

111. On Certain Triangulated Manifolds

By Masahisa ADACHI

Mathematical Institute, Nagoya University

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Oct. 12, 1960)

V. Rohlin and A. Schwarz [5] and R. Thom [7] defined the combinatorial Pontrjagin classes of triangulated manifolds and proved the existence of triangulated 8-dimensional manifolds which admit no differentiable structures compatible¹⁾ with their given triangulations. A corresponding result for triangulated 16-dimensional manifolds was proved by K. Srinivasacharyulu [6]. The purpose of this note is to prove the corresponding theorems for the dimensions of the form $4k$ ($2 \leq k \leq 14$, $k \neq 3$).

In §1 certain triangulated $4k$ -dimensional manifolds are constructed and studied. In §2 the theorem is proved.

Our method is quite analogous to that of R. Thom, and closely related with J. Milnor [4]. The word *n-manifold* will always be used for a compact oriented n -dimensional manifold without boundary. The word “differentiable” will be used to mean “differentiable of class C^∞ ”.

1. Let us consider two differentiable mappings of spheres into rotation groups:

$$f_1: S^m \rightarrow SO(n+1), \quad f_2: S^m \rightarrow SO(m+1).$$

For these mappings Milnor [4] defined the differentiable $(m+n+1)$ -manifold $M(f_1, f_2)$ with the following properties:

i) If the mapping f_1 carries S^m into the subgroup $SO(n) \subset SO(n+1)$, then $M(f_1, f_2)$ is a topological sphere.

ii) There exists a differentiable bounded manifold²⁾ W whose boundary is $M(f_1, f_2)$.

Hereafter we assume that

(*) if $m=n$, the mappings f_1, f_2 both carry S^m into the subgroup $SO(m) \subset SO(m+1)$.

Then $M(f_1, f_2)$ is always a topological $(m+n+1)$ -sphere.³⁾ Furthermore, the differentiable $(m+n+1)$ -manifold $M(f_1, f_2)$ has a C^∞ -triangulation (L, g) , and this C^∞ -triangulation can be extended to a C^∞ -triangulation (K, f) of the differentiable $(m+n+2)$ -manifolds W . Then L is a combinatorial manifold and K is a combinatorial bounded manifold whose boundary is L (cf. Whitehead [8], Milnor [2]).

1) For the precise definition, see Whitehead [8], Milnor [2].

2) bounded manifold=variété à bord.

3) Cf. Milnor [4].

Let T be the space formed from the manifold W by attaching a cone C over the boundary $M(f_1, f_2)$. Since $M(f_1, f_2)$ is a topological $(m+n+1)$ -sphere, it follows that T is an $(m+n+1)$ -manifold. The triangulation (K, f) of W gives rise to a triangulation (J, h) of the $(m+n+2)$ -manifold T . Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} |L| & \xrightarrow{i_1} & |K| & \xrightarrow{i_2} & |J| \\ g \downarrow & & f \downarrow & & h \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{\bar{i}_1} & W & \xrightarrow{\bar{i}_2} & T \end{array}$$

where $|L|, |K|, |J|$ are the underlying topological spaces of the simplicial complexes L, K, J , and $i_1, \bar{i}_1, i_2, \bar{i}_2$ are the inclusion maps, and $M=M(f_1, f_2)$.

Hereafter we assume that

$$\begin{aligned} m &= 4r - 1, & n &= 4(k - r) - 1, \\ 1 &\leq r \leq k - r. \end{aligned}$$

We shall study on the triangulated manifold $(J, h; T)$.

a) *Cohomology of T*

The cohomology groups $H^i(T, Z)$ are isomorphic to the cohomology groups $H^i(W, M; Z)$ ($i > 0$). It follows from Milnor [4] that

$$H^i(T, Z) = \begin{cases} Z, & i = 0, 4r, 4(k-r), 4k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We shall denote by α, β the generators in the dimension $4r, 4(k-r)$, respectively, then $\alpha\beta$ is the generator in the dimension $4k$.

b) *Index of T*

The index $I(T)$ of T is equal to zero. In case $m \neq n$, it is trivial. In case $m = n$, it follows from the assumption (*) (cf. Milnor [4, Lemma 4]).

c) *Combinatorial Pontrjagin classes of J*

Let $i_2: K \rightarrow J$ be the inclusion map. Then the homomorphisms $(i_2)^*: H^q(J, G) \rightarrow H^q(K, G)$ induced by i_2 are bijective for $0 \leq q < 4k$ for any abelian group G . Since L is a triangulated $(4k-1)$ -sphere, $j^*: H^q(K, L; G) \rightarrow H^q(K, G)$ are bijective for $0 < q < 4k-1$. Moreover we have $j^*: H^q(J, J_0; G) \cong H^q(J, G)$ for $q > 0$, where $(J_0, h|J_0)$ is the triangulation of the cone C induced from (J, h) . Let \mathbb{Q} be the ring of rational numbers. As is remarked in Milnor [3, Chapter XVI, 4], for bounded homology manifold (K, L) we can define the cohomology classes $l_i(K, L) \in H^{4i}(K, L; \mathbb{Q})$ and the combinatorial Pontrjagin classes $p_i(K, L) \in H^{4i}(K, L; \mathbb{Q})$ in the same way as for the homology manifold. We shall denote

$$\begin{aligned} l_i(K) &= j^*(l_i(K, L)), \\ p_i(K) &= j^*(p_i(K, L)). \end{aligned}$$

Let $p_i(J) \in H^{4i}(J, Q)$ be the i -th combinatorial Pontrjagin class of the homology manifold J . Then we have

Lemma 1. For $0 < i < k$,

$$(i_2)^*(p_i(J)) = p_i(K).$$

Proof. We shall prove the Lemma using the definitions and the notations of Milnor [3, Chapter XVI]. By the definition of the combinatorial Pontrjagin classes, $p_i(J)$ and $p_i(K, L)$ are polynomials of $l_j(J) \in H^{4j}(J, Q)$ and $l_j(K, L) \in H^{4j}(K, L; Q)$, $1 \leq j \leq i$, respectively. So it is sufficient for us to prove

$$(i_2)^*(l_i(J)) = l_i(K) = j^*(l_i(K, L)), \text{ for } 0 < i < k.$$

Let \sum^{4k-4i} be the boundary of a $(4k-4i+1)$ -simplex, and σ be the fundamental cohomology class of \sum^{4k-4i} . Let μ, ν be the fundamental homology class of (K, L) and J , respectively. By the definition of $l_i(K, L)$, for any simplicial map $\tilde{\varphi} : (K, L) \rightarrow (\sum^{4k-4i}, a)$, where a is a vertex of \sum^{4k-4i} , we have

$$\langle l_i(K, L) \smile (\tilde{\varphi})^*(\sigma), \mu \rangle = I(\tilde{\varphi}).$$

Then there exists a simplicial map $\tilde{\psi}$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (K, L) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} & (\sum^{4k-4i}, a) \\ \tilde{i}_2 \searrow & & \nearrow \tilde{\psi} \\ & (J, J_0) & \end{array}$$

where \tilde{i}_2 is the inclusion map. Corresponding to this diagram, we have also the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \sum^{4k-4i} \\ \downarrow i_2 & & \nearrow \psi \\ & J & \end{array}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (j^*)^{-1} \circ (i_2)^*(l_i(J)) \smile (\tilde{\varphi})^*(\sigma), \mu \rangle \\ &= \langle (\tilde{i}_2)_* \circ (j^*)^{-1}(l_i(J)) \smile (\tilde{i}_2)_* \circ (\tilde{\varphi})^*(\sigma), \mu \rangle \\ &= \langle (\tilde{i}_2)^* \{ (j^*)^{-1}(l_i(J)) \smile (\tilde{\varphi})^*(\sigma) \}, \mu \rangle \\ &= \langle (j^*)^{-1}(l_i(J)) \smile (\tilde{\varphi})^*(\sigma), (\tilde{i}_2)_*(\mu) \rangle \\ &= \langle (j^*)^{-1}(l_i(J)) \smile (j^*)^{-1} \circ \phi^*(\sigma), (\tilde{i}_2)_*(\mu) \rangle \\ &= \langle (j^*)^{-1} \{ l_i(J) \smile \phi^*(\sigma) \}, (\tilde{i}_2)_*(\mu) \rangle \\ &= \langle l_i(J) \smile \phi^*(\sigma), (j_*)^{-1} \circ (\tilde{i}_2)_*(\mu) \rangle \\ &= \langle l_i(J) \smile \phi^*(\sigma), \nu \rangle \\ &= I(\phi). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of $I(\tilde{\varphi})$, $I(\phi)$, we have $I(\tilde{\varphi}) = I(\phi)$. By the uniqueness of $l_i(K, l)$, we obtain the assertion.

2. First recall the index theorem of Hirzebruch [1]. If V is

a differentiable $4k$ -manifold having Pontrjagin classes p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k , then the index $I(V)$ is equal to $L_k(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k)$ [V], where L_k is a certain polynomial. The coefficients s_k of p_k in L_k are expressed in terms of the Bernoulli numbers B_k as follows:

$$s_k = \frac{2^{2k}(2^{2k-1}-1)B_k}{(2k)!}.$$

Let $p_r : \pi_{4r-1}(SO(q)) \rightarrow Z$ be the Pontrjagin homomorphisms defined in Milnor [4].

Lemma 2 (Milnor [4]). If $q > 2r$, then there exists an element $(f) \in \pi_{4r-1}(SO(q))$ such that $p_r(f) \neq 0$ and the prime factors of $p_r(f)$ are all less than $2r$.

Combining Lemmas 1, 2, we have

Theorem 1. *Suppose that r is an integer satisfying*

$$k/3 < r \leq k/2.$$

If the denominator of $s_r s_{k-r} / s_k$ contains a prime factor $\geq 2(k-r)$, then there exists a triangulated $4k$ -manifold T which admits no differentiable structures compatible with its given triangulation (J, h) .

Proof. Suppose that the triangulated manifold $(J, h; T)$ admits a differentiable structure \mathfrak{D}_J compatible with the triangulation (J, h) . Then \mathfrak{D}_J may define another differentiable structure \mathfrak{D}_K on the underlying manifold of W compatible with the triangulation (K, f) . We denote this differentiable manifold by W' . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^* : H^q(T, Z) &\rightarrow H^q(T, Q) \\ \rho^* : H^q(W, Z) &\rightarrow H^q(W, Q) \end{aligned}$$

be the canonical homomorphisms induced by the injection $\rho : Z \rightarrow Q$ of the coefficient groups. Then, by the compatibility of the combinatorial Pontrjagin classes, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h^* \circ \rho^*(p_i(T)) &= p_i(J), \\ f^* \circ \rho^*(p_i(W')) &= p_i(K) = f^* \circ \rho^*(p_i(W)). \end{aligned}$$

However, by Milnor [4] we know

$$\begin{aligned} p_r(W) &= \pm p_r(f_1) \cdot (i_2)^*(\alpha), \\ p_{k-r}(W) &= \pm p_{k-r}(f_2) \cdot (i_2)^*(\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} h^* \circ \rho^*(p_r(T)) &= p_r(J) = (i_2)^{*-1}(p_r(K)) \\ &= (i_2)^{*-1} \circ f^* \circ \rho^*(p_r(W)) \\ &= h^* \circ (i_2)^{*-1} \circ \rho^*(\pm p_r(f_1) \cdot (i_2)^*(\alpha)) \\ &= \pm p_r(f_1) \cdot h^* \circ \rho^*(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Since $H^*(T, Q)$ has no torsion and h^* is bijective, we have

$$p_r(T) = \pm p_r(f_1) \cdot \alpha.$$

Similarly we have

$$p_{k-r}(T) = \pm p_{k-r}(f_2) \cdot \beta.$$

Using the index theorem

$$I(T) = L_k(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k)[T], \quad p_i = p_i(T),$$

it follows that⁴⁾

$$p_k[T] = \pm \frac{s_r s_{k-r} - s_k}{s_k} \cdot p_r p_{k-r}[T],$$

$$p_k[T] = \pm \frac{s_r s_{k-r} - s_k}{s_k} \cdot p_r(f_1) p_{k-r}(f_2),$$

$$p_k[T] = \pm \frac{s_r s_{k-r}}{s_k} \cdot p_r(f_1) p_{k-r}(f_2), \quad \text{mod } 1.$$

By Lemma 2, for $k/3 < r$, we can take f_1, f_2 such that $p_r(f_1) p_{k-r}(f_2) \neq 0$ and the prime factors of $p_r(f_1) p_{k-r}(f_2)$ are all less than $2(k-r)$. If $k/3 < r \leq k/2$ and the denominator of $s_r s_{k-r} / s_k$ contains prime factor $\geq 2(k-r)$, $p_k[T]$ is not an integer. This is a contradiction. Thus we have the theorem.

Theorem 2. *For $2 \leq k \leq 14$, $k \neq 3$, there exist triangulated $4k$ -manifolds $(J, h; T)$ which admit no differentiable structures compatible with their given triangulations (J, h) .*

Proof. For such k , it is checked by Milnor [4] that there exists r such that the assumption of Theorem 1 is satisfied.

References

- [1] F. Hirzebruch: *Neue topologische Methoden in der algebraischen Geometrie*, Springer (1956).
- [2] J. Milnor: *On the relationship between differentiable manifolds and combinatorial manifolds* (mimeographed note), Princeton University (1956).
- [3] J. Milnor: *Lectures on characteristic classes*, Princeton University (1958).
- [4] J. Milnor: *Differentiable structures on spheres*, *Amer. J. Math.*, **81**, 962-972 (1959).
- [5] V. Rohlin and A. Schwarz: *The combinatorial invariance of Pontrjagin classes* (in Russian), *Doklady Akad. Nauk S.S.S.R.*, **114**, 490-493 (1957).
- [6] K. Srinivasacharyulu: *Sur certaines variétés triangulable*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **250**, 2316-2317 (1960).
- [7] R. Thom: *Les classes caractéristiques de Pontrjagin des variétés triangulées*, *Symp. Intern. Topologia Algebraica*, 54-67 (1958).
- [8] J. H. C. Whitehead: *On C^1 -complexes*, *Ann. of Math.*, **41**, 809-824 (1940).

4) The coefficients of $p_r p_{k-r}$ in L_k are calculated in Milnor [4].