

2. On Ideals Defining Non-Singular Algebraic Varieties

By Shizuo ENDO

(Comm. by Z. SUETUNA, M.J.A., Jan. 12, 1960)

The purpose of this note is to prove the following

Theorem 1. *Let V be a non-singular irreducible algebraic variety of dimension d , defined over a field K in an affine space A^n . Then the ideal defining V over K is generated by at most $(n-d)(d+1)+1$ elements.*

To simplify our expression, we shall denote with $N_R(\mathfrak{a})$ the minimum number of elements generating the ideal \mathfrak{a} in a Noetherian ring R . Our theorem means $N_R(\mathfrak{p}) \leq (n-d)(d+1)+1$, when R is the polynomial ring of n variables $K[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$ over K and \mathfrak{p} is the prime ideal defining V over K . Now R is a regular domain as defined e.g. in my former paper [2] and R/\mathfrak{p} becomes also a regular domain as \mathfrak{p} defines a non-singular variety. The rank of \mathfrak{p} is $n-d$, as V is d dimensional (cf. [1]). So our Theorem 1 is contained in the following more general

Theorem 2. *Let R be a regular ring of dimension d and \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of rank s in R such that R/\mathfrak{p} is also a regular ring. Then $N_R(\mathfrak{p}) \leq s(d-s+1)+1$.*

We shall begin with some lemmas.

Lemma 1. *Let R be a semi-local ring with maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_s$ and $\mathfrak{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s)$ be any ideal generated by s elements in R . Then the simultaneous equations $x \equiv a_i \pmod{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{m}_i}$ $1 \leq i \leq s$, have a solution in R .*

Proof. Since R is semi-local, we have $R = (\bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{m}_j, \mathfrak{m}_i)$ for any i . So there exist elements e_i, d_i for any i such that $1 = e_i + d_i$, where $e_i \notin \mathfrak{m}_i, \in \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{m}_j$ and $d_i \in \mathfrak{m}_i$. Then, if we set $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^s e_i a_i$, this is a solution as is required.

Lemma 2. *Let R be a local ring with a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , \mathfrak{a} be any ideal of R and \mathfrak{b} be an ideal of R contained in \mathfrak{a} . Then, if $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{m}$, we have $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b}$.*

Proof. Set $\bar{R} = R/\mathfrak{b}$, $\bar{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{b}$. Then, by our assumption, we have $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}\bar{\mathfrak{a}} = \bar{\mathfrak{a}}$. So, $\bar{\mathfrak{a}} \subset \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{\mathfrak{m}}^k$. Since \bar{R} is a local ring, we have, by Krull's theorem, $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{\mathfrak{m}}^k = (0)$. That is, $\bar{\mathfrak{a}} = (0)$. This shows $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b}$.

Lemma 3. *Let R be a Noetherian ring and $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ be two ideals of R such that $\mathfrak{a} \supset \mathfrak{b}$. If $\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathfrak{b}R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for any maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R , we have $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b}$.*

Proof. Let a be any element of \mathfrak{a} and put $\mathfrak{b}=(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t)$. By our assumption we have $\bar{a}=\alpha_1\bar{b}_1+\alpha_2\bar{b}_2+\dots+\alpha_t\bar{b}_t$ in any R_m , where α_i is in R_m for any i and \bar{a}, \bar{b} are the residues of a, b in R , respectively. Therefore we obtain $sa=r_1b_1+r_2b_2+\dots+r_tb_t$, $r_i, s \in R, s \notin \mathfrak{m}$. Accordingly, if we set $\mathfrak{c}=\{c; ca \in \mathfrak{b}, c \in R\}$, then an ideal \mathfrak{c} must coincide with R itself. This shows $\mathfrak{a}=\mathfrak{b}$.

From these lemmas we obtain the following

Proposition 1. *Let R be a semi-local ring with maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_s$ and \mathfrak{a} be any ideal of R . Then we have*

$$N_R(\mathfrak{a}) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq s} N_{R_{\mathfrak{m}_i}}(\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{m}_i}).$$

Proof. For any i we choose n_i elements $a_{i,1}, \dots, a_{i,n_i}$ from \mathfrak{a} such that $\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{m}_i}=(a_{i,1}, \dots, a_{i,n_i})R_{\mathfrak{m}_i}$, where $n_i=N_{R_{\mathfrak{m}_i}}(\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{m}_i})$. Set $n=\max_{1 \leq i \leq s} n_i = \max_{1 \leq i \leq s} N_{R_{\mathfrak{m}_i}}(\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{m}_i})$. If $n_i < n$, we put $0=a_{i,n_i+1}=\dots=a_{i,n}$. Consider a set of elements $(a_{1,j}, a_{2,j}, \dots, a_{s,j})$ for any $1 \leq j \leq n$ and apply Lemma 1 to this. Then, for any j , the simultaneous equations $x \equiv a_{i,j} \pmod{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{m}_i}$ have a solution a_j in \mathfrak{a} . So we have

$$\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{m}_i}=(\bar{a}_{i,1}, \dots, \bar{a}_{i,n})+\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{m}_iR_{\mathfrak{m}_i}=(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n)+\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{m}_iR_{\mathfrak{m}_i}.$$

Now if we put $\mathfrak{b}=(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$, we obtain $\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{m}_i}=\mathfrak{b}R_{\mathfrak{m}_i}$, by Lemma 2, for any i . Hence, according to Lemma 3, we have $\mathfrak{a}=\mathfrak{b}$. This shows $N_R(\mathfrak{a}) \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq s} N_{R_{\mathfrak{m}_i}}(\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{m}_i})$. The inverse inequality is obvious. So our assertion is proved.

By applying this proposition to a general Noetherian ring, we obtain

Proposition 2. *Let R be a d dimensional Noetherian ring and \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of rank s in R . Set $k=\sup_{\mathfrak{m}} N_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{m}})$ where \mathfrak{m} runs over all maximal ideals of R . Then we have $N_R(\mathfrak{p}) \leq k(d-s+1) + 1$.*

Remark. This proposition holds also if we replace the prime ideal \mathfrak{p} by any ideal \mathfrak{a} . The proof runs similarly when \mathfrak{a} is primary. For general \mathfrak{a} the proof can be easily given in using the decomposition of \mathfrak{a} in primary ideals.

Proof. By our assumption, if we choose suitably k elements $p_1^{(1)}, p_2^{(1)}, \dots, p_k^{(1)}$ from \mathfrak{p} , we have $(p_1^{(1)}, p_2^{(1)}, \dots, p_k^{(1)})=\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{a}_0$, where \mathfrak{a}_0 is an ideal of rank s or more. If $\text{rank } \mathfrak{a}_0 > s$ we set $\mathfrak{a}_1=\mathfrak{a}_0$ and $\mathfrak{p}^{(0)}=0$. On the other hand, if $\text{rank } \mathfrak{a}_0=s$, we select an element $p^{(0)}$ from \mathfrak{p} which is not contained in any prime ideal of rank s belonging to \mathfrak{a}_0 . Then, in both cases, we have

$$(p^{(0)}, p_1^{(1)}, \dots, p_k^{(1)})=\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{a}_1,$$

where $\text{rank } \mathfrak{a}_1 \geq s+1$. If $\text{rank } \mathfrak{a}_1 > s+1$, we set $\mathfrak{a}_2=\mathfrak{a}_1$ and $p_i^{(2)}=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. In case $\text{rank } \mathfrak{a}_1=s+1$, we denote by $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_t$ all prime

ideals of rank $s+1$ belonging to \mathfrak{a}_1 . Set $R' = R_s$, where $S = \left\{ s; s \in \bigcap_1^t (R - \mathfrak{p}_i) \right\}$. Then R' is a semi-local ring, hence, by applying Proposition 1 to R', \mathfrak{p} , we can choose k elements of \mathfrak{p} such that

$$(p_1^{(2)}, p_2^{(2)}, \dots, p_k^{(2)})R' = \mathfrak{p}R'.$$

So we have $(p^{(0)}, p_1^{(1)}, \dots, p_k^{(1)}, p_1^{(2)}, \dots, p_k^{(2)}) = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{a}_2$

in both cases, where $\text{rank } \mathfrak{a}_2 \geq s+2$. By repeating this procedure we obtain similarly for any integer t

$$(p^{(0)}, p_1^{(1)}, \dots, p_k^{(1)}, p_1^{(2)}, \dots, p_1^{(t)}, \dots, p_k^{(t)}) = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{a}_t$$

where $\text{rank } \mathfrak{a}_t \geq s+t$. However, since R is d dimensional, we have $\mathfrak{a}_t = R$ for any t such that $t \geq d-s+1$. Therefore this procedure can not be repeated more than $d-s+1$ times. Thus we obtain

$$\mathfrak{p} = (p^{(0)}, p_1^{(1)}, \dots, p_k^{(1)}, \dots, p_1^{(d-s+1)}, \dots, p_k^{(d-s+1)}).$$

This shows that $N_R(\mathfrak{p}) \leq k(d-s+1)+1$.

The proof of Theorem 2 can be now carried out very easily. Let \mathfrak{m} be any maximal ideal of R . Since $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $(R/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{p}}$ are then regular local rings, $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is generated by s elements in R , by a well-known result in the theory of local rings (cf. [1]). From Proposition 2 follows therefore $N_R(\mathfrak{p}) \leq s(d-s+1)+1$.

References

- [1] Y. Akizuki: Theory of local rings, Lecture notes in Univ. Chicago (1958).
- [2] S. Endo: On regular rings, Jour. Math. Soc. Japan, **11**, 159-170 (1959).