

### 51. Probabilities on Inheritance in Consanguineous Families. VII

By Yūsaku KOMATU and Han NISHIMIYA

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology

(Comm. by T. FURUHATA, M.J.A., March 12, 1954)

#### VII. Mother-descendants combinations through several consanguineous marriages

##### 1. Special combinations with several consanguineous marriages

The main purpose of the present chapter is to determine the probability of a mother-descendants combination designated by

$$\pi_{(\mu\nu; n)_t | \mu\nu}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) \equiv \bar{A}_{\alpha\beta} \kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_t | \mu\nu}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) \quad (\mu = \mu_{t+1}, \nu = \nu_{t+1}).$$

By definition, the reduced probability  $\kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_t | \mu\nu}$  is given by

$$\kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_t | \mu\nu}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) = \sum \kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_t}(\alpha\beta; ab) \kappa_{\mu\nu}(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2).$$

Evidently, this probability is symmetric with respect to  $\mu_r$  and  $\nu_r$  for any  $r$  with  $1 \leq r \leq t$ , while it is quasi-symmetric with respect to  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ , i. e.

$$\kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_t | \mu\nu}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) = \kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_t | \nu\mu}(\alpha\beta; \xi_2\eta_2, \xi_1\eta_1).$$

In the present section we first deal with the case where the  $n_r$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are all equal to unity. After substituting the known expressions, its defining equation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{(\mu\nu; 1)_t | 11}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) &= \sigma(\xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) + 2^{-t+1} A_t U(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) \\ &+ 4u_t \sum R(ab) \kappa(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) + 2v_t \sum S(\alpha\beta; ab) \kappa(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) \\ &+ 4w_t \sum T(\alpha\beta; ab) \kappa(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it remains only to determine the last three residual terms, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{X}(\xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) &= \sum R(ab) \kappa(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2), \\ \mathfrak{Y}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) &= \sum S(\alpha\beta; ab) \kappa(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2), \\ \mathfrak{Z}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) &= \sum T(\alpha\beta; ab) \kappa(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2), \end{aligned}$$

which are evidently symmetric with respect to  $\xi_1\eta_1$  and  $\xi_2\eta_2$ . Actual computation leads to the following results:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{X}(ii, ii) &= \frac{1}{8}i^2(1-i)(1+i), & \mathfrak{X}(ii, ik) &= -\frac{1}{4}i^3k, \\ \mathfrak{X}(ii, kk) &= -\frac{1}{8}i^2k^2, & \mathfrak{X}(ii, hk) &= -\frac{1}{4}i^2hk, \\ \mathfrak{X}(ij, ij) &= \frac{1}{4}ij(1-2ij), & \mathfrak{X}(ij, ik) &= -\frac{1}{2}i^2jk, \\ \mathfrak{X}(ij, hk) &= -\frac{1}{2}ijhk; \\ \mathfrak{Y}(ii; ii, ii) &= -\frac{1}{16}i(1-i)^2(1-2i), & \mathfrak{Y}(ii; ii, ig) &= \frac{1}{8}ig(1-i)(1-2i), \\ \mathfrak{Y}(ii; ii, gg) &= -\frac{1}{16}ig^2(1-2i), & \mathfrak{Y}(ii; ii, fg) &= -\frac{1}{8}ifg(1-2i), \\ \mathfrak{Y}(ii; ik, ik) &= -\frac{1}{16}k(1-4i-k+3i^2-8i^2k), \\ \mathfrak{Y}(ii; ik, kk) &= \frac{1}{16}k^2(1+i-5k+4ik), \\ \mathfrak{Y}(ii; ik, ig) &= \frac{1}{16}kg(1-7i+8i^2), & \mathfrak{Y}(ii; ik, kg) &= \frac{1}{16}kg(1-3i-2k+8ik), \\ \mathfrak{Y}(ii; ik, gg) &= -\frac{1}{16}kg^2(1-4i), & \mathfrak{Y}(ii; ik, fg) &= -\frac{1}{8}kfg(1-4i), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{Y}(ii; kk, kk) &= \frac{1}{16}k^2(1-k)(1-2k), & \mathfrak{Y}(ii; kk, kg) &= -\frac{1}{16}k^2g(3-4k), \\
\mathfrak{Y}(ii; kk, gg) &= \frac{1}{8}k^2g^2, & \mathfrak{Y}(ii; kk, fg) &= \frac{1}{4}k^2fg, \\
\mathfrak{Y}(ii; hk, hk) &= \frac{1}{16}hk(2-3h-3k+8hk), & \mathfrak{Y}(ii; hk, kg) &= -\frac{1}{16}hkg(3-8k), \\
\mathfrak{Y}(ii; hk, fg) &= \frac{1}{2}hkgf, \\
\mathfrak{Y}(ij; ii, ii) &= -\frac{1}{32}i(1-i)(1+i^2), & \mathfrak{Y}(ij; ii, ij) &= \frac{1}{32}i(i+2j-i^2-5ij+8i^2j), \\
\mathfrak{Y}(ij; ii, jj) &= -\frac{1}{32}ij(i+j-4ij), & \mathfrak{Y}(ij; ii, ig) &= \frac{1}{32}ig(2-5i+8i^2), \\
\mathfrak{Y}(ij; ii, jg) &= -\frac{1}{32}ig(i+2j-8ij), & \mathfrak{Y}(ij; ii, gg) &= -\frac{1}{32}ig^2(1-4i), \\
\mathfrak{Y}(ij; ii, fg) &= -\frac{1}{16}ifg(1-4i), \\
\mathfrak{Y}(ij; ij, ij) &= -\frac{1}{32}(i+j-i^2-j^2+6ij(i+j)-16i^2j^2), \\
& & \mathfrak{Y}(ij; ij, ig) &= \frac{1}{32}g(i+j-2i^2-6ij+16i^2j), \\
\mathfrak{Y}(ij; ij, gg) &= -\frac{1}{32}g^2(i+j-8ij), & \mathfrak{Y}(ij; ij, fg) &= -\frac{1}{16}fg(i+j-8ij), \\
\mathfrak{Y}(ij; ik, ik) &= -\frac{1}{32}k(1+2i-k+4i^2+6ik-16i^2k), \\
& & \mathfrak{Y}(ij; ik, jk) &= \frac{1}{32}k(i+j-6ij-2(i+j)k+16ijk), \\
\mathfrak{Y}(ij; ik, kk) &= \frac{1}{32}k^2(1-6i-k+8ik), & \mathfrak{Y}(ij; ik, ig) &= \frac{1}{32}kg(1-2i)(1-8i), \\
\mathfrak{Y}(ij; ik, jg) &= -\frac{1}{16}kg(i+j-8ij), & \mathfrak{Y}(ij; ik, kg) &= \frac{1}{32}kg(1-6i-2k+16ik), \\
\mathfrak{Y}(ij; ik, gg) &= -\frac{1}{32}kg^2(1-8i), & \mathfrak{Y}(ij; ik, fg) &= -\frac{1}{16}kfg(1-8i); \\
\mathfrak{Z}(ii; ii, ii) &= \frac{1}{16}i(1-i)(2-i)(1+i), & \mathfrak{Z}(ii; ii, ig) &= -\frac{1}{8}i^2g(2-i), \\
\mathfrak{Z}(ii; ii, gg) &= -\frac{1}{16}ig^2(2-i), & \mathfrak{Z}(ii; ii, fg) &= -\frac{1}{8}ifg(2-i), \\
\mathfrak{Z}(ii; ik, ik) &= \frac{1}{8}k(1-i+i^2+k(1-i)(1-2i)), \\
& & \mathfrak{Z}(ii; ik, kk) &= -\frac{1}{8}k^2(1+k)(1-i), \\
\mathfrak{Z}(ii; ik, ig) &= \frac{1}{8}kg(1-i)(1-2i), & \mathfrak{Z}(ii; ik, kg) &= -\frac{1}{8}kg(1+2k)(1-i), \\
\mathfrak{Z}(ii; ik, gg) &= -\frac{1}{8}kg^2(1-i), & \mathfrak{Z}(ii; ik, fg) &= -\frac{1}{4}kfg(1-i), \\
\mathfrak{Z}(ii; kk, kk) &= \frac{1}{16}k^2(1+k)^2, & \mathfrak{Z}(ii; kk, kg) &= \frac{1}{8}k^2g(1+k), \\
\mathfrak{Z}(ii; kk, gg) &= \frac{1}{16}k^2g^2, & \mathfrak{Z}(ii; kk, fg) &= \frac{1}{8}k^2fg, \\
\mathfrak{Z}(ii; hk, hk) &= \frac{1}{8}hk(1+h+k+2hk), & \mathfrak{Z}(ii; hk, kg) &= \frac{1}{8}hkg(1+2k), \\
\mathfrak{Z}(ii; hk, fg) &= \frac{1}{4}hkgf, \\
\mathfrak{Z}(ij; ii, ii) &= \frac{1}{32}i(1+i)(1-2i+2i^2), \\
& & \mathfrak{Z}(ij; ii, ij) &= \frac{1}{32}i(1-i-j-2i^2-2ij+4i^2j), \\
\mathfrak{Z}(ij; ii, jj) &= \frac{1}{32}ij(1-2i-2j+2ij), & \mathfrak{Z}(ij; ii, ig) &= -\frac{1}{32}ig(1+2i-4i^2), \\
\mathfrak{Z}(ij; ii, jg) &= \frac{1}{32}ig(1-2i-4j+4ij), & \mathfrak{Z}(ij; ii, gg) &= -\frac{1}{16}ig^2(1-i), \\
\mathfrak{Z}(ij; ii, fg) &= -\frac{1}{8}ifg(1-i), \\
\mathfrak{Z}(ij; ij, ij) &= \frac{1}{32}((1-4ij)(i+j-2ij)+i^2+j^2), \\
& & \mathfrak{Z}(ij; ij, ig) &= -\frac{1}{32}g(i-j+4i^2+4ij-8i^2j), \\
\mathfrak{Z}(ij; ij, gg) &= -\frac{1}{16}g^2(i+j-2ij), & \mathfrak{Z}(ij; ij, fg) &= -\frac{1}{8}fg(i+j-2ij), \\
\mathfrak{Z}(ij; ik, ik) &= \frac{1}{32}k(1+k+4i^2-4ik+8i^2k), \\
& & \mathfrak{Z}(ij; ik, jk) &= \frac{1}{32}k(1-2(i+j)+k+4ij-4(i+j)k+8ijk), \\
\mathfrak{Z}(ij; ik, kk) &= -\frac{1}{16}k^2(1+k)(1-2i), & \mathfrak{Z}(ij; ik, ig) &= \frac{1}{32}kg(1-4i+8i^2), \\
\mathfrak{Z}(ij; ik, jg) &= \frac{1}{32}kg(1-4i-4j+8ij), & \mathfrak{Z}(ij; ik, kg) &= -\frac{1}{16}kg(1+2k)(1-2i), \\
\mathfrak{Z}(ij; ik, gg) &= -\frac{1}{16}kg^2(1-2i), & \mathfrak{Z}(ij; ik, fg) &= -\frac{1}{8}kfg(1-2i).
\end{aligned}$$

Since, besides an evident symmetry character of  $\mathfrak{X}$ ,  $\mathfrak{Y}$  and  $\mathfrak{Z}$  with respect to descendants' types, the quantities  $\mathfrak{Y}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2)$  and  $\mathfrak{Z}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2)$  are both independent of  $\alpha\beta$  provided  $A_{\xi_1\eta_1}$  and  $A_{\xi_2\eta_2}$  have no gene in common with  $A_{\alpha\beta}$ , all the possible cases have thus

been essentially worked out. The final expression for desired probability is thus written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{(\mu\nu; 1)_t | 11}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) &= \sigma(\xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) + 2^{-t+1}U(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) \\ &\quad + 4u_t\mathfrak{X}(\xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) + 2v_t\mathfrak{Y}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) + 4w_t\mathfrak{Z}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2), \end{aligned}$$

which remains true also for  $t=1$  with  $u_1=v_1=0$ .

By the way, we can readily deduce the relations

$$\sum \mathfrak{X}(\xi_\eta, ab) = \sum \mathfrak{Y}(\alpha\beta; \xi_\eta, ab) = \sum \mathfrak{Z}(\alpha\beta; \xi_\eta, ab) = 0.$$

We further remark that there hold the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \bar{A}_{ab}\mathfrak{Y}(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) &= 0, \quad \sum \bar{A}_{ab}\mathfrak{Z}(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) = \mathfrak{X}(\xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2), \\ \sum Q(\alpha\beta; ab)\mathfrak{Y}(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) &= \sum Q(\alpha\beta; ab)\mathfrak{Z}(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) = \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{Y}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2). \end{aligned}$$

We now consider the probability  $\kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_t | 11}$  in which  $n_t > 1$  while  $n_r \geq 1$  for  $1 \leq r < t$ . It is defined by the equation

$$\kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_t | 11}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) = \sum \kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_t}(\alpha\beta; ab)\kappa(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2).$$

Hence, we get the formula

$$\kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_t | 11}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) = \sigma(\xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) + 2^{-N_t+1}A_t U(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2),$$

which remains true even when  $t=1$ .

We next observe the probability  $\kappa_{(\mu\nu; 1)_t | 1\nu}$  with  $\nu > 1$ . The defining equation then yields

$$\kappa_{(\mu\nu; 1)_t | 1\nu}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) = \sum \kappa_{(\mu\nu; 1)_t}(\alpha\beta; ab)\kappa_{1\nu}(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2).$$

Based on the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \sum R(ab)W(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) &= \frac{1}{2}\bar{A}_{\xi_1\eta_1}Q(\xi_1\eta_1; \xi_2\eta_2), \\ \sum S(\alpha\beta; ab)W(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) &= \frac{1}{2}S(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2), \\ \sum T(\alpha\beta; ab)W(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) &= \frac{1}{4}T(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2), \end{aligned}$$

we get the formula in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{(\mu\nu; 1)_t | 1\nu}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) &= \sigma_{1\nu}(\xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) \\ &\quad + 2^{-t}A_t \{ \bar{A}_{\xi_2\eta_2}Q(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1) + 2^{-\nu+1}V(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) \} \\ &\quad + 2^{-\nu} \{ 2u_t\bar{A}_{\xi_1\eta_1}Q(\xi_1\eta_1; \xi_2\eta_2) + v_tS(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) + w_tT(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) \}, \end{aligned}$$

which remains valid also for  $t=1$  with  $u_1=v_1=0$ .

We finally consider the probability  $\kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_t | 1\nu}$  in which  $n_t$  and  $\nu$  are greater than unity while the  $n_r$ 's with  $1 \leq r < t$  may be arbitrary numbers equal to or greater than unity. Its defining equation becomes

$$\kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_t | 1\nu}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) = \sum \kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_t}(\alpha\beta; ab)\kappa_{1\nu}(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2),$$

and hence readily leads to the desired formula

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_t | 1\nu}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) &= \sigma_{1\nu}(\xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) \\ &\quad + 2^{-N_t}A_t \{ \bar{A}_{\xi_2\eta_2}Q(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1) + 2^{-\nu+1}V(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) \}, \end{aligned}$$

which remains true even when  $t$  is equal to unity.

## 2. Generic combinations with several consanguineous marriages

We consider the probability  $\kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_t | \mu\nu}$  for the generic case, namely with  $\mu, \nu > 1$ .

We first deal with the case where the  $n$ 's are all equal to unity.

It is defined by the equation

$$\kappa_{(\mu\nu; 1)_\xi | \mu\nu}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) = \sum \kappa_{(\mu\nu; 1)_\xi}(\alpha\beta; ab)\kappa_{\mu\nu}(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2).$$

Based on the identical relations

$$\begin{aligned} \sum R(ab)T(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) &= \frac{1}{2}\bar{A}_{\xi_1\eta_1}Q(\xi_1\eta_1; \xi_2\eta_2), \\ \sum S(\alpha\beta; ab)T(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) &= \frac{1}{2}S(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2), \\ \sum T(\alpha\beta; ab)T(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) &= \frac{1}{2}T(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2), \end{aligned}$$

the desired formula is then given, with  $\lambda = \mu + \nu - 1$ , by

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{(\mu\nu; 1)_\xi | \mu\nu}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) &= \sigma_{\mu\nu}(\xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) + 2^{-\lambda+1}u_t\bar{A}_{\xi_1\eta_1}Q(\xi_1\eta_1; \xi_2\eta_2) \\ &\quad + 2^{-t+1}A_t\{2^{-\mu}\bar{A}_{\xi_2\eta_2}Q(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1) + 2^{-\nu}\bar{A}_{\xi_1\eta_1}Q(\alpha\beta; \xi_2\eta_2)\} \\ &\quad + 2^{-\lambda}\{(2^{-t+1}A_t + v_t)S(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) + w_tT(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We next observe the most generic case, i. e.  $\kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_\xi | \mu\nu}$  with  $n_t$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\nu > 1$ . Its defining equation becomes

$$\kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_\xi | \mu\nu}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) = \sum \kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_\xi}(\alpha\beta; ab)\kappa_{\mu\nu}(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2)$$

and it leads to the desired formula

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_\xi | \mu\nu}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) &= \sigma_{\mu\nu}(\xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) \\ &\quad + 2^{-N_t+1}A_t\{2^{-\mu}\bar{A}_{\xi_2\eta_2}Q(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1) + 2^{-\nu}\bar{A}_{\xi_1\eta_1}Q(\alpha\beta; \xi_2\eta_2) + 2^{-\lambda}S(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2)\}, \end{aligned}$$

which remains valid even when  $t=1$ .

Finally, we consider the probability  $\kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_\xi | (\mu'\nu'; 1)_{\xi'} | \mu'\nu'}$  ( $\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2$ ) ( $\mu'\nu' = \mu'_{i+1}\nu'_{i+1}$ ). The defining equation is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_\xi | (\mu'\nu'; 1)_{\xi'} | \mu'\nu'}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) \\ = \sum \kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_\xi | (\mu'\nu'; 1)_{\xi'}}(\alpha\beta; ab)\kappa_{\mu'\nu'}(ab; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2). \end{aligned}$$

We distinguish here three systems according to the generation-numbers ( $\mu', \nu'$ ) of the descendants ( $A_{\xi_1\eta_1}, A_{\xi_2\eta_2}$ ):  $\mu' = \nu' = 1$ ;  $\mu' = 1 < \nu'$  or  $\mu' > 1 = \nu'$ ;  $\mu', \nu' > 1$ . It is shown that there hold the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_\xi | (\mu'\nu'; 1)_{\xi'} | 11}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) &= \sigma(\xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) + 4(u'_{i'} + w'_{i'})\mathfrak{X}(\xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) \\ &\quad + 2^{-N_t+1}A_t\{2^{-\nu'}A'_{i'}U(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) + (v'_{i'} + 2w'_{i'})\mathfrak{Y}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2)\}, \\ \kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_\xi | (\mu'\nu'; 1)_{\xi'} | 1\nu'}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) &= \sigma_{1\nu'}(\xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) \\ &\quad + 2^{-\nu'+1}(u'_{i'} + w'_{i'})\bar{A}_{\xi_1\eta_1}Q(\xi_1\eta_1; \xi_2\eta_2) + 2^{-N_t}A_t\{2^{-\nu'}A'_{i'}\bar{A}_{\xi_2\eta_2}Q(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1) \\ &\quad + 2^{-\nu'-\nu'+1}A'_{i'}V(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) + 2^{-\nu'}(v'_{i'} + 2w'_{i'})S(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2)\} \\ \kappa_{(\mu\nu; n)_\xi | (\mu'\nu'; 1)_{\xi'} | \mu'\nu'}(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) &= \sigma_{\mu'\nu'}(\xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) \\ &\quad + 2^{-\lambda'+1}(u'_{i'} + w'_{i'})\bar{A}_{\xi_1\eta_1}Q(\xi_1\eta_1; \xi_2\eta_2) \\ &\quad + 2^{-N_t-\nu'+1}A_tA'_{i'}\{2^{-\mu'}\bar{A}_{\xi_2\eta_2}Q(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1) + 2^{-\nu'}\bar{A}_{\xi_1\eta_1}Q(\alpha\beta; \xi_2\eta_2)\} \\ &\quad + 2^{-N_t-\lambda'}A_t(2^{-\nu'+1}A'_{i'} + v'_{i'} + 2w'_{i'})S(\alpha\beta; \xi_1\eta_1, \xi_2\eta_2) \end{aligned}$$

with  $\lambda' = \mu' + \nu' - 1$ .