# 47. On the Spectral-resolutions of Quasi-compact Elements in a $\mathrm{B}^{*}$-algebra 

By Yoshimichi Mibu<br>(Comm. by K. Kunugi, m.J.A., May 7, 1959)

Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be a complex Banach algebra . with unit element $e$. We shall consider the inverse of $\lambda e-a$ as a function of $\lambda$ for a fixed $a \in \mathfrak{B}$. According as $\lambda e-a$ is regular or singular in $\mathfrak{B}$, we say that $\lambda$ belongs to the resolvent set $\rho(a)$ or the spectrum $\sigma(a)$ of $a$. And a non-void set $\sigma$ is called a spectral set of $a$ if $\sigma$ is a subset of $\sigma(a)$ and $\sigma$ is both open and closed in $\sigma(a)$. For $\lambda$ in $\rho(a)$ the inverse of $\lambda e-a$ exists; it is denoted by $R(\lambda ; a)$ and is called the resolvent of $a$. It is well known that the resolvent set $\rho(a)$ of $a$ is open and in each of its components $R(\lambda ; a)$ is a regular function of $\lambda$. The following theorem is proved in [1, pp. 105-107 (Theorems 5.11.1 and 5.11.2)].

Theorem A. Let $\sigma(a)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i}$ where each $\sigma_{i}$ is a spectral set of $a$ and $\sigma_{i \frown} \sigma_{j}=0$ when $i \neq j$. Let us suppose that closed Jordan curves $\Gamma_{i}, i=1,2, \cdots, n$, satisfy the following conditions:
(i) For each $i(1 \leqq i \leqq n) \sigma_{i}$ is contained in the open domain $\mathfrak{D}_{i}$ which is bounded by $\Gamma_{i}$.
(ii) $\mathfrak{D}_{i \frown} \mathfrak{D}_{j}=0$ when $i \neq j$.
(iii) Each $\Gamma_{i}$ has the positive orientation, that is, the domain $\mathfrak{D}_{i}$ lies to the left of $\Gamma_{i}$.
If we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{i}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{i}} R(\zeta ; \alpha) d \zeta \quad \text { and } \quad a_{i}=J_{i} a, i=1,2, \cdots, n, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} J_{i}=e, \quad J_{i}^{2}=J_{i}, \quad J_{i} J_{j}=\theta, \quad i \neq j, \quad J_{i} \neq \theta, e, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}=a . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, the spectrum $\sigma\left(a_{i}\right)$ of $\alpha_{i}$ is $\sigma_{i}$ in addition to $\lambda=0$, that is, $\sigma\left(a_{i}\right)=\sigma_{i} \smile\{0\}$. In particular, if $\sigma_{i}$ is a single point $\lambda_{i}$, then an element $J_{i}\left(a-\lambda_{i} e\right)$ is quasi-nilpotent (or nilpotent).

First, under the assumption that $\mathfrak{B}$ is a commutative $B^{*}$-algebra (see Definition 1 below), we shall extend the above theorem to a case in which the spectrum $\sigma(a)$ of $a$ consists of infinitely many components. Next, by using this extension, we shall offer a new proof for the spectral resolution theorem of compact normal operators in Hilbert spaces.

Definition 1. A Banach algebra $\mathfrak{B}$ in which every element $a$ has an adjoint $a^{*}$ with $(\alpha a+\beta b)^{*}=\bar{\alpha} a^{*}+\bar{\beta} b^{*},(a b)^{*}=b^{*} a^{*}, \quad a^{* *}=a$, and
$\left\|a^{*} a\right\|=\|a\|^{2}$ is called a $B^{*}$-algebra (see [1, p. 499]).
Lemma 1. If $a$ is an element in a $B^{*}$-algebra $\mathfrak{B}$ such that $a^{*} a$ $=a a^{*}$, then we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|a^{n}\right\|^{1 / n}=\|a\|$. Hence any commutative $B^{*}$-algebra has no quasi-nilpotent element except for $\theta$.

The proof is omitted.
Definition 2. Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be a Banach algebra with unit element $e$. An element $a \in \mathfrak{B}$ is called a quasi-compact element if the spectrum $\sigma(\alpha)$ consists of finite points or an infinitely many points $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}, \cdots$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}=0$.

Lemma 2. Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be a commutative $B^{*}$-algebra with unit element $e$ and $a$ an element in $\mathfrak{B}$. If $R(\lambda ; a)$ is regular for $|\lambda|>R$, then we have $\|a\| \leqq R$.

Proof. Since $R(\lambda ; a)=(\lambda e-a)^{-1}=\lambda^{-1}(e-a / \lambda)^{-1}$, we have easily

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\lambda ; a)=e \lambda^{-1}+\sum_{1}^{\infty} a^{n} \lambda^{-n-1} \quad \text { for }|\lambda|>\|a\| . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the right hand of the above equation is convergent for $|\lambda|>\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|a^{n}\right\|^{1 / n}$ and is divergent for $|\lambda|<\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|a^{n}\right\|^{1 / n}$. This implies that $\{\lambda ;|\lambda|>R\} \subseteq\left\{\lambda ;|\lambda|>\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|a^{n}\right\|^{1 / n}\right\}$, that is, $R \geqq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|a^{n}\right\|^{1 / n}=\|a\|$ (see Lemma 1). The lemma is thereby proved.

Theorem B. Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be a commutative $B^{*}$-algebra with unit element $e$. If $a \in \mathfrak{B}$ is a quasi-compact element, $a$ is expressible in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} J_{i} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{i}$ is an idempotent element with $J_{i} J_{j}=\theta, i \neq j$ and $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots\right\}$ is a finite set or an enumerable set such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}=0$.

Proof. Let $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots\right\}$ be the spectrum of $a$ except for 0 . By the assumption of the lemma the set $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots\right\}$ is finite or enumerable (see Definition 2). Suppose that the spectrum $\sigma(a)$ is infinite. Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \geqq\left|\lambda_{2}\right| \geqq \cdots \geqq\left|\lambda_{n}\right| \geqq \cdots \quad . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have clearly $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|=0$. Let $\Gamma_{i}$ be the circle of center $\lambda_{i}$ and radius $\varepsilon_{i}$, where $\varepsilon_{i}$ is a positive number such that

We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \varepsilon_{i}<\operatorname{Min}\left\{\inf _{j \neq i}\left|\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}\right|, \inf _{\left|\lambda_{j}\right| \neq\left|\lambda_{i}\right|}\left|\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|-\left|\lambda_{j}\right|\right)\right|\right\} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{i}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{i}} R(\zeta ; a) d \zeta, \quad i=1,2, \cdots \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For an arbitrary number $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a natural number $N$ such that
(8) $\quad\left|\lambda_{N}\right| \geqq \varepsilon, \quad\left|\lambda_{N+1}\right|<\varepsilon$.

We set $\delta=\left(\left|\lambda_{N}\right|+\left|\lambda_{N+1}\right|\right) / 2$ and let $\Gamma_{\delta}$ be the circle of center origin
and radius $\delta$. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\bar{\delta}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\delta}} R(\zeta ; a) d s \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In virtue of (6) we can easily see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{D}_{\partial} \frown \mathfrak{D}_{i}=0, i=1,2, \cdots, N \quad \text { and } \quad \mathfrak{D}_{i \frown} \mathfrak{D}_{j}=0, i \neq j, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{D}_{\dot{\delta}}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{i}$ are open domains which are bounded by $\Gamma_{\delta}$ and $\Gamma_{i}$ respectively. Hence by Theorem A we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{1}+J_{2}+\cdots+J_{N}+J_{\delta}=e\left(a=\sum_{i=1}^{N} J_{i} a+J_{\grave{\delta}} a\right), J_{i} J_{j}=\theta, i \neq j, \quad J_{i} J_{\grave{\delta}}=\theta \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(J_{\dot{\partial}} a\right)=\left\{0, \lambda_{N+1}, \lambda_{N+2}, \cdots\right\} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (12), (8) and Lemma 2 that $\left\|J_{\delta} a\right\| \leqq \varepsilon$. This implies that $a=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} J_{i} a$. Furthermore, from Theorem A $\bar{a}_{i}=J_{i}\left(a-\lambda_{i} e\right)$ is quasinilpotent (or nilpotent). Hence by Lemma $1 \bar{a}_{i}=J_{i}\left(\alpha-\lambda_{i} e\right)=\theta$, that is, $J_{i} a=\lambda_{i} J_{i}$. Consequently, we have $a=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{i} J_{i}$. When $\sigma(a)$ is finite, we have similarly $a=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} J_{i}$. Thus our theorem is completely proved.

Lemma 3. If $E$ is a bounded normal operator in a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{J}$ such that $E^{2}=E$, then $E$ is the projection of $\mathfrak{J}$ on some closed linear manifold $\mathfrak{M}$.

Proof. Let $H=E E^{*}\left(=E^{*} E\right)$. Then it is easily seen that $H^{*}=H$ and $H^{2}=H$. Hence $H$ is the projection of $\mathfrak{J}$ on some closed linear manifold $\mathfrak{M}$. We shall prove that $E=H$. For every $f \in \mathbb{M}$ we have $f=E E^{*} f$ and hence $E f=E\left(E E^{*} f\right)=E^{2} E^{*} f=E E^{*} f=f$. And for every $g \in \mathfrak{M}^{\perp}$ (orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{M}$ ) we have $\|E g\|^{2}=(E g, E g)$ $=\left(E^{*} E g, g\right)=(H g, g)=(\theta, g)=0$, that is, $E g=0$. Consequently, the operator $E$ coincides with the projection $H$ of $\mathfrak{J}$ on the closed linear manifold $\mathfrak{M}$.

Corollary to Theorem B. Let $H$ be a compact normal operator in a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{g}$. Then $H$ is expressed in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} E_{i} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{i}$ is a projection such that $E_{i} E_{j}=\theta, i \neq j$, and $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots\right\}$ is a finite set or an enumerable set such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}=0$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{E C}(\mathfrak{I})$ be the Banach algebra of endomorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$. By corresponding to every $T \in \mathscr{C}(\mathfrak{g})$, as the adjoint of $T$, the adjoint operator of $T$, $\mathscr{C}(\mathfrak{g})$ becomes a $B^{*}$-algebra. Let $\left\{H, H^{*}\right\}^{\prime}$ be the set of all the operators $T \in \mathscr{C}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $T$ commutes with both $H$ and $H^{*}$.
And let $\mathfrak{B}$ be the set of all the operators $T \in \mathscr{F}(\mathfrak{y})$ such that $T$ commutes with every operator belonging to the set $\left\{H, H^{*}\right\}^{\prime}$. Then we can easily see that $\mathfrak{B}$ is a commutative $B^{*}$-algebra containing $H$ and
$H^{*}$. Evidently any element in $\mathfrak{B}$ is a bounded normal operator in $\mathfrak{y}$. Since $H$ is a compact operator, we have from a theorem in Banach spaces (see [2, p. 166, Theorem 22]) the following:
(14) The set of all the proper values of the compact operator $H$ is a finite set or an enumerable set $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}, \cdots\right\}$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}=0$. And it is not hard to show that the following three conditions are mutually equivalent:
(i) $\lambda I-H$ has a bounded inverse operator with domain $\mathfrak{5}$, where $I$ is the identity operator.
(ii) $\lambda I-H$ has, considered as an element in $\mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{S})$, an inverse in $\mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{I})$.
(iii) $\lambda I-H$ has, considered as an element in $\mathfrak{B}$, an inverse in $\mathfrak{B}$. Hence from above and (14) we see that $H$ is, considered as an element in $\mathfrak{B}$, a quasi-compact element in $\mathfrak{B}$. According to Theorem $\mathbf{B}, H$ is expressed in the form

$$
H=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} E_{i}
$$

where $E_{i}$ is an idempotent element with $E_{i} E_{j}=\theta, i \neq j$ and $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots\right\}$ is a finite set or an enumerable set such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}=0$. On the other hand it follows from Lemma 3 that $E_{i}$ is a projection in $\mathfrak{5}$. Hence the corollary is completely proved.
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