

62. Shoda's Condition on Quasi-Frobenius Rings

By Yukitoshi HINOHARA

Mathematical Institute, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo

(Comm. by K. SHODA, M.J.A., April 12, 1954)

Let A be a ring with minimum condition for left and right ideals. Then the following conditions C-1, ..., C-4 are equivalent which has been proved by Nakayama and Ikeda. In this note we shall give somewhat simpler proofs of Propositions 2 and 3 of Ikeda [2], directly deducing from Shoda's condition (to be explained below) the *annihilator relations* in A .

As is well known, we have two direct decompositions of A :

$$A = \sum_{\kappa=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^{f(\kappa)} A e_{\kappa,i} + l(E) = \sum_{\kappa=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^{f(\kappa)} e_{\kappa,i} A + r(E)$$

where $E = \sum_{\kappa=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^{f(\kappa)} e_{\kappa,i}$, and $e_{\kappa,i}$ ($\kappa = 1, 2, \dots, k$; $i = 1, 2, \dots, f(\kappa)$) are mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents, and $l(*)$, $(r(*))$ is the left (right) annihilator of $*$. For the sake of brevity, let us denote one of $e_{\kappa,i}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, f(\kappa)$), say $e_{\kappa,1}$, by e_{κ} .

C-1) A possesses a unit element and there exists a permutation $(\pi(1), \dots, \pi(k))$ of $(1, 2, \dots, k)$ such that for each κ ,

i) $e_{\kappa}A$ has a unique simple right subideal r_{κ} and $r_{\kappa} \cong \bar{e}_{\pi(\kappa)}\bar{A}$,

ii) $Ae_{\pi(\kappa)}$ has a unique simple left subideal $l_{\pi(\kappa)}$ and $l_{\pi(\kappa)} \cong \bar{A}\bar{e}_{\kappa}$.

(This is the definition of quasi-Frobenius rings.)

C-2) Annihilator relations $l(r(l))=l$, and $r(l(r))=r$ hold for every left ideal l and every right ideal r .

C-3) A satisfies Shoda's condition for simple left and right ideals.

C-4) A has a left unit element and satisfies Shoda's condition for every left ideal.

C-4') A has a left unit and every homomorphism between a left ideal and a simple left ideal is given by the right multiplication of an element of A .

We understand the following as Shoda's condition: Every homomorphism between two left (right) ideals is given by the right (left) multiplication of an element of A . We require an auxiliary result: If A satisfies Shoda's condition for simple left ideals, then A has a right unit element. This is Lemma 1 in [2].

Lemma. If we assume Shoda's condition for every simple left ideal, and A has a left unit element and Aa is a simple left ideal,

then aA is a simple right ideal, and $r(N) \subseteq l(N)$.

Proof: Let x be an element of A , such that $ax \neq 0$. Then $Aa \cong Aax$. Thus by Shoda's condition, there exists an element x' such that, $a = axx'$ thus $axA \ni axx' = a$, i.e., $axA \supseteq aA$. Thus aA is a simple right ideal.

Let a be a non-zero element of a simple left ideal, then Aa is a simple left ideal. Thus aA is a simple right ideal, and since we have a right unit by Lemma 1, in [2], $a \in aA$, i.e., $a \in l(N)$. Thus $r(N) \subseteq l(N)$.

Theorem. Conditions 1, 2, 3, and 4' are equivalent to each other.

Proof:

1) C-4') \rightarrow C-3).

i) There exists an element a in A such that $\bar{A}e_\kappa \cong Aa$ for every κ .

For, if Aae_κ and Abe_λ are simple left ideals and isomorphic for $\kappa \neq \lambda$, then by Shoda's condition $Aae_\kappa = Abe_\lambda x e_\kappa$ for suitable $x \in A$, and then $Abe_\lambda x e_\kappa \subseteq r(N)E_\kappa$ and since $r(N)E_\lambda$ is two-sided, (since $r(N) \subseteq l(N)$ by our Lemma, $r(N)E_\lambda A = r(N)E_\lambda (\sum_{\tau} E_\tau A E_\tau N) = r(N)E_\lambda$) the left hand side is in $r(N)E_\lambda$, that is $Aae_\kappa = Abe_\lambda x e_\kappa = 0$.

ii) Let aA be a simple right ideal, then Aa is a simple left ideal.

For, let Q (left ideal) be maximal in Aa , then $Aa/Q \cong \bar{A}e_\kappa \cong Aa'$ for suitable e_κ and a' . Thus by Shoda's condition, $Aa' = Aaa''$ for suitable $a'' \in A$, and since $aa''A = aA$, there exists an element $x \in A$, and $aa''x = a$. Now since Aaa'' is a simple left ideal, $Aaa''x = Aa$ is so.

iii) If two simple right ideals aA and bA are isomorphic, and a corresponds to b by this isomorphism, then $Aa = Ab$.

For, if $Aa \neq Ab$, then $Aa \cap Ab = 0$, then $Aa + Ab/Ab \cong Aa$. Thus by Shoda's condition, this homomorphism, between $Aa + Ab$ and Aa is given by right multiplication of an element x of A . And then $Abx = 0$ and $Aax \neq 0$, but since $r(b) = r(a)$, this is contradiction.

2) C-3) \rightarrow C-1).

i) $r(N) = l(N)$. This follows easily from our Lemma.

ii) Let Aa and Ab are two simple left ideals and $r(a) = r(b)$, then $Aa = Ab$.

Now $aA \cong bA$ (they are simple right ideals by Lemma) and we can assume that a corresponds to b , whence there exists x such that $b = xa$. Thus $Ab = Axa = Aa$.

iii) $Ae_{\kappa,i}$ has a unique simple sub-ideal, and the same holds for $e_{\kappa,i}A$ for every κ, i .

For, simple left sub-ideals in $Ae_{\kappa, i}$ have the same maximal right ideal $\sum_{\tau \neq \kappa, \delta \neq i} e_{\tau, \delta} A \cup N$ as their right annihilators, by i). Therefore they are equal, by ii). Thus we have proved by i) of 1).

About C-1) \rightarrow C-2) and C-2) \rightarrow C-4'), we have to refer [1] and [2].

Remark. We can also deduce directly C-3) \rightarrow C-2).

In fact: If I and I' are two left ideals such that $I \supseteq I'$, I' is maximal in I and $r(I') = Q \supseteq r(I) = Q'$, then we can write $I = Aa \cup I'$, whence $Q' = r(a) \cap Q$, and $aQ \cong Q/r(a) \cap Q = Q/Q'$.

Let x be an element such that $x \in Q, \notin Q'$, then $Ix = (Aa \cup I')x = Aax = Aax/I'x$.

Now let $I/I' = Aa \cup I'/I' \cong Aa/Aa \cap I' \cong \bar{A}e_{\kappa} \cong Ab$, and a corresponds to b for suitable e_{κ} and b , and let $Q'' = l(b)$ (maximal left ideal), then $Q''a \subseteq I'$, therefore $Q''ax \subseteq I'x = 0$.

That is, Aax is a simple left ideal. Furthermore since ax and ax' have the same left annihilator Q'' for any two elements x and $x', \in Q, \notin Q'$, $Aax \cong Aax'$. Therefore $axA = ax'A = aQ$ and they are simple right ideals.

Thus Q' is maximal in Q .

Thus we have proved that the composition lengths of right and left ideals are equal, and therefore annihilator relations hold.

References

- 1) T. Nakayama: On Frobeniusean Algebra II. Ann. Math., **42** (1942).
- 2) M. Ikeda: A Characterization of Quasi-Frobenius Rings. Osaka Math. J., **4**, No. 2 (1952).