

104. On Homomorphisms of the Ring of Continuous Functions onto the Real Numbers

By Tadashi ISHII

Department of Mathematics, Ehime University, Japan

(Comm. by Z. SUTUNA, M.J.A., Oct. 12, 1957)

Let X be a C^∞ -manifold and $F(X)$ be the ring of all the C^∞ -functions on X , or let X be a Q -space¹⁾ and $C(X)$ be the ring of all the real-valued continuous functions on X . Then for a non-trivial homomorphism ϕ (i.e. $\phi(f) \not\equiv 0$) of the function ring $F(X)$ or $C(X)$ into the real number field R , there exists one and only one point p of X such that $\phi(f) = f(p)$ for any f of the respective function ring. Hence it follows that C^∞ -manifolds X and Y are differentiably homeomorphic if $F(X)$ and $F(Y)$ are isomorphic,²⁾ and that Q -spaces X and Y are homeomorphic if $C(X)$ and $C(Y)$ are isomorphic.³⁾ In this paper we shall study the generalizations of these results. For brevity we use the word 'homomorphism' in place of the word 'non-trivial homomorphism'.

Let X be a completely regular space and let $C(X, R)$ be the ring of all the real-valued continuous functions on X . We denote by \mathfrak{C} a subring of $C(X, R)$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$(1) \quad R \subset \mathfrak{C},$$

(2) for a closed set F of X and a point $p \notin F$, there exists a function f of \mathfrak{C} such that $f(p) > \sup_{x \in F} f(x)$,

$$(3) \quad \text{if } f(x) > a > 0 \text{ and } f(x) \in \mathfrak{C}, \text{ then } f^{-1}(x) \in \mathfrak{C}.$$

The conditions (2) and (3) are weaker than the following conditions (2') and (3') respectively:

(2') for a closed set F of X and a point $p \notin F$, there exists a function f of \mathfrak{C} such that $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$, $f(p) = 1$, and $f(x) = 0$ if $x \in F$,

$$(3') \quad \text{if } f(x) > 0 \text{ and } f(x) \in \mathfrak{C}, \text{ then } f^{-1}(x) \in \mathfrak{C}.$$

It is obvious that the conditions (1), (2') and (3') are all fulfilled, if $\mathfrak{C} = F(X)$ or $C(X)$.

We now define a uniform structure gX of X by the following uniform neighborhoods:

$U_{f_1, \dots, f_n, \varepsilon}(x) = \{y \mid |f_i(y) - f_i(x)| < \varepsilon, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, where $f_i \in \mathfrak{C}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) and ε is an arbitrary positive number. Then it is easily seen that gX agrees with the topology of X by virtue of (2).

1) By a C^∞ -manifold we mean a separable C^∞ -manifold. For the definition of a Q -space see [3, 4, 7].

2) See [1].

3) See [3, Theorem 57].

Theorem 1. For any homomorphism ϕ of the ring \mathfrak{C} into R there exists one and only one point p of X such that $\phi(f)=f(p)$ for any f of \mathfrak{C} , if and only if gX is complete.

Proof. If we put $\mathfrak{R}=\{f \mid \phi(f)=0\}$, then \mathfrak{R} is a maximal ideal of \mathfrak{C} . In fact, if $\phi(g)=a \neq 0$, $g \in \mathfrak{C}$, then we have $1-g(x)/a \in \mathfrak{R}$, since $\phi(c)=c$ for any real number c and $\phi(g/a)=\phi(g)/a=1$.⁴⁾ Hence we have $f+g/a=1$ for some $f \in \mathfrak{R}$. This shows that an ideal generated by \mathfrak{R} and g contains 1, that is, \mathfrak{R} is a maximal ideal. Now let $F(\mathfrak{R})=\{F_{1/n}(f) \mid f \in \mathfrak{R}, n=1, 2, \dots\}$, where $F_{1/n}(f)=\{x \mid |f(x)| \leq 1/n\}$. Then it is trivial that $F(\mathfrak{R})$ does not contain the void set by virtue of (3). Further $F(\mathfrak{R})$ has the finite intersection property. In fact, if $F_{1/n_i}(f_i) \in F(\mathfrak{R})$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, n$) and $\bigcap_{i=1}^n F_{1/n_i}(f_i)=\phi$, then we have $f=\sum_{i=1}^n f_i^2 > \min(1/n_i)^2 > 0$ and $f \in \mathfrak{R}$. Hence \mathfrak{R} contains $1=ff^{-1}$, since $f^{-1} \in \mathfrak{C}$ by (3). This contradicts the maximality of the ideal \mathfrak{R} . Now let ε be an arbitrary positive number and let k be a positive integer such that $2/k < \varepsilon$. Then for any point x of $\bigcap_{i=1}^n F_{1/k}(f_i)$, we have $\bigcap_{i=1}^n F_{1/k}(f_i) \subset U_{f_1, \dots, f_n, \varepsilon}(x)$, where $f_i \in \mathfrak{R}$. On the other hand, for any uniform neighborhood $U_{f_1, \dots, f_n, \varepsilon}$ we can assume that $f_i \in \mathfrak{R}$ for every i without losing the generality, since $U_{f_1, \dots, f_n, \varepsilon} = U_{f_1-a_1, \dots, f_n-a_n, \varepsilon}$, where $a_i = \phi(f_i)$. Thus all the finite intersections of sets of $F(\mathfrak{R})$ form a Cauchy filter base, which converges to a point $p \in X$ by the hypothesis. Then we have $p \in Z(f)=\{x \mid f(x)=0\}$ for any $f \in \mathfrak{R}$, and $\phi(f)=f(p)$ for any $f \in \mathfrak{C}$. It is obvious that p is the unique point such that $\phi(f)=f(p)$ for any $f \in \mathfrak{C}$. To prove the converse, let \overline{gX} be the completion of gX . Since every $f \in \mathfrak{C}$ is uniformly continuous on gX , every $f \in \mathfrak{C}$ is extended uniformly continuously over \overline{gX} . Now assume that $\overline{gX} \neq gX$. Then for any $x \in \overline{gX} - gX$, there is a homomorphism ϕ_x of \mathfrak{C} into R such that $\phi_x(f)=\tilde{f}(x)$, where \tilde{f} is an extension of f over \overline{gX} . Then there is no point y of X such that $\phi_x(f)=f(y)$ for any $f \in \mathfrak{C}$. In fact, for any y of X , there exists a uniform neighborhood U^* of \overline{gX} such that $U^*(x) \cap U^*(y) = \phi$, since \overline{gX} is separated. Moreover, if we put $U(y) = U^*(y) \cap X$, there exists a function f of \mathfrak{C} such that $\sup_{z \in U(y)} f(z) < f(y)$. From this it follows that $\tilde{f}(x) \leq \sup_{z \in U(y)} f(z) < f(y)$. Thus we complete the proof.

By the mapping $\alpha: \alpha(x) = \{f(x) \mid f \in \mathfrak{C}\}$, X is homeomorphically mapped into the Cartesian product space $\prod_{f \in \mathfrak{C}} R_f$ by virtue of (2), where R_f is the space R of the real numbers for any $f \in \mathfrak{C}$. Let Y be the

4) Every homomorphism ϕ of the ring R of the real numbers into R is the identity mapping.

image of X by the mapping α . If gX is complete, then Y is a closed subspace of $\prod_{f \in \mathfrak{C}} R_f$. Hence X is the Q -space by [7, Theorem 1].

Now let X and Y be completely regular spaces, and let \mathfrak{C}_X and \mathfrak{C}_Y be the subrings of $C(X, R)$ and $C(Y, R)$ respectively, each of which satisfies the conditions (1), (2) and (3). Then we have the following

Theorem 2. *If the uniform spaces gX and gY , which are determined by \mathfrak{C}_X and \mathfrak{C}_Y , are complete, and the rings \mathfrak{C}_X and \mathfrak{C}_Y are isomorphic, then X and Y are homeomorphic. Moreover, if η is the homeomorphic mapping from X to Y , then we have $f\eta^{-1} \in \mathfrak{C}_Y$ for any $f \in \mathfrak{C}_X$ and $f'\eta \in \mathfrak{C}_X$ for any $f' \in \mathfrak{C}_Y$, where $f\eta^{-1}(p') = f(\eta^{-1}p')$ and $f'\eta(p) = f'(\eta p)$.*

Proof. For any point p of X , let ϕ_p be a ring-homomorphism of \mathfrak{C}_X into R such that $\phi_p(f) = f(p)$ for any $f \in \mathfrak{C}_X$. If we denote by ψ the isomorphic mapping from \mathfrak{C}_Y onto \mathfrak{C}_X , then $\phi_p \psi$ is a ring-homomorphism of \mathfrak{C}_Y into R . Therefore by Theorem 1, there exists one and only one point p' of Y such that $\phi_p \psi(f') = f'(p')$ for any $f' \in \mathfrak{C}_Y$. If we denote by η the mapping: $p \rightarrow p'$, then η is obviously a 1-1 mapping from X to Y , and we have $\psi(f') = f'\eta$ for any $f' \in \mathfrak{C}_Y$ and $\psi^{-1}(f) = f\eta^{-1}$ for any $f \in \mathfrak{C}_X$. Let $U(p')$ be any neighborhood of $p' \in Y$, and let f' be a function of \mathfrak{C}_Y such that $f'(p') > \sup_{x \in U(p')} f'(x)$.

Then we have $V(p') = \{q' \mid f'(q') > f'(p') - \varepsilon\} \subset U(p')$ for some positive number ε , and $\eta^{-1}V(p')$ is open in X , since $\eta^{-1}V(p') = \{q \mid \psi f'(q) > \psi f'(p) - \varepsilon\}$. Thus η is a continuous mapping. η^{-1} is also a continuous mapping from Y to X . This completes the proof.

Corollary. *Let X and Y be the Q -spaces. If the rings $C(X, R)$ and $C(Y, R)$ are isomorphic, then X and Y are homeomorphic.*

Proof. The uniform spaces gX and gY , which are determined by $C(X, R)$ and $C(Y, R)$ respectively, are both complete. Hence by Theorem 2, X and Y are homeomorphic.

We shall state a sufficient condition, under which gX is complete.

Theorem 3. *Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space such that $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$, where each of B_n is compact, and let \mathfrak{C} be a subring of $C(X, R)$ which satisfies the following condition (4) besides (1) and (2'):*

(4) *for a sequence of non-negative functions $\{f_n\}$ of \mathfrak{C} such that $\{P(f_n)\}$ is locally finite, we have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) \in \mathfrak{C}$, where $P(f_n) = \{x \mid f_n(x) > 0\}$.*

Then the uniform space gX determined by \mathfrak{C} is complete.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{A} = \{A_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be the Cauchy filter in gX . We show that some A_λ of \mathfrak{A} is contained in a certain compact set. Now let $U(p)$ be a neighborhood of a point p of X such that $\overline{U(p)}$ is compact.

Then the open covering $\{U(p) \mid p \in X\}$ has a locally finite open refinement $\{V_i \mid i=1, 2, \dots\}$, since X is paracompact.⁵⁾ Furthermore it can be easily seen by the mathematical induction that there exists an open refinement $\{W_i \mid i=1, 2, \dots\}$ of $\{V_i\}$ such that $\overline{W_i} \subset V_i$ by virtue of normality of X . Then $\{W_i\}$ is also locally finite. We note that for any positive integer i , there exists a non-negative function $f_i \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that $f_i(x) = 0$ if $x \notin V_i$ and $a_i = \min_{x \in \overline{W_i}} f_i(x) > 0$. Now let $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (i/a_i) f_i(x)$.

Then we have $f(x) \in \mathfrak{C}$ by virtue of (4). On the other hand, for any positive number ε , there exists an $A_\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $A_\lambda \subset U_{f, \varepsilon}(x)$ for any $x \in A_\lambda$, since \mathfrak{A} is the Cauchy filter in gX . This means that $f(x)$ is bounded on A_λ . Thus A_λ must be contained in $\bigcup_{j=1}^n \overline{W_j}$ for some positive integer n , since $f(x) \geq m$ if $x \in \bigcup_{j \geq m} \overline{W_j}$. From this it follows that the Cauchy filter \mathfrak{A} converges to a point of X .

Corollary 1. *If X is a C^∞ -manifold and $F(X)$ is the ring of all the C^∞ -functions on X , then for any homomorphism ϕ of $F(X)$ into R , there exists one and only one point p of X such that $\phi(f) = f(p)$ for any $f \in F(X)$.*

Proof. Since $F(X)$ satisfies the conditions (1), (2') and (4) in Theorem 3, the uniform space gX determined by $F(X)$ is complete. Hence by Theorem 1 we have the desired result.

Corollary 2. *If X is a C^∞ -manifold and $D(X)$ is the ring of all the C^∞ -functions with compact carriers on X , then for any homomorphism ϕ of $D(X)$ into R , there exists one and only one point p of X such that $\phi(f) = f(p)$ for any $f \in D(X)$.*

This can be shown by using Corollary 1 and the partition of unity.⁶⁾

Corollary 3. *The ring $F(X)$ ($D(X)$) characterizes the C^∞ -structure of the C^∞ -manifold X .⁷⁾*

For a particular homomorphism ϕ of the ring \mathfrak{C} satisfying the conditions (1), (2') and (3) into R , we have the following

Theorem 4. *There exists one and only one point p of X such that $\phi(f) = f(p)$ for any $f \in \mathfrak{C}$, if and only if ϕ is weakly continuous on \mathfrak{C} with its weak topology.*

The proof is omitted, since it can be carried by the similar way as in [5, Theorem 3].

In the case when X is a locally compact (but not compact) Hausdorff space and \mathfrak{C}_k is the ring of all the real-valued continuous

5) Cf. [1, p. 17, Lemma 2].

6) This idea of the proof was communicated to the author by Mr. K. Shiga. It is also possible to prove it directly.

7) Shanks's result [6] asserting that the ring $D^k(X)$ of functions of C^k -class with compact carriers on a manifold X of C^k -class characterizes the structure of the manifold X can be proved similarly.

functions with compact carriers, \mathbb{C}_k does not satisfy the conditions (1) and (3). But we have the following

Theorem 5. *For any homomorphism ϕ of the ring \mathbb{C}_k into R , there exists one and only one point $p \in X$ such that $\phi(f) = f(p)$ for any $f \in \mathbb{C}_k$.*

Proof. We note that $\phi(\alpha f) = \alpha \phi(f)$ for any $f \in \mathbb{C}_k$ and any real number α . In fact, if we put $K = \{x \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$, there exists a function g of \mathbb{C}_k such that $g(x) = \alpha$ if $x \in K$. Hence we have $\alpha f(x) = g(x)f(x)$ for every $x \in X$. From this it follows that $\phi(\alpha f) = 0$ if $\phi(f) = 0$. If $\phi(f) \neq 0$, then the mapping $\phi^*(\alpha) = \phi(\alpha f) / \phi(f)$ is the identity mapping from R onto itself, which shows that $\phi(\alpha f) = \alpha \phi(f)$. By using this fact, it can be easily seen that $\mathfrak{N} = \phi^{-1}(0)$ is a maximal ideal of \mathbb{C}_k . On the other hand, an ideal \mathfrak{I} in \mathbb{C}_k is maximal if and only if $\mathfrak{I} = \mathfrak{I}_p$, where $p \in X$ and $\mathfrak{I}_p = \{f \mid f(p) = 0, f \in \mathbb{C}_k\}$, as shown by [2, Theorem 3]. Hence we have $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{I}_p$ for a point p of X . Now let $f \in \mathbb{C}_k$ and $f(p) = 1$, and assume that $\phi(f) = \lambda$. Then we have $\phi(f^2) = \lambda$, since $f(p)^2 = 1$. On the other hand it holds that $\phi(f^2) = \phi(f)^2 = \lambda^2$. Hence we have $\lambda = 1$, since $\lambda \neq 0$. For any f of \mathbb{C}_k such that $f(p) = \alpha \neq 0$, we have $\phi(f/\alpha) = 1$, that is, $\phi(f) = \alpha$, since $f/\alpha(p) = 1$. This completes the proof.

The following corollary is due to Shanks [6].

Corollary. *The ring \mathbb{C}_k characterizes the topology of the locally compact Hausdorff space X .*

References

- [1] Y. Akizuki: Harmonic Integral (in Jap.), Iwanami Syoten (1955).
- [2] K. Fujiwara: Sur les anneaux des fonctions continues à support compact, Math. J. Okayama Univ., **3**, 175-184 (1954).
- [3] E. Hewitt: Rings of real-valued continuous functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **64**, 45-99 (1948).
- [4] E. Hewitt: Linear functionals on spaces of continuous functions, Fund. Math., **37**, 161-189 (1950).
- [5] J. Nagata: On lattices of functions on topological spaces and of functions on uniform spaces, Osaka Math. J., **1**, 166-181 (1949).
- [6] M. E. Shanks: Rings of functions on locally compact spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **57**, 295 (1951).
- [7] T. Shirota: A class of topological spaces, Osaka Math. J., **4**, 23-40 (1952).