

106. On the Continuity of Norms

By Tsuyoshi ANDÔ

Mathematical Institute, Hokkaidô University, Sapporo

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Oct. 12, 1957)

Let R be a universally continuous¹⁾ normed semi-ordered linear space. A norm on R is said to be *continuous*, if $a_\nu \downarrow_{\nu=1}^{\infty} 0$ ²⁾ implies $\inf_{\nu=1,2,\dots} \|a_\nu\| = 0$.

The importance of continuity of a norm is in the fact that every norm-bounded linear functional on R is, roughly speaking, represented by a continuous function on the proper space of R (cf. [3]). In this note, we consider some conditions of the continuity of norms on R . We use the terminologies and notations in [4].

H. Nakano obtained the following three conditions of continuity:

Theorem A. *If every norm-bounded linear functional on R is continuous,³⁾ the norm is continuous [4, Theorem 31.10].*

Theorem B. *If a norm on R is separable and semi-continuous,⁴⁾ it is continuous [4, Theorem 30.27].*

Theorem C. *If a norm on R is uniformly monotone and complete, it is continuous [4, Theorem 30.22].*

In the sequel, the set of a type: $\{x; a \leq x \leq b\}$ is called a *segment*.

We know that the semi-continuity implies the completeness of segments [6, Theorem 3.3]. We shall replace semi-continuity of a norm by the completeness of segments of R in proving the continuity of a norm.

A general condition for continuity is contained in

Lemma 1. *A norm on R is continuous, if and only if every segment of R is complete and the norm satisfies the condition:*

(1) $[p_\nu][p_\mu] = 0$,⁵⁾ $\nu \neq \mu$ ($\nu, \mu = 1, 2, \dots$) implies $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \|[p_\nu]a\| = 0$ ($a \in R$).

Proof (cf. [3, Satz 14.3]). If the norm is continuous, it is semi-continuous, hence every segment is complete. For $a \in R$ and $[p_\nu][p_\mu] = 0$, $\nu \neq \mu$ ($\nu, \mu = 1, 2, \dots$), we have $(o)\text{-lim}_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} [p_\nu]a = 0$,⁶⁾ hence by continuity

- 1) *Universal continuity* means that for any $a_\lambda \geq 0$ ($\lambda \in A$) there exists $\bigcap_{\lambda \in A} a_\lambda$.
- 2) $a_\nu \downarrow_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a$ means that $a_\nu \geq a_{\nu+1}$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$) and $\bigcap_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_\nu = a$.
- 3) A linear functional \tilde{a} on R is said to be *continuous* (resp. *universally continuous*), if for any $a_\nu \downarrow_{\nu=1}^{\infty} 0$ (resp. $a_\lambda \downarrow_{\lambda \in A} 0$) $\inf_{\nu=1,2,\dots} |\tilde{a}(a_\nu)| = 0$ (resp. $\inf_{\lambda \in A} |\tilde{a}(a_\lambda)| = 0$).
- 4) A norm is said to be *semi-continuous*, if $0 \leq a_\nu \uparrow_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a$ implies $\sup_{\nu=1,2,\dots} \|a_\nu\| = \|a\|$.
- 5) $[p]$ is a projection operator to the normal manifold generated by p : $[p]a = \bigcup_{\nu=1}^{\infty} (\nu | p | \wedge a)$ for $0 \leq a \in R$.
- 6) $(o)\text{-lim}$ means order-limit.

$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \|[p_\nu]a\| = 0$. Conversely let every segment of R be complete and the norm satisfy the condition (1). To see continuity, it is sufficient to prove that $[p_\nu] \downarrow_{\nu=1}^{\infty} 0$ implies $\inf_{\nu=1,2,\dots} \|[p_\nu]a\| = 0$. The condition (1) implies that $\{[p_\nu]a\}_{\nu=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence, because, if it is not so, there exists a subsequence $\{[p_{\nu_\mu}]\}_{\mu=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\|([p_{\nu_\mu}] - [p_{\nu_{\mu+1}}])a\| \geq \varepsilon > 0$ ($\mu=1,2,\dots$), contradicting the condition (1). The completeness of $\{x; |x| \leq a\}$ and [4, Theorem 30.1] imply $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \|[p_\nu]a\| = 0$. Q.E.D.

From Lemma 1, we obtain a slightly general form of Theorem B.

Theorem 1. *If every segment of R is complete and separable, the norm is continuous.*

The proof is almost the same as that of Theorem B.

Next we shall weaken the condition of uniform monoteness in Theorem C. Firstly we recall some definitions for comparison.

A norm on R is said to be *uniformly monotone*, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$(2) \quad a \wedge b = 0, \|a\| = 1, \|b\| \geq \varepsilon \text{ implies } \|a+b\| \geq 1 + \delta.$$

We define a weaker type of monoteness: a norm on R is said to be *equally monotone*,⁷⁾ if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(3) \quad a \wedge b = 0, \|a\| = \|b\| = 1 \text{ implies } \|a+b\| \geq 1 + \delta.$$

This definition is equivalent to the following:

$$(3') \quad a \wedge b = 0 \text{ implies } \|a+b\| \geq \text{Min} \{\|a\|, \|b\|\} + \delta \cdot \text{Max} \{\|a\|, \|b\|\}.$$

The dual type of uniform monoteness is uniform flatness. A norm on R is said to be *uniformly flat*, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$(4) \quad a \wedge b = 0, \|a\| = \|b\| = 1 \text{ implies } \|a + \xi b\| \leq 1 + \xi \varepsilon \text{ for } 0 \leq \xi \leq \delta.$$

As a weaker type we define: a norm on R is said to be *equally flat*, if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(5) \quad a \wedge b = 0, \|a\| = \|b\| = 1 \text{ implies } \|a+b\| \leq 2 - \delta.$$

Duality between uniform monoteness and uniform flatness is known (cf. [3, §15]).

Lemma 2. *Equal monoteness and equal flatness are of dual type.*

Proof. Though this is a consequence of the theory of *indicatrices* in [3, §16], we give a direct proof. Suppose first that the norm on R is equally monotone. For $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \tilde{R}''$,⁸⁾ $\tilde{a} \wedge \tilde{b} = 0, \|\tilde{a}\| = \|\tilde{b}\| = 1$ there exists $0 \leq a \in R$ such that $\|a\| = 1, \|\tilde{a} + \tilde{b}\| - \varepsilon \leq (\tilde{a} + \tilde{b})(a)$. Since, as

7) Recently Mr. T. Shimogaki obtained a weaker condition: On the norms by uniformly finite modulars, Proc. Japan Acad., **33**, 304-309 (1957). Also Mr. S. Koshi considered equal monoteness in studying another problem: Modulars on semi-ordered linear spaces (II), Jour. Fac. Sci. Hokkaidô Univ., Ser. I, **13**, 166-200 (1957).

8) \tilde{R}'' denotes the Banach's associated space of R .

easily shown, $(\tilde{a} + \tilde{b})(a) = \sup_{\substack{x \wedge y = 0 \\ x + y = a}} (\tilde{a}(x) + \tilde{b}(y))$, there exist $x_0, y_0 \in R$ such that $x_0 \wedge y_0 = 0, x_0 + y_0 = a, \|\tilde{a} + \tilde{b}\| - 2\varepsilon \leq \tilde{a}(x_0) + \tilde{b}(y_0)$. If $\|x_0\| \leq \|y_0\|$, by (3') $\|x_0\| \leq \|x_0 + y_0\| - \delta \|y_0\| \leq 1 - \delta/2$, so $\|\tilde{a} + \tilde{b}\| - 2\varepsilon \leq \tilde{a}(x_0) + \tilde{b}(y_0) \leq \|x_0\| + \|y_0\| \leq 2 - \delta/2$. Since ε is arbitrary, the norm on \tilde{R}' is equally flat by definition. Conversely suppose the norm on R to be equally flat. For $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \tilde{R}'$, $\tilde{a} \wedge \tilde{b} = 0, \|\tilde{a}\| = \|\tilde{b}\| = 1$ there exist $0 \leq a, b \in R$ such that $a \wedge b = 0, \|a\| = \|b\| = 1, \tilde{a}(a) \geq 1 - \varepsilon, \tilde{b}(b) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$. Since $\|a + b\| \leq 2 - \delta$ by (5), $\|\tilde{a} + \tilde{b}\| \geq (\tilde{a} + \tilde{b})\left(\frac{a + b}{\|a + b\|}\right) \geq \frac{2 - 2\varepsilon}{2 - \delta}$. Since ε is arbitrary, the norm on \tilde{R}' is equally monotone by definition. Q.E.D.

Theorem 2. *If a norm on R is equally monotone and every segment of R is complete, the norm is continuous.*

The proof is similar to that of [3, Satz 14.3].

In the theory of Banach spaces, some conditions on types of norms are known. A norm on R is said to be *strictly convex*, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $a, b \in R, \|a\| = \|b\| = 1, \|a - b\| \geq \varepsilon$, there exists $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, a, b) > 0$ such that

$$(6) \quad \|a + b\| \leq 2 - \delta.$$

If δ is independent of b , the norm is said to be *locally uniformly convex*. Further if δ depends only on ε , the norm is said to be *uniformly convex*. The dual type of convexity is evenness (=differentiability of norms). A norm on R is said to be *even*, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and for $a, b \in R, \|a\| = \|b\| = 1$ there exists $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, a, b) > 0$ such that

$$(7) \quad \|a + \xi b\| + \|a - \xi b\| \leq 2 + \xi\varepsilon \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \xi \leq \delta.$$

If δ depends only on ε , the norm is said to be *uniformly even*.

Duality between convexity and evenness is studied in [2, 5].

Theorem 3. *If a norm on R is uniformly convex (or uniformly even), it is equally monotone and equally flat.*

Proof. Let the norm on R be uniformly convex. Then it is uniformly monotone [4, Theorem 30.26], consequently equally monotone. As to equal flatness, $a \wedge b = 0, \|a\| = \|b\| = 1$ implies $\|a + b\| \leq 2 - \delta$, where $\delta = \delta(1)$ is given in (6), because $\|a - b\| = \|a + b\| \geq 1$. Since uniform convexity and uniform evenness are of dual type (cf. [5, §§76-77]), the assertion for the case of uniform evenness follows from Lemma 2. Q.E.D.

We shall consider the relation between convexity and continuity.

Theorem 4. *If a norm on R is locally uniformly convex and every segment of R is complete, then the norm is continuous.*

Proof. To prove the continuity, it is sufficient to show that

$0 \leq a_\nu \uparrow_{\nu=1}^\infty a$, $\|a\|=1$ implies $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \|a_\nu - a\| = 0$. Imbedding R into $\overline{R''}^9$ in a natural way, let $a_\nu \uparrow_{\nu=1}^\infty b$ in $\overline{R''}$ (b may be different from a). Putting $c_\nu = a_\nu + (a - b)$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$), we obtain $c_\nu \uparrow_{\nu=1}^\infty a$ in $\overline{R''}$. If we shall prove $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \|c_\nu - a\| = 0$, then $\{a_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence, consequently the completeness of the segment and [4, Theorem 30.1] imply $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \|a_\nu - a\| = 0$.

Suppose, to the contrary, that $\|c_\nu - a\| > \varepsilon > 0$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$). By the definition of locally uniform convexity, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$x \in R, \|a - x\| > \varepsilon, 1 - \delta \leq \|x\| \leq 1 \text{ implies } \|a + x\| \leq 2 - \delta.$$

Since the unit sphere of R is dense in that of $\overline{R''}$ by the topology $\sigma(\overline{R''}, \overline{R''})$,¹⁰ there exist $a_{\lambda, \nu} \in R$, $\lambda \in \Lambda_\nu$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$), where Λ_ν is a directed set, such that $\|a_{\lambda, \nu}\| = \|c_\nu\|$, $\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\nu} \tilde{a}(a_{\lambda, \nu}) = \tilde{a}(c_\nu)$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$) for every $\tilde{a} \in \overline{R''}$. We can easily see $\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\nu} \|a_{\lambda, \nu} - a\| \geq \|c_\nu - a\| > \varepsilon$ and $\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\nu} \|a_{\lambda, \nu} + a\| \geq \|c_\nu + a\|$. Since $c_\nu \uparrow_{\nu=1}^\infty a$ implies $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \|c_\nu\| = \|a\| = 1$ by the semi-continuity of the norm on $\overline{R''}$, there exists ν_0 such that $\|a_{\lambda, \nu}\| = \|c_\nu\| \geq 1 - \delta$ ($\nu \geq \nu_0$). For these ν , we have $\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\nu} \|a + a_{\lambda, \nu}\| \leq 2 - \delta$, hence $\|a + c_\nu\| \leq 2 - \delta$, contradicting $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \|a + c_\nu\| = \|2a\| = 2$. Q.E.D.

In the above theorem we can not replace locally uniform convexity by strict convexity.

On the other hand, by Theorem A and [4, Theorem 28.11] a necessary and sufficient condition for the continuity of a norm is that every segment is compact (or sequentially complete) by the topology $\sigma(R, \overline{R''})$.

A bounded linear functional $\tilde{a} \in \overline{R''}$ is said to be *supported*, if there exists $a \in R$ such that

$$(8) \quad \|a\| = 1 \text{ and } \tilde{a}(a) = \|\tilde{a}\|.$$

If the unit sphere of R is compact by the topology $\sigma(R, \overline{R''})$, the norm is continuous and every $\tilde{a} \in \overline{R''}$ is supported. We now combine this property with a condition of monotonicity. A norm on R is said to be *monotone*, if

$$(9) \quad 0 \leq a < b \text{ implies } \|a\| < \|b\|.$$

Theorem 5. *If a norm on R is monotone and every positive $0 \leq \tilde{a} \in \overline{R''}$ is supported, the norm is continuous.*

9) \overline{R} denotes the totality of all universally continuous linear functionals on R , and we put $\overline{R''} = \overline{R} \frown \overline{R''}$.

10) $\sigma(R, S)$ denotes the weak topology on R defined by all elements of S .

Proof. For $[p_\nu] \downarrow_{\nu=1}^\infty 0$, considering $[p_\nu]$ as a projection operator $[p_\nu]^{\tilde{R}''}$ on \tilde{R}'' (cf. [4, §18]), put $P = \bigcap_{\nu=1}^\infty [p_\nu]^{\tilde{R}''}$. For $0 \leq \tilde{a} \in \tilde{R}''$, $P\tilde{a} = \tilde{a}$, there exists $0 \leq a \in R$, $\|a\| = 1$, $\tilde{a}(a) = \|\tilde{a}\|$, because \tilde{a} is supported by assumption. But since $P\tilde{a}(x) = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{a}([p_\nu]x)$ for every $x \in R$, $\tilde{a}([p_\kappa]a) = P\tilde{a}([p_\kappa]a) = \tilde{a}(a)$ ($\kappa = 1, 2, \dots$), namely $\tilde{a}([p_\kappa]a) = \|\tilde{a}\|$ ($\kappa = 1, 2, \dots$). If $\tilde{a} \neq 0$, monotonicity of the norm implies $[p_\kappa]a = a$ ($\kappa = 1, 2, \dots$), hence $a = \bigcap_{\kappa=1}^\infty [p_\kappa]a = 0$, this is a contradiction. So we have $P = 0$. Thus for any $[p_\nu] \downarrow_{\nu=1}^\infty 0$ we have $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{a}([p_\nu]x) = 0$, namely every $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{R}''$ is continuous. The assertion follows from Theorem A. Q.E.D.

When we consider normed semi-ordered linear spaces, the assumption of *semi-regularity* (that is, separateness of the topology $\sigma(R, \tilde{R}'')$) is natural.

Theorem 6. *Let R be semi-regular. If every $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{R}''$ is supported, the norm is continuous.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that there exists a complete element¹¹⁾ $0 \leq \bar{a} \in \bar{R}'', \|\bar{a}\| = 1$. For $0 \leq \tilde{b} \in \tilde{R}'', \|\tilde{b}\| = 2$, $\bar{a} \wedge \tilde{b} = 0$ (if it exists), there exists by assumption $a \in R$ such that $\|a\| = 1$, $(\bar{a} - \tilde{b})(a) = \|\bar{a} - \tilde{b}\|$. It follows that $\bar{a}(a^-) + \tilde{b}(a^+) = 0$, because $\bar{a} \wedge \tilde{b} = 0$ implies $\|\bar{a} + \tilde{b}\| = \|\bar{a} - \tilde{b}\|$ so $(\bar{a} + \tilde{b})(|a|) = (\bar{a} - \tilde{b})(a)$, hence $(\bar{a} + \tilde{b})(|a|) - (\bar{a} - \tilde{b})(a) = 2\{\bar{a}(a^-) + \tilde{b}(a^+)\} = 0$. Since \bar{a} is complete, we have $a^- = 0$, consequently $\tilde{b}(a) = 0$. This shows that $\|\bar{a} - \tilde{b}\| = \|\bar{a}\| = 1$, contradicting $\|\bar{a} - \tilde{b}\| \geq \|\tilde{b}\| = 2$. Thus for any $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{R}''$, we have $[\bar{a}]\tilde{x} = \tilde{x}$, that is, \tilde{x} is continuous. The assertion follows from Theorem A. Q.E.D.

Now turning our attention to evenness, we obtain

Theorem 7. *Let every segment of R be complete. If there exists $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$(10) \quad \||a| + \delta a\| + \||a| - \delta a\| \leq 2 + \delta \quad \text{for every } \|a\| \leq 1,$$

then the norm is continuous.

Proof. By Lemma 2 and Theorem 2, it is sufficient to prove the equal flatness of the norm on \tilde{R}'' . For $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \tilde{R}'', \tilde{a} \wedge \tilde{b} = 0, \|\tilde{a}\| = \|\tilde{b}\| = 1$ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $a \in R$ such that $\|a\| = 1, \|\tilde{a} + \tilde{b}\| = \|\tilde{a} - \tilde{b}\| \leq (\tilde{a} - \tilde{b})(a) + \varepsilon$. So we have $(1 + \delta)\|\tilde{a} + \tilde{b}\| = \|\tilde{a} + \tilde{b}\| + \delta\|\tilde{a} - \tilde{b}\|$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (\tilde{a} + \tilde{b})(|a|) + \delta(\tilde{a} - \tilde{b})(a) + (1 + \delta)\varepsilon \\ &= \tilde{a}(|a| + \delta a) + \tilde{b}(|a| - \delta a) + (1 + \delta)\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

11) $\bar{a} \in \bar{R}$ is said to be *complete*, if $|\bar{a}|(|a|) = 0$ implies $a = 0$.

consequently by (10), $\|\tilde{a} + \tilde{b}\| \leq \frac{2 + \delta + (1 + \delta)\varepsilon}{1 + \delta}$. Since ε is arbitrary,

the norm on \tilde{K}'' is equally flat.

Q.E.D.

The condition of evenness is more convenient than that of convexity, as is seen in the following:

Theorem 8. *If a norm on R is even and every segment of R is complete, the norm is continuous.*

Proof. Suppose the contrary. There exist by Lemma 1 $a \in R$ and $\{[p_\nu]\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$ such that $[p_\nu][p_\mu] = 0$, $\nu \neq \mu$, $\bigcup_{\nu=1}^\infty [p_\nu]a = a$, $\|[p_\nu]a\| \geq \varepsilon > 0$ ($\nu, \mu = 1, 2, \dots$) for some $\varepsilon > 0$. The subspace: $\{x; [x] \leq [a], [p_\nu]x = \xi_\nu [p_\nu]a$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$) for some ξ_ν , $\sup_{\nu=1, 2, \dots} |\xi_\nu| < \infty\}$ is, as a normed linear space, isomorphic to (m) , the space of all bounded sequences of real numbers with the usual norm, under the correspondence $x \leftrightarrow (\xi_\nu)$ for the above x and (ξ_ν) , because we have $\sup_{\nu=1, 2, \dots} |\xi_\nu| \|a\| \geq \|x\| \geq \varepsilon \sup_{\nu=1, 2, \dots} |\xi_\nu|$. But M. M. Day [1] proved that the space (m) admits no equivalent norm which is even.

Q.E.D.

Finally I wish to express my thanks to Professor H. Nakano for his kind advice.

References

- [1] M. M. Day: Strict convexity and smoothness of normed spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **78**, 516-528 (1955).
- [2] A. R. Lovaglia: Locally uniformly convex Banach spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **78**, 225-238 (1955).
- [3] H. Nakano: Stetige lineare Funktionale auf dem teilweisegeordneten Modul, Jour. Fac. Sci. Imp. Univ. Tokyo, **4**, 201-382 (1942).
- [4] —: Modularized Semi-ordered Linear Spaces, Tokyo (1950).
- [5] —: Topology and Linear Topological Spaces, Tokyo (1951).
- [6] —: Linear topologies on semi-ordered linear spaces, Jour. Fac. Sci. Hokkaidô Univ., Ser. I, **12**, 87-104 (1953).