# 28. On Orientable Manifolds of Dimension Three 

By Hiroshi Yamasuge and Yoshihiro Saito<br>(Comm. by K. Kunugi, m.J.A., March 12, 1960)

Let $M$ be a closed orientable differentiable manifold of dimension 3 and $f$ be a function on $M \times I$ where $I=[-1,1]$. Let $x_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ be a local coordinate system of $M$ and $t$ be the parameter varying on $I$. We write $f_{t}$ instead of $f$ when we consider that $f$ is a function on $M$ for fixed $t$. A point at which every first derivative of $f_{t}$ with respect to $x_{i}$ vanishes is called stational point and it is called ordinary stational point or super stational point according as: $\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\right) \neq 0$ or $\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\right)=0$.

If the origin $x_{i}=0(i=1,2,3)$ is an ordinary stational point of $f_{0}$, in a neighborhood of this point $f_{t}$ becomes

$$
f_{t}=a(t)+\Sigma a_{i j}(t) x_{i} x_{j}
$$

where $|t|$ is small and $\operatorname{det}\left(\alpha_{i j}(0)\right) \neq 0$.
And if $x_{i}=0$ is a super stational point of $f_{0}$, by a suitable coordinate system $f_{t}$ is represented as

$$
f_{t}=c+c_{0} t+\sqrt{-c_{1} t} x_{1}^{3}+c_{2} x_{2}^{2}+c_{3} x_{3}^{3}+\frac{1}{3} x_{1}^{3}
$$

where $x_{2}=o(\sqrt{|t|})$ and $x_{3}=o(\sqrt{|t|})$. Here we can assume that all $c_{\nu}$ ( $\nu=0$, $1,2,3$ ) are not 0 . Hence for a small $|t|$ we have two stational points $(0,0,0)$ and $\left(-2 \sqrt{-c_{1} t}, 0,0\right)$ of $f_{t}$. At the point $(0,0,0)$ or $\left(-2 \sqrt{-c_{1} t}, 0\right.$, $0) f_{t}$ is represented as $c+c_{0} t+\sqrt{-c_{1} t} x_{1}^{2}+c_{2} x_{2}^{2}+c_{3} x_{3}^{2}$ or $c+c_{0} t-\sqrt{-c_{1} t}\left(x_{1}\right.$ $\left.+2 \sqrt{-c_{1} t}\right)^{2}+c_{2} x_{2}^{2}+c_{3} x_{3}^{2}$ where all $c_{\nu}(\nu=0,1,2,3)$ are not zero. We call a stational point to be type ( $\mu$ ) if the non-degenerate diagonal quadratic form in the Taylor's expansion of $f_{t}$ at this point has $\mu$ negative terms.
Suppose the above origin is type ( $\mu$ ) then $\left(-2 \sqrt{-c_{1} t}, 0,0\right)$ is type $(\mu+1)$ and we call the super stational point $(0,0,0)$ of $f_{0}$ to be type $(\mu, \mu+1)$ or ( $\mu+1, \mu$ ) according as $c_{1}<0$ or $c_{1}>0$. We see easily that values of $t$ on the locus of stational points take the minimums or the maximums at points of type $(\mu, \mu+1)$ or ( $\mu+1, \mu$ ).

Let $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ be two solid spheres with $n$ holes as Fig. 1 and $\sigma$ a homeomorphism of $\partial D$ to $\partial D^{\prime}$ and $D{ }_{\sigma} D^{\prime}$ the manifold defined by identifying $\partial D$ and $\partial D^{\prime}$ by $\sigma$.

Now we consider the necessary and sufficient condition so that $D{ }_{\sigma} D^{\prime}$ is diffeomorphic with $D_{\tau} \smile D^{\prime}$. Clearly we can construct a function $g$ on $D_{\sigma}^{\smile} D^{\prime}$ satisfying the following conditions.
a) $g<0$ in $D-\partial D, g=0$ on $\partial D$ and $g>0$ in $D^{\prime}-\partial D^{\prime}$.


Fig. 1
b) In $D g$ has one stational point of type (0) and $n$ stational points of type (1) and in $D^{\prime} g$ has $n$ stational points of type (2) and one stational point of type (3). Similarly we construct a function $h$ on $D_{\tau}^{\smile} D^{\prime}$ satisfying the above conditions. Put $M=D_{\sigma}^{\smile} D^{\prime}$ and $N=D_{\tau}^{\smile} D^{\prime}$ and let $u$ be a diffeomorphism of $M$ on $N$. From now on we write $M \simeq N$ when $M$ is diffeomorphic with $N$.

Now we consider the function $f_{t}(p)=\frac{1-t}{2} g(p)+\frac{1+t}{2} h(u p)$, for $p \in M$. Then from the above we have

Lemma 1. In the locus of stational points of $f_{t}$ the number of the points of type $(1,2)$ is equal to the number of the points of type $(2,1)$.

If $x_{i}=0 \quad(i=1,2,3)$ is a super stational point of $f_{0}$ and $|t|$ is sufficiently small we can reform $f_{t}$ a little in a neighborhood $U$ containing $(0,0,0)$ and $\left(-2 \sqrt{-c_{1} t}, 0,0\right)$ so that $f_{t}$ has no stational point in $U$. And conversely if $f_{t}$ is regular in $U$ we can reform $f_{t}$ a little in $U$ so that $f_{t}$ has two stational points as mentioned above. From these by reforming $f$ along suitable pathes we can change the locus of stational points so that we have

Lemma 2. For the function $f$ there exists a function $\bar{f}$ satisfying the following properties:
a) For every positive number $\varepsilon$ we can take a sufficiently small positive number $\delta$ so that $\left|\bar{f}_{-1+s}-f_{-1+s}\right|<\varepsilon$ and $\left|\overline{f_{1-s}}-f_{1-s}\right|<\varepsilon$ for $0 \leqq s \leqq \delta$.
b) The t-coordinates of all stational points of type $(1,2)$ or $(2,1)$ are -1 or 1 and the values of $\bar{f}$ at these points are always 0 .

Introduce in $M$ a Riemannian metric


Fig. 2 and consider stream lines which are normal on every equi-potential surface of $\bar{f}_{t}$. If necessary by reforming $\bar{f}_{t}$ in tubular neighborhoods of stream lines which flow out from or flow into stational points of type (1) or (2) and in neighborhoods of stational points of type ( 0 ) or (3), we get
Lemma 3. We can make $\bar{f}$ in Lemma 2 have the property c)
besides a) and b):
c) The values of $\bar{f}$ at stational points of type (0), (1), $(0,1)$ or $(1,0)$ are always negative and the values of $\bar{f}$ at stational points of type (2), $(3),(2,3)$ or $(3,2)$ are always positive.

Let $m$ be the number of stational points of type $(1,2)$ and $G_{k}$ $(k=1, \cdots, m)$ small solid cylinders in $D$. Since by $\sigma \Sigma \partial G_{k} \frown \partial D$ is identified to $\sigma\left(\Sigma \partial G_{k} \frown \partial D\right) \subset \partial D^{\prime}$ we cut out $\Sigma G_{k}$ from $D$ and bring it to $\partial D^{\prime}$, write it $\Sigma \sigma G_{k}$, and paste $\Sigma \partial G_{k} \frown \partial D$ on $\sigma\left(\Sigma \partial G_{k} \frown \partial D\right)$ by $\sigma$.


Fig. 3
Denote by $\bar{\sigma}$ the identifying map of $\partial\left(D-\Sigma G_{k}\right)$ to $\partial\left(D^{\prime} \smile \Sigma \sigma G_{k}\right)$ obtained from $\sigma$ by the above operation.

Putting $M_{\dot{\delta}}=\left\{p \mid \bar{f}_{\delta}(p) \leqq 0\right\}$ and $M_{\dot{\delta}}^{\prime}=\left\{p \mid \bar{f}_{\dot{\delta}}(p) \geqq 0\right\}$, we have $M_{\dot{\delta}} \simeq D$ $-\Sigma G_{k}, M_{\delta}^{\prime} \simeq D^{\prime} \smile \Sigma \sigma G_{k}$ and $M \simeq\left(D-\Sigma G_{k}\right) \asymp\left(D^{\prime} \smile \Sigma \sigma G_{k}\right)$. Similarly we have $M_{1-\delta} \simeq D-\Sigma G_{k}, M_{1-\delta}^{\prime} \simeq D^{\prime} \smile \Sigma \tau G_{k}$ and $M \simeq\left(D-\Sigma G_{k}\right) \cup\left(D^{\prime} \smile \Sigma \tau G_{k}\right)$. Since the boundary $\partial M_{t}$ is a submanifold of $M$ and moves continuously with respect to $t$ there exists a transformation of $M$ which map $M_{\delta}$ on $M_{1-\delta}$. We can assume without loss of generality that $\sigma G_{k}=\tau G_{k}$. And thus we have

Theorem. If $D_{\sigma}^{\smile} D^{\prime} \simeq D_{\tau} \smile^{\prime}$ then for a sufficiently large integer $m$ there exist transformation $y$ of $D-\sum_{1}^{m} G_{k}$ and transformation $z$ of $D^{\prime} \smile \sum_{1}^{m} \sigma G_{k}$ such that $\bar{\sigma} y=z \bar{\tau}$ where $\bar{\sigma}$ or $\bar{\tau}$ is the identifying map of $D-\Sigma G_{k}$ to $D^{\prime} \smile \Sigma_{\sigma} G_{k}$ obtained from $\sigma$ or $\tau$.

