

## 79. On the Relations "Semi-between" and "Parallel" in Lattices

By Yataro MATSUSHIMA

Gunma University, Maebashi

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., June 12, 1958)

In a recent paper [2], we have studied the concept of  $B$ -covers in lattices as a generalization of the metric-betweenness in a normed lattice which is investigated by L. M. Kelley [1], and discussed some geometrical properties of lattices by means of  $B$ -covers and  $B^*$ -covers in [3, 4].

At first we shall introduce the concept of  $J$ -cover and  $CJ$ -cover which will be considered as semi-between sets in lattices since the  $B$ -covers are treated as between sets in lattices. For any two elements  $a$  and  $b$  of a lattice  $L$ , we shall define as follows:

$$J(a, b) = \{x \mid (a \wedge x) \vee (b \wedge x) = x\}, \quad CJ(a, b) = \{x \mid (a \vee x) \wedge (b \vee x) = x\}.$$

$J(a, b)$  is called the  $J$ -cover of  $a$  and  $b$ , and if  $x \in J(a, b)$ , then we shall write  $J(axb)$ . Similarly we shall define  $CJ$ -cover and  $CJ(axb)$ . Further, we define  $J^*(a, b) = \{x \mid J(axb)\}$ ,  $CJ^*(a, b) = \{x \mid CJ(axb)\}$ ,  $J(a, J^*(a, b)) = \{y \mid J(ayx)\}$  for all  $x \in J^*(a, b)$ , etc.

$B(a, b) = J(a, b) \wedge CJ(a, b)$  is called the  $B$ -cover of  $a$  and  $b$ ; and we write  $axb$  when  $x \in B(a, b)$  (cf. [2-4]).

Next we shall define the notion of "parallel" as follows:  $ab//cd$  means that  $B^*(a, b) \wedge B^*(c, d) = 0$ , where  $B^*(a, b) = \{x \mid axb\}$ .

In §1 we shall give characterizations of modular or distributive lattices by means of "semi-between", and in §2 we shall consider the geometrical properties of lattice polygons by the notion of "parallel".

§1. "Semi-between". Lemma 1.  $(a] \vee (b] = J(a, b) \subset (a \vee b]$ ,  $[a] \wedge [b] = CJ(a, b) \subset [a \wedge b]$ , where  $(x] = \{z \mid z \leq x\}$ ,  $[x] = \{z \mid z \geq x\}$ ,  $A \vee B = \{x \vee y \mid x \in A, y \in B\}$  if  $A, B \subset L$ .

The proof is found in [2].

Lemma 2.  $J(axb)$  implies  $x \wedge (a \vee b) = (a \wedge x) \vee (b \wedge x) = x$ ;  $CJ(axb)$  implies  $x \vee (a \wedge b) = (a \vee x) \wedge (b \vee x) = x$ .

Lemma 3.  $J(abc)$ ,  $CJ(axb)$  and  $CJ(byc)$  imply  $J(xby)$ .  $CJ(abc)$ ,  $J(axb)$  and  $J(byc)$  imply  $CJ(xby)$ .

Proof. We have  $b \geq b \wedge x \geq a \wedge b$ ,  $b \geq b \wedge y \geq b \wedge c$  by  $CJ(axb)$ ,  $CJ(byc)$ , and hence  $b \geq (b \wedge x) \vee (b \wedge y) \geq (a \wedge b) \vee (b \wedge c) = b$  by  $J(abc)$ ; thus we have  $(b \wedge x) \vee (b \wedge y) = b$ , that is,  $J(xby)$ .

Lemma 4.  $J(axb)$  and  $J(ayb)$  imply  $J(a(x \vee y)b)$ .  $CJ(axb)$  and  $CJ(ayb)$  imply  $CJ(a(x \wedge y)b)$ .  $J(axb)$  and  $J(ayb)$  do not necessarily imply  $J(a(x \wedge y)b)$ .

**Proof.** Since  $x \leq a \cup b$ ,  $y \leq a \cup b$  by  $J(axb)$ ,  $J(ayb)$ , we have  $x \cup y = (a \cup b) \wedge (x \cup y) \geq (a \wedge (x \cup y)) \cup (b \wedge (x \cup y)) \geq (a \wedge x) \cup (a \wedge y) \cup (b \wedge x) \cup (b \wedge y) = x \cup y$ , and hence we have  $(a \wedge (x \cup y)) \cup (b \wedge (x \cup y)) = x \cup y$ , that is,  $J(a(x \cup y)b)$ . If  $L$  contains elements  $a, b, x, y, z, z_1, x_1, y_1$ , such that  $a \cup b > x_1 > a$ ,  $a \cup b > y_1 > b$ ,  $a > x > a \wedge b$ ,  $b > y > a \wedge b$ ,  $x_1 \cup y_1 = a \cup b$ ,  $x_1 \wedge y_1 = z_1 > x \cup y = z$ ,  $x \wedge y = a \wedge b$ ,  $a \cup z = a \cup z_1 = x_1$ ,  $b \cup z = b \cup z_1 = y_1$ ,  $a \wedge z = a \wedge z_1 = x$ ,  $b \wedge z = b \wedge z_1 = y$ , then we have  $J(ax_1b)$ ,  $J(ay_1b)$  but not  $J(a(x_1 \wedge y_1)b)$ .

**Lemma 5.** *In case  $L$  is modular,  $J(axb)$  implies  $(a \cup x) \wedge (b \cup x) = x \cup (a \wedge b)$ .*

**Proof.** If  $J(axb)$ , then we have by modularity  $(a \cup x) \wedge (b \cup x) = x \cup (a \wedge (b \cup x)) = x \cup (a \wedge ((a \wedge x) \cup (b \wedge x) \cup b)) = x \cup (a \wedge ((a \wedge x) \cup b)) = x \cup (a \wedge x) \cup (a \wedge b) = x \cup (a \wedge b)$ .

**Lemma 6.** *In case  $L$  is modular, if  $x \in B(a \cup b, a \wedge b)$ , then  $J(axb)$  implies  $CJ(axb)$  and  $CJ(axb)$  implies  $J(axb)$ .*

**Theorem 1.1.** *In order that  $L$  is a modular lattice it is necessary and sufficient that  $J(axb)$  implies  $(a \cup x) \wedge (b \cup x) = x \cup (a \wedge b)$ .*

**Proof.** If  $L$  is not modular, then there exist five elements  $a, b, c, d, x$  such that  $c = a \cup b = x \cup b$ ,  $d = a \wedge b = x \wedge b$ ,  $d < x < a < c$ . In this case we have  $J(axb)$  but  $(a \cup x) \wedge (b \cup x) = a > x = x \cup (a \wedge b)$ . By Lemma 5 this completes the proof.

**Theorem 1.2.** *In case  $L$  is modular, if  $x, y \in B(a \cup b, a \wedge b)$ , then  $J(axb)$  and  $J(ayb)$  imply  $a(x \cup y)b$ ,  $a(x \wedge y)b$ .*

**Proof.** It is obvious from Lemmas 4 and 6.

**Lemma 7.**  *$J(abc)$  implies  $a(a \cup b)c$ .  $CJ(abc)$  implies  $a(a \wedge b)c$ .*

**Proof.** We have  $b = (a \wedge b) \cup (b \wedge c) \leq a \cup (b \wedge c)$ ,  $a \leq a \cup (b \wedge c)$ , and hence  $a \cup b \leq a \cup (b \wedge c) \leq a \cup b$ , thus we have  $a \cup (b \wedge c) = a \cup b$ .

Accordingly we have  $a \cup b = a \cup (b \wedge c) = a \cup (a \wedge c) \cup (b \wedge c) \leq a \cup ((a \cup b) \wedge c) \leq a \cup b \cup ((a \cup b) \wedge c) = a \cup b$ , hence  $a \cup ((a \cup b) \wedge c) = a \cup b$ , that is,  $J(a(a \cup b)c)$ . Since  $CJ(a(a \cup b)c)$  is trivial we have  $a(a \cup b)c$ .

**Lemma 8.**  *$J(abc)$  implies  $J((a \wedge b)bc)$  and vice versa.*

**Lemma 9.** *In order that  $L$  is a distributive lattice it is necessary and sufficient that the condition (D) below holds for any elements  $a, b$  of  $L$ .*

(D)  $x \in J(a, b)$  if and only if  $x \leq a \cup b$ .

**Proof.** It is proved in the same way as Theorem 3 [2].

**Theorem 1.3.** *In any lattice we have the following inequalities:*

- ①  $J^*(a, b) \subset J^*(a, a \cup b)$ ,  $CJ^*(a, b) \subset CJ^*(a, a \wedge b)$ ,
- ②  $J^*(a \wedge b, a \cup b) \subset J^*(a, a \cup b) \wedge J^*(b, a \cup b)$ ,  $CJ^*(a \cup b, a \wedge b) \subset CJ^*(a, a \wedge b) \wedge CJ^*(b, a \wedge b)$ .

We have the equalities in ①, ② for a distributive lattice.

**Proof.** The proof of ① is obtained by Lemma 7.

② Since  $CJ((a \wedge b)a(a \cup b))$  and  $CJ((a \wedge b)b(a \cup b))$  are trivial, sup-

pose that  $J((a \wedge b)(a \vee b)x)$ ; then we have  $J(a(a \vee b)x)$ ,  $J(b(a \vee b)x)$  by Lemma 3. In case  $L$  is distributive, for ② if we take  $x \in J^*(a, a \vee b) \wedge J^*(b, a \vee b)$ , then we have  $a \vee b \leq a \vee x$ ,  $a \vee b \leq b \vee x$  by Lemma 2, and hence  $a \vee b \leq (a \vee x) \wedge (b \vee x) = x \vee (a \wedge b)$  by distributive law, thus we have  $(a \wedge b)(a \vee b)x$  by Lemma 9.

Similarly we may prove the remaining parts.

Theorem 1.4. *In any lattice we have the following equalities:*

- ①  $J^*(a, b) = J^*(a \wedge b, b)$ ,  $CJ^*(a, b) = CJ^*(a \vee b, b)$ ,
- ②  $J^*(CJ(a, b), b) = J^*(a, b)$ ,  $CJ^*(J(a, b), b) = CJ^*(a, b)$ ,
- ③  $J^*(CJ(a, J^*(a, b)), a) = J^*(b, a)$ .

Proof. ① It is obvious by Lemma 8.

② Since it is trivial that  $J^*(CJ(a, b), b) \subset J^*(a, b)$ , we shall prove the inverse relation. If we take  $x$  from  $J^*(a, b)$ , then for any  $y \in CJ(a, b)$  we have  $J(ybx)$  by Lemma 3, and hence  $x$  belongs to  $J^*(CJ(a, b), b)$ .

③ Since  $CJ(a, b) \supset CJ(a, J^*(a, b)) \ni b$ , and  $J^*(b, a) = J^*(CJ(a, b), a)$  by ②, we have  $J^*(b, a) = J^*(CJ(a, b), a) \subset J^*(CJ(a, J^*(a, b)), a) \subset J^*(b, a)$ , and hence we have the equality of ③.

Lemma 10. *In any lattice  $J(a, b) = J(c, d)$  implies  $a \vee b = c \vee d$ , and  $CJ(a, b) = CJ(c, d)$  implies  $a \wedge b = c \wedge d$ .*

We have the converse of Lemma 10 in a distributive lattice.

Theorem 1.5. *In a lattice  $L$  suppose that  $J^*(a, B(a, a \vee b)) = a \vee b$ , then ①  $a \vee b$  is a maximal element,*

② *if  $a$  and  $b$  are non-comparable, then there exists at least one element  $x$  in  $L$  such that  $a < x < a \vee b$  and  $x$  does not belong to  $J(a, b)$ .*

It is proved in the same way as (1), §4 [5].

Lemma 11.  *$CJ(axb)$  for  $x \in B(b, a \wedge b)$  implies  $a \vee x \in J(a, b)$ ;  $J(ayb)$  for  $y \in B(a, a \vee b)$  implies  $b \wedge y \in CJ(a, b)$ .*

Proof. Suppose that  $CJ(axb)$  for  $x \in B(b, a \wedge b)$ ; then we have  $x = (a \vee x) \wedge (b \vee x) = (a \vee x) \wedge b$ , and hence we have  $(a \wedge (a \vee x)) \vee ((a \vee x) \wedge b) = a \vee x$ , that is,  $J(a(a \vee x)b)$ . Similarly we have the other part.

Theorem 1.6. *Suppose that  $CJ(a, b) \supset B(b, a \wedge b)$  and  $J(a, b) \supset B(a, a \vee b)$  in a lattice  $L$ ; then  $B(a, a \vee b)$  is isomorphic to  $B(b, a \wedge b)$ .*

Proof. For  $x_i \in CJ(a, b)$  where  $a \wedge b \leq x_i \leq b$ ,  $i=1,2$ , we have  $b \wedge (a \vee x_i) = (b \vee x_i) \wedge (a \vee x_i) = x_i$ . Similarly we have  $(b \wedge y_j) \vee a = (b \vee y_j) \vee (a \wedge y_j) = y_j$  for  $a \leq y_j \leq a \vee b$ ,  $y_j \in J(a, b)$ ,  $j=1,2$ . Hence if  $a \vee x_1 = a \vee x_2$ , then we have  $x_1 = x_2$ .

These mappings  $x_i \rightarrow a \vee x_i$ ,  $y_j \rightarrow b \wedge y_j$  preserve order and are inverses of each other.

Lemma 12.  *$J(aIb)$  implies  $a \vee b = I$ ,  $CJ(aOb)$  implies  $a \wedge b = 0$ .*

Theorem 1.7. *Suppose that  $b \in J^*(a, I) \wedge CJ^*(a, O)$ ; then we have  $J^*(a, b) = [b]$ ,  $CJ^*(a, b) = (b)$ .*

Proof. If  $b \in J^*(a, I) \wedge CJ^*(a, O)$ , then we have  $a \vee b = I$ ,  $a \wedge b = O$

by Lemma 12, and hence by Theorem 1.4 we have  $J^*(a, b) = J^*(a \wedge b, b) = J^*(O, b) = [b]$  since  $b \wedge x = b$  from  $(O \wedge b) \vee (b \wedge x) = b$ . Similarly we have  $CJ^*(a, b) = CJ^*(a \vee b, b) = CJ^*(I, b) = (b)$ .

§2. "Parallel". Henceforth we shall consider a lattice polygon as a sublattice of  $L$ , accordingly the notion of parallel is considered for all elements in  $L$ . For elements  $a, b, c, d$  of  $L$ , by "a quad-rangle  $abcd$ " we shall mean that  $a, b$  are non-comparable and  $a \vee b = c$ ,  $a \wedge b = d$ .

Theorem 2.1. *In a lattice quad-rangle  $abcd$  we have*

$$\textcircled{1} \quad cb // da, ca // db,$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad cd // db.$$

Proof. ① Suppose that  $cbx, dax$ ; then  $a = (d \wedge a) \vee (a \wedge x) = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge x) \leq a \wedge (b \vee x) \leq (a \vee b) \wedge (b \vee x) = b$ , this contradicts the hypothesis.

② If  $cdx$ , then  $d = c \wedge (d \vee x) \geq d \vee (c \wedge x) \geq d$ , and hence we have  $d \vee (c \wedge x) = d$ , then  $b \wedge x \leq c \wedge x \leq d$ . However  $dbx$  does not hold, for  $d \vee (b \wedge x) = d \neq b$ .

Corollary. *In a lattice quad-rangle  $abcd$  we have*

$$\textcircled{1} \quad ab // ba$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad ca // ab$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad ab // cd.$$

Proof. ①  $B^*(a, b) = B^*(a \vee b, b) \wedge B^*(a \wedge b, b)$ ,  $B^*(b, a) = B^*(b \vee a, a) \wedge B^*(b \wedge a, a)$  by (1), §5 [3]. Since  $B^*(a \vee b, b) \wedge B^*(a \wedge b, a) = 0$  from Theorem 2.1 we have  $ab // ba$ . ② By (1), §5 [3], we have  $B^*(a, b) \subset B^*(d, b)$  and  $B^*(d, b) \wedge B^*(c, a) = 0$  by Theorem 2.1, hence we have  $ca // ab$ . The proof of ③ is obvious from ②, Theorem 2.1 and (1), §5 [3].

Theorem 2.2. *In a lattice quad-rangle  $abcd$ , if there exist two elements  $e, f$  such that  $a < e < c, d < f < b, b \wedge e = f, a \vee f = e$ , then we have*

$$\textcircled{1} \quad be // af$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad eb // fa.$$

Proof. ① At first we shall prove  $ef // fe$ . Suppose that  $e > f$ ,  $efx, fex$ ; then  $f = (e \vee f) \wedge (f \vee x) \geq f \vee (e \wedge x) = e$ , this contradicts the hypothesis. On the other hand,  $B^*(b, e) = B^*(c, e) \wedge B^*(f, e)$ ,  $B^*(a, f) = B^*(e, f) \wedge B^*(d, f)$  by (1), §5 [3]; thus we have  $be // af$ . ② is obtained from  $cb // da$ .

Theorem 2.3. *In a lattice polygon in  $L$  which consists of the two maximal chains  $\{a_n\}, \{b_m\}$  with the condition (C) such that  $a_n \succ a_{n-1} \succ \dots \succ a_i \succ \dots \succ a_0, b_m \succ b_{m-1} \succ \dots \succ b_j \succ \dots \succ b_0, a_0 = b_0, a_n = b_m$ ,*

$$(C) \quad a_i \wedge b_j = a_0, a_i \vee b_j = a_n.$$

*We have  $a_0 a_i // b_l b_k$ , where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n, l = m, m-1, \dots, 2; l > k \geq 1$ .*

Proof. If  $a_0 a_i x$ , then  $a_i = a_0 \vee (a_i \wedge x)$ . However  $b_l b_k x$  does not hold, that is,  $b_l \wedge (b_k \vee x) \neq b_k$ . Indeed we have  $b_k \vee x \geq b_k \vee a_0 \vee (a_i \wedge x) = b_k \vee a_i = a_n$ . Hence  $b_l \wedge (b_k \vee x) = b_l \neq b_k$ .

Corollary. *In the lattice polygon of Theorem 2.3, we have*

$$\textcircled{1} \quad a_i b_k // a_n a_i, a_l b_k // a_n a_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n, k = 0, 1, \dots, m, 0 \leq h < i, i < k \leq n.$$

②  $a_s a_{s'} // b_k a_i, a_i a_{i'} // b_k a_i, i=1,2,\dots,n-1, k=1,2,\dots,m-1, 0 \leq s' < s \leq i, i \leq t < t' \leq n.$

Proof. ① Since  $B^*(a_i, b_k) \subset B^*(a_n, b_k)$  by (1), §5 [3] we have  $a_n b_k // a_n a_i$  by the dual of Theorem 2.3, and hence we have  $a_i b_k // a_n a_i$ . Similarly we have the proof of ②.

Theorem 2.4. *In order that the lattice polygon of Theorem 2.3 has a diagonal, that is, it has the condition (D) instead of (C), it is necessary and sufficient that the condition (E) below holds.*

(D)  $a_k > b_l, a_{k'} \cup b_{l'} = a_n \cdot a_{k'} \cap b_{l'} = b_l$  for  $k \leq k' < n, l < l' \leq m$  and  $a_{k''} \cap b_{l''} = a_0, a_{k''} \cup b_{l''} = a_k$  for  $0 < k'' \leq k, 0 < l'' < l.$

(E)  $a_k b_l \not// b_l b_{l'}, l \neq 0, m; k \neq 0, n.$

Proof. If the lattice polygon has the condition (D), then we have  $a_k b_l b_{l'}$ , hence  $B^*(a_k, b_l) \cap B^*(b_l, b_{l'}) \ni b_{l'}$ , that is,  $a_k b_l \not// b_l b_{l'}$ . If it has no diagonal, then we have  $a_k b_l // b_l b_{l'}$  by the corollary of Theorem 2.3.

Corollary. *In the lattice polygon with the condition (D), we have*

- ①  $a_k b_{l'} // b_{l'} a_{k''},$
- ②  $B^*(a_{k''}, b_{l'}) \subset B^*(a_{k'}, b_{l'}).$

Proof. ① By (1), §5 [3], we have  $B^*(a_{k'}, b_{l'}) \subset B^*(a_n, b_{l'}), B^*(b_{l'}, a_{k''}) \subset B^*(a_0, a_{k''})$ . However  $a_0 a_{k''} // a_n b_{l'}$  by Theorem 2.3, and hence we have  $a_k b_{l'} // b_{l'} a_{k''}.$

② Since  $a_0 b_l b_{l'}, a_0 b_{l'} x$  imply  $b_l b_{l'} x$  by Lemma 4 [2], we have  $B^*(a_0, b_{l'}) \subset B^*(b_l, b_{l'})$ . Hence we have  $B^*(a_{k''}, b_{l'}) \subset B^*(a_{k'}, b_{l'})$  by (1), §5 [3].

(a, b)  $\bar{M}^*$  means that a, b do not form a relative modular pair (cf. [4]).

Theorem 2.5. *The necessary and sufficient condition for (a, b)  $\bar{M}^*$  in a lattice quad-angle abcd is that there exists at least one element b' such that  $ab' // b'b, d < b' < b.$*

Proof. If (a, b)  $\bar{M}^*$ , there exists b' such that  $b' \cup (a \cap b) = b' < (b' \cup a) \cap b, d < b' < b.$  Let  $b' \cup a = f, f \cap b = b'',$  then we have  $f \leq c, d < b' < b'' \leq b.$  In this case if  $b'b x,$  then we have  $b' \cup (b \cap x) = b.$  However  $f b' x$  does not hold, for  $(f \cup b') \cap (b' \cup x) = f \cap (b' \cup x) \geq f \cap (b' \cup (b \cap x)) = f \cap b = b'' > b'.$  Hence we have  $ab' // b'b$  since  $B^*(a, b') = B^*(f, b') \cap B^*(d, b').$

Next if (a, b)  $M^*,$  then for any  $d < b' < b$  we have  $b' \in B(a, b),$  that is, there exists c' such that  $c' > b', c > c' > a.$  Then we have  $ab'b,$  and hence  $b \in B^*(a, b'),$  thus  $B^*(a, b') \cap B^*(b', b) \neq 0,$  this completes the proof.

Following L. R. Wilcox [5] by  $a // b$  we mean that  $a \cap b = 0, (a, b) \bar{M}^*.$

Corollary. *The necessary and sufficient condition for  $a // b$  in a lattice quad-angle abcd, where  $d = 0,$  is  $ab' // b'b$  for  $d < b' < b.$*

### References

- [1] L. M. Kelley: The geometry of normed lattice, *Duke Math. J.*, **19** (1952).
- [2] Y. Matsushima: On the  $B$ -covers in lattices, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **32** (1956).
- [3] Y. Matsushima: The geometry of lattices by  $B$ -covers, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **33** (1957).
- [4] Y. Matsushima: On  $B$ -covers and the notion of independence in lattices, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **33** (1957).
- [5] L. R. Wilcox: Modularity in the theory of lattices, *Ann. Math.*, **40** (1939).