

## 114. On $B$ -covers and the Notion of Independence in Lattices

By Yataro MATSUSHIMA

Gunma University, Maebashi

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Oct. 12, 1957)

Introduction. In [3], L. M. Kelley has introduced the concept of  $B$ -covers as metric-between in a normed lattice. We have extended this notion to the case of general lattices in [4] and studied the geometries in lattices by means of  $B$ -covers and  $B^*$ -covers in [5]. In the first section of this paper we shall show that the relation "relative modularity" or "relative independence" which is derived from Wilcox [1] has a close connection with the  $J$ -cover or the  $CJ$ -cover which is a part of the  $B$ -cover in lattices. In the second section we shall consider the relations between the  $B$ -covers and independent sets in lattices.

For any two elements  $a, b$  of a lattice  $L$ , we shall define as follows.

$J(a, b) = \{x \mid (a \wedge x) \vee (b \wedge x) = x, x \in L\}$ ,  $CJ(a, b) = \{x \mid (a \vee x) \wedge (b \vee x) = x, x \in L\}$ .  $J(a, b)$  is called the  $J$ -cover of  $a$  and  $b$ , and if  $x \in J(a, b)$ , then we shall write  $J(axb)$ . Similarly we shall define  $CJ$ -cover and  $CJ(axb)$ .

$B(a, b) = J(a, b) \wedge CJ(a, b)$  is called the  $B$ -cover of  $a$  and  $b$  and we shall write  $axb$  when  $x \in B(a, b)$  (cf. [4, 5]).

1. Relative modular pairs and  $J$ -covers ( $CJ$ -covers). Following L. R. Wilcox [1],  $(a, b)$  is called a modular pair when  $x \leq b$  implies  $(x \vee a) \wedge b = x \vee (a \wedge b)$ , and in this case we write  $(a, b)M$ . In [5] we defined a relative modular pair  $(a, b)M^*$  to be a pair  $(a, b)$  such that  $a \wedge b \leq x \leq b$  implies  $(x \vee a) \wedge b = x \vee (a \wedge b)$ .

$B$ -covers treat "between" in lattices (cf. [4, 5]), while  $J$ -covers and  $CJ$ -covers may be considered as describing "semi-between" in lattices.

In the following  $L$  is always assumed to be a lattice.

Lemma 1.1. *The following statements are equivalent in case  $b' \leq b$ :*

- (a)  $(b' \vee a) \wedge b = b' \vee (a \wedge b) = b$ .  $((b' \vee a) \wedge b = b' \vee (a \wedge b) = b')$ .
- (b)  $J(abb')$  ( $CJ(ab'b)$ ).

Proof. If  $(b' \vee a) \wedge b = b' \vee (a \wedge b) = b$ , then we have  $(a \wedge b) \vee (b \wedge b') = (a \wedge b) \vee b' = b$ , that is  $J(abb')$ . Conversely if  $J(abb')$ , then we have  $b = (a \wedge b) \vee (b \wedge b') \leq b \wedge (a \vee b') \leq b$ , and hence we have  $(b' \vee a) \wedge b = b = b' \vee (a \wedge b)$ . Similarly we can treat the other case.

Theorem 1.1. *If  $J(abb')$  (resp.  $CJ(ab'b)$ ) holds for any  $b'$  with  $b' \leq b$  then we have  $(a, b)M$ .*

**Proof.** It is obvious from Lemma 1.1.

**Remark.**  $(a, b)M$  does not necessarily imply that either  $J(abb')$  or  $CJ(ab'b)$  holds for any  $b' \leq b$ .

Indeed if  $b \geq a \geq b'$ , then  $(a, b)M$  but neither  $J(abb')$  nor  $CJ(ab'b)$  since  $(a \wedge b) \vee (b \wedge b') = a \vee b' = a$ ,  $(a \vee b') \wedge (b' \vee b) = a \wedge b = a$ .

**Corollary 1.1.** For  $b' \leq b$ ,  $bab'$  implies  $(b' \vee a) \wedge b = b' \vee (a \wedge b) = a$  and vice versa.

**Proof.** If  $bab'$ , then we have  $b \wedge b' \leq a \leq b \vee b'$  by [4, Lemma 1], and hence  $b' \leq a \leq b$ . Thus we have  $(b' \vee a) \wedge b = b' \vee (a \wedge b) = a$ .

Conversely if  $(b' \vee a) \wedge b = b' \vee (a \wedge b) = a$ , then we have  $b' \leq a$  from  $b' \vee (a \wedge b) = a$ , and  $a \leq b$  from  $(b' \vee a) \wedge b = a$ . Hence we have  $b' \leq a \leq b$ , thus we have  $bab'$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** For  $b' \leq b$ ,  $(b' \vee a) \wedge b = b' \vee (a \wedge b) = x$  implies  $J(axb')$  and  $CJ(axb)$ .

**Proof.** By hypothesis, we have  $b' \leq x \leq b$ , and hence  $(a \vee x) \wedge (b \vee x) = (a \vee x) \wedge b = (a \vee b' \vee (a \wedge b)) \wedge b = (a \vee b') \wedge b = x$ , that is  $CJ(axb)$ . Similarly  $(a \wedge x) \vee (b' \wedge x) = (a \wedge x) \vee b' = b' \vee (a \wedge b \wedge (b' \vee a)) = b' \vee (a \wedge b) = x$  by hypothesis; thus we have  $J(axb')$ .

**Remark.** For  $b' \leq b$ ,  $CJ(axb)$  and  $J(axb')$  do not necessarily imply  $(b' \vee a) \wedge b = b' \vee (a \wedge b) = x$ .

For instance, if  $L$  contains 9 elements  $a, b, a', b', a_1, b_1, e, f, x$  such that  $f > b > b' > b_1 > e, f > a' > a > a_1 > e, a' \wedge b = x = a_1 \vee b_1$ , then we have  $CJ(axb), J(axb')$  but  $(b' \vee a) \wedge b = b \neq x$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** If  $b' \vee (a \wedge b)$  belongs to  $CJ(a, b)$  for every  $b'$  such that  $b' \leq b$ , then we have  $(a, b)M$ .

**Proof.** We have  $(a \vee b' \vee (a \wedge b)) \wedge (b \vee b' \vee (a \wedge b)) = b' \vee (a \wedge b)$  by hypothesis, and hence  $(b' \vee a) \wedge b = b' \vee (a \wedge b)$  for  $b' \leq b$ , that is  $(a, b)M$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** If  $(b' \vee a) \wedge b$  belongs to  $J(a, b')$  for every  $b'$  such that  $b' \leq b$ , then we have  $(a, b)M$ .

**Proof.** By hypothesis, we have  $(a \wedge (b' \vee a) \wedge b) \vee (b' \wedge (b' \vee a) \wedge b) = (b' \vee a) \wedge b$ , and hence  $(a \wedge b) \vee b' = (b' \vee a) \wedge b$  for  $b' \leq b$ , that is  $(a, b)M$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** In  $L$ , the following statements are equivalent:

- (a)  $b' \vee (a \wedge b) \in CJ(a, b)$  holds for every  $b'$  with  $b' \leq b$ .
- (b)  $(b' \vee a) \wedge b \in J(a, b')$  holds for every  $b'$  with  $b' \leq b$ .
- (c)  $(a, b)M$ .

**Proof.** It follows from Lemmas 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4.

**Remark.**  $J(a, b') \ni b' \vee (a \wedge b)$  for any  $b'$  with  $b' \leq b$  does not necessarily imply  $(a, b)M$ .

For if  $L$  contains 5 elements  $a, b, b', e, f$  such that  $f > b > b' > e, f > a > e, a \vee b = a \vee b' = f, a \wedge b = a \wedge b' = e$ , then  $b' \vee (a \wedge b) = b'$  belongs to  $J(a, b')$ , but  $(a, b)M$  does not hold.

**Theorem 1.3.** If every element  $b'$  such that  $a \wedge b \leq b' \leq b$  belongs to  $CJ(a, b)$ , then we have  $(a, b)M^*$  and vice versa.

Proof. We have  $(b' \cup a) \cap b = (b' \cup a) \cap (b' \cup b) = b'$  by  $CJ(ab'b)$ , and hence  $b' \cap (a \cup b) = (b' \cup a) \cap b$  for  $a \cap b \leq b' \leq b$ , thus we have  $(a, b)M^*$ . Conversely if  $(a, b)M^*$ , then  $(a \cup b') \cap (b \cup b') = (a \cup b') \cap b = b' \cup (a \cap b) = b'$  for  $a \cap b \leq b' \leq b$ , hence we have  $CJ(ab'b)$ .

Theorem 1.4. In  $L$ ,  $(a, b)M$  is equivalent to  $(a, b)M^*$ .

Proof. Since  $(a, b)M$  implies  $(a, b)M^*$ , we have only to prove that  $(a, b)M^*$  implies  $(a, b)M$ . Assume that  $CJ(a, b)$  contains every  $b'$  such that  $a \cap b \leq b' \leq b$ ; then  $b'' \cup (a \cap b)$  belongs to  $CJ(a, b)$  for any  $b'' \leq b$  since  $a \cap b \leq b'' \cup (a \cap b) \leq b$ . Accordingly we have  $(a, b)M$  by Lemma 1.3.

Theorem 1.4 is obtained in ②, (2), § 4 in [5].

2. Independence. In this section we shall use the notations and lemmas obtained by L. R. Wilcox [1] and G. Birkhoff [2].

Definition.  $(a, b) \perp$  means that  $a \cap b = 0$ ,  $(a, b)M$ .

Definition. We write  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \perp$  if  $(\sum_{i \in S} (a_i; i \in S), \sum_{i \in T} (a_i; i \in T)) \perp$  for every  $S, T \subset [1, 2, \dots, n]$  for which  $j \in S, k \in T$  implies  $j < k$ .

Lemma 2.1. If  $(a, b) \perp$ ,  $a' \leq a$ ,  $b' \leq b$  imply  $(a', b') \perp$ .

Lemma 2.2. If  $(a, b)M$  and  $(c, a \cup b)M$ ,  $c \cap (a \cup b) \leq a$ , then  $(c \cup a, b)M$  and  $(c \cup a) \cap b = a \cap b$ .

Lemma 2.3. If  $(a, b)M$  and  $c \leq b$ , then  $(c \cup a, b)M$ .

Lemma 2.4. Let  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  be given.

Then  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \perp$  if and only if  $(a_i, a_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup a_n) \perp$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ .

Definition. We write  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \perp_S$  if  $(a_{j_1}, a_{j_2}, \dots, a_{j_n}) \perp$  for every permutation  $i \rightarrow j_i$  of the set of integers  $[1, 2, \dots, n]$ .

Lemma 2.5. A lattice of finite length is semi-modular if and only if the relation of modularity between pairs of elements of  $L$  is symmetric.

Lemma 2.6. Let  $L$  be a semi-modular lattice of finite length; then  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \perp$  implies  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \perp_S$ .

Now we shall define relative independence; we shall write  $(a, b) \perp_p$  if  $a \cap b = p$ ,  $(a, b)M^*$ . Then we have the next theorem.

Theorem 2.1

(a)  $(a, b) \perp_p$ ,  $p \leq a' \leq a$ ,  $p \leq b' \leq b$  imply  $(a', b') \perp_p$ .

(b)  $(a, b) \perp_p$ ,  $(c, a \cup b) \perp_q$ ,  $q \leq a$  imply  $(c \cup a, b) \perp_p$ .

(c)  $(a, b) \perp_p$ ,  $p \leq c \leq b$  imply  $(c \cup a, b) \perp_c$ .

(d)  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \perp_p$  is equivalent to  $(a_i, a_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup a_n) \perp_p$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ .

Proof. Since  $(a, b)M$  is equivalent to  $(a, b)M^*$  by Theorem 1.4, we can easily prove this theorem by means of techniques similar to those of Wilcox [1].

Now we shall study the relations between the  $B$ -covers and independent sets in a lattice  $L$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *In a lattice  $L$ , let  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \perp$ ; then  $x = a_{k_1} \cup a_{k_2} \cup \dots \cup a_{k_t}$  belongs to  $B(a_i, a_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup a_n)$ , where  $k_t$  is an integer such that  $i \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_t \leq n$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ .*

**Proof.** (1) In case  $k_1 = i$ , since  $a_{k_2} \cup a_{k_3} \cup \dots \cup a_{k_t} \leq a_{i+1} \cup a_{i+2} \cup \dots \cup a_n$ , we have  $(a_i \cap x) \cap ((a_{i+1} \cup a_{i+2} \cup \dots \cup a_n) \cap x) = a_i \cap ((a_{i+1} \cup a_{i+2} \cup \dots \cup a_n) \cap (a_i \cup a_{k_2} \cup \dots \cup a_{k_t})) = a_i \cap (a_{k_2} \cup \dots \cup a_{k_t}) \cap (a_i \cap (a_{i+1} \cup a_{i+2} \cup \dots \cup a_n)) = a_i \cap (a_{k_2} \cup \dots \cup a_{k_t}) = x$  by  $(a_i, a_{i+1} \cup a_{i+2} \cup \dots \cup a_n)M$  and  $a_i \cap (a_{i+1} \cup a_{i+2} \cup \dots \cup a_n) = 0$ . Furthermore we have  $(a_i \cup x) \cap (a_{i+1} \cup a_{i+2} \cup \dots \cup a_n \cup x) = x$ , since  $a_i \leq x$ . Thus  $x$  belongs to  $B(a_i, a_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup a_n)$ .

(2) In case  $k_1 > i$ , we have  $a_i \cap x = 0$  since  $a_i \cap x \leq a_i \cap (a_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup a_n) = 0$  by hypothesis. Hence we have  $(a_i \cap x) \cap ((a_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup a_n) \cap x) = x$  from  $x \leq a_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup a_n$ .

On the other hand,  $(a_i \cup x) \cap (a_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup a_n \cup x) = a_i \cup a_{k_1} \cup a_{k_2} \cup \dots \cup a_{k_t} \cap (a_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup a_n) = x \cap (a_i \cap (a_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup a_n)) = x$  by  $(a_i, a_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup a_n) \perp$ .

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $L$  be a finite semi-modular lattice. If  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \perp$ , then  $B(a_i, a_1 \cup a_2 \cup \dots \cup a_{i-1} \cup a_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup a_n)$  contains  $x = a_{k_1} \cup a_{k_2} \cup \dots \cup a_{k_t}$ , where  $k_t$  is an integer such that  $1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_t \leq n$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .*

**Proof.** This is proved from Lemma 2.6 and Theorem 2.2.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \perp$ . Then we have*

(a)  $B(a_1, a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n) \supset B(a_2, a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n) \supset \dots \supset B(a_{n-1}, a_n)$  in any lattice;

(b)  $B(a_1, a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n) \supset B(a_1, a_2)$ ,  $B(a_1, a_3 \cup a_4 \cup \dots \cup a_n)$  etc. in a finite semi-modular lattice.

**Proof.** (a) If we take  $x$  from  $B(a_2, a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n)$ , then we have  $0 \leq x \leq a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n$  by  $(a_2, a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n) \perp$  and [4, Lemma 1]. Hence by  $(a_1, a_2 \cup \dots \cup a_n)M$  we have  $(a_1 \cap x) \cap (a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n) = x \cap (a_1 \cap (a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n)) = x$  since  $a_1 \cap (a_2 \cup \dots \cup a_n) = 0$ . Furthermore  $(a_1 \cap x) \cap ((a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n) \cap x) = (a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n) \cap x = x$  from  $a_1 \cap x \leq a_1 \cap (a_2 \cup \dots \cup a_n) = 0$ . Hence  $B(a_1, a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n)$  contains  $x$ , that is,  $B(a_1, a_2 \cup \dots \cup a_n) \supset B(a_2, a_3 \cup a_4 \cup \dots \cup a_n)$ . Similarly we can treat the other cases.

(b) If we take  $x$  from  $B(a_1, a_3 \cup a_4 \cup \dots \cup a_n)$ , then we have  $0 \leq x \leq a_1 \cup a_3 \cup a_4 \cup \dots \cup a_n$  by [4, Lemma 1] and  $(a_1, a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n) \perp$ . Now by Lemma 2.6 we have  $(a_2, a_1 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n) \perp$ , and hence we have  $(a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n, a_1 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n)M$  by Lemma 2.3.

Hence we have  $P = (a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n \cup x) \cap (a_1 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n) = x \cap ((a_1 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n) \cap (a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n))$  from  $(a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n, a_1 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n)M$ . But  $(a_1 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n) \cap (a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n) = a_3 \cup a_4 \cup \dots \cup a_n$  from  $(a_2, a_1 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n) \perp$ . Hence  $P = x \cup a_3 \cup a_4 \cup \dots \cup a_n$ .

Accordingly we have  $(a_1 \cap x) \cap (a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n \cup x) = (a_1 \cap x) \cap (a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n \cup x) \cap (a_1 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n) = (a_1 \cap x) \cap P = (a_1 \cap x) \cap (a_3 \cup a_4 \cup \dots$

$\cup a_n \cup x) = x$  by  $x \in B(a_1, a_3 \cup a_4 \cup \dots \cup a_n)$ .

On the other hand, we have  $x = (a_1 \wedge x) \cup ((a_3 \cup a_4 \cup \dots \cup a_n) \wedge x) \leq (a_1 \wedge x) \cup ((a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n) \wedge x) \leq x$ , and hence we have  $(a_1 \wedge x) \cup ((a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n) \wedge x) = x$ . Hence  $x$  belongs to  $B(a_1, a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n)$ , that is,  $B(a_1, a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n) \supset B(a_1, a_3 \cup a_4 \cup \dots \cup a_n)$ . Similarly we can treat the other cases.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \perp$ . Then we have*

(a)  $J(a_1, a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n) \supset J(a'_1, a'_2 \cup \dots \cup a'_n)$ ,  $CJ(a_1, a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n) \subset CJ(a'_1, a'_2 \cup \dots \cup a'_n)$  in any lattice,

(b)  $B(a_1, a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n) \supset B(a'_1, a'_2 \cup \dots \cup a'_n)$  in a semi-modular lattice of finite length, where  $0 \leq a'_i \leq a_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

**Proof.** (a) If we take  $x$  from  $J(a'_1, a'_2 \cup \dots \cup a'_n)$ , then we have  $x = (a'_1 \wedge x) \cup ((a'_2 \cup a'_3 \cup \dots \cup a'_n) \wedge x) \leq (a_1 \wedge x) \cup ((a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n) \wedge x) \leq x$ . Hence  $(a_1 \wedge x) \cup ((a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n) \wedge x) = x$ , and  $x$  belongs to  $J(a_1, a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n)$ . Thus we have  $J(a_1, a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n) \supset J(a'_1, a'_2 \cup \dots \cup a'_n)$ . Dually we have the other relation.

(b) If we take  $x$  from  $B(a'_1, a'_2 \cup \dots \cup a'_n)$ , then we have  $0 \leq x \leq a'_1 \cup a'_2 \cup \dots \cup a'_n$  by [4, Lemma 1] and Lemma 2.1. Since  $a_1 \cup x \leq a_1 \cup a'_2 \cup \dots \cup a'_n$ ,  $x \cup a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n \leq a'_1 \cup a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n$ , we have  $(a_1 \cup x) \wedge (a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n \cup x) = (a_1 \cup x) \wedge (a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n \cup x) \wedge (a_1 \cup a'_2 \cup a'_3 \cup \dots \cup a'_n) \wedge (a'_1 \cup a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n)$ .

Now we have  $(a_1 \cup a'_2 \cup a'_3 \cup \dots \cup a'_n, a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n)M$  by Lemma 2.3, and hence  $(a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n, a_1 \cup a'_2 \cup \dots \cup a'_n)M$  by semi-modularity. Hence we have  $(a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n \cup x) \wedge (a_1 \cup a'_2 \cup a'_3 \cup \dots \cup a'_n) = x \cup ((a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n) \wedge (a_1 \cup a'_2 \cup \dots \cup a'_n)) = x \cup a'_2 \cup a'_3 \cup \dots \cup a'_n$  since  $(a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n) \wedge (a_1 \cup a'_2 \cup \dots \cup a'_n) = a'_2 \cup \dots \cup a'_n$  by  $(a_1, a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n) \perp$ . In the same way we have  $(a_1 \cup x) \wedge (a'_1 \cup a_2 \cup \dots \cup a_n) = x \cup a'_1$  since  $a_1 \wedge (a'_1 \cup a_2 \cup \dots \cup a_n) = a'_1$  by  $(a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n, a_1) \perp$ .

Accordingly we have  $(a_1 \cup x) \wedge (a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n \cup x) = (a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n \cup x) \wedge (a_1 \cup a'_2 \cup a'_3 \cup \dots \cup a'_n) \wedge (a_1 \cup x) \wedge (a'_1 \cup a_2 \cup \dots \cup a_n) = (a'_1 \cup x) \wedge (x \cup a'_2 \cup a'_3 \cup \dots \cup a'_n) = x$  by hypothesis. Furthermore, from the proof of (a), we have  $(a_1 \wedge x) \cup ((a_2 \cup a_3 \cup \dots \cup a_n) \wedge x) = x$ . This completes the proof of (b).

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $L$  be a lattice with 0, and if  $CJ(a_i, a_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup a_n) = L$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ , then  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \perp$ .*

**Proof.** (1) If we take  $x$  from  $L$ , then from  $x \in CJ(a_i, a_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup a_n)$  we have  $(a_i \cup x) \wedge (a_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup a_n \cup x) = x$ , hence  $a_i \wedge (a_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup a_n) \leq x$  for any  $x \in L$ . But  $L$  contains 0, then  $a_i \wedge (a_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup a_n) = 0$ .

(2) Take  $x'$  such that  $x' \leq a_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup a_n$  in  $L$ , then we have by hypothesis  $x' = (a_i \cup x') \wedge (a_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup a_n \cup x') = (a_i \cup x') \wedge (a_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup a_n)$ . On the other hand, we have  $x' \cup (a_i \wedge (a_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup a_n)) = x'$  from  $a_i \wedge (a_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup a_n) = 0$ .

Accordingly we have  $(a_i, a_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup a_n)M$ . From (1), (2) we have

$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \perp$ .

**Definition.** We write  $(a, S) \perp$  if  $(a, x) \perp$  (i.e.  $a \wedge x = 0$  and  $(a, x)M$ ) for all  $x \in S$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** *In a lattice, the following statements are equivalent.*

- (a)  $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \perp$ ,
- (b)  $(a_1, J(a_2, a_3)) \perp, (a_2, J(a_3, 0)) \perp$ .

**Proof.** If  $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \perp$ , and if we take  $x$  from  $J(a_2, a_3)$ , then we have  $a_1 \wedge x = a_1 \wedge ((a_2 \wedge x) \vee (a_3 \wedge x)) \leq a_1 \wedge x \wedge (a_2 \vee a_3) = 0$ , and hence we have  $a_1 \wedge J(a_2, a_3) = 0$ . Furthermore, since  $0 \leq J(a_2, a_3) \leq a_2 \vee a_3$ , we have  $(a_1, J(a_2, a_3))M$  by Lemma 2.1. Thus we have  $(a_1, J(a_2, a_3)) \perp$ , similarly  $(a_2, J(a_3, 0)) \perp$ .

Conversely if  $(a_1, J(a_2, a_3)) \perp$ , and  $(a_2, J(a_3, 0)) \perp$ , then we have  $(a_1, a_2 \vee a_3) \perp$  and  $(a_2, a_3) \perp$  since  $a_2 \vee a_3 \in J(a_2, a_3)$ ,  $a_3 \in J(a_3, 0)$ . Thus we have  $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \perp$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** *In a lattice  $L$  with  $0$ , let  $S$  be a subset of  $L$  with the greatest element, and if  $CJ(a, S) = L$ , then we have  $(a, S) \perp$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $m$  be the greatest element of  $S$ , and if we take  $x$  from  $L$ , then we have  $(a \vee x) \wedge (m \vee x) = x$  from  $x \in CJ(a, S)$ . Hence we have  $a \wedge m \leq x$  for any  $x \in L$ . However  $L$  contains  $0$  and hence  $a \wedge m = 0$ . Thus we have  $a \wedge S = 0$ . If we take  $x'$  such that  $x' \leq m$  in  $L$ , then from  $CJ(a, m) \ni x'$ , we have  $x' = (a \vee x') \wedge (m \vee x') = (a \vee x') \wedge m$ . On the other hand, since  $a \wedge m = 0$ , we have  $x' \vee (a \wedge m) = x'$ . Hence  $(a \vee x') \wedge m = x' \vee (a \wedge m)$ , that is  $(a, m)M$ . Thus we have  $(a, S)M$ ; this completes the proof.

### References

- [1] L. R. Wilcox: Modularity in the theory of lattices, *Ann. Math.*, **40** (1939).
- [2] G. Birkhoff: *Lattice Theory*, rev. ed., New York (1948).
- [3] L. M. Kelley: The geometry of normed lattice, *Duke Math. J.*, **19** (1952).
- [4] Y. Matsushima: On the  $B$ -covers in lattices, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **32** (1956).
- [5] Y. Matsushima: The geometry of lattices by  $B$ -covers, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **33** (1957).