leq j \leq r$, of the forms:

$$egin{aligned} g_{jp}(\omega,y) &= \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n_j^{(p)}} arphi_{jk}^{(p)}(\omega) \cdot \psi_{jk}^{(p)}(y), \ &\in \mathcal{L}, \quad \psi_{jp}^{(p)} \in \mathfrak{A}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n_j^{(p)}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq r, \quad p \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

such that

 $\varphi_{{}^{jk}}^{\scriptscriptstyle (p)}$

(12) $||f_j - g_{jp}|| < \varepsilon$, $0 \le j \le r$, $p \to \infty$. In this case we see that the sequence of constants $C_p(g_{0p}, \dots, g_{rp})$, $p \ge 1$, corresponding to the functions g_{0p}, \dots, g_{rp} , $p \ge 1$, is a Cauchy sequence. So we take

(13)
$$C(f_0, \cdots, f_r) = \lim_{p \to \infty} C_p(g_{0p}, \cdots, g_{rp})$$

and choose a positive number M such that

(14) $|f_j| \leq M$, $|g_{jp}| \leq M$, $0 \leq j \leq r$, $p \geq 1$. Then from (12), (13) and (14) we obtain

$$\left| \left(\prod_{j=0}^{r} U_{\iota_{j}^{n}} f_{j}, 1 \right) - C(f_{0}, \cdots, f_{r}) \right| \leq \{ (r+1) \cdot M^{r} + 2 \} \cdot \varepsilon, \quad n \to \infty, \quad p \to \infty.$$

Therefore the proof of the theorem is completed.

Remark. Although a Gaussian flow $\{T_i\}$ is a Kolmogorov flow and the flow $\{S_i\}$ is ergodic, the skew product flow $\{Z_i\}$ of $\{T_i\}$ and $\{S_i\}$ defined by (5) is not ergodic. To show this, note that $x(t, \omega)$ alone has meaning in our case and choose a function $h(\omega, y) = e^{i\lambda x(0,\omega)}g_i(y)$, where g_{λ} is a proper function corresponding to the proper value λ of $\{S_i\}$. Although $h(\omega, y)$ in $L^2(\Omega \times Y)$ is not a constant, it is invariant under the flow $\{Z_i\}$. This contradiction implies that the flow $\{Z_i\}$ is not ergodic. In particular, our assertion is true if the flow $\{S_i\}$ is not weakly mixing.

4. Now let us consider a Brownian motion $\{x(t, \omega), -\infty < t < \infty\}$ on (Ω, \mathcal{B}, m) and a flow $\{T_t\}$ of the Brownian motion which is given by $\Delta x(I, T_t \omega) = \Delta x(I+t, \omega) \qquad -\infty < t < \infty$,

where $\Delta x(I, \omega) = x(b, \omega) - x(a, \omega)$ for I = [a, b]. It is easy to show that

E. Kin

 $\{T_t\}$ is a Kolmogorov flow, and thus $\{T_t\}$ is all order mixing. Let $\{S_t\}$ be an ergodic measurable flow on (Y, Σ, μ) with pure point spectrum and consider the skew product flow $\{Z_t\}$ of $\{T_t\}$ and $\{S_t\}$ given by (5). It is to be noticed that $x(t, \omega)$ alone has no meaning.

Theorem 4. The skew product flow $\{Z_t\}$ is all order mixing.

Proof. To prove the theorem it suffices to show that the flow $\{Z_t\}$ is *r*-order mixing for any positive integer *r*. Let f_0, \dots, f_r be bounded measurable functions on $\Omega \times Y$ and t_r^n, \dots, t_r^n be real numbers satisfying the condition (3). We may restrict $f_j, 0 \leq j \leq r$, to the following functions:

(15)
$$\varphi_{0}(\omega) = \exp \left\{ -i \sum_{k=0}^{l_{0}} \theta_{0k}(x(a_{0k}, \omega) - x(a_{0k-1}, \omega)) \right\} = \exp \left\{ -i \sum_{k=1}^{l_{j}} \theta_{jk}(x(a_{jk}, \omega) - x(a_{jk-1}, \omega)) \right\} = a_{j0} < \cdots < a_{jP_{j}} = 0 < \cdots < a_{jl_{j}}, f_{j}(\omega, y) = \varphi_{j}(\omega) \psi_{j}(y),$$

where θ_{jk} , $1 \leq k \leq l_j$, $0 \leq j \leq r$, are arbitrary real numbers and ψ_j , $0 \leq j \leq r$, are proper functions corresponding to proper values λ_j , $0 \leq j \leq r$, of $\{S_t\}$. This is because the set of all finite linear combinations of such functions of the form (15) is dense in $L^2(\Omega)$ and the family \mathfrak{A} of all proper functions of $\{S_t\}$ is dense in $L^2(Y)$. So the left hand side of (4) is equal to

(16)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \psi_{j}, \overline{\psi}_{0} \end{pmatrix} \lim_{n \to \infty} \int \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{r} \exp\left[i \sum_{k=1}^{l_{j}} \theta_{jk} (x(a_{jk} + t_{j}^{n} - t_{0}^{n}, \omega) - x(a_{jk-1} + t_{j}^{n} - t_{0}^{n}, \omega)) \right] \exp\left[i \lambda_{j} (x(t_{j}^{n} - t_{0}^{n}, \omega) - x(0, \omega))] \right] \\
\cdot \exp\left[i \sum_{k=1}^{l_{0}} \theta_{0k} (x(a_{0k}, \omega) - x(a_{0k-1}, \omega)) \right] dm$$

If $\lambda_0 = \cdots = \lambda_r = 0$, then the equality (4) is immediately obtained from the ergodicity of $\{S_t\}$ and the mixing property of $\{T_t\}$. Thus we consider the case that there are some non-zero proper values among the set $(\lambda_0, \cdots, \lambda_r)$. Take *n* large enough so that

$$a_{00} < \cdots < a_{0P_0} = 0 < \cdots < a_{0l_0} < a_{10} + t_1^n - t_0^n < \cdots < a_{1P_{1-1}} + t_1^n \ - t_0^n < t_1^n - t_0^n < a_{1P_{1+1}} + t_1^n - t_0^n < \cdots < a_{1l_1} + t_1^n \ - t_0^n < \cdots < a_{r_0} + t_r^n - t_0^n < \cdots < a_{rP_{r-1}} + t_r^n \ - t_0^n < t_r^n - t_0^n < \cdots < a_{rl_r} + t_r^n - t_0^n < \cdots < a_{rl_r} + t_r^n - t_0^n.$$

Then the integral in (16) is equal to

$$\int \prod_{k=1}^{P_0} \exp \left[i\theta_{0k}(x(a_{0k},\omega)-x(a_{0k-1}\cdot\omega))\right] dm \\ \times \prod_{k=P_0+1}^{l_0} \int \exp \left[i(\theta_{0k}+\sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j)(x(a_{0k},\omega)-x(a_{0k-1},\omega))\right] dm \\ \times \int \exp \left[i\left(\sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j\right)(x(a_{10}+t_1^n-t_0^n,\omega)-x(a_{0l_0},\omega))\right] dm$$

$$\times \prod_{m=1}^{r} \prod_{k=1}^{P_{m}} \int \exp\left[i\left(\theta_{mk} + \sum_{j=m}^{r} \lambda_{j}\right)(x(a_{mk} + t_{m}^{n} - t_{0}^{n}, \omega) - x(a_{mk-1} + t_{m}^{n} - t_{0}^{n}, \omega))\right] dm$$

$$\times \prod_{m=1}^{r} \prod_{k=P_{m}+1}^{l_{m}} \int \exp\left[i\left(\theta_{mk} + \sum_{j=m+1}^{r} \lambda_{j}\right)(x(a_{mk} + t_{m}^{n} - t_{0}^{n}, \omega) - x(a_{mk-1} + t_{m}^{n} - t_{0}^{n}, \omega))\right] dm$$

$$\times \prod_{k=2}^{r} \int \exp\left[i\left(\sum_{j=k}^{r} \lambda_{j}\right)(x(a_{k0} + t_{k}^{n} - t_{0}^{n}, \omega) - x(a_{k-1,l_{k-1}} + t_{k-1}^{n} - t_{0}^{n}, \omega))\right] dm$$

$$= \prod_{k=1}^{P_{0}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\theta_{0k}^{2}(a_{0k} - a_{0k-1})\right]$$

$$\times \left[\prod_{k=2}^{l_{0}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(\theta_{0k} + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j}\right)^{2}(a_{0k} - a_{0k-1})\right]$$

$$\times \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j}\right)^{2}(a_{10} + t_{1}^{n} - t_{0}^{n} - a_{0l_{0}})\right]$$

$$\times \prod_{k=2}^{r} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{j=k}^{r} \lambda_{j}\right)^{2}(a_{k0} - a_{k-1,l_{k-1}} + t_{k}^{n} - t_{k-1}^{m})\right]$$

$$\times \prod_{m=1}^{r} \prod_{k=1}^{P_{m}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta_{mk} + \sum_{j=m+1}^{r} \lambda_{j}\right)^{2}(a_{mk} - a_{mk-1})\right]$$

$$\times \prod_{m=1}^{r} \prod_{k=P_{m}+1}^{P_{m}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta_{mk} + \sum_{j=m+1}^{r} \lambda_{j}\right)^{2}(a_{mk} - a_{mk-1})\right]$$

because of the condition (3): $\lim_{n\to\infty} \min_{1\leq j\leq r} (t_j^n - t_{j-1}^n) = \infty$. Thus the conclusion follows.

The author wishes to express his thanks to Prof. S. Tsurumi and Prof. T. Hida who have given him valuable advices and criticism.

References

- H. Anzai: Mixing up property of Brownian motion. Osaka Math. J., 2 (1), 51-58 (1950).
- [2] ----: Ergodic skew product transformations on the torus. Osaka Math. J., 3 (1), 83-99 (1951).
- [3] A. Maitra: On stable transformations. Sankhyā, Ser. A, 28, 25-34 (1966).
- [4] S. Natarajan and K. Viswanath: On weakly stable transformations. Sankhyā, Ser. A, 29, 245-258 (1967).
- [5] A. Rényi: On stable sequences of events. Sankhyā, Ser. A, 25, 293-302 (1963).
- [6] H. Totoki: The mixing property of Gaussian flows. Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ., 18 (2), 136-139 (1964).