

51. On Extreme Elements in Lattices

By Yatarō MATSUSHIMA

Gunma University, Maebashi

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., May 7, 1959)

In a series of papers [2-6] we have studied the concept of B -covers and B^* -covers in lattices. $B(a, b) = \{x \mid axb\}$, $B^*(a, b) = \{y \mid aby\}$, where axb means that $x = (a \cup x) \cap (b \cup x) = (a \cap x) \cup (b \cap x)$. $B(a, b)$ is called the B -cover of a and b . We shall say that an element e of a lattice L is an *extreme element* to an element x of L (or e is *extreme* to x) if $B^*(x, e) = e$. An element e is called *extreme* if it is *extreme* to some element of L . By $(a, b)E$ we shall mean that b is *extreme* to a (that is, $B^*(a, b) = b$). We shall call (a, b) an *extreme pair* when $(a, b)E$ and $(b, a)E$; we denote it by $(a, b)E_s$.

If $(a, b)E_s$ and a and b are comparable, then (a, b) equals (O, I) . Elements O, I satisfying OxI for all x are called "*extreme*" by G. Birkhoff [1]. If a and b are complemented, then $(a, b)E_s$ by our definition [Theorem 1]. In Theorem 2, we shall give a representation of a Boolean algebra by *maximal extreme B-covers*. If $(a, b)E$, then we shall be able to find out an *extreme pair* $(a_n, b)E_s$ by Theorem 4. If the space of a topological lattice is compact, then we shall call this space a *compact lattice*. After Birkhoff [1], a chain is complete if and only if it is topologically compact. If we denote by $E(a)$ the set of all elements which are extreme to an element a in a compact lattice, then we shall find some interesting properties of $E(a)$ [Theorems 7 and 8], and we shall prove that a *compact extreme lattice* which consists of *extreme elements* is a complemented lattice [Theorem 9].

Theorem 1. *If a and a' are complemented in a lattice, then $(a, a')E_s$.*

Proof. If $aa'x$, then we have $a' = (a \cap a') \cup (a' \cap x) = a' \cap x$, $a' = (a \cup a') \cap (a' \cup x) = a' \cup x$ from $a \cap a' = 0$, $a \cup a' = I$, and hence we have $a' = x$, thus we have $B^*(a, a') = a'$. Similarly we have $B^*(a', a) = a$. Hence we have $(a, a')E_s$. The converse of this theorem is not always true.

Lemma 1. $B(a, b) = B(a \cap b, a \cup b)$ in a distributive lattice.

Proof. This is proved by [3, Theorem 3].

Lemma 2. *In a Boolean algebra L , if $(a, b)E_s$, then $a \cup b = I$, $a \cap b = O$.*

Proof. Let a' be the complement of a , then $B(a, a') = B(a \cap a', a \cup a') = B(O, I) = L$ by Lemma 1. Hence $b \in B(a, a')$, that is, aba' .

Accordingly if $(a, b)E_s$, then $b = a'$.

Definition. $B(a, b)$ is called a *maximal extreme B-cover* if $(a, b)E_s$ and if there exists no *extreme B-cover* $B(c, d)$ such that $B(c, d) \supset B(a, b)$ but neither $B(a, b) = B(c, d)$ nor $B(c, d) = L$.

Theorem 2. In a Boolean algebra L , any extreme B-cover is a maximal extreme B-cover and $E(L) = L = B(a, a')$, where $E(L)$ is the set of extreme elements of L , and a' is the complement of a .

Proof. This is proved by Theorem 1 and Lemma 2.

Lemma 3. In a lattice axb implies $a \vee b \geq x \geq a \wedge b$.

Proof. Since $x = (a \vee x) \wedge (b \vee x) \geq x \vee (a \wedge b) \geq x$ we have $x \vee (a \wedge b) = x$, hence $x \geq a \wedge b$. Similarly we have $a \vee b \geq x$.

Lemma 4. acb implies $B^*(a, b) \subset B^*(c, b)$ in a lattice.

Proof. acb and abx imply cbx by [3, Lemma 4].

Lemma 5. $B^*(a, b) = B^*(a \vee b, b) \wedge B^*(a \wedge b, b)$ in a lattice.

Proof. Since $a \vee b \in B(a, b)$ we have $B^*(a, b) \subset B^*(a \vee b, b)$ by Lemma 4. Similarly $B^*(a, b) \subset B^*(a \wedge b, b)$. Conversely if x belongs to $B^*(a \vee b, b)$ and $B^*(a \wedge b, b)$, then $x \in B^*(a, b)$.

Lemma 6. If $x \in B^*(a, b)$, then $x \in B^*(a', b)$ for any a' such that $a \vee b \geq a' \geq a \wedge b$.

Proof. $B^*(a', b) = B^*(a' \vee b, b) \wedge B^*(a' \wedge b, b)$, $B^*(a, b) = B^*(a \vee b, b) \wedge B^*(a \wedge b, b)$ by Lemma 5, but $B^*(a \vee b, b) \subset B^*(a' \vee b, b)$, $B^*(a \wedge b, b) \subset B^*(a' \wedge b, b)$ by Lemma 4; hence we have $B^*(a, b) \subset B^*(a', b)$.

Lemma 7. If $(a, b)E$, then $(c, b)E$ for any c such that $c \vee b \geq a \vee b$, $c \wedge b \leq a \wedge b$.

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 6, we have $b \in B^*(c, b) \subset B^*(a, b) = b$, and hence $B^*(c, b) = b$, that is, $(c, b)E$.

Now we shall write $(a, b)E'$ when b is not extreme to a .

Theorem 3. In any lattice

(1) if $(a', b)E$, $(b', a)E$ for $a', b' \in B(a \wedge b, a \vee b)$, then we have $(a, b)E_s$;

(2) if b is not extreme for some c satisfying $c \vee b \geq a \vee b$, $c \wedge b \leq a \wedge b$, then $(a, b)E'$.

Proof. (1) If $(a', b)E$ for $a' \in B(a \wedge b, a \vee b)$, then we have $(a, b)E$ by Lemma 7, similarly we have $(b, a)E$. (2) is proved immediately from Lemma 6.

Theorem 4. In a lattice if $(a, b)E$ and $B^*(b, a) \ni a_1 \neq a$, then we have $(a_1, b)E$. Moreover if there exists $a_2 \neq a_1$ such that $B^*(b, a_1) \ni a_2$, then $(a_2, b)E$; thus if we find, by repeating this method, an element a_n such that $B^*(b, a_n) = a_n$, then $(a_n, b)E_s$.

Proof. If $B^*(b, a) \ni a_1$, then $b \vee a_1 \geq b \vee a$, $b \wedge a_1 \leq b \wedge a$ by Lemma 3 and hence $(a_1, b)E$ by Lemma 7. Similarly we have $(a_n, b)E$, and hence we have $(a_n, b)E_s$ together with $(b, a_n)E$.

Lemma 8. For $a \neq 0$, $E(a) \ni I$ if and only if there exists $x \neq I$

such that $a \smile x = I$.

Proof. If aIx , then $a \smile x \geq I$ by Lemma 3, and hence we have $a \smile x = I$. If $a \smile x = I$, then we have aIx by the definition.

Lemma 9. If $(x, I)E$, then $(y, I)E$ for $y \leq x$.

Proof. Suppose that $(y, I)E'$; then there exists u such that $y \smile u = I$, $u \neq I$ by Lemma 8, hence $x \smile u = I$ from $I = y \smile u \leq x \smile u$, this contradicts the hypothesis.

Lemma 10. If $(x, a)E$ and $(y, a)E$, then $(z, a)E$ for $y \leq z \leq x$.

Proof. Suppose that $(z, a)E'$; then there exists $u \neq a$ satisfying zau , so that $a = (z \smile a) \wedge (a \smile u) \geq (y \smile a) \wedge (a \smile u) \geq a$ and $a = (z \smile a) \smile (a \smile u) \leq (x \smile a) \smile (a \smile u) \leq a$. Hence we have ① $(y \smile a) \wedge (a \smile u) = a$ and ② $(x \smile a) \smile (a \smile u) = a$. In this case, (i) if $u \geq a$, then we have yau together with ① and (ii) if $u \leq a$, then we have xau together with ②, and (iii) when a and u are non-comparable, let $u_1 = a \smile u$, $u_2 = a \wedge u$, then we have yau_1 and xau_2 since zau implies zau_1 and zau_2 . In each case of (i), (ii), (iii), we have a contradiction to the hypothesis. Thus we have the assertion.

Theorem 5. Let $C = \{c \mid E(c) \ni O, I, a, b, \text{ where } (a, b)E_s\}$ in a lattice. If $C \ni x, y$ for $x \geq y$, then we have $z \in C$ for $x \geq z \geq y$.

Proof. This is a consequence of Lemmas 9 and 10.

Theorem 6. In a lattice if $(d, a)E$, $(e, b)E$ and $M = B^*(a, d) \wedge B^*(b, e)$, then $E(x) \ni a, b$ for $x \in M$.

Proof. If $(d, a)E$ and $B^*(a, d) \ni d_1$, then we have $(d_1, a)E$ by Th. 4. Similarly if $(e, b)E$ and $B^*(b, e) \ni e_1$, then we have $(e_1, b)E$.

Henceforth we shall assume that L is a compact lattice with O and I .

Theorem 7. In a compact lattice we have

(1) $E(c) = I$ if and only if $c = O$,

(2) $E(c) = \{O, I\}$ if and only if $L = (c] \smile [c)$, where $[c) = \{z \mid z \geq c\}$, $(c] = \{z \mid z \leq c\}$.

Proof. (1) Suppose that $E(c) = I$ and $c \neq O$; then there exists a non-comparable element b_1 to c satisfying $c \wedge b_1 = O$ since $E(c) \ni O$ by the dual of Lemma 8. Since $(c, b_1)E'$ by the hypothesis there exists b_2 such that $B^*(c, b_1) \ni b_2 \neq b_1$. From $(c \wedge b_1) \smile (b_1 \wedge b_2) = b_1$ and $c \wedge b_1 = O$ we have $b_2 > b_1$ and hence $b_2 \smile c \geq b_1 \smile c$, but $b_2 \smile c \neq b_1 \smile c$, for if $b_2 \smile c = b_1 \smile c$, then $B^*(c, b_1) = B^*(c \smile b_1, b_1) \wedge B^*(c \wedge b_1, b_1) = B^*(c \smile b_2, b_1) \wedge B^*(O, b_1) \ni b_2$ by Lemma 5, and hence $(c \smile b_2) \wedge b_1 = b_2$. On the other hand, $(c \smile b_2) \wedge b_1 = b_2$ from $b_1 < b_2 \leq c \smile b_2$, thus we have $b_1 = b_2$, a contradiction. Then we have $b_1 \smile c < b_2 \smile c$.

Similarly since $(c, b_2)E'$ there exists b_3 such that $B^*(c, b_2) \ni b_3 \neq b_2$ and $c \smile b_2 < c \smile b_3$. Accordingly we have an increasing chain $b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_n < \dots$, and hence $c \smile b_1 < c \smile b_2 < \dots < c \smile b_n < \dots$. Since L is a compact lattice, we have $b_n \rightarrow b_0$, and hence $c \smile b_n \rightarrow c \smile b_0$.

Furthermore we have cb_1b_3 , where b_3 is non-comparable to c , for $(c \vee b_1) \wedge (b_1 \vee b_3) = (c \vee b_1) \wedge b_3 = (c \vee b_1) \wedge (c \vee b_2) \wedge b_3 = (c \vee b_1) \wedge b_2 = b_1$ by cb_1b_2, cb_2b_3 . And if $b_3 \geq c$, then $(c \vee b_1) \wedge b_3 = c \vee b_1 \neq b_1$ contrary to cb_1b_3 , and if $c \geq b_3$, then $c \geq b_1$ contrary to the hypothesis, thus b_3 is non-comparable to c . Similarly we have cb_1b_n , where b_n is non-comparable to c , and cb_1b_n tends to cb_1b_0 as $b_n \rightarrow b_0$ since L is a compact lattice. Then, we have $b_1 \vee (c \wedge b_0) = b_1$, and hence b_0 is non-comparable to c . On the other hand, we have $(c, b_0)E$ from the meaning of least upper bound, this contradicts the hypothesis. Consequently we have $c = O$. The converse is trivial.

(2) We shall prove that there is no element which is non-comparable to c . Let b_1 be a non-comparable element to c .

Since $E(c) = \{O, I\}$ we have $c \wedge b_1 > O, c \vee b_1 < I$ and $(c, b_1)E'$, hence there exists $d \neq b_1$ satisfying $B^*(c, b_1) \ni d$. If $d > b_1$, let $d \equiv b_2$ and if $d < b_1$, then let $b'_2 \equiv d$. If d is non-comparable to b_1 , then let $b_2 \equiv b_1 \vee d, b'_2 \equiv b_1 \wedge d$. In these cases b_2 and b'_2 are both non-comparable to c and $b_1 \vee c < b_2 \vee c, b_1 \wedge c < b'_2 \wedge c$ as in (1).

Repeating this method we have two chains, increasing and decreasing, as follows:

$b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_n < \dots; b_1 > b'_2 > \dots > b'_n > \dots$, where b_n and b'_n are non-comparable to c and $cb_1b_2, cb_1b_3, \dots, cb_1b_n, \dots$ and $cb_1b'_2, cb_1b'_3, \dots, cb_1b'_n, \dots$ (it may happen that one of those sequences does not occur).

Since L is a compact lattice $cb_1b_n \rightarrow cb_1b_0$ and $cb_1b'_n \rightarrow cb_1b'_0$ as $b_n \rightarrow b_0$ and $b'_n \rightarrow b'_0$ respectively, where b_0 and b'_0 are non-comparable to c and $(c, b_0)E, (c, b'_0)E$ in the same way as in (1). This is a contradiction, thus we have the assertion of (2).

Theorem 8. *In a compact lattice $E(a) = b$ implies $a \vee b = I, a \wedge b = O$.*

Proof. Since it is obtained by (1) Th. 7 in case $b = I$, we may prove in case $b \neq O, I$, whence $a \neq O, I$. From $E(a) \ni O, I$ there exists b_1, b'_1 such that $a \vee b_1 = I, a \wedge b'_1 = O$. When $a \wedge b_1 = O$ or $a \vee b'_1 = I$ we have $b = b_1 = b'_1$ satisfying $a \vee b = I$ and $a \wedge b = O$ from $E(a) = b$ and Theorem 1.

If b_1, b'_1 are both distinct from b , that is, $a \wedge b_1 > O$ and $a \vee b'_1 < I$, then $B^*(a, b_1) \ni b_2$ such that $b_2 < b_1, a \wedge b_2 < a \wedge b_1$ and $B^*(a, b'_1) \ni b'_2$ such that $b'_2 > b'_1, a \vee b'_2 > a \vee b'_1$ since $a \vee b_1 = I, a \wedge b'_1 = O$ and $E(a) \ni b_1, b'_1$. Moreover since $a \vee b_2 \geq a \vee b_1 = I$ and $a \wedge b'_2 \leq a \wedge b'_1 = O$ from $ab_1b_2, ab'_1b'_2$ by Lemma 3, we have $a \vee b_2 = I$ and $a \wedge b'_2 = O$. If $a \wedge b_2 > O$ and $a \vee b'_2 < I$, then repeating this method we have increasing and decreasing chains $\{b_n\}$ and $\{b'_n\}$, where

$$a \wedge b_1 > a \wedge b_2 > \dots > a \wedge b_n > \dots; a \vee b'_1 < a \vee b'_2 < \dots < a \vee b'_n < \dots;$$

$$a \vee b_1 = a \vee b_2 = \dots = I, a \wedge b'_1 = a \wedge b'_2 = \dots = O.$$

If $b_n \rightarrow b_0$ and $b'_n \rightarrow b'_0$, then since L is a topological lattice we have

$E(a) \ni b_0, b'_0$ in the same way as in (2), Th. 7 and $a_0 \cup b_0 = I, a_0 \cap b'_0 = O$. Thus we have $b_0 = b'_0 = b$, satisfying $a \cup b = I, a \cap b = O$, this completes the proof.

Now we shall call a lattice L an *extreme lattice* when every element of L is *extreme*.

Lemma 11. $xya, xyb, a \geq c \geq b$ imply xyz .

Proof. By xya, xyb and $a \geq c \geq b$ we have

$$y = (x \cup y) \cap (y \cup b) \leq (x \cup y) \cap (y \cup c) \leq (x \cup y) \cap (y \cup a) = y,$$

$$y = (x \cap y) \cup (y \cap b) \leq (x \cap y) \cup (y \cap c) \leq (x \cap y) \cup (y \cap a) = y,$$

and hence we have xyz .

Lemma 12. In case $a \geq b, a \neq I$ and $b \neq O$, if there exists z such that $x \cup z = I, x \cap z = O$ for any $x \in B(a, b)$, then $\{B(a, b), z\}$ is an *extreme lattice*. In this case if there exists y such that $x_1 \cup z = y < I$ for some $x_1 \in B(a, b)$, then $\{B(a, b), z\}$ is not an *extreme lattice*.

Proof. The first part of this theorem is obtained from Theorem 1. In the latter part, since $z \cup a = I$ from the hypothesis we have $(z \cup y) \cap (y \cap a) = z \cup (y \cap a) \leq (z \cup y) \cap (z \cup a) = z \cup y = y$, and hence from $y \cap a \in B(a, b)$ we have $z \cup (y \cap a) = y$ since $x \cup z = I$ or $x \cup z = y$ for $x \in B(a, b)$. Thus we have zya . We have zyb from $z \cup b = y$. Accordingly by Lemma 11 we have zyc for $c \in B(a, b)$, that is, y is not an *extreme element*.

Theorem 9. A compact lattice which is an *extreme lattice* is a *complemented lattice*.

Proof. Let L be an *extreme lattice*; then if we take $c \neq O, I$ of L , then there exists $x_1 \in L$ such that $(x_1, c)E$.

Case I. If $B^*(c, x_1) = x_1$ and if $c \cup x_1 = I, c \cap x_1 = O$, then x_1 is the complement of c . If $c \cup x_1 < I, c \cap x_1 > O$, then let $c \cup x_1 = a, c \cap x_1 = b$. In this case there exists z such that $z \cup a = I$ and $z \cap a = O$, for otherwise L is not an *extreme lattice* by Lemma 12. Hence we have $z \cup c = I, z \cap c = O$ by Lemma 12.

Case II. $B^*(c, x_1) \ni x_2, \dots, B^*(c, x_{n-1}) \ni x_n, \dots$. Since L is a compact lattice $c \cap x_n \rightarrow c \cap x_0$ and $c \cup x_n \rightarrow c \cup x_0$ as x_n tends to x_0 . From $cx_1x_2, cx_2x_3, \dots, cx_{n-1}x_n, \dots$, we have

$$c \cup x_1 \leq c \cup x_2 \leq \dots \leq c \cup x_n \leq \dots \leq c \cup x_0;$$

$$c \cap x_1 \geq c \cap x_2 \geq \dots \geq c \cap x_n \geq \dots \geq c \cap x_0 \text{ by Lemma 3.}$$

Thus we have $(c, x_0)E$. Then if $c \cup x_0 < I, c \cap x_0 > O$, we can find the complement of c in the same way as in Case I.

References

- [1] G. Birkhoff: *Lattice Theory*, rev. ed., New York (1948).
- [2] L. M. Kelly: The geometry of normed lattice, *Duke Math. J.*, **19** (1952).
- [3] Y. Matsushima: On the B -covers in lattices, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **32** (1956).

- [4] Y. Matsushima: The geometry of lattices by B -covers, Proc. Japan Acad., **33** (1957).
- [5] Y. Matsushima: On B -covers and the notion of independence in lattices, Proc. Japan Acad., **33** (1957).
- [6] Y. Matsushima: On the relations "semi-between" and "parallel" in lattices, Proc. Japan Acad., **34** (1958).