

126. On Equivalence of Modular Function Spaces

By Jun ISHII

Mathematical Department, Hokkaidô University, Sapporo

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Nov. 12, 1959)

Let Ω be an abstract space and μ be a totally additive measure defined on a totally additive set class \mathfrak{B} of subsets of Ω satisfying

$$\bigcup_{\mu(E) < \infty} E = \Omega.$$

Let $\Phi(\xi, \omega)$ ($\xi \geq 0, \omega \in \Omega$) be a function satisfying the following conditions:

- 1) $0 \leq \Phi(\xi, \omega) \leq \infty$ for all $\xi \geq 0, \omega \in \Omega$;
- 2) $\Phi(\xi, \omega)$ is a measurable function on Ω for all $\xi \geq 0$;
- 3) $\Phi(\xi, \omega)$ is a non-decreasing convex functions of $\xi \geq 0$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$;
- 4) $\Phi(0, \omega) = 0$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$;
- 5) $\Phi(\alpha - 0, \omega) = \Phi(\alpha, \omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$;
- 6) $\Phi(\xi, \omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$;
- 7) for any $\omega \in \Omega$, there exists $\alpha_\omega > 0$ such that $\Phi(\alpha_\omega, \omega) < \infty$.

For any measurable function $x(\omega)$ ($\omega \in \Omega$), $\Phi(|x(\omega)|, \omega)$ is also measurable. We shall denote by $L_\phi(\Omega)$ the class of all measurable functions $x(\omega)$ ($\omega \in \Omega$) such that, for some $\alpha = \alpha_x > 0$,

$$\int_{\Omega} \Phi(\alpha |x(\omega)|, \omega) d\mu(\omega) < \infty.^{1)}$$

We write $x \geqq y$ ($x, y \in L_\phi$), if $x(\omega) \geqq y(\omega)$ for a.e.²⁾ on Ω , then L_ϕ is a universally continuous semi-ordered linear space.

If we define a functional

$$m_\phi(x) = \int_{\Omega} \Phi(|x(\omega)|, \omega) d\mu,$$

m_ϕ satisfies all the modular conditions and furthermore m_ϕ is monotone complete. Such a space L_ϕ with m_ϕ is said to be a *modular function space*.³⁾

If $\bar{\Phi}(\eta, \omega)$ ($\eta \geq 0, \omega \in \Omega$) is, for every fixed $\omega \in \Omega$, the complementary function of Φ in the sense of H. W. Young, $\bar{\Phi}$ satisfies all the corresponding properties from 1) to 7) on Φ , and so, we have also a

-
- 1) For the integration, refer, for instance, H. Nakano [4].
 - 2) Here "a.e. (almost everywhere)" means always that "except on some $A \in \mathfrak{B}$ which $\mu(E \cap A) = 0$ for all $\mu(E) < \infty$ ".
 - 3) Modular function spaces were defined and discussed in H. Nakano [2, Appendices I, II]. For all other definitions and notations used in this note, see the same book, too.

modular function space $L_{\bar{\sigma}}$ with $m_{\bar{\sigma}}$, which is isometric to the conjugate modular space of L_{ϕ} with m_{ϕ} .

For two functions Φ and Ψ on Ω satisfying above the conditions, we say that L_{ϕ} is equivalent to L_{Ψ} , if $L_{\phi} = L_{\Psi}$.

In this note, we find a necessary and sufficient condition in order that a modular function space is equivalent to the other. Immediate consequence of the fact gives the condition in order that a modular function space is equivalent to an Orlicz space.

Lemma.⁴⁾ *Let R be an abstract modular space with two modulars m_1 and m_2 , and m_1 be monotone complete.*

(I) *There exist $\varepsilon, \varepsilon', k, K, \gamma > 0$ such that*

- (a) $m_2(kx) < \gamma$ for all x with $m_1(x) < \varepsilon$
- (b) $m_2(kx) \leq Km_1(x)$ for all x with $\varepsilon' \leq m_1(x) < \varepsilon$.

(II) *If R is non-atomic, there exist $\varepsilon, k, K > 0$ such that*

$$m_2(kx) \leq Km_1(x) \quad \text{for all } x \text{ with } m_1(x) \geq \varepsilon.$$

Proof. (I). (a) If (a) is not valid, there exists a sequence $0 \leq x_{\nu} \in R$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$) such that $m_1(x_{\nu}) \leq \frac{1}{2^{\nu}}$, $m_2\left(\frac{1}{\nu}x_{\nu}\right) \geq \nu$. Let $y_n = \bigcup_{\nu=1}^n x_{\nu}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), then $0 \leq y_n \uparrow_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $m_1(y_n) \leq 1$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Therefore there exists $y_0 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n$, because m_1 is monotone complete. On the other hand, for $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$ $m_2\left(\frac{1}{\nu}y_0\right) \geq m_2\left(\frac{1}{\nu}y_n\right) \geq n$ for $n \geq \nu$,

which contradicts that m_2 is a modular.

(b) For any x with $\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq m_1(x) < \varepsilon$, we have $m_2(kx) \leq \gamma \leq \frac{2\gamma}{\varepsilon}m_1(x)$ by (a).

(II) Let ε be the same as in (I). If $m_1(x) \geq \varepsilon$, there exists an integer n such that $\varepsilon n \leq m_1(x) < \varepsilon(n+1)$. We can decompose x into an orthogonal sequence x_{ν} ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$) such that

$$x = \sum_{\nu=1}^{n+1} \bigoplus x_{\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad m_1(x_{\nu}) < \varepsilon,$$

because R is non-atomic. Therefore

$$m_2(kx) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{n+1} m_2(kx_{\nu}) < 2n\gamma \leq \frac{2\gamma}{\varepsilon} m_1(x)$$

by (a) in (I).

First, we consider only the case that μ is non-atomic.

Theorem 1.⁵⁾ *$L_{\phi}(\Omega) \subseteq L_{\Psi}(\Omega)$ if and only if there exist $k, K > 0$ and $c(\omega) \in L_1(\Omega)$ such that*

$$(*) \quad \Psi(k\xi, \omega) \leq K\Phi(\xi, \omega) + c(\omega)$$

for all $\xi \geq 0$ and a.e. on Ω .

4) The proof of this lemma has relations to results of [1] and [6].

5) This theorem is a generalization of Theorem 1a in [5, Chap. II, § 1].

Proof. It is clear that $(*)$ implies $L_\phi \subseteq L_\psi$. We prove the converse. Let $0 \leq \alpha_\nu$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$) be the system of all the positive rational numbers. For any $E \in \mathfrak{B}$ with $\mu(E) < \infty$ and for $\varepsilon, k, K > 0$ in (II), we put, for $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$

$$(\#) \quad E_\nu = \{\omega; \Psi(k\alpha_\nu, \omega) > K\Phi(\alpha_\nu, \omega)\} \cap E$$

and

$$x_\nu(\omega) = \alpha_\nu \chi_{E_\nu}(\omega)$$

respectively, where χ_{E_ν} is the characteristic function of E_ν .

We need to consider only on such ν that $\mu(E_\nu) \neq 0$ in the following.

Since $\Phi(\alpha_\nu, \omega) < \infty$ on E_ν by $(\#)$, we have

$$E_{\nu, n} = \{\omega; \Phi(\alpha_\nu, \omega) < n\} \cap E_\nu \uparrow_{n=1}^\infty E_\nu.$$

For all $n \geq n_0$ where n_0 is sufficiently large such that $\mu(E_{\nu, n_0}) \neq 0$, we have $\alpha_\nu \chi_{E_{\nu, n}} \in L_\phi$ and $m_\phi(\alpha_\nu \chi_{E_{\nu, n}}) < \varepsilon$, if otherwise, the fact

$$\begin{aligned} m_\psi(k\alpha_\nu \chi_{E_{\nu, n}}) &= \int_{E_{\nu, n}} \psi(k\alpha_\nu, \omega) d\mu \\ &> K \int_{E_{\nu, n}} \Phi(\alpha_\nu, \omega) d\mu = K m_\phi(\alpha_\nu \chi_{E_{\nu, n}}) \end{aligned}$$

contradicts (II).

Therefore, considering $\alpha_\nu \chi_{E_{\nu, n}} \uparrow_{n=1}^\infty$ and $m_\phi(\alpha_\nu \chi_{E_{\nu, n}}) < \varepsilon$, we have $x_\nu = \sum_{\mu=1}^\infty \alpha_\nu \chi_{E^{(\mu)}} \in L_\phi$ and $m(x_\nu) < \varepsilon$ likewise by $(\#)$ and (II). Here, putting $y_n = \bigcup_{\nu=1}^n x_\nu$, we have a sequence of step functions $0 \leq y_n \uparrow_{n=1}^\infty$ where $y_n = \sum_{\mu=1}^n \alpha_\nu \chi_{E^{(\mu)}}$ for $\alpha_{\nu_1} < \alpha_{\nu_2} < \dots < \alpha_{\nu_n}$ with $\nu_\mu = \mu$ ($\mu = 1, 2, \dots, n$) and for the system of disjoint sets $E^{(\mu)} = E_{\nu_\mu} - \left(\bigcup_{\rho=1}^{\mu-1} E_{\nu_\rho} \right) \cap E_{\nu_\mu}$.

Since, for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} m_\psi(ky_n) &= \int \psi \left(k \sum_{\mu=1}^n \alpha_{\nu_\mu} \chi_{E^{(\mu)}}(\omega), \omega \right) d\mu \\ &= \sum_{\mu=1}^n \int_{E^{(\mu)}} \psi(k\alpha_{\nu_\mu}, \omega) d\mu > \sum_{\mu=1}^n \int_{E^{(\mu)}} k\Phi(\alpha_{\nu_\mu}, \omega) d\mu \\ &= K \int \Phi \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^n \alpha_{\nu_\mu} \chi_{E^{(\mu)}}(\omega), \omega \right) d\mu = K m_\phi(y_n), \end{aligned}$$

we have $m_\phi(y_n) < \varepsilon$ by (a) and (II).

Therefore $y_E = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty y_n \in L_\phi$ because m_ϕ is monotone complete, and furthermore $m_\phi(y_E) \leq \varepsilon$. Namely $y_E \in L_\psi$ by the hypothesis and $m_\psi(ky_E) < \gamma$ by (a) in (I).

Now, we have, for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\Psi(k\alpha_n, \omega) \leq \begin{cases} \Psi(ky_E(\omega), \omega) & \text{for all } \omega \in E_n \\ K\Phi(\alpha_n, \omega) & \text{for all } \omega \in E - E_n \cap E \end{cases}$$

The system $\{y_E\}$ in which every y_E is determined depending on $E \in \mathfrak{B}$

with $\mu(E) < \infty$ by the above-stated way constitutes a directed system $0 \leq y_E \uparrow_{\mu(E) < \infty}$, because for any two elements $y_E, y_F \in \{y_E\}$ we have $\mu(E \cup F) < \infty$ and $y_E \cup y_F = y_{E \cup F}$. Since $m(y_E) \leq \varepsilon$ for any $\mu(E) < \infty$, and $E \uparrow_{\mu(E) < \infty} \Omega$ there exists $y_0 = \bigcup_{\mu(E) < \infty} y_E \in L_\phi$ with $m_\phi(y_0) \leq \varepsilon$ which is defined for all on Ω , and so, $y_0 \in L_\psi$ and $m_\psi(ky_0) < \gamma$ by the same reason stated above.

Thus, we have for all positive real numbers $\xi \geq 0$

$$\Psi(k\xi, \omega) \leq K\Phi(\xi, \omega) + \Psi(ky_0(\omega), \omega) \quad \text{for a.e. on } \Omega$$

by 5). $\Psi(ky_0(\omega), \omega)$ is no other than $c(\omega)$ in (*).

Corollary 1. L_ϕ is equivalent to L_ψ if and only if there exist $k_1, k_2, K_1, K_2 > 0$ and $c \in L_1$ such that

$$|K_1\Phi(k_1\xi, \omega) - K_2\Phi(k_2\xi, \omega)| \leq c(\omega)$$

for all $\xi \geq 0$ and a.e. on Ω .

Corollary 2. (1) Let $L_{M(\xi)}(\Omega)$ be an Orlicz space defined on Ω by a function $M(\xi)$ ($\xi \geq 0$).

$L_\phi \subseteq L_M$ if and only if there exist $k, K > 0$ and $c(\omega) \in L_1(\Omega)$ such that

$$\Phi(k\xi, \omega) \leq KM(\xi) + c(\omega)$$

for all $\xi \geq 0$ and a.e. on Ω .

(2) Let $L_{p(\omega)}(\Omega)$ be a modular function space which is of unique spectra⁶⁾ defined on Ω by a measurable function $1 \leq p(\omega) \leq \infty$ ($\omega \in \Omega$).

$L_\phi \subseteq L_{p(\omega)}$ if and only if there exist $k, K > 0$ and $c \in L_1$ such that

$$\Phi(k\xi, \omega) \leq K\xi^{p(\omega)} + c(\omega)$$

for all $\xi \geq 0$ and a.e. on Ω .

Next, we consider the case that μ is atomic.

If μ is atomic, we can assume $\mu(\omega) = 1$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ without loss of generality. And $m_\phi(x) = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \Phi(|x(\omega)|, \omega)$ for all $x \in L_\phi$.

Theorem 2. $L_\phi \subseteq L_\psi$ if and only if there exist $k, K > 0$, $c(\omega) \geq 0$ ($\omega \in \Omega$) with $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} c(\omega) < \infty$ and ξ_ω ($\omega \in \Omega$) which is a system of numbers satisfying, for any $x \in L_\phi$ with $m_\phi(x) < \infty$, $|x(\omega)| \leq \xi_\omega$ except on some finite subset of Ω , such that

$$(**) \quad \Psi(k\xi, \omega) \leq K\Phi(\xi, \omega) + c(\omega)$$

for all $\xi \leq \xi_\omega$ and $\omega \in \Omega$.

Proof. If $(**)$ is valid, for any $x \in L_\phi$, since there exists $\alpha > 0$

6) These spaces were defined and discussed precisely in H. Nakano [3, §89], that is,

$$L_{p(\omega)}(\Omega) = \left\{ x: \int_{\Omega} |\omega x(\omega)|^{p(\omega)} d\mu < \infty \quad \text{for some } \alpha = \alpha_x > 0 \right\}$$

with the modular

$$m(x) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(\omega)} |x(\omega)|^{p(\omega)} d\mu$$

for a measurable function $1 \leq p(\omega) \leq \infty$ on Ω .

with $m_\phi(\alpha x) < \infty$, we can find a finite subset $F \subset \Omega$ such that $\alpha |x(\omega)| \leq \xi_\omega$ for all $\omega \in F$ and

$$\Psi(k\alpha |x(\omega)|, \omega) \leq K\Phi(\alpha |x(\omega)|, \omega) + c(\omega)$$

for all $\omega \in F$.

Furthermore, there exists k' with $0 < k' \leq \alpha k$ such that $\Psi(k' |x(\omega)|, \omega) < \infty$ for all $\omega \in F$, because $0 \leq |x(\omega)| < \infty$ and 7) on Ψ .

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \Psi(k' |x(\omega)|, \omega) \\ & \leq \sum_{\alpha |x(\omega)| \leq \xi_\omega} K\Phi(\alpha |x(\omega)|, \omega) + \sum_{\alpha |x(\omega)| \leq \xi_\omega} c(\omega) + \sum_{\alpha |x(\omega)| > \xi_\omega} \Psi(k' |x(\omega)|, \omega) \\ & \leq K \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \Phi(\alpha |x(\omega)|, \omega) + \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} c(\omega) + \sum_{\omega \in F^c} \Psi(k' |x(\omega)|, \omega) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Namely $x \in L_\phi$.

Conversely, if $L_\phi \subseteq L_\Psi$, then (**) is proved also by the analogous way to the case which μ is non-atomic as the following.

Let $0 \leq \alpha_\nu$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$) be the system of all the positive rational numbers and let ε' and ε in (I) be $2\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$. We put, for all $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\alpha_\omega = \sup \{\alpha; \alpha > 0, \Psi(k\alpha, \omega) > K\Phi(\alpha, \omega), \Phi(\alpha, \omega) < \varepsilon'\}$$

and

$$x_0(\omega) = \bigcup_{\omega' \in \Omega} \alpha_{\omega'} \chi_{\omega'}(\omega) = \bigcup_{F \subset \Omega} \bigcup_{\omega' \in F} \alpha_{\omega'} \chi_{\omega'}(\omega)$$

where every $\chi_{\omega'}$ is the characteristic function of $\{\omega'\}$ and F is a finite subset of Ω , respectively.

Then, because, for any two elements $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega$,

$$m_\phi(\alpha_{\omega_1} \chi_{\omega_1} \cup \alpha_{\omega_2} \chi_{\omega_2}) = \Phi(\alpha_{\omega_1}, \omega_1) + \Phi(\alpha_{\omega_2}, \omega_2) < 2\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$$

and

$$m_\Psi(k\alpha_{\omega_1} \chi_{\omega_1} \cup \alpha_{\omega_2} \chi_{\omega_2}) > K m_\phi(\alpha_{\omega_1} \chi_{\omega_1} \cup \alpha_{\omega_2} \chi_{\omega_2})$$

we have $m_\phi(\bigcup_{\omega \in F} \alpha_\omega \chi_\omega) < \varepsilon'$ by (I).

Since $\bigcup_{\omega \in F} \alpha_\omega \chi_\omega \uparrow_{F \subset \Omega} x_0$ and m_ϕ is monotone complete, we have $x_0 \in L_\phi$ and $m_\phi(x_0) \leq \varepsilon'$. Namely $x_0 \in L_\Psi$ by the hypothesis and $m_\Psi(kx_0) \leq \gamma$ by (a) in (I).

On the other hand, putting

$$\xi_\omega = \sup_{\phi(\xi, \omega) \leq \varepsilon} \xi,$$

then for any $x \in L_\phi$ with $m_\phi(x) = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \Phi(|x(\omega)|, \omega) < \infty$ we can find a finite subset $F_x \subset \Omega$ such that $\Phi(|x(\omega)|, \omega) \leq \varepsilon$ for all $\omega \in F_x$. Namely $|x(\omega)| \leq \xi_\omega$ for all $\omega \in F_x$.

Thus, we have, for all $\omega \in \Omega$

$$\Phi(k\xi, \omega) \leq \begin{cases} \Psi(kx_0(\omega), \omega) & \text{for all } 0 \leq \xi < \alpha_\omega \\ K\Phi(\xi, \omega) & \text{for all } \alpha_\omega \leq \xi \leq \xi_\omega \end{cases}$$

by (b) in (I). Therefore (**) is proved by putting

$$c(\omega) = \Psi(kx_0(\omega), \omega).$$

Similar conditions to the corollaries to Theorem 1 on the case that

μ is non-atomic hold also in this case.

Finally, the author wishes to express his hearty gratitude to Professor Hidegorô Nakano for his guidance and frequent encouragement.

References

- [1] I. Amemiya: A generalization of the theorem of Orlicz and Birnbaum, *Jour. Fac. Sci. Hokkaidô Univ., ser. 1*, **12**, no. 2 (1956).
- [2] H. Nakano: *Modulated Semi-ordered Linear Spaces*, Tokyo (1950).
- [3] ——: *Topology and Linear Topological Spaces*, Tokyo (1951).
- [4] ——: *Measure Theory* (in Jap.), **3**, Tokyo (1950).
- [5] W. Orlicz and Z. Birnbaum: Über die Verallgemeinerung des Begriffes der zueinander konjugierten Potenzen, *Studia Math.*, **3** (1931).
- [6] T. Shimogaki: Note on Orlicz-Birnbaum-Amemiya's theorem, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **33**, no. 6 (1957).