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1. Let $X$ be a simply connected topological space with vanishing homotopy groups $\pi_{i}(X)$ for $i<n, n<i<q$ and $q<i$. Then M. Nakaoka ${ }^{1)}$ proved that the transgression $\tau$ in the Cartan-Serre fiber space associated with $X$ and the geometrical realization $\overline{\boldsymbol{k}}_{n}^{7+1}$ of the Eilenberg-MacLane invariant $\boldsymbol{k}_{n}^{q+1}$ are related as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau \boldsymbol{b}=-\overline{\boldsymbol{k}}_{n}^{o+1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{b}$ is the basic cohomology class of the fiber.
The purpose of this note is to construct a singular structure of an arbitrary fiber space ( $E, p, B$ ) satisfying
(2) (i) the total space $E$ is a simply connected space with vanishing homotopy groups $\pi_{i}(E)$ for $i>q$ with a base point $e_{0}$,
(ii) the base space $B$ is a space with vanishing homotopy groups $\pi_{i}(B)$ for $i \geqq q$ with a base point $b_{0}=p\left(e_{0}\right)$,
(iii) the projection $p: E \longrightarrow B$ induces the isomorphisms $\pi_{i}(E) \approx \pi_{i}(B)$ for $i<q$,
(iv) the fiber $F=p^{-1}\left(b_{0}\right)$ is a space with a base point $e_{0}$.

And, as an application, we shall give a proof of the similar relation as (1) in an arbitrary fiber space satisfying (2) about the Postnikov invariant. ${ }^{2)}$

This paper makes full use of the results and terminologies of the preceding paper by the author. ${ }^{3)}$
2. Let $Y$ be a topological space. A singular $n$-simplex $T$ of $Y$ is a function $T\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in Y$ defined for $0 \leq x_{i}, x_{0}+x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}=1$. For any element $\beta=\sum_{j j} m_{j} \beta_{j}$ of $K_{r}(n)$, the $\beta$-face $T_{\beta}$ of $T$ is an $r$-chain defined as

$$
T_{\beta}=\sum_{j} m_{j} T_{\beta_{j}}, \quad T_{\beta_{j}}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)=T\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right),
$$

where $y_{i}=0$ if $i \neq \beta_{j}(k)$ for all $k=0, \ldots, r$, and $y_{i}=\sum_{k} x_{k}$ for $\beta_{j}(k)=i$. In particular, the $\varepsilon^{i}$-face of $T$ will be denoted simply by $T^{(\varepsilon)}$ and is called the $i$-th face.

[^0]For our future convenience we shall fix a homeomorphism $h_{n}$ ( $n=1,2, \ldots$ ) of $n$-simplex into the face of the ( $n+1$ )-prism excepting the lower base as follows:

$$
h_{n}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}, t\right)
$$

where $t=\min \left\{1,(n+2) \min _{0 \leq i \leq n} x_{i}\right\}$ and $y_{i}=\left\{(n+2) x_{i}-t\right\} /\{(n+2)-(n+1) t\}$. And, we write a singular $n$-cylinder $f$ of $Y$ for a function $f\left(x_{0}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.x_{n}, t\right) \in Y$ defined for $x_{0}+x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}=1, \min _{0 \leq i \leq n} x_{i}=0$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$, with its partial $\operatorname{map} f_{1}=\left.f\right|_{t=1}$.
3. For any singular $n$-simplex $T^{\prime \prime}$ of $B$, we can select a singular $n$-simplex $T^{\prime}$ of $B$ which is compatible and homotopic with $T^{\prime}$ such that

$$
T_{*}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=b_{0} \quad \text { if }(n+2) \min _{0 \leq i \leq n} x_{i} \geq 1
$$

then, in the following, we write $M(B)$ for the minimal subcomplex whose simplexes satisfying this condition.

Let us define an $F D$-map $p_{*}^{-1}: M(B) \longrightarrow S(E)$ in dimension $\leq q$ as follows:

Let $T^{\prime}$ is a singular $n$-simplex of $M(B)$, we shall define a singular cylinder $f\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right)$ as the partial map of $T^{\prime} h_{n}^{-1}$, and in particular $f\left(T^{\prime}\right)(1)=b_{0}$ if $n=0$.

Then, by the covering homotopy theorem, we have a singular cylinder $\bar{f}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ of $E$ such as $p \bar{f}\left(T^{\prime}\right)=f\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ in dimension $\leq q$ inductively. Especially, we choose $f\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ to be the collapsed one if $T^{\prime}$ is collapsed.

Now, the partial map $\bar{f}\left(T^{\prime}\right)_{1}$ induces an element of $\pi_{n-1}(F)$, and if $n \leq q$, by our original assumption, we can extend the map $\bar{f}\left(T^{\prime}\right)_{1}$ over the upper base of the prism. Especially, we choose a collapsed singular $n$-simplex as this extension if $T^{\prime}$ is collapsed.

If we combine the singular cylinder $\bar{f}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ with this extension, we have a map $\bar{f}_{*}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ of the face of the ( $n+1$ )-prism excepting the lower base into the space $E$, consequently we have a singular $n$-simplex of $S(\boldsymbol{E})$, denoted by $p_{*}^{-1}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$, as $p_{*}^{-1}\left(T^{\prime}\right)=\bar{f}_{*}\left(T^{\prime}\right) h_{n}$. Thus $p p_{*}^{-1}\left(T^{\prime}\right)=T^{\prime}$.

This map $p_{*}^{-1}$ induces a map of the minimal complex $M(B)$ isomorphically onto a minimal complex $M(E)$ of $E$ in dimension $<q$ since the projection $p$ satisfies the condition (2) (iii). And, for each $q$-simplex $T_{q}^{\prime}$ of $M(B)$ there is at least one $q$-simplex $T_{q}$ of $M(E)$ such that $p T_{q}=T_{q}^{\prime}$. Any two such simplexes $T_{q}$ are compatible. One of these simplexes $T_{q}$ will be selected and denoted by $p_{*}^{-1}\left(T_{q}^{\prime}\right)$. Thus $p p_{*}^{-1}\left(T_{q}^{\prime}\right)=T_{q}^{\prime}$. For the collapsed $q$-simplex $T_{q}^{\prime}$, we choose $p_{*}^{-1}\left(\underline{T}_{q}^{\prime}\right)$ to be the collapsed $q$-simplex in $M(E)$.

On the other hand, for any singular $n$-simplex $T_{n}$ of $M(E)$, we shall define a singular $n$-simplex $T_{n}^{\prime}=p T_{n}$ and an element $\psi_{n}$ $=\psi\left(T_{n}\right)$ of $F_{n}\left(\pi_{q}, q\right)^{4)}$ such as

$$
\psi_{n}(\beta)=d\left(p_{*}^{-1}\left(p T_{n}\right)_{\beta}, T_{n \cdot \beta}\right) \quad \text { for any element } \beta \text { of } K_{q}(n),
$$

and, we have an $F D$-map

$$
p_{*}: M(E) \longrightarrow M(B) \times F\left(\pi_{q}, q\right) .
$$

If we attempt to continue the definition of $p_{*}^{-1}$ for $(q+1)$ simplexes $T_{q+1}^{\prime}$ of $M(B)$, we can only go as far as to define a map $\bar{f}\left(T_{q+1}\right)_{1}$ and we have a cochain $\bar{k}_{q-1}$ defined by

$$
\bar{k}_{q-1}\left(T_{\imath+1}^{\prime}\right)=c\left(\bar{f}\left(T_{\imath+1}^{\prime}\right)_{1}\right)
$$

Now, each element $\left(T_{n}^{\prime}, \psi_{n}\right)$ in the image of $p_{*}$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{q+1}(-1)^{i} \psi_{n}\left(\gamma \varepsilon_{q+1}^{i}\right)+\bar{k}_{q-1}\left(T_{n \cdot \gamma}^{\prime}\right)=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any element $\gamma$ of $K_{q+1}(n)$. Conversely, for any element ( $T_{n}^{\prime}, \psi_{n}$ ) of the cartesian product $M(B) \times F\left(\pi_{q}, q\right)$ satisfying the condition (3), there exists a unique singular simplex of $M(E)$, denoted by $p_{*}^{-1}$ $\left(T_{n}^{\prime}, \psi_{n}\right) .{ }^{5} \quad$ Thus $p_{*} p_{*}^{-1}\left(T_{n}^{\prime}, \psi_{n}\right)=\left(T_{n}^{\prime}, \psi_{n}\right)$.

It is obvious that $\bar{k}_{q-1}$ is a cocycle of $Z^{q+1}\left(B ; \pi_{q}\right)$ and its cohomology class $\overleftarrow{\boldsymbol{k}}_{q-1}$ is uniquely determined only by the fiber space $(E, p, B)$. And, if $E$ is of the same homotopy type with $X$ in $\mathbf{1}, \overline{\boldsymbol{k}}_{q-1}$ is a geometrical realization of the Eilenberg-MacLane invariant $\boldsymbol{k}_{n}^{q+1}$ associated with our fiber space.
4. Consider in our fiber space the transgression

$$
\tau=p^{*-1} \delta^{*}: H^{q}\left(F ; \pi_{q}\right) \longrightarrow H^{q+1}\left(B ; \pi_{q}\right),
$$

where $\delta^{*}: H^{q}\left(\boldsymbol{F} ; \pi_{q}\right) \longrightarrow H^{q+1}\left(E, F ; \pi_{q}\right)$ is the coboundary homomorphism, and $p^{*}: H^{q+1}\left(B ; \pi_{q}\right) \longrightarrow H^{q+1}\left(E, F ; \pi_{q}\right)$ is the isomorphism induced by $p$.

Since any ( $q-1$ )-dimensional face of any singular $q$-simplex of $M(E) \cap S(F)$ is collapsed, the basic cohomology class $\boldsymbol{b} \in H^{q}\left(F ; \pi_{q}\right)$ is represented by a cocycle $b$ which is defined as

$$
b\left(T_{q}\right)=d\left(p_{*}^{-1} p T_{q}, T_{q}\right)=\psi\left(T_{q}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{q}\right)
$$

for any $T_{q}$ of $M(E) \cap S(F)$.
Let us define a cochain $u$ of $C^{q}\left(E, F ; \pi_{q}\right)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
u\left(T_{q}\right) & =\psi\left(T_{q}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{q}\right) & & \text { if } T_{q} \in M(E)-S(F) \\
& =0 & & \text { if } T_{q} \in M(E) \cap S(F) .
\end{aligned}
$$

[^1]The coboundary homomorphism

$$
\delta^{\#}: Z^{q}\left(F ; \pi_{q}\right) \longrightarrow Z^{q+1}\left(E, F ; \pi_{q}\right)
$$

is calculated as follows:

$$
\delta^{\#} v\left(T_{q+1}\right)=\sum_{i \in I}(-1)^{i} v\left(T_{q+1}^{(i)}\right)
$$

for any cocycle $v \in Z^{q}\left(F ; \pi_{q}\right)$ and for any singular ( $q+1$ )-simplex $T_{q+1} \in M(E)$ where $I=\left\{i ; 0 \leq i \leq q+1\right.$ and $\left.T_{q+1}^{(i)} \in S(F)\right\}$.

Then, it follows from (3) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& p^{\#} \bar{k}_{q-1}\left(T_{q+1}\right)+\delta^{\#} b\left(T_{q+1}\right)+\delta_{r} u\left(T_{q+1}\right)  \tag{4}\\
& \quad=\bar{k}_{q-1}\left(p T_{q+1}\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{q+1}(-1)^{i} \psi\left(T_{q+1}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{q+1}^{i}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $p^{\#}: Z^{q+1}\left(B ; \pi_{q}\right) \longrightarrow Z^{q+1}\left(E, F ; \pi_{q}\right)$ is the homomorphism induced by $p$, and $\delta_{r}: C^{q}\left(E, F ; \pi_{q}\right) \longrightarrow C^{q+1}\left(E, F ; \pi_{q}\right)$ is the relative coboundary homomorphism.

The similar relation as (1) about the Postnikov invariant can be proved as the immediate consequence of (4).
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