

114. On the Cosine Problem

By Miyoko UCHIYAMA, *née* KATAYAMA, and Saburō UCHIYAMA

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaidō University, Sapporo, Japan

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Oct. 12, 1960)

1. Introduction. The main object of the present note is to establish the following theorem, which will answer in the affirmative to the cosine problem proposed by S. Chowla in connexion with a question concerning zeta functions (cf. [1]):

Theorem 1. *Let K be an arbitrary positive number. Then there exists a natural number $n_0 = n_0(K)$ such that for any $n \geq n_0$ and any set of n distinct positive integers m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n we have*

$$\min_{0 \leq x < 2\pi} (\cos m_1 x + \cos m_2 x + \dots + \cos m_n x) < -K.$$

Here we may take

(1)
$$n_0(K) = \max(2^{48}, [8K^2]^{3[256K^4]}),$$

which is, of course, not the best possible.

As a simple generalization of Theorem 1 we can prove also that, given a real number $K > 0$, there is an $n_0 = n_0(K)$ such that for any $n \geq n_0$ and any set of n distinct positive integers m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n we have

$$\min_{0 \leq x < 2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^n \cos(m_j x + \omega_j) < -K,$$

where $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n$ are arbitrary real numbers, and in particular,

$$\min_{0 \leq x < 2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^n \sin m_j x < -K, \quad \max_{0 \leq x < 2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^n \sin m_j x > K.$$

Thus Theorem 1 is a special case of the following

Theorem 2. *Let G be a locally compact connected abelian group. Given a real number $K > 0$, we can find an $n_0 = n_0(K)$ such that for any $n \geq n_0$ and any set of n distinct characters $\chi_1(x), \chi_2(x), \dots, \chi_n(x)$ on G we have*

$$\inf_{x \text{ in } G} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \chi_j(x) < -K,$$

where c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n are arbitrary complex numbers with $|c_j| \geq 1$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$).

For instance, if $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ are arbitrary distinct positive real numbers, where $n \geq n_0$, then we have

$$\inf_{x \text{ real}} (\cos \lambda_1 x + \cos \lambda_2 x + \dots + \cos \lambda_n x) < -K.$$

2. Some lemmas. In order to prove the theorems we appeal to a technique by P. J. Cohen [2] developed in the investigation of a different problem, and so, to avoid ambiguity, we shall here reproduce some of his lemmas given in [2] with a slight modification.

Let X be the interval $[0, 2\pi]$. Let C denote the space of all continuous functions defined on X and C_0 be the subset of C consisting of all functions with absolute values not greater than unity. If μ is a finite measure defined on X , we denote by $\|\mu\|$ the norm of μ , i.e.

$$\|\mu\| = \int_x d|\mu|(x).$$

Naturally, to such a measure μ there corresponds a linear functional L on C with the norm

$$\|L\| = \sup_x \left| \int \phi(x) d\mu(x) \right| = \|\mu\|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all $\phi(x)$ in C_0 .

In what follows μ will be supposed to be a finite measure on X such that $\|\mu\| \leq M, M \geq 1$.

Lemma 1. Let $g_j(x) (1 \leq j \leq r)$ be a set of functions in C_0 such that

$$\int g_j(x) d\mu(x) = 1 \quad (1 \leq j \leq r).$$

Then, if $r > 2M^2 - 1$, we have, for some pair $i < j$,

$$\operatorname{Re} \int g_i(x) \bar{g}_j(x) d|\mu|(x) > \frac{1}{2M}.$$

Lemma 2. Let $\phi(x)$ and $g(x)$ be functions in C_0 satisfying the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \int \phi(x) d\mu(x) &= A \quad (A \geq 1), \\ \left| \int g(x) d|\mu|(x) \right| &\geq \alpha \quad (0 < \alpha < 1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int \phi(x) g(x) d\mu(x) = 0.$$

Then

$$\|\mu\| \geq A + \frac{\alpha^2}{4A}.$$

Lemma 3. Let $\phi(x)$ and $g_j(x) (1 \leq j \leq r)$ be functions in C_0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \phi(x) d\mu(x) &= A \quad (A \geq 1), \\ \int g_j(x) d\mu(x) &= 1 \quad (1 \leq j \leq r), \end{aligned}$$

and for all $i < j$,

$$\int \phi(x) g_i(x) \bar{g}_j(x) d\mu(x) = 0.$$

Then, if $r > 2M^2 - 1$, we have

$$\|\mu\| \geq A + \frac{1}{16M^3}.$$

By Lemma 1, for some pair $i < j$ we have

$$\left| \int g_i \bar{g}_j d|\mu| \right| > \frac{1}{2M}.$$

Put, in Lemma 2, $g = g_i \bar{g}_j$ with $\alpha = 1/2M$. Then

$$\|\mu\| \geq A + \frac{1}{16AM^2} \geq A + \frac{1}{16M^3},$$

since $A \leq \|\mu\| \leq M$.

Lemma 4. Under the hypotheses of Lemma 3, there exist constants $a, b_j, c_{i,j}$ such that if

$$\psi(x) = a\phi(x) + \sum_j b_j g_j(x) + \sum_{i < j} c_{i,j} \phi(x) g_i(x) \bar{g}_j(x),$$

we have $|\psi(x)| \leq 1$ on X and

$$\int \psi(x) d\mu(x) = A + \frac{1}{16M^3}.$$

Let V denote the linear subspace of C generated by ϕ, g_j and $\phi g_i \bar{g}_j$. The measure μ induces a linear functional L on V with the norm N , say. The functional L can be extended to a functional on the whole space C with the same norm N , and the new functional is given by a measure satisfying the conditions of Lemma 3. Hence

$$N \geq A + \frac{1}{16M^3}.$$

From this inequality the result follows at once.

Lemma 5. Let $E = \{m_1 > m_2 > \dots > m_n\}$ be a set of n distinct positive integers. If r and s are natural numbers satisfying

$$(2) \quad r^{3s} \leq n,$$

then there exist sets $F_1, \dots, F_{s+1}, G_1, \dots, G_s$ of positive integers with the following properties:

- (a) $F_1 = \{m_1\}$;
- (b) for all $k, 1 \leq k \leq s, G_k = \{m_{k1} > m_{k2} > \dots > m_{kr}\}$ is a subset of E and $m + m_{ki} - m_{kj}$ is not contained in E if m is in F_k and $i < j$;
- (c) F_{k+1} is the union of F_k, G_k and all integers of the form $m + m_{ki} - m_{kj}$ with m in $F_k, i < j$.

We denote by $h(k)$ the smallest integer h such that $m \geq m_h$ for all m in F_k . Assume that the sets $F_1, \dots, F_k, G_1, \dots, G_{k-1}$ ($k \geq 1$) have been chosen to satisfy the conditions (a), (b) and (c). We now define the set G_k . Set $m_{k1} = m_1$. Suppose that m_{k1}, \dots, m_{kt} ($t \geq 1$) have been chosen so as to satisfy (b), where $m_{ki} = m_{j(i)}$ for $i \leq t$. We then define $m_{k, t+1} = m_{j(t+1)}$, where $j(t+1)$ is the smallest number such that this choice of $m_{k, t+1}$ does not violate (b). The number of choices of $m_{k, t+1} < m_{kt}$ such that

$$m + m_{ki} - m_{k, t+1} \in E$$

for some m in F_k and m_{ki} , $i \leq t$, does not exceed

$$\frac{r(r-1)}{2}h(k).$$

Hence we find that

$$j(t+1)-j(t) \leq 1 + \frac{r(r-1)}{2}h(k),$$

and

$$h(k+1)=j(r) \leq r + \frac{r^2(r-1)}{2}h(k) \leq r^3h(k),$$

on defining the set F_{k+1} by means of (c). Since $h(1)=1$, it follows that $h(k) \leq r^{8(k-1)}$. Clearly the sets F_s, G_s , and hence F_{s+1} can be constructed if $h(s+1) \leq n$, or

$$r^{8s} \leq n.$$

That the sets F_k and G_k thus constructed contain only positive integers is obvious.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. There is no loss in generality in assuming that $K \geq 1/2$.*) Suppose now that the theorem were false. Then there would be a real number $K \geq 1/2$ such that for arbitrarily large n there exist n distinct positive integers m_1, \dots, m_n for which the inequality

$$\cos m_1x + \dots + \cos m_nx \geq -K$$

holds for all x in X . Put

$$f(x) = K + \cos m_1x + \dots + \cos m_nx = \frac{1}{2} \left(2K + \sum_{j=1}^n (e^{im_jx} + e^{-im_jx}) \right).$$

Then $f(x) \geq 0$ throughout on X . Now consider the finite, non-negative measure μ defined on X by

$$d\mu(x) = 2f(x)dx,$$

where dx is $1/2\pi$ times the ordinary Lebesgue measure on X . We have

$$\|\mu\| = \int_x d\mu(x) = 2K \geq 1,$$

and for positive m ,

$$\int_x e^{imx} d\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = m_j \text{ for some } j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Without loss of generality we may suppose that $m_1 > \dots > m_n$. Put $r = [8K^2]$ and s be the largest integer satisfying (2). We construct functions $\phi_k(x)$ ($1 \leq k \leq s+1$), which are to be all in C_0 , such that each $\phi_k(x)$ is a linear combination of e^{imx} with m in F_k and satisfies

$$\int \phi_k(x) d\mu(x) = 1 + \frac{k-1}{128K^3}.$$

Take $\phi_1(x) = e^{im_1x}$. If $\phi_k(x)$ ($k \geq 1$) has already been defined, then

*) For $1/2 \geq K > 0$ we may take $n_0(K) = 1$.

by Lemmas 4 and 5 with

$$g_j(x) = e^{imx} \quad (1 \leq j \leq r),$$

where $m = m_{kj}$ are in G_k , we can find a function $\psi(x) = \phi_{k+1}(x)$ in C_0 such that $\phi_{k+1}(x)$ is a linear combination of e^{imx} with m in F_{k+1} and

$$\int \phi_{k+1} d\mu = 1 + \frac{k-1}{128K^8} + \frac{1}{128K^8} = 1 + \frac{k}{128K^8}.$$

Since we must always have

$$\int \phi_k d\mu \leq \|\mu\| = 2K,$$

it follows that

$$1 + \frac{s}{128K^8} \leq 2K.$$

If $s = [256K^4]$, this inequality cannot hold, so that necessarily

$$[8K^2]^{8[256K^4]} > n,$$

which is, however, certainly impossible when $n \geq n_0$, where $n_0 = n_0(K)$ is defined in (1). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

4. Proof of Theorem 2. The passage of carrying our proof of Theorem 1 on that of Theorem 2 is substantially as indicated in [2, Lemmas 1' and 5], and we may omit the details.

References

- [1] S. Chowla: The Riemann zeta and allied functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **58**, 287-305 (1952).
- [2] P. J. Cohen: On a conjecture of Littlewood and idempotent measures, Amer. J. Math., **82**, 191-212 (1960).