

3. Notes on the Riemann-Sum

By Shigeru TAKAHASHI

Department of Mathematics, Kanazawa University, Japan

(Comm. by Z. SUETUNA, M.J.A., Jan. 12, 1955)

§ 1. Let $\{t_i(w)\}$ $i=1, 2, \dots$ be a sequence of independent random variables in a probability space (Ω, B, P) and each $t_i(w)$ has the uniform distribution in $[0, 1]$, that is

$$(1.1) \quad F(x) = P(t_i(w) < x)$$

which is 1, x , or 0 according as $x > 1$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$ or $x < 0$. For each w , let $t_i^{(n)}(w)$ denote the i -th value of $\{t_j(w)\}$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) arranged in the increasing order of magnitude and let

$$(1.2) \quad t_0^{(n)}(w) \equiv 0, \quad t_{n+1}^{(n)}(w) \equiv 1, \quad (n=1, 2, \dots).$$

Further let $f(t)$ ($-\infty < t < +\infty$) be a Borel-measurable function with period 1 and belong to $L_1(0, 1)$.

Professor Kiyoshi Ito has recently proposed the problem: Does

$$(1.3) \quad S_n(w) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i^{(n)}(w))(t_i^{(n)}(w) - t_{i-1}^{(n)}(w))$$

converge to $\int_0^1 f(t) dt$ in any sense?

In this note, we consider the following translated Riemann-sum

$$(1.4) \quad S_n(w, s) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i^{(n)}(w) + s)(t_i^{(n)}(w) - t_{i-1}^{(n)}(w))$$

and prove the following

Theorem 1. Let $f(t)$ be $L_2(0, 1)$ -integrable and for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(1.5) \quad \left(\int_0^1 |f(t+h) - f(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} = O\left(1 \left| \log \frac{1}{|h|} \right|^{1+\varepsilon}\right) \quad (|h| \rightarrow 0).$$

Then for any fixed s , we have

$$P\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(w, s) = \int_0^1 f(t) dt\right) = 1.$$

Remark. The w -set on which $S_n(w, s) \rightarrow \int_0^1 f(t) dt$ depends on s .

Theorem 2. Let $f(t)$ be $L_1(0, 1)$ -integrable and for an $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(1.6) \quad \int_0^1 |f(t+h) - f(t)| dt = O\left(1 \left| \log \frac{1}{|h|} \right|^{1+\varepsilon}\right) \quad (|h| \rightarrow 0).$$

Then for any fixed w , except a w -set of probability zero, there exists a set $M_w \subset [0, 1]$ with measure 1 such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(w, s) = \int_0^1 f(t) dt \quad (s \in M_w).$$

§ 2. By (1.1) and the independency of $\{t_i(w)\}$, it may be seen that

$$(2.1) \quad P\left(\bigcup_{m \neq n} (t_m = t_n)\right) = 0.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$P(t_n(w) = t_n^{(n)}(w)) = P(\sum_{\text{permutations}} [t_{v_1} < t_{v_2} < \dots < t_{v_{n-1}} < t_n]),$$

where $\sum_{\text{permutations}}$ denotes the summation over all permutations of $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1})$ and v_i denotes an integer between 1 and $(n-1)$ such that $v_i \neq v_j$ if $i \neq j$.

For different permutations of $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1})$ the corresponding sets $[t_{v_1} < t_{v_2} < \dots < t_{v_{n-1}} < t_n]$ are disjoint with each other and by the definitions of $\{t_i(w)\}$ ($1 \leq i$), we have for any permutation of $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1})$

$$P(t_{v_1} < t_{v_2} < \dots < t_{v_{n-1}} < t_n) = \int_0^1 dx_n \int_0^{x_n} dx_{n-1} \int_0^{x_{n-1}} dx_{n-2} \dots \int_0^{x_2} dx_1 = \frac{1}{n!}.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad P(t_n(w) = t_n^{(n)}(w)) = 1/n.$$

Let us put, for $i \leq n$,

$$(2.3) \quad d_{i,n}(w) = t_{j+1}^{(n)}(w) - t_i(w), \quad \text{if } t_i(w) = t_j^{(n)}(w) \quad (j=1, 2, \dots, n)$$

and

$$(2.3') \quad d'_{i,n}(w) = t_i(w) - t_{j-1}^{(n)}(w), \quad \text{if } t_i(w) = t_j^{(n)}(w) \quad (j=1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Then we can write

$$(2.4) \quad S_n(w, s) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i(w) + s) d'_{i,n}(w).$$

Lemma 1. We have, for $0 \leq h \leq 1$,

$$P(d_{i,n}(w) < h) = P(d'_{i,n}(w) < h) = 1 - (1-h)^n.$$

Proof. By the definition of $d_{i,n}(w)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(d_{i,n}(w) < h) &= P([d_{i,n}(w) < h] \cap [t_i(w) \leq 1-h]) + P(t_i(w) > 1-h) \\ &= \int_0^{1-h} P(d_{i,n}(w) < h \mid t_i(w) = x) dF(x) + h, \end{aligned}$$

where $P(E|F)$ denotes the conditional probability of E under the hypothesis F . From the independency of $\{t_i(w)\}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} P(d_{i,n}(w) < h \mid t_i(w) = x) &= P\left(\bigcup_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (x \leq t_j(w) < x+h) \mid t_i(w) = x\right) \\ &= P\left(\bigcup_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (x \leq t_j(w) < x+h)\right) \\ &= 1 - \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - P(x \leq t_j(w) < x+h)) = 1 - (1-h)^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$P(d_{i,n}(w) < h) = \int_0^{1-h} \{1 - (1-h)^{n-1}\} dF(x) + h = 1 - (1-h)^n.$$

By the same way, we can show the second relation.

From the above lemma, it may be seen that

$$(2.5) \quad P(\text{Max}_{1 \leq i \leq n} d_{i,n}(w) \geq 4 \log n/n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n P(d_{i,n}(w) \geq 4 \log n/n) = n(1 - 4 \log n/n)^n = O(1/n^3) \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty)$$

and

$$(2.5') \quad P(\text{Max}_{1 \leq i \leq n} d'_{i,n}(w) \geq 4 \log n/n) = O(1/n^3) \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty).$$

By an easy estimation, it may be seen that

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{\Omega} d'_{i,n}(w) dP = O(1/n), \quad \int_{\Omega} (d'_{i,n}(w))^2 dP = O(1/n^2) \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty).$$

Lemma 2. For every positive numbers x and y such that $x + y < 1$, we have

$$P([t_n(w) < x] \cap [d_{n,n}(w) < y]) = x\{1 - (1-y)^{n-1}\}.$$

Proof. We have, by the same way as the proof of Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned} P([t_n(w) < x] \cap [d_{n,n}(w) < y]) &= \int_0^x P([d_{n,n}(w) < y] | [t_n(w) = z]) dF(z) \\ &= \int_0^x P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} (z \leq t_i(w) < z+y) | t_n(w) = z) dF(z) \\ &= \int_0^x P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} (z \leq t_i(w) < z+y)) dF(z) \\ &= \int_0^x \{1 - (1-y)^{n-1}\} dF(z) = x\{1 - (1-y)^{n-1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma is well known.

Lemma 3. Let $\{r_{i,n}\}$ be sequences of real numbers such that

$$0 = r_{0,n} < r_{1,n} < r_{2,n} < \cdots < r_{n,n} < r_{n+1,n} = 1 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Max}_{0 \leq i \leq n} (r_{i+1,n} - r_{i,n}) = 0.$$

Then, if $g(t)$ is $L_1(0, 1)$ -integrable and periodic with period 1, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{i=1}^n g(r_{i,n} + s) (r_{i+1,n} - r_{i,n}) - \int_0^1 g(t) dt \right| ds = 0.$$

§ 3. Proof of Theorem 1. From (2.4), we obtain

$$(3.1) \quad S_n(w, s) - S_{n-1}(w, s) = d'_{n,n}(w) \{f(t_n(w) + s) - g_n(w, s)\}$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad g_n(w, s) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t_n(w) = t_n^{(n)}(w) \\ f(t_n(w) + d_{n,n}(w) + s), & \text{if } t_n(w) \neq t_n^{(n)}(w). \end{cases}$$

Since $t_n(w) + d_{n,n}(w) \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |S_n(w, s) - S_{n-1}(w, s)| dP \\ &= \int_{E_1} d'_{n,n}(w) |f(t_n(w) + s)| dP + \int_{E_2} d'_{n,n}(w) |f(t_n(w) + s) - f(t_n(w) \\ & \quad + d_{n,n}(w) + s)| dP + \int_{E_3} d'_{n,n}(w) |f(t_n(w) + s) - f(t_n(w) + d_{n,n}(w) + s)| dP \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \end{aligned}$$

where $E_1 = [t_n(w) = t_n^{(n)}(w)]$,

$$E_2 = [t_n(w) \neq t_n^{(n)}(w) \cap (t_n(w) + d_{n,n}(w) = 1)],$$

and

$$E_3 = [t_n(w) \neq t_n^{(n)}(w) \cap (t_n(w) + d_{n,n}(w) < 1)].$$

Let us put $E_4 = [d'_{n,n}(w) \geq 4 \log n/n]$.

By (2.2) and (2.5'), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &\leq \left(\int_{E_1 \cap E_4} (d'_{n,n})^2 dP \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{E_1 \cap E_4} |f(t_n(w) + s)|^2 dP \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad + \left(\int_{E_1 \cap (\Omega - E_4)} (d'_{n,n})^2 dP \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{E_2 \cap (\Omega - E_4)} |f(t_n(w) + s)|^2 dP \right)^{1/2} \\
&= O(P^{1/2}(E_4)) + O(\log n/n P^{1/2}(E_1)) = O(\log n/n^{3/2}) \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty).
\end{aligned}$$

By (1.1) and the definition of $d_{n,n}(w)$, we obtain

$$P(E_2) \leq P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} (t_j(w) = 1)\right) = 0.$$

Therefore $I_2 = 0$. By (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &\leq \left(\int_{E_3} (d'_{n,n})^2 dP \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{E_3} |f(t_n(w) + d_{n,n}(w) + s) - f(t_n(w) + s)|^2 dP \right)^{1/2} \\
&= O(1/n) \left(\int_{E_3} |f(t_n(w) + d_{n,n}(w) + s) - f(t_n(w) + s)|^2 dP \right)^{1/2} \equiv O(1/n) \cdot I'_3,
\end{aligned}$$

say. From Lemma 2 and the definition of E_3 , it is easily seen that

$$I'_3 = \left\{ \int_D \int |f(x+y+s) - f(x+s)|^2 (n-1)(1-y)^{n-2} dx dy \right\}^{1/2}$$

where D denotes the domain ($0 \leq x < 1$, $x+y < 1$ and $0 \leq y$). Hence we have, by (1.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
I'_3 &= \left\{ \int_0^1 (n-1)(1-y)^{n-2} dy \int_0^{1-y} |f(x+y+s) - f(x+s)|^2 dx \right\}^{1/2} \\
&= \left\{ \int_0^{\log(n-1)/(n-1)} (n-1)(1-y)^{n-2} dy \int_0^{1-y} |f(x+y+s) - f(x+s)|^2 dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\log(n-1)/n-1}^1 (n-1)(1-y)^{n-2} dy \int_0^{1-y} |f(x+y+s) - f(x+s)|^2 dx \right\}^{1/2} \\
&= O \left\{ \frac{1 - [1 - \log(n-1)/(n-1)]^{n-1}}{(\log n)^{2+2\epsilon}} + \left(1 - \frac{\log(n-1)}{n-1}\right)^{n-1} \right\}^{1/2} = O(1/(\log n)^{1+\epsilon}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,
$$\sum_n \int_{\Omega} |S_n(w, s) - S_{n-1}(w, s)| dP < +\infty.$$

This proves that, for any fixed s ,

$$(3.3) \quad P(S_n(w, s) \text{ converges}) = 1.$$

Hence, for the Proof of Theorem 1, it is sufficient to show that

$$(3.4) \quad M_n = \int_{\Omega} |S_n(w, s) - \int_0^1 f(t) dt| dP = o(1) \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5) \quad S_n(w, s) - \int_0^1 f(t) dt &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{d'_{i,n}(w)} \{f(t_i(w) + s) - f(t_i(w) + s - u)\} du \\
&\quad + \int_0^{1 - \frac{t^{(n)}(w)}{n}} f(t_n^{(n)}(w) + s + u) du.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us put
$$E = [\text{Max}_{1 \leq i \leq n} d'_{i,n}(w) \geq 4 \log n/n].$$

Then by (2.5'), it may be seen that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.6) \quad & \int_E |S_n(w, s) - \int_0^1 f(t)dt| dP \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \int_E |f(t_i(w) + s)| dP + \int_E dP \left| \int_0^1 f(t)dt \right| \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^n P^{1/2}(E) \left(\int_0^1 |f(t_i(w) + s)|^2 dP \right)^{1/2} + P(E) \left| \int_0^1 f(t)dt \right| = O(1/n^{1/2})
\end{aligned}$$

and by (3.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.7) \quad & V_n = \int_{\Omega-E} |S_n(w, s) - \int_0^1 f(t)dt|^2 dP \\
& \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega-E} |S_n(w, s) - \int_0^1 f(t)dt| dP \int_0^{d_{i,n}^{(w)}} |f(t_i(w) + s) - f(t_i(w) + s - u)| du \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{\Omega-E} |S_n(w, s) - \int_0^1 f(t)dt| dP \int_0^{1-t_n^{(w)}} |f(t_n^{(w)}(w) + u + s)| du \right) \\
& \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n V_{i,n} + V'_n,
\end{aligned}$$

say. By (1.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad & V_{i,n} \leq \int_{\Omega-E} |S_n(w, s) - \int_0^1 f(t)dt| dP \int_0^{d_{i,n}^{(w)}} |f(t_i(w) + s) - f(t_i(w) + s - u)| du \\
& = \int_0^{d_{i,n}^{(w)}} du \int_{\Omega-E} |S_n(w, s) - \int_0^1 f(t)dt| |f(t_i(w) + s) - f(t_i(w) + s - u)| dP \\
& \leq \int_0^{d_{i,n}^{(w)}} du \left(\int_{\Omega-E} |f(t_i(w) + s) - f(t_i(w) + s - u)|^2 dP \right)^{1/2} V_n^{1/2} \\
& = O(V_n^{1/2}/n(\log n)^\varepsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

By the definitions of $t_n^{(w)}$ and $d_{i,n}(w)$, it is seen that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.9) \quad & V'_n = O\left(\int_{\Omega-E} |S_n(w, s) - \int_0^1 f(t)dt| |1 - t_n^{(w)}|^{1/2} dP \right) \\
& = O\left[V_n \left(\int_{\Omega-E} |1 - t_n^{(w)}| dP \right)^{1/2} \right] \\
& = O\left(V_n \left(\int_{1-t_n^{(w)} \geq 4 \log n/n} (1 - t_n^{(w)}) dP + \int_{1-t_n^{(w)} < 4 \log n/n} (1 - t_n^{(w)}) dP \right) \right)^{1/2} = O\left(\frac{V_n^{1/2} (\log n)^{1/2}}{n^{1/2}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9), we get

$$(3.10) \quad V_n^{1/2} = O(1/(\log n)^\varepsilon) \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty).$$

By (3.6), (3.7) and (3.10), it follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} |S_n(w, s) - \int_0^1 f(t)dt| dP = O(n^{-1/2}) + O(1/(\log n)^\varepsilon) = o(1) \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty).$$

§ 4. Proof of Theorem 2. From (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} dP \int_0^1 |S_n(w, s) - S_{n-1}(w, s)| ds \\
& = \int_{t_n^{(w)} \neq t_n} d'_{n,n} dP \int_0^1 |f(t_n(w) + s) - f(t_n(w) + d_{n,n}(w) + s)| ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \int_{\substack{t^{(n)} \\ n - t^{(n)}}} d'_{n,n} dP \int_0^1 |f(t_n(w) + s)| ds \equiv J_1 + J_2 \equiv J'_1 + J''_1 + J_2.$$

Let us put

$F_1 = [t_n(w) \neq t^{(n)}(w)]$, $F_2 = [d_{n,n}(w) \geq 4 \log n/n]$, $F_3 = [d'_{n,n}(w) \geq 4 \log n/n]$.
Then we have, by (1.6), (2.5) and (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned} J'_1 &= \int_{F_1 \cap F_2} d'_{n,n} dP \int_0^1 |f(t_n(w) + s) - f(t_n(w) + d_{n,n}(w) + s)| ds \\ &\leq \left(2 \int_0^1 |f(t)| dt \right) P(F_2) = O(1/n^3) \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J''_1 &= \int_{F_1 \cap (\Omega - F_2)} d'_{n,n} dP \int_0^1 |f(t_n(w) + s) - f(t_n(w) + d_{n,n}(w) + s)| ds \\ &= \left(\int_{F_1 \cap (\Omega - F_2)} d'_{n,n} dP \right) O(1/(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}) \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}} \int_{\Omega} d'_{n,n} dP \right) = O(1/n(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}) \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty). \end{aligned}$$

Also by (2.2) and (2.5'),

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= \int_{\Omega - F_1} d'_{n,n} dP \int_0^1 |f(t_n(w) + s) - f(t_n(w) + d_{n,n}(w) + s)| ds \\ &\leq \left(2 \int_0^1 |f(t)| dt \right) \left(\int_{F_3 \cap (\Omega - F_1)} d'_{n,n} dP + \int_{(\Omega - F_3) \cap (\Omega - F_1)} d'_{n,n} dP \right) \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{n^3} + \frac{4 \log n}{n} P(\Omega - F_1) \right) = O(\log n/n^2) \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\sum_n \int_{\Omega} dP \int_0^1 |S_n(w, s) - S_{n-1}(w, s)| ds < +\infty$$

and this results for any fixed w , except a w -set of probability zero,

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(w, s) = S(w, s)$$

exists for almost all s , but the s -set depends on w .

On the other hand, by (2.5) and (2.5'), we have

$$P([\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Max}_{1 \leq i \leq n} d_{i,n}(w) = 0] \cap [\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Max}_{1 \leq i \leq n} d'_{i,n}(w) = 0]) = 1$$

and hence, by Lemma 3, it is seen that

$$(4.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \left| S_n(w, s) - \int_0^1 f(t) dt \right| ds = 0$$

holds for any fixed w except a w -set of probability zero. Using the Fatou's Lemma, we obtain, from (4.1) and (4.2),

$$(4.3) \quad \int_0^1 \left| S(w, s) - \int_0^1 f(t) dt \right| ds = 0$$

which proves the theorem.