## 17. On Tonelli's Theorem concerning Curve Length

By Kanesiroo ISEKI

Department of Mathematics, Ochanomizu University, Tokyo (Comm. by Z. SUETUNA, M.J.A., Feb. 13, 1961)

1. Introduction. Let us consider a plane parametric curve (not necessarily continuous) given by the equation  $\varphi(t) = \langle x(t), y(t) \rangle$ , where the variable t ranges over the real line **R**. We assume that this curve is locally rectifiable, i.e. that its arc length s(I) is finite for any closed interval I in **R**. We are interested in the problem of expressing the length by means of the derivatives x'(t) and y'(t). Of course this is easily solved when, in particular, the curve is continuously differentiable, since we have then, for every I, the well-known formula

(1) 
$$s(I) = \int_{r} \sqrt{x'(t)^2 + y'(t)^2} dt.$$

In the general case, however, the same problem shows itself far more complicated and was not solved until Tonelli proved the following decisive result: we have the relation  $s'(t)^2 = x'(t)^2 + y'(t)^2$  for almost every point t of **R**, and the integral on the right of (1) does not exceed s(I) for any closed interval I, the equality (1) holding if and only if both the functions x(t) and y(t) are absolutely continuous on I (see Saks [3], p. 123).

Now Tonelli's theorem, though without doubt fautless in its own way, cannot nevertheless be regarded, so far as it goes, as a complete and final solution of the problem under consideration, in the following one point: it gives us no insight, even when the curve is continuous, into the nature of the difference between the arc length s(I) and the square-root integral. It is the main object of the present note to remedy this defect by obtaining, at least for continuous curves, a supplement to Tonelli's theorem which resembles in enunciation the decomposition formula of de la Vallée Poussin (vide Saks, p. 127).

2. Heuristic considerations. Retaining the notation of the introduction, let us write  $E_x$  for the Borel set of the points t for which  $x'(t) = \pm \infty$ , and let  $E_y$  be defined correspondingly. According to de la Vallée Poussin's theorem (*loc. cit.*) we have, for every bounded Borel set A at whose points t the curve  $\varphi(t)$  is continuous,

$$x^*(A) = x^*(AE_x) + \int_A x'(t)dt$$

and a similar relation for  $y^*$  (the set  $E_x$  being replaced by  $E_y$ , needless to say), where  $x^*$  and  $y^*$  represent the outer measures of Carathéodory induced by x(t) and y(t) respectively. This at once suggests us the

conjecture that, if we write  $E = E_x \cup E_y$  for brevity, then

$$s^*(M) = s^*(ME) + \int_{M} \sqrt{x'(t)^2 + y'(t)^2} dt$$

for any bounded Borel set M at whose points  $\varphi(t)$  is continuous. This decomposition formula will constitute a supplement to Tonelli's theorem since we have  $s^*(M) = s(M)$  when M is in particular a closed interval. We shall prove this conjecture in §4 in a somewhat generalized form.

With the view of application to differential geometry of parametric curves we shall also consider the case in which the curve  $\varphi$ is only unilaterally continuous at the points of the set M appearing above. But then the notion of derivative will be subjected to a slight corresponding modification in order to keep up the validity of the decomposition formula (see §5).

3. Relative derivation of additive interval-functions. We shall henceforth follow our recent paper [2] in terminology and notation. Thus  $\mu$  will always represent a finite nonnegative set-function, defined and additive on the class of all bounded Borel sets in  $\mathbf{R}$ . We shall say that  $\mu$  is continuous at a point t of  $\mathbf{R}$ , if  $\mu(\{t\})=0$ . When this is the case for every point  $t, \mu$  will simply be termed continuous. Needless to say, there is at most a countable infinity of points of discontinuity for  $\mu$ . Further let F(I) denote hereafter a finite additive interval-function, defined in any manner for all closed intervals I in  $\mathbf{R}$  and of bounded variation over each I.

In Saks [3] the derivation of additive interval-functions is reduced to that of additive set-functions by means of the relation

$$\underline{D}F^{*}(t) \leq \underline{F}(t) \leq \overline{F}(t) \leq DF^{*}(t)$$

which holds for nonnegative F at every point of continuity of F. However, a similar reduction is no more available if we want to deal with relative derivation of additive interval-functions with respect to the function  $\mu$ , since we do not assume the continuity of  $\mu$ . We shall get over this situation by making the reduction on a different principle, basing our argument on the following lemma.

LEMMA. At  $\tilde{\mu}$ -almost every point at which one at least of the functions  $\mu$  and F is continuous, both the functions F and  $F^*$  are  $\mu$ -derivable and their  $\mu$ -derivatives coincide.

REMARK. The proof below will be modelled after the proof of Lebesgue's theorem on p. 115 of Saks; but the details will be considerably different.

**PROOF.** Let X denote the set of the points of continuity of  $\mu$  at which F is discontinuous. This set is then clearly countable and consequently we find at once that  $\tilde{\mu}(X)=0$ . Thus it is sufficient to restrict ourselves to points of continuity of F. Without loss of generality we may further assume F nonnegative. We shall write

 $\Theta$  for  $F^*$  for simplicity.

Let A denote the Borel set of the points t which fulfil the inequality  $(\mu)\overline{\Theta}(t) > (\mu)\underline{F}(t)$  and at which the function F is continuous. We want to show that  $\widetilde{\mu}(A)$  vanishes. For this purpose suppose, if possible, that  $\widetilde{\mu}(A) > 0$ . We then find easily, as in Saks, that there exist a pair of positive numbers p, q and a bounded Borel set  $B \subset A$ of positive measure  $(\mu)$  in such a manner that, for all points t of B, we have  $(\mu)\overline{\Theta}(t) > p > q > (\mu)\underline{F}(t)$ .

Let  $\varepsilon$  be any positive number and G a bounded open set which contains B and satisfies both

(1)  $\mu(G-B) < q^{-1}\varepsilon$  and  $\Theta(G-B) < \varepsilon$ .

Consider the family of all closed intervals  $I \subset G$  for which  $F(I) \leq q\mu(I)$ . This family covers the set B in the Vitali sense, and therefore, in accordance with the covering theorem established in our paper [1], contains a disjoint (finite or infinite) sequence of intervals  $I_1, I_2, \cdots$ whose join Q contains B almost entirely ( $\mu$ ). Now, if  $J^\circ$  denotes the interior of an arbitrary closed interval J, then

$$\theta(J) = \theta(J^{\circ}) + \theta(J - J^{\circ}) \leq F(J) + \theta(J - B),$$

since  $\Theta(J^{\circ}) \leq F(J)$  by a known theorem (Saks, p. 68, above) and since F, and hence  $\Theta$  also, is continuous at every point of B. We thus find in view of (1) that

$$\Theta(Q) = \sum_{n} \Theta(I_{n}) \leq q \sum_{n} \mu(I_{n}) + \sum_{n} \Theta(I_{n} - B) < q\mu(G) + \varepsilon < q\mu(B) + 2\varepsilon.$$

On the other hand,  $(\mu)\Theta(t) > p$  at each point t of B. Recalling the relation  $\mu(B-Q)=0$  we therefore get, by the lemma of [2] §4,

$$\Theta(Q) \ge \Theta(BQ) \ge p\mu(BQ) = p\mu(B).$$

This, in combination with what we have already proved, leads at once to  $p\mu(B) < q\mu(B) + 2\varepsilon$ , which is a contradiction since p > q,  $\mu(B) > 0$ , and  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary. Consequently we must have  $\tilde{\mu}(A)=0$ , or in other words, the inequality  $(\mu)\overline{\Theta}(t) \leq (\mu)\underline{F}(t)$  holds at  $\tilde{\mu}$ -almost every point t at which F is continuous.

Now, since F is nonnegative,  $F(J) \leq \Theta(J)$  for any closed interval J and so  $(\mu)\overline{\Theta}(t) \geq (\mu)\overline{F}(t) \geq (\mu)\overline{F}(t)$  for every t. It follows that the  $\mu$ -derivative  $(\mu)F'(t)$  exists and coincides with  $(\mu)\overline{\Theta}(t)$  at  $\tilde{\mu}$ -almost every point t of continuity of F. The function  $\Theta$  being  $\mu$ -derivable almost everywhere  $(\tilde{\mu})$  as shown in [2] §4, the assertion follows.

THEOREM. If F is nonnegative and X is a bounded Borel set at each of whose points one or both of the functions  $\mu$  and F are continuous, then we have

$$F^{*}(X) \geq \int_{\mathbf{x}} (\mu) F'(t) d\mu(t),$$

No. 2]

the sign of equality holding if, and only if, the outer measure  $F^*$  is absolutely continuous ( $\mu$ ) on X.

**PROOF.** In view of the above lemma this is an immediate consequence of Lebesgue's decomposition theorem of [2] § 7.

THEOREM. Let us denote by W the absolute variation of the interval-function F and by C the set of the points of continuity of F. Then, for the set A of the points of C at which both the  $\mu$ -derivatives of F and of  $F^*$  exist and coincide, we have

$$\widetilde{u}(C-A) = W^*(C-A) = 0.$$

PROOF. Let  $\Theta$  be short for  $F^*$  as above. Writing  $\nu(X) = \mu(X) + W^*(X)$  for bounded Borel sets X, so that  $\nu$  is a nonnegative additive set-function, we see easily that  $\tilde{\nu}(Y) = \tilde{\mu}(Y) + W^*(Y)$  for any set Y (cf. the final remark of [2] §2 which concerns the construction of  $\tilde{\mu}$  from  $\mu$ ). Let P be the set of the points of C at which the three functions  $\mu$ , F, and  $\Theta$  are all  $\nu$ -derivable and moreover the  $\nu$ -derivatives of F and of  $\Theta$  coincide. Then  $\tilde{\nu}(C-P)$  vanishes on account of the above lemma and Lebesgue's theorem of [2] §4. Further let Q be the set of the points t of P at each of which  $(\nu)\mu'(t)$  and  $(\nu)F'(t)$  do not both vanish. Since then

 $(\mu)F'(t)=(\nu)F'(t)/(\nu)\mu'(t)=(\nu)\Theta'(t)/(\nu)\mu'(t)=(\mu)\Theta'(t)$ at all points t of Q, we have  $Q \subset A$ , and this conjointly with  $\tilde{\nu}(C-P)$ =0 obtained above implies that

 $\tilde{\nu}(C-A) \leq \tilde{\nu}(C-Q) \leq \tilde{\nu}(C-P) + \tilde{\nu}(P-Q) = \tilde{\nu}(P-Q).$ Thus the assertion will be established if we show that  $\tilde{\mu}(P-Q) = 0$ and  $W^*(P-Q) = 0.$ 

Now both the  $\nu$ -derivatives  $(\nu)\mu'(t)$  and  $(\nu)\Theta'(t)$  vanish everywhere in P-Q. Consequently it follows from Corollary 1° of [2] §7 that  $\mu(Z)=0=\Theta(Z)$ , where and subsequently Z represents an arbitrary bounded Borel set contained in P-Q. From the former of these equalities we deduce at once that  $\tilde{\mu}(P-Q)=0$ . On the other hand, the set Z being arbitrary, the latter equality plainly implies that, if  $\Gamma$  denotes the absolute variation of the restriction of the set-function  $\Theta$  to bounded Borel sets, then  $\Gamma(Z)=0$  for every Z. But it is known that  $\Gamma(X)=W^*(X)$  for bounded Borel sets  $X \subset C$  (see Saks, p. 99). Since every Z lies in C, it follows that  $W^*(P-Q)=0$ , which together with  $\tilde{\mu}(P-Q)=0$  completes the proof, as already observed.

4. Supplement to Tonelli's theorem. Let us state firstly a version of Tonelli's theorem which can be proved in almost the same way as in Saks, pp. 124–125. The letter  $\mu$  will retain its meaning explained in the foregoing section.

TONELLI'S THEOREM. Given a locally rectifiable curve  $\varphi(t) = \langle x(t), y(t) \rangle$  let s(I) denote its arc length over any closed interval I and let M be the set of the points at each of which one or both of the func-

tions  $\mu$  and  $\varphi(t)$  are continuous. Then  $[(\mu)s'(t)]^{2} = \lceil (\mu)x'(t)\rceil^{2} + \lceil (\mu)y'(t)\rceil^{2}$ (1)for  $\tilde{\mu}$ -almost every point t of the set M and  $s^*(X) \ge \int \sqrt{[(\mu)x'(t)]^2 + [(\mu)y'(t)]^2} d\mu(t)$ (2)

variations of x(t) and y(t) respectively.

for each bounded Borel set 
$$X \subset M$$
. The equality sign holds in (2) if, and only if, both the outer measures  $P^*$  and  $Q^*$  are absolutely continuous ( $\mu$ ) on the set X, where P and Q stand for the absolute

We shall now complete this theorem by establishing the following supplement to it, which constitutes the main result of this note:

SUPPLEMENT. Let C be the set of the points at which the curve  $\varphi(t)$  of the above theorem is continuous, and E the Borel set of the points t of C at each of which one or both of the  $\mu$ -derivatives  $(\mu)x'(t)$ and  $(\mu)y'(t)$  exist and are infinite. Then, for every bounded Borel set  $X \subset C$ ,

(3) 
$$s^*(X) = s^*(XE) + \int_{X} \sqrt{\left[(\mu)x'(t)\right]^2 + \left[(\mu)y'(t)\right]^2} d\mu(t).$$

**PROOF.** Let us denote by K the Borel set of the points t of C at which  $(\mu)s'(t)$  is infinite, and by N the Borel set of the points of K at which both the  $\mu$ -derivatives of x and of  $x^*$  exist and coincide. Then K clearly contains E, and the function P of the above theorem fulfils  $P^*(K-N)=0$  on account of the last theorem of §3. Thus  $P^{*}(K-E) \leq P^{*}(K-N) + P^{*}(N-E) = P^{*}(N-E).$ (4)

Now, since C plainly coincides with the set of the points of continuity of s(t), the lemma of §3 implies that  $\tilde{\mu}(K)=0$ , from which we derive  $\tilde{\mu}(N-E)=0$ . On the other hand, the  $\mu$ -derivative of  $x^*$  must be finite at any point t of N-E since it is equal to  $(\mu)x'(t)$ , which cannot be infinite since t does not belong to E. Consequently, appealing successively to the formula (2) of [2] §8 and the theorem on p. 99 of Saks, we find at once that  $P^*(Y)=0$  for all bounded Borel sets  $Y \subset N-E$ and that therefore  $P^*(N-E)=0$ . This combined with (4) yields By symmetry  $Q^*(K-E)$  must also vanish, and we  $P^{*}(K-E)=0.$ get finally  $s^*(K-E)=0$  since evidently

$$s^*(K-E) \leq P^*(K-E) + Q^*(K-E).$$

This being so, consider the Borel set  $K_0$  of the points t of C at which the  $\mu$ -derivative of  $s^*$  becomes  $+\infty$ . Since  $s(I) \leq s^*(I)$  for every closed interval I, the set  $K_0$  contains K; and it follows from the last theorem of the preceding section that  $s^*(K_0-K)=0$ . This, in combination with  $s^*(K-E)=0$  obtained already, gives  $s^*(K_0-E)=0$ , where we observe that  $E \subset K_0$ .

With this in mind we deduce from the decomposition formula (1) of [2] §8 that, for every bounded Borel set  $X \subset C$ ,

(2)

$$s^{*}(XE) = s^{*}(XK_{0}) = s^{*}(X) - \int_{X} \frac{ds^{*}}{d\mu}(t)d\mu(t).$$

But the  $\mu$ -derivative of  $s^*$  is equal to that of s at  $\tilde{\mu}$ -almost every point of C, in conformity with the lemma of §3. The formula (3) follows now at once on account of the relation (1).

5. Relative interior-derivative of an additive interval-function. Consider a function T which is defined at least for all closed intervals in R and assumes finite values for such intervals. By the *interior*  $\mu$ -derivative of T at a point t we shall understand the limit, supposed existent, of the ratio  $T(I)/\mu(I)$  as |I| tends to zero, where I stands for any closed interval whose interior contains the point t. We shall write  $(\mu)T'(t)$  for this quantity. As is easily seen, the set of the points at which  $(\mu)T'(t)$  exists is a Borel set and  $(\mu)T'(t)$  is B-measurable on this set (cf. Saks, p. 113, above). Plainly  $(\mu)T'(t)$  exists and equals  $(\mu)T'(t)$  wherever the latter exists, but the converse is of course false. The function T will be termed *interior-derivable*  $(\mu)$  at a point t when  $(\mu)T(t)$  exists and is finite.

Let F be as in §3 an additive interval-function, of bounded variation over closed intervals. Then F is interior-derivable  $(\mu)$  almost everywhere  $(\tilde{\mu})$ . Indeed we easily find, for each point t at which not both  $F^*(\{t\})$  and  $\mu(\{t\})$  vanish, the relation

$$(\mu)F'(t) = F^{*}(\{t\})/\mu(\{t\}),$$

and the assertion is a direct consequence of this and the lemma of § 3.

Let us return to the consideration of the preceding section, retaining the notation used there. We can now state the following theorem, whose proof will be given in a separate paper.

THEOREM. Let  $C_0$  be the set of the points t at which the curve  $\varphi(t)$  is unilaterally continuous, and  $E_0$  the Borel set of the points t at each of which one at least of  $(\mu)x'(t)$  and  $(\mu)y'(t)$  exists and is infinite. Then, for any bounded Borel set  $X \subset C_0$ ,

$$s^*(X) = s^*(XE_0) + \int_{\mathbf{x}} \sqrt{[(\mu)x'(t)]^2 + [(\mu)y'(t)]^2} d\mu(t).$$

**REMARK.** Of course  $\varphi$  need not be continuous on the same side at all points of the set  $C_0$ .

## References

- Ka. Iseki: On the covering theorem of Vitali, Proc. Japan Acad., 36, 539-542 (1960).
- [2] Ka. Iseki: On relative derivation of additive set-functions, Proc. Japan Acad., 36, 630-635 (1960).
- [3] S. Saks: Theory of the Integral, Warszawa-Lwów (1937).