

## 50. *Between-topology on a Distributive Lattice*

By Yatarō MATSUSHIMA

Gunma University, Maebashi

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., May 7, 1959)

1. It is well known that the interval topology of a lattice  $L$  is defined by taking the closed intervals  $[a]=\{x \mid x \geq a\}$ ,  $(a]=\{x \mid x \leq a\}$  and  $[a, b]=\{x \mid a \leq x \leq b\}$  as a sub-basis for closed sets. In [1-2] we have considered the concept of  $B$ -covers in lattices. For any two elements  $a$  and  $b$  of a lattice  $L$ , let

$B(a, b) = \{x \mid (a \vee x) \wedge (b \vee x) = x = (a \wedge x) \vee (b \wedge x)\}$ ; then  $B(a, b)$  is called the  $B$ -cover of  $a$  and  $b$ , and we write  $axb$  when  $x \in B(a, b)$ . Let  $B^*(a, b) = \{x \mid abx\}$ .

Now we shall define the *between-topology* on  $L$  as follows. By the  $B$ -topology ( $B^*$ -topology) of a lattice  $L$ , we mean that defined by taking the sets  $B(a, b)$  ( $B^*(a, b)$ ) as a sub-basis of closed sets.

In Theorem 1 we shall prove that the  $B$ -topology coincides with the interval topology in case  $L$  is a distributive lattice with  $O, I$ . It is shown in Theorem 2 that  $L_0$  is a topological lattice in its  $B^*$ -topology when  $L_0$  is a distributive lattice such that for any subset  $B(a, b)$  of  $L_0$ , if  $x, y \in B(a, b)$ , then  $a \wedge x$  and  $a \wedge y$ ;  $b \wedge x$  and  $b \wedge y$  are comparable respectively.

E. S. Wolk [5] has defined that a subset  $X$  of a lattice  $L$  is *diverse* if and only if  $x \in S$ ,  $y \in S$ , and  $x \neq y$  imply that  $x$  and  $y$  are non-comparable. He showed that if  $L$  contains no infinite *diverse* set then  $L$  is a Hausdorff space in its interval topology.

Now we shall consider a distributive lattice  $L_0$  with  $O, I$  satisfying the same assumption as in Theorem 2. Then in Theorem 3 we shall prove, by using the concept of the  $B$ -covers instead of that of *diverse* sets, that a certain type of  $L_0$  is a Hausdorff space in its interval topology. This theorem is concerned with the Problem 23 of Birkhoff [3].

A *mob* is defined as a Hausdorff space with a continuous associative multiplication. In Theorem 4 we shall show that a distributive lattice  $L_0$  with  $O, I$  such that  $L_0 = B(a_0, b_0)$  is a *mob* with the desired *kernel*  $B(a, b)$  and with the multiplication defined as follows:

$$xy = (a \vee x) \wedge (b \vee y) \text{ for the fixed two elements } a, b \text{ of } L.$$

2. Lemma 1. *In a distributive lattice,  $x \in B(a, b)$  if and only if  $a \wedge b \leq x \leq a \vee b$ .*

Proof. This is proved in [1, Theorem 3].

Theorem 1. *In a distributive lattice  $L$  with  $O, I$  the  $B$ -topology*

coincides with the interval topology.

Proof. By Lemma 1  $B(a, b) = [a \wedge b, a \vee b]$ . On the other hand,  $[a]$ ,  $(a)$  and  $[a, b]$  are expressed by the sets of the type  $B(a, b)$ . Indeed  $[a] = B(a, I)$ ,  $(a) = B(O, a)$  and  $[a, b] = B(a, b)$ .

Lemma 2. In a lattice  $L$ ,  $B^*(a, b) \ni x \vee y$  implies  $x \in B^*(a \vee b, b)$  and  $y \in B^*(a \vee b, b)$ .  $B^*(a, b) \ni x \wedge y$  implies  $x \in B^*(a \wedge b, b)$  and  $y \in B^*(a \wedge b, b)$ .

Proof. Suppose that  $x \in B^*(a \vee b, b)$ ; then  $(a \vee b) \wedge (b \vee x)$  does not equal to  $b$ , and hence we have  $(a \vee b) \wedge (b \vee x) > b$ . It follows that  $ab(x \vee y)$  does not hold since  $(a \vee b) \wedge (b \vee x \vee y) \geq (a \vee b) \wedge (b \vee x) > b$ . Thus either  $x \in B^*(a \vee b, b)$  or  $y \in B^*(a \vee b, b)$  implies  $x \vee y \in B^*(a, b)$ , that is,  $x \vee y \in B^*(a, b)$  implies  $x \in B^*(a \vee b, b)$  and  $y \in B^*(a \vee b, b)$ . Dually  $x \wedge y \in B^*(a, b)$  implies  $x \in B^*(a \wedge b, b)$  and  $y \in B^*(a \wedge b, b)$ .

Lemma 3. In a distributive lattice  $L$ , if  $x \in B^*(a \vee b, b)$  and  $y \in B^*(a, b)$ , then  $x \vee y$  belongs to  $B^*(a, b)$ . Dually  $x \in B^*(a \wedge b, b)$  and  $y \in B^*(a, b)$  imply  $x \wedge y \in B^*(a, b)$ .

Proof. Since  $L$  is distributive we have  $(a \vee b) \wedge x \leq b \leq a \vee b \vee x$ ,  $a \wedge y \leq b \leq a \vee y$  by Lemma 1. Then  $b \leq a \vee b \vee x \vee y = a \vee x \vee y$  since  $a \vee y \geq b$  as above.  $b \geq (a \vee b) \wedge x \geq a \wedge x$ ,  $b \geq a \wedge y$  imply  $b \geq (a \wedge x) \vee (a \wedge y) = a \wedge (x \vee y)$ . Thus we have  $ab(x \vee y)$  by Lemma 1. Similarly we have the dual case.

Lemma 4. Let  $L_0$  be a distributive lattice satisfying the following condition (A):

(A) For any subset  $B(a, b)$  in  $L_0$ , if  $x, y \in B(a, b)$ , then  $a \wedge x$  and  $a \wedge y$ ;  $b \wedge x$  and  $b \wedge y$  are comparable respectively.

Then in  $L_0$   $x, y \in B^*(a, b)$  and  $x, y \in B^*(a \vee b, b)$  imply  $x \vee y \in B^*(a, b)$  and  $x \wedge y \in B^*(a \vee b, b)$ .

Proof. From  $x \in B^*(a \vee b, b)$  and  $x \in B^*(a, b)$  we have  $(a \wedge b) \vee (b \wedge x) < b$ . Similarly  $(a \wedge b) \vee (b \wedge y) < b$ . Put  $P = (a \wedge b) \vee (b \wedge x)$ ,  $Q = (a \wedge b) \vee (b \wedge y)$ . Then  $(a \vee P) \wedge (b \vee P) = (a \vee ((a \wedge b) \vee (b \wedge x))) \wedge (b \vee ((a \wedge b) \vee (b \wedge x))) = (a \vee (b \wedge x)) \wedge b = (a \wedge b) \vee (b \wedge x) = P$ , and  $(a \vee P) \vee (b \vee P) = (a \vee ((a \wedge b) \vee (b \wedge x))) \vee (b \vee ((a \wedge b) \vee (b \wedge x))) = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge b \wedge x) \vee (a \wedge b) \vee (b \wedge x) = (a \wedge b) \vee (b \wedge x) = P$  by distributive law, that is,  $P \in B(a, b)$ . Similarly  $Q \in B(a, b)$ . Hence  $b \wedge P = P$  and  $b \wedge Q = Q$  are comparable by the hypothesis. Accordingly we have  $(a \wedge b) \vee (b \wedge (x \vee y)) = (a \wedge b) \vee (b \wedge x) \vee (a \wedge b) \vee (b \wedge y) = P \vee Q < b$  since either  $P \leq Q < b$  or  $Q \leq P < b$ , that is,  $x \vee y \in B^*(a, b)$ . It is easily shown that  $x \wedge y \in B^*(a \vee b, b)$  from  $x, y \in B^*(a \vee b, b)$ .

Theorem 2. Let  $L_0$  be a distributive lattice satisfying the condition (A), then  $L_0$  is a topological lattice in its  $B^*$ -topology.

Proof. We shall prove the continuity of the join operation  $x \vee y$ . By Lemmas 2, 3 and 4 we have  $x \vee y \in B^*(a, b)$  if and only if one of the following conditions occurs:

- (1)  $x \in B^*(a, b)$  and  $y \in B^*(a, b)$ ;

$$(2) \quad x \bar{\in} B^*(a \smile b, b);$$

$$(3) \quad y \bar{\in} B^*(a \smile b, b).$$

Hence we can prove the continuity of  $x \smile y$ . Similarly we can prove the continuity of  $x \frown y$ .

3. Definition. When  $B^*(a, b) = b$  for some  $a$  in a lattice, we shall say that  $b$  is extreme for  $a$ , and denote this fact by  $(a, b)E$ .  $(a, b)$  is called an extreme pair when  $B^*(a, b) = b$  and  $B^*(b, a) = a$ ; in this case we shall write  $(a, b)E_s$ .

Lemma 5. If  $a$  and  $a'$  are complemented, then  $(a, a')E_s$ .

Proof. If  $aa'x$ , then  $a' = (a \wedge a') \vee (a' \wedge x) = a' \wedge x$ ,  $a' = (a \vee a') \wedge (a' \vee x) = a' \vee x$  from  $a \wedge a' = O$ ,  $a \vee a' = I$ , and hence  $a' = x$ . Similarly if  $a'ax$ , then  $a = x$ .

Lemma 6. If  $(a, b)E_s$ , then  $a$  does not belong to any  $B(a', b)$  such that  $a \neq a'$  and  $a', b$  are non-comparable.

Proof. If  $a \in B(a', b)$ , then  $a'ab$ , that is,  $a' \in B^*(b, a)$ , this contradicts  $(a, b)E_s$ .

If  $(a, b)$  is a non-comparable pair which is  $(a, b)E_s$ ,  $B(a, b)$  is called a maximal extreme  $B$ -cover.

Hereafter let  $L_0$  be a distributive lattice with  $O, I$  satisfying the condition (A).

Lemma 7. If  $L_0$  consists of a finite number of maximal extreme  $B$ -covers and a chain, then  $L_0$  is uniquely expressed as follows:

(B)  $L = \sum_{i=1}^n B(a_i, b_i) + C$ ,\*) where  $B(a_i, b_i)$  are maximal extreme  $B$ -covers such that  $a_i, b_i$  are non-comparable, and  $C$  is a chain.

Proof. It is proved from Lemmas 1, 5 and 6 and the condition (A).

Lemma 8. If  $B(a, b) \ni x$  in a distributive lattice  $L_0$ , then  $B(a, b) = B(a, b \frown x) \vee B(b, a \smile x)$ .

Proof. If we take  $y \in B(a, b \frown x) \vee B(b, a \smile x)$ , then  $a \wedge b \leq y \leq a \vee b$ , hence  $y \in B(a, b)$ . Conversely if we take  $y \in B(a, b)$  then  $b \frown y \geq b \frown x$  implies  $a \vee y \geq a \vee x$ , since  $a \vee (b \frown y) \geq a \vee (b \frown x)$ , and  $a \vee (b \frown y) = (a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee y) = a \vee y$  and  $a \vee (b \frown x) = a \vee x$ . Similarly  $a \vee x \geq a \vee y$  implies  $b \frown x \geq b \frown y$ . Accordingly we have either  $b \frown y \geq b \frown x$  or  $a \vee x \geq a \vee y$  since  $b \frown x$  and  $b \frown y$  are comparable in  $L_0$ . In the first case we have  $a \vee b \geq y \geq b \frown x$ , that is,  $y \in B(b, a \smile x)$ , and in the second case we have  $a \vee x \geq y \geq a \vee b$ , that is,  $y \in B(a, b \frown x)$ .

Lemma 9. If  $L_0 = B(a_0, b_0)$ , where  $a_0, b_0$  are non-comparable extreme pair, then  $L_0$  is a Hausdorff space in its interval topology.

Proof. Let  $a, b$  be distinct elements of  $L_0$ . From [4] we can prove that there is a covering of  $L_0$  by means of a finite number of closed intervals such that no interval contains both  $a$  and  $b$ .

\*)  $\Sigma, +$  denote the set-theoretical unions.

(a) The case where  $a, b$  are non-comparable

Since  $L_0$  is distributive and  $a, b \in L_0 = B(a_0, b_0)$ , we have either  $a_0ab$  or  $a_0ba$  by (A). We shall consider first the case  $a_0ab$ . Then  $abb_0$  by [1, Lemma 3]. In this case  $L_0$  is represented in the following form by Lemma 8.

$$L_0 = B(a_0, a) \cup B(b, b_0) \cup [a] \cup [b] \cup (a \cup b) \cup B(a, b). \quad (1)$$

In (1), if  $B(a, b) = \{a, b, a \wedge b, a \vee b\}$ , then we have  $B(a, b) = [a, a \vee b] \cup [a \wedge b, b]$ , and if  $B(a, b)$  contains  $x$  which is distinct from  $a, b, a \wedge b$  and  $a \vee b$ , then we have  $B(a, b) = B(a, b \wedge x) \cup B(b, a \vee x)$  by Lemma 8. Thus we have a covering of  $L_0$  which has a desired form. In case  $a_0ba$  we can proceed similarly.

Consequently we have a covering of  $L_0$  by means of a finite number of closed intervals such that no interval contains both  $a$  and  $b$ .

(b) The case where  $a, b$  are comparable

Suppose that  $a > b$ . If there is no  $x$  such that  $a > x > b$ , then one of the following coverings of  $L_0$  is desired form by [2, §4 (3)].

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 &= B(a, b_0) \cup B(a_0, b) \cup [a] \cup (b), \\ L_0 &= B(a, a_0) \cup B(b, b_0) \cup [a] \cup (b). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

If there is  $x$  such that  $a > x > b$ , then put

$$L_0 = B(x, a_0) \cup B(x, b_0) \cup [x] \cup (x). \quad (3)$$

In (3), if  $B(x, a_0)$  contains both  $a$  and  $b$ , then we shall divide it into parts as follows. If there is no  $y$  such that  $a > y > x$ , then let  $B(a_0, x) = B(a, a_0) \cup B(b, a_0) \cup [b, x]$ . In case there is  $y$  such that  $a > y > x$ , then let  $B(a_0, x) = B(y, a_0) \cup (y)$ , then we shall have the desired intervals.

If  $B(x, b_0)$  contains both  $a$  and  $b$  in (3), we shall be able to divide it into the desired intervals similarly.

**Theorem 3.** *If  $L_0$  is a distributive lattice with  $O, I$  and if it satisfies the conditions (A) and (B), then  $L_0$  is a Hausdorff space in its interval topology.*

**Proof.** The theorem follows immediately from Lemmas 7 and 9.

4. Now we shall introduce a multiplication in a distributive lattice.

**Definition.** We shall define  $xy = (a \vee x) \wedge (b \vee y)$  for fixed two elements  $a, b$  of  $L$ .

**Lemma 10.**  $x(yz) = (xy)z$  in  $L$ .

**Proof.**  $x(yz) = (a \vee x) \wedge (b \vee ((a \vee y) \wedge (b \vee z))) = (a \vee x) \wedge (a \vee b \vee y) \wedge (b \vee z)$ ,  $(xy)z = (a \vee ((a \vee x) \wedge (b \vee y))) \wedge (b \vee z) = (a \vee x) \wedge (a \vee b \vee y) \wedge (b \vee z)$ .

**Lemma 11.** *If  $x \in B(a, b)$  and  $y \in L$ , then we have*

$$(1) \quad xx = x,$$

$$(2) \quad xy \in B(a, b), \quad yx \in B(a, b).$$

**Proof.** Since (1) is immediate from the definition, we shall prove (2).

$$(a \vee xy) \wedge (b \vee yx) = (a \vee ((a \vee x) \wedge (b \vee y))) \wedge (b \vee ((a \vee x) \wedge (b \vee y))) = (a \vee x)$$

$\wedge(a \smile b \smile y) \wedge (a \smile b \smile x) \wedge (b \smile y) = (a \smile x) \wedge (b \smile y) = xy$ ; similarly  $(a \wedge xy) \smile (b \wedge xy) = xy$ . Thus  $L$  is a semigroup with the kernel  $B(a, b)$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $L_0$  be a distributive lattice with  $O, I$  such that  $L_0 = B(a_0, b_0)$  satisfies the condition (A), where  $a_0, b_0$  are non-comparable extreme pair. Then the multiplication  $xy = (a \smile x) \wedge (b \smile y)$  is continuous in its interval topology, that is,  $L_0$  is a mob which has the desired kernel  $B(a, b)$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $xy = (a \smile x) \wedge (b \smile y)$  belongs to some  $B$ -cover  $B(c, d)$ . Since  $a, b, c, d \in B(a_0, b_0)$  we shall prove the continuity for  $xy$  in case  $a_0ab, acb, adb$ , and  $acd$ . Then  $a_0ab, adb$  imply  $a_0ad$  by [1, Lemma 4] and  $a_0ad, acd$  imply  $a_0cd$  by [1, Lemma 8]. Hence  $cdb_0$  by [1, Lemma 3]. By [1, Lemma 2] we have  $a_0 \smile d \geq a_0 \smile c$ ,  $b_0 \smile c \geq b_0 \smile d$  and  $a_0 \wedge c \geq a_0 \wedge d$  and  $b_0 \wedge d \geq b_0 \wedge c$ .

From  $a_0 \smile (b_0 \wedge c) = a_0 \smile c$ ,  $a_0 \smile (b_0 \wedge d) = a_0 \smile d$ ,  $(a_0 \smile d) \wedge (b_0 \smile c) = c \smile d$ ,  $(a_0 \smile c) \wedge (b_0 \smile d) = c \wedge d$  and [2, § 4 (3)], if  $x \bar{\in} B(b_0 \wedge c, a_0 \smile d)$ , then we have either  $a_0 \smile x > a_0 \smile d$  or  $a_0 \smile x < a_0 \smile c$ .

If  $a_0 \smile x > a_0 \smile d$ , then we have  $a \smile x > a \smile d$  and  $(a_0 \smile x) \wedge b_0 > b_0 \wedge d$  by [2, § 4 (3)], hence  $xy \in B(a_0, b_0) - (a_0 \smile d)$  since  $a \smile x, b \in B(a_0, b_0) - (a_0 \smile d)$ , and if  $a_0 \smile x < a_0 \smile c$ , then we have  $xy \bar{\in} B(c, d)$  similarly.  $y \bar{\in} B(a_0 \wedge d, b_0 \smile c)$  implies  $xy \bar{\in} B(c, d)$  in the same way. Hence  $x \bar{\in} B(b_0 \wedge c, a_0 \smile d)$  or  $y \bar{\in} B(a_0 \wedge d, b_0 \smile c)$  implies  $xy \bar{\in} B(c, d)$ .

Conversely if  $x \in B(b_0 \wedge c, a_0 \smile d)$  and  $y \in B(a_0 \wedge d, b_0 \smile c)$ , then  $xy \in B(c, d)$ , that is,  $xy \bar{\in} B(c, d)$  implies  $x \bar{\in} B(b_0 \wedge c, a_0 \smile d)$  or  $y \bar{\in} B(a_0 \wedge d, b_0 \smile c)$ . This completes the proof.

**Corollary.** *Let  $L_0$  be a distributive lattice with  $O, I$  satisfying the conditions (A) and (B); then  $L_0$  is a mob.*

### References

- [1] Y. Matsushima: On the  $B$ -covers in lattices, Proc. Japan Acad., **32**, 549-553 (1956).
- [2] Y. Matsushima: The geometry of lattices by  $B$ -covers, Proc. Japan Acad., **33**, 328-332 (1957).
- [3] G. Birkhoff: Lattice Theory, rev. ed., New York (1948).
- [4] E. H. Northam: The interval topology of a lattice, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **4**, 824-827 (1953).
- [5] E. S. Wolk: Order-compatible topology on a partially ordered set, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **9**, 524-529 (1958).