

### 134. Some Topological Properties on Royden's Compactification of a Riemann Surface

By Mitsuru NAKAI

Mathematical Institute, Nagoya University

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Nov. 12, 1960)

1. Let  $R$  be an open Riemann surface and  $M(R)$  be the totality of bounded a.c.T. functions on  $R$  with finite Dirichlet integrals and  $M_0(R)$  be the totality of functions in  $M(R)$  with compact supports. We denote by  $M_\Delta(R)$  the closure of  $M_0(R)$  in BD-convergence topology, where a sequence  $\{\varphi_\nu\}$  converges to  $\varphi$  in BD-convergence topology if the sequence  $\{\varphi_\nu\}$  is bounded and converges to  $\varphi$  uniformly on each compact subset of  $R$  and the sequence  $\left\{ \int_R d(\varphi_\nu - \varphi) \wedge \overline{*d(\varphi_\nu - \varphi)} \right\}$  converges to zero.

*Royden's compactification*  $R^*$  of  $R$  is the unique compact Hausdorff space containing  $R$  as its open and dense topological subspace such that any function in  $M(R)$  can be uniquely extended to  $R^*$  so as to be continuous on  $R^*$ . The (*Royden's*) *ideal boundary* of  $R$  is defined by  $R^* - R$  and denoted by  $\partial R$ . The compact set  $\Delta = \{p \in R^*; f(p) = 0 \text{ for all } f \text{ in } M_\Delta(R)\}$  is a part of  $\partial R$  and called the *harmonic boundary* of  $R$ . We also say that  $\partial R - \Delta$  is the non-harmonic boundary of  $R$ . These notions are introduced by Royden [3]. Our formulation above mentioned is different from that in [3] but equivalent to that of Royden. Details are in [1].

In this note we state some topological properties of  $R^*$  and solve a question raised in [3].

2. Consider a normal exhaustion  $\{R_n\}_1^\infty$  of  $R$  in the sense of Pfluger [2]. The open set  $R - \overline{R}_n$  is decomposed into a finite number of non-compact connected components  $K_1^{(n)}, K_2^{(n)}, \dots, K_{N(n)}^{(n)}$ . A determining sequence is a sequence  $\{K_{i_n}^{(n)}\}_1^\infty$  such that

$$K_{i_1}^{(1)} \supset K_{i_2}^{(2)} \supset \dots \supset K_{i_n}^{(n)} \supset K_{i_{n+1}}^{(n+1)} \supset \dots \quad (1)$$

If we fix an exhaustion  $\{R_n\}_1^\infty$ , then the totality of determining sequences corresponds in a one-to-one and onto manner to the totality of *ends* of  $R$  in the sense of Kerékjártó-Stoïlow [2]. Let  $\{E_k\}$  be the decomposition of  $\partial R$  into connected components. First we show

**Theorem 1.** *The decomposition  $\{E_k\}$  can be regarded as the totality of ends of  $R$  in the sense of Kerékjártó-Stoïlow.*

**Proof.** An end is determined by a sequence (1). Then the intersection  $\alpha = \bigcap_1^\infty \overline{K}_{i_n}^{(n)}$  is a continuum in  $\partial R$ , since each  $\overline{K}_{i_n}^{(n)}$  is a con-

nected compact set in  $R^*$ . There exists a component  $E_k$  in  $\{E_k\}$  such that  $E_k \cap \alpha$  is not empty. By the definition of  $E_k$ ,  $\alpha$  is contained in  $E_k$ . Now we show that  $\alpha = E_k$ . Contrary to the assertion, assume the existence of a point  $p_0$  in  $E_k - \alpha$ . Then we can find a positive integer  $n$  such that  $p_0$  lies outside  $\bar{K}_{i_n}^{(n)}$ . Take a closed Jordan curve  $C$  in  $K_{i_n}^{(n)}$  which is homologous to  $\Gamma = R \cap (\bar{K}_{i_n}^{(n)} - K_{i_n}^{(n)})$  in  $R$ . We denote by  $D$  the compact domain in  $R$  which is surrounded by  $\Gamma$  and  $C$ . Let  $w(p)$  be the harmonic function defined in  $D$  with boundary value 1 on  $\Gamma$  and 0 on  $C$ . We put

$$f(p) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } R - K_{i_n}^{(n)}; \\ w(p) & \text{on } D; \\ 0 & \text{on } K_{i_n}^{(n)} - D. \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $f(p)$  is contained in  $M(R)$  and hence continuous on  $R^*$ . Thus  $f(p) = 0$  on  $\partial R \cap \bar{K}_{i_n}^{(n)}$ . In particular,  $f$  is continuous on  $E_k$  and takes only two values 0 and 1. Obviously  $f = 0$  on  $\alpha \subset E_k$  and 1 at  $p_0 \in E_k$ . This is absurd, since  $E_k$  is connected. Hence we have proved that for any end whose determining sequence is  $\{K_{i_n}^{(n)}\}$ , we can find an  $E_k$  in  $\{E_k\}$  such that

$$E_k = \bigcap_1^\infty \bar{K}_{i_n}^{(n)}. \tag{2}$$

Conversely we assert that for any  $E_k$  in  $\{E_k\}$ , there exists an end whose determining sequence is  $\{K_{i_n}^{(n)}\}$  satisfying (2). For the aim, take an  $E_k$  in  $\{E_k\}$  and a point  $p_0$  in  $E_k$ . Using the function  $f(p)$  above defined, we conclude that the sets  $\bar{K}_1^{(n)}, \bar{K}_2^{(n)}, \dots, \bar{K}_{N(n)}^{(n)}$  are mutually disjoint. Hence the set  $K_{i_n}^{(n)}$  containing  $p_0$  in its closure is uniquely determined for each  $n$ . Therefore, the sequence  $\{K_{i_n}^{(n)}\}$  such that  $p_0 \in \bar{K}_{i_n}^{(n)}$  is uniquely determined and is a determining sequence. By a similar argument as above, we see that  $E_k = \bigcap_1^\infty \bar{K}_{i_n}^{(n)}$ . Hence we have proved that for any  $E_k$  in  $\{E_k\}$  there exists an end whose determining sequence is (1) and satisfies (2).

Thus  $\{E_k\}$  corresponds to the totality of ends of  $R$  in a one-to-one and onto manner. Q.E.D.

From this theorem, we may call  $E_k$  an *end* of  $R$ . Incidentally we have also seen in the above proof that any dividing cycle of  $R$  divides  $\partial R$ .

3. Let  $\mathfrak{U}^{K_{i_n}^{(n)}}$  be the totality of non-negative superharmonic functions  $u$  on  $K_{i_n}^{(n)}$  such that at any point  $p_0$  in  $(\bar{K}_{i_n}^{(n)} - K_{i_n}^{(n)}) \cap R$

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow p_0} u(p) \geq 1.$$

We set

$$w(p; K_{i_n}^{(n)}) = \inf (u(p); u \in \mathfrak{U}^{K_{i_n}^{(n)}})$$

on  $K_{i_n}^{(n)}$ . By Perrons' theorem, we see that  $w(p; K_{i_n}^{(n)})$  is a harmonic function on  $K_{i_n}^{(n)}$  with boundary value 1 on  $(\bar{K}_{i_n}^{(n)} - K_{i_n}^{(n)}) \cap R$ . If none of

$w(p; K_{i_n}^{(n)})$  is constant for a sequence (1), then we say that the end determined by (1), i.e.  $E_k = \bigcap_1^\infty \bar{K}_{i_n}^{(n)}$  is *hyperbolic*. If this is not the case, we say that it is *parabolic*. Here we remark that the Green's function  $g(p, p_0)$  of  $R$  is continuous on  $R^*$  except a point  $p_0$  in  $R$  and vanishes on  $\Delta$ . Now we prove

**Theorem 2.** *The following three conditions are mutually equivalent:*

- (a)  $E_k$  is a hyperbolic end;
- (b)  $E_k \cap \Delta$  is non-empty;
- (c)  $\inf (g(p, p_0); p \in E_k) = 0$ .

**Proof.** (a) implies (b). Contrary to the assertion, assume that  $E_k \cap \Delta$  is empty. Then  $\bar{K}_{i_n}^{(n)} \cap \Delta$  is empty for all sufficiently large  $n$ . For each point  $p$  in  $\bar{K}_{i_n}^{(n)}$ , there exists a function  $f_p$  in  $M_\Delta(R)$  such that  $f_p(p) \neq 0$ . Considering  $f_p^2$  instead of  $f_p$ , we may assume  $f_p \geq 0$  on  $R^*$ . Since  $\bar{K}_{i_n}^{(n)}$  is compact, we can find a finite number of points  $p_\nu$  in  $\bar{K}_{i_n}^{(n)}$  and a positive number  $c$  such that

$$g = \sum_\nu f_{p_\nu} \geq c > 0$$

on  $K_{i_n}^{(n)}$  and clearly  $g$  is in  $M_\Delta(R)$ . Let  $\hat{K}_{i_n}^{(n)}$  be Schottky's double of  $K_{i_n}^{(n)}$  along  $(\bar{K}_{i_n}^{(n)} - K_{i_n}^{(n)}) \cap R$ . Restrict  $g$  on  $K_{i_n}^{(n)}$  and next extend it to  $\hat{K}_{i_n}^{(n)}$  in the symmetric manner. We denote by  $\hat{g}$  the above function thus obtained from  $g$ . It is clear that  $\hat{g}$  belongs to  $M_\Delta(\hat{K}_{i_n}^{(n)})$  and  $\hat{g} \geq c > 0$  on  $\hat{K}_{i_n}^{(n)}$ . Since  $M_\Delta(\hat{K}_{i_n}^{(n)})$  is an ideal of  $M(\hat{K}_{i_n}^{(n)})$  and  $g$  is invertible in  $M(\hat{K}_{i_n}^{(n)})$ ,  $1 = \hat{g}/\hat{g}$  belongs to  $M_\Delta(\hat{K}_{i_n}^{(n)})$ . This shows that  $\hat{K}_{i_n}^{(n)}$  is a parabolic Riemann surface (cf. [3] and [1]). On the other hand, (a) implies that  $\hat{K}_{i_n}^{(n)}$  is a hyperbolic Riemann surface. This is absurd. Thus (a) implies (b).

(b) implies (c). In fact,  $\tilde{g}(p, p_0) = \min(g(p, p_0), 1)$  belongs to  $M_\Delta(R)$  and so  $\tilde{g}(p, p_0)$  vanishes on  $\Delta$ . Hence the same is true for  $g(p, p_0)$ . Since  $E_k \cap \Delta$  is not empty, we get (c).

(c) implies (a). To show this we may clearly assume that  $p_0$  is in  $R_1$ . We put  $m = \inf (g(p, p_0); p \in (\bar{K}_{i_n}^{(n)} - K_{i_n}^{(n)}) \cap R) > 0$  and  $u_0(p) = m^{-1}g(p, p_0)$ . Then  $u_0$  belongs to  $\mathcal{U}^{K_{i_n}^{(n)}}$  and so

$$w(p; K_{i_n}^{(n)}) \leq u_0(p).$$

As (c) holds, so  $\inf (w(p; K_{i_n}^{(n)}); p \in K_{i_n}^{(n)}) = 0$ . Thus  $w(p; K_{i_n}^{(n)})$  is not constant for all  $n$ . Hence we get the validity of (a). Q.E.D.

4. Next we consider the distribution of non-harmonic boundary points in  $\partial R$ . The following shows that the situation is very complicated and somewhat pathological in the viewpoint of our intuition.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $F$  be a compact set in  $\partial R$  such that there exists a sequence  $\{D_n\}_1^\infty$  of open sets in  $R$  satisfying  $D_n \supset \bar{D}_{n+1} \cap R, n=1, 2,$*

..., and  $F = \bigcap_1^\infty \bar{D}_n$ . Then  $F \cap (\partial R - \Delta)$  is non-empty.

**Proof.** Let  $U_n = D_n - \bar{D}_{n+1} \cap R$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$ . In  $U_n$  we take two simply connected Jordan domains  $V_{n,0}$  and  $V_{n,1}$  and a point  $p_n$  such that  $p_n \in V_{n,1} \subset \bar{V}_{n,1} \subset V_{n,0} \subset \bar{V}_{n,0} \subset U_n$  and the annulus  $A_n = V_{n,0} - \bar{V}_{n,1}$  is conformally equivalent to the annulus  $(1 < |z| < \exp(2^n\pi))$ . Let  $w_n(p)$  be a continuous function defined on  $R$  as follows:

$$w_n(p) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{on } R - V_{n,0}; \\ \text{harmonic} & \text{on } A_n; \\ 1 & \text{on } \bar{V}_{n,1}. \end{cases}$$

Then from  $\int \int_R dw_n \wedge *dw_n = 2\pi / \text{mod } A_n$  and  $\text{mod } A_n = \text{mod}(1 < |z| < \exp(2^n\pi)) = 2^n\pi$ , we get

$$\int \int_R dw_n \wedge *dw_n = 2^{-(n-1)}.$$

Now we put

$$\varphi_n(p) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i(p)$$

and

$$\varphi(p) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty w_i(p)$$

respectively. Clearly  $\varphi_n \in M_0(R)$  and  $\{\varphi_n\}_1^\infty$  is bounded and converges to  $\varphi$  uniformly on each compact subset of  $R$  and

$$\int \int_R d(\varphi - \varphi_n) \wedge *d(\varphi - \varphi_n) = \sum_{i=n+1}^\infty \int \int_R dw_i \wedge *dw_i = 2^{-n}.$$

Hence  $\{\varphi_n\}$  converges to  $\varphi$  in BD-convergence topology and so  $\varphi \in M_d(R)$ .

Let  $p_0$  be an accumulation point of  $\{p_n\}_1^\infty$ . As  $p_0$  lies in each of  $\bar{D}_n$ , so  $p_0$  belongs to  $F$ . Since  $\varphi(p_n) = w_n(p_n) = 1$ , we conclude that  $\varphi(p_0) = 1$ . This shows that  $p_0 \notin \Delta$  or  $p_0 \in F \cap (\partial R - \Delta)$ . Thus  $F \cap (\partial R - \Delta)$  is non-empty. Q.E.D.

**Corollary 3.1.** *The harmonic boundary  $\Delta$  is nowhere dense in  $\partial R$ .*

**Proof.** We have to show that for any  $p_0 \in \Delta$  and for any open neighborhood  $U$  of  $p_0$ ,  $U \cap (\partial R - \Delta)$  is non-empty. For this aim, we take an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $p_0$  such that  $\bar{V} \subset U$ . Let  $\{R_n\}$  be an exhaustion of  $R$ . Choosing a suitable subsequence of  $\{R_n\}$ , we may assume that  $(R_{n+1} - \bar{R}_n) \cap V$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$ , are not empty. Then, by taking  $F = V \cap \partial R$  and  $D_n = V \cap (R - \bar{R}_n)$  in Theorem 3,  $F \cap (\partial R - \Delta)$  is non-empty and so  $U \cap (\partial R - \Delta)$  is non-empty. Q.E.D.

**Corollary 3.2.** *For each end  $E_k$ ,  $E_k \cap (\partial R - \Delta)$  is non-empty.*

**Proof.** We have proved that  $E_k = \bigcap_1^\infty \bar{K}_{i_n}^{(n)}$  (cf. (1)). By putting  $F = E_k$  and  $D_n = K_{i_n}^{(n)}$ , the assumption in Theorem 3 is satisfied. Hence  $E_k \cap (\partial R - \Delta)$  is non-empty. Q.E.D.

5. In his paper [3], Royden asked whether or not a hyperbolic end can contain both points of  $\Delta$  and  $\partial R - \Delta$ . By Theorem 2 and Corollary 3.2, this can be positively answered, that is, *any hyperbolic end contains both points of  $\Delta$  and  $\partial R - \Delta$ .*

6. Although  $R^*$  is separable, it does not satisfy 2nd countability axiom. Namely,

**Theorem 4.** *No point of  $\partial R$  has a countable base of neighborhood system in  $R^*$ .*

**Proof.** Contrary to the assertion, suppose that a point  $p_0$  in  $\partial R$  has a countable base of neighborhood system  $\{U_n\}_1^\infty$ . We may assume that  $U_n$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$ , are open and  $\bar{U}_{n+1} \subset U_n$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$ . We take an annulus  $A_n$  in  $U_n - \bar{U}_{n+1}$  and construct the function  $\varphi(p)$  on  $R$  as in the proof of Theorem 3. As  $\varphi$  is in  $M(R)$ , so it is continuous on  $R^*$  and a fortiori at  $p_0$ . Let  $p_n$  (resp.  $q_n$ ) be a point in the interior (resp. exterior) boundary of  $A_n$ . Clearly  $\{p_n\}_1^\infty$  and  $\{q_n\}_1^\infty$  converge to  $p_0$  respectively. By the continuity of  $\varphi$  at  $p_0$ ,

$$\varphi(p_0) = \lim_n \varphi(p_n) = \lim_n w_n(p_n) = 1$$

and at the same time

$$\varphi(p_0) = \lim_n \varphi(q_n) = \lim_n w_n(q_n) = 0.$$

This is absurd. Thus no point in  $\partial R$  has a countable base of neighborhood system in  $R^*$ . Q.E.D.

**Corollary 4.1.** *Royden's compactification  $R^*$  is not metrizable.*

**Corollary 4.2.** *No point of  $\partial R$  is isolated in  $\partial R$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $p_0$  is an isolated point in  $\partial R$ . Then  $\{p_0\}$  is a component  $E_k$  of  $\partial R$ . Then, by (2), there exists a determining sequence  $\{K_{i_n}^{(n)}\}$  of  $E_k$  such that  $\bigcap_1^\infty \bar{K}_{i_n}^{(n)} = E_k$ . Clearly  $V_n = \{p_0\} \smile K_{i_n}^{(n)}$  is a neighborhood of  $p_0$  in  $R^*$  and  $\{V_n\}_1^\infty$  forms a base of neighborhood system of  $p_0$ . This is impossible in view of Theorem 4. Q.E.D.

**Corollary 4.3.** *Any end is non-degenerate in  $R^*$ .*

The last fact shows that there can exist a non-degenerate continuum in  $\partial R$  whose points are all irregular points for Dirichlet problem considered in the class of Dirichlet-finite harmonic functions.

## References

- [1] M. Nakai: A measure on the harmonic boundary of a Riemann surface, Nagoya Math. J., **17**, 181-218 (1960).
- [2] A. Pfluger: Theorie der Riemannschen Flächen, Berlin, Springer (1957).
- [3] H. L. Royden: On the ideal boundary of a Riemann surface, Ann. Math. Studies, **30**, 107-109 (1953).