

118. Notes on Lattices

By Koichi ATSUMI

Gunma University, Maebashi

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Oct. 13, 1958)

Let L be a lattice with an inclusion relation \leq , meet $a \wedge b$ and join $a \vee b$. L. M. Blumenthal and D. O. Ellis [2] showed that the following three relations (G), (G*) and (G**) are equivalent in modular lattices, and that they are also equivalent to metric betweenness for normed lattices.

$$\begin{array}{ll} (G) & (a \wedge c) \vee (b \wedge c) = c = (a \vee c) \wedge (b \vee c) \\ (G^*) & (a \wedge c) \vee (b \wedge c) = c = c \vee (a \wedge b) \\ (G^{**}) & (a \vee c) \wedge (b \vee c) = c = c \wedge (a \vee b) \end{array}$$

Recently, Y. Matsushima [3] introduced for any lattice L three kinds of sets in L as follows:*)

$$\begin{aligned} J(a, b) &= \{x \mid x = (a \wedge x) \vee (b \wedge x)\} \\ CJ(a, b) &= \{x \mid x = (a \vee x) \wedge (b \vee x)\} \\ B(a, b) &= J(a, b) \wedge CJ(a, b). \end{aligned}$$

He gave among others a characterization of distributive lattices by using $B(a, b)$, and a characterization of modular lattices by using $B(a, b)$ and $B^*(a, b)$ in [3, 4].

In this note we give some characterizations of modular lattices by $J(a, b)$ and $CJ(a, b)$, which also imply that (G), (G*) and (G**) are equivalent only in modular lattices. We also give two characterizations of distributive lattices by using $J(a, b)$ and $CJ(a, b)$ respectively, each of which is the dual of the other.

LEMMA 1. *If (a, b) is a modular pair [1, p. 100], then $[a \wedge b, b]$ is contained in $CJ(a, b)$.*

PROOF. Choose x from $[a \wedge b, b]$; then $x \leq b$ and $(x \vee a) \wedge (x \vee b) = (x \vee a) \wedge b = x \vee (a \wedge b)$ since (a, b) is a modular pair. While $a \wedge b \leq x$, we have $(x \vee a) \wedge (x \vee b) = x$. This shows that $[a \wedge b, b] \subset CJ(a, b)$.

LEMMA 2. *If $[a \wedge b, b]$ is contained in $CJ(a, b)$, then (a, b) is a modular pair.*

PROOF. Let $x \leq b$, and consider $x \vee (a \wedge b)$. Then $a \wedge b \leq x \vee (a \wedge b) \leq b$ and hence by assumption $x \vee (a \wedge b) \in CJ(a, b)$. Hence $x \vee (a \wedge b) = (x \vee (a \wedge b)) \vee b = (x \vee a) \wedge (x \vee b) = (x \vee a) \wedge b$. This shows that (a, b) is a modular pair.

LEMMA 2'. *If $[b, a \vee b] \subset J(a, b)$ for any two elements a and b , then L is a modular lattice.*

*) We denote the set-theoretical inclusion and intersection by \subset and \wedge . We also use $[a]$, $[a]$ and $[a, b]$ for $\{x \mid a \leq x\}$, $\{x \mid x \leq a\}$ and $\{x \mid a \leq x \leq b\}$ respectively.

PROOF. For $x \leq z$, and any y , we consider $(x \cup y) \wedge z$. Then $x \leq (x \cup y) \wedge z \leq y \cup x$, and hence we have $(x \cup y) \wedge z \in J(y, x)$ by assumption. Consequently $(x \cup y) \wedge z = ((x \cup y) \wedge z \wedge y) \cup ((x \cup y) \wedge z \wedge x) = (z \wedge y) \cup (z \wedge x) = x \cup (z \wedge y)$.

LEMMA 3. In a modular lattice L , we have $J(a, b) \wedge [a \wedge b] \subset CJ(a, b)$ for any two elements a and b .

PROOF. Let c be in $J(a, b) \wedge [a \wedge b]$. Then $(a \cup c) \wedge (c \cup b) = c \cup (a \wedge (c \cup b))$ by modularity, and $a \wedge (c \cup b) = a \wedge ((a \wedge c) \cup (c \wedge b) \cup b)$ since $c \in J(a, b)$, and hence we have $a \wedge (c \cup b) = a \wedge ((a \wedge c) \cup b) = (a \wedge c) \cup (b \wedge a)$ by using modularity again. Consequently $(a \cup c) \wedge (c \cup b) = c \cup (a \wedge c) \cup (b \wedge a) = c \cup (b \wedge a) = c$ since $a \wedge b \leq c$. This shows that c is in $CJ(a, b)$, and $J(a, b) \wedge [a \wedge b] \subset CJ(a, b)$.

LEMMA 3'. In a modular lattice, we have $CJ(a, b) \wedge (a \cup b) \subset J(a, b)$ for any two elements a and b .

PROOF. If c is in $CJ(a, b) \wedge (a \cup b)$, we have $c = (a \cup c) \wedge (c \cup b)$ and $c \wedge b = (a \cup c) \wedge (c \cup b) \wedge b = (a \cup c) \wedge b$. Using modularity and this relation, we have $(a \wedge c) \cup (c \wedge b) = (a \cup (c \wedge b)) \wedge c = (a \cup ((a \cup c) \wedge b)) \wedge c = (a \cup b) \wedge (a \wedge c) \wedge c = (a \cup b) \wedge c$. Since $c \leq a \cup b$, we have $(a \wedge c) \cup (c \wedge b) = c$. This shows that $c \in J(a, b)$ and $CJ(a, b) \wedge (a \cup b) \subset J(a, b)$.

REMARKS. Let us consider a lattice $P = \{p, q, r, s \text{ and } d\}$ such that $p < q < r < s$, $p < d < s$, $q \wedge d = r \wedge d = p$, and $q \cup d = r \cup d = s$.

(1) $[r, d \cup r] \subset J(d, r)$, but (d, r) is not a modular pair, since $q \cup (d \wedge r) \neq (q \cup d) \wedge r$.

(2) (r, d) is a modular pair, and $q \in J(r, d) \wedge [r \wedge d]$ but $q \notin CJ(r, d)$.

(3) (q, d) is a modular pair, and $r \in CJ(q, d) \wedge (q \cup d)$ but r is not in $J(q, d)$.

THEOREM 1. A necessary and sufficient condition for L to be a modular lattice is that $J(a, b) \wedge [a \wedge b] \subset CJ(a, b)$ for every pair a and b .

PROOF. If L is a modular lattice, then $J(a, b) \wedge [a \wedge b] \subset CJ(a, b)$ by Lemma 3. If $J(a, b) \wedge [a \wedge b] \subset CJ(a, b)$, we have $[a \wedge b, b] \subset CJ(a, b)$ since $[a \wedge b, b] \subset J(a, b)$ in any lattice. Hence L is a modular lattice by Lemma 2.

THEOREM 1'. A necessary and sufficient condition for L to be modular lattice is that $CJ(a, b) \wedge (a \cup b) \subset J(a, b)$ for every pair a and b .

PROOF. If L is modular, we have $CJ(a, b) \wedge (a \cup b) \subset J(a, b)$ by Lemma 3'. If $CJ(a, b) \wedge (a \cup b) \subset J(a, b)$, we have $[b, a \cup b] \subset J(a, b)$ since $[b, a \cup b] \subset CJ(a, b)$ in any lattice. Consequently L is a modular lattice by Lemma 2'.

COROLLARY. (G) , (G^*) and (G^{**}) are equivalent if and only if L is a modular lattice.

PROOF. In any lattice, if c satisfies (G) , then c is in $J(a, b) \wedge$

$CJ(a, b)$. Hence $a \wedge b \leq c \leq a \vee b$ [3, Theorem 1] and c satisfies (G^*) and (G^{**}) . Let L be a modular lattice. If c satisfies (G^*) , then c is in $J(a, b) \wedge [a \wedge b] \subset CJ(a, b)$ and c satisfies (G) ; if c satisfies (G^{**}) , then c is in $CJ(a, b) \wedge (a \vee b) \subset J(a, b)$, and c satisfies (G) . Thus we have shown that (G) , (G^*) , (G^{**}) are equivalent in a modular lattice. Conversely, let (G) and (G^*) be equivalent in L . Then we have $J(a, b) \wedge [a \wedge b] \subset CJ(a, b)$ for every pair a and b , and hence by Theorem 1 L is a modular lattice. If (G) and (G^{**}) are equivalent in L , we have $CJ(a, b) \wedge (a \vee b) \subset J(a, b)$ for every pair a and b , and hence by Theorem 1' L is a modular lattice. If (G^*) and (G^{**}) are equivalent in L , we have $J(a, b) \wedge [a \wedge b] \subset CJ(a, b)$ and $CJ(a, b) \wedge (a \vee b) \subset J(a, b)$ for every pair a and b , and L is a modular lattice.

THEOREM 2. *A necessary and sufficient condition for L to be a distributive lattice is that $J(a, b)$ be an ideal for every pair a and b . In this case we have $J(a, b) = (a \vee b)$.*

PROOF. In any lattice, $J(a, b) \subset (a \vee b)$ [3, Theorem 1] and for any two elements x and y in $J(a, b)$, $x \vee y$ is also in $J(a, b)$ [3, p. 549]. Let L be a distributive lattice and $x \leq t$, $t \in J(a, b)$. Then $(x \wedge a) \vee (x \wedge b) = x \wedge (a \vee b) = x$, and $x \in J(a, b)$. This shows that $J(a, b)$ is an ideal. If $J(a, b)$ is an ideal, $J(a, b) = (a \vee b)$ since $J(a, b)$ contains $a \vee b$. Conversely, let $J(a, b)$ be an ideal. Then $J(a, b) = (a \vee b)$. For any elements x , a and b in L , we have $x \wedge (a \vee b) \in J(a, b)$. Hence $x \wedge (a \vee b) = (x \wedge (a \vee b) \wedge a) \vee (x \wedge (a \vee b) \wedge b) = (x \wedge a) \vee (x \wedge b)$. This means that L is a distributive lattice.

Dually we have the following

THEOREM 2'. *For any pair a and b , $CJ(a, b)$ is a dual ideal if and only if L is a distributive lattice. In this case we have $CJ(a, b) = [a \wedge b]$.*

References

- [1] G. Birkhoff: Lattice Theory, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., New York (1948).
- [2] L. M. Blumenthal and D. O. Ellis: Notes on lattices, Duke Math. J., **16**, 585-590 (1949).
- [3] Yataro Matsushima: On the B -covers in lattices, Proc. Japan Acad., **32**, 549-553 (1956).
- [4] Yataro Matsushima: The geometry of lattices by B -covers, Proc. Japan Acad., **33**, 328-332 (1957).