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1. The algebraic dimensions of invariant groups (orthogonal groups) of quadratic forms are uniquely determined by the number of their variables. But, those of the invariant groups of $m$-forms ( $m \geq 3$ ) are not uniquely determined with the number of their variables.

We shall determine two types of invariant groups of $m$-forms, the one's algebraic dimension is zero ${ }^{1}$ and the other's is not zero.
2. Let $k$ be a field of characteristic 0 , and $V$ be an $n$-dimensional vector space over $k$. We shall say, $F(X)$ is an $m$-form defined on $V$, if there exists a symmetric $m$-linear form $f\left(X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}, \cdots, X^{(m)}\right)$ defined on the direct product of $m$-copies of $V$, such that $F(X)=f(X, X, \cdots$, $X$ ). Every homogeneous polynomial with $n$-variables and of degree $m$ is an $m$-form.

For an $m$-form $F(X)$, the $F$-radical $N_{F}$ of $V$, is a subspace of $V$ consisting of all vectors $X$, which satisfy the equation $f\left(X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}\right.$, $\left.\cdots, X^{(m-1)}, X\right)=0$, for any vectors $X,{ }^{(1)} X^{(2)}, \cdots, X^{(m-1)}$, in $V$.

If $N_{F} \neq 0$ then we shall say that $F(X)$ is non-degenerate, and if $N_{F}=0$, degenerate. When $F(X)$ is degenerate, there exists the nondegenerate $m$-form defined on $V / N_{F}$, induced by $F(X)$.

We shall use $E(V)$ to denote the ring of $k$-linear endomorphisms of $V$, and $G(F)$, the subset of $E(V)$ consisting of all endomorphisms $\Lambda$ which leave $F(X)$ invariant, i.e. $F(X)=F(X \cdot \Lambda)$.

Proposition 1. If $F(X)$ is non-degenerate, $G(F)$ is a group.
Proof. We have to show that every endomorphism $\Lambda$, belonging to $G(F)$ is an automorphism of $V$.

If $\Lambda$ is not an automorphism, there exists a non-zero vector $X$ in $V$, which satisfies $X \cdot \Lambda=0$. Then
$f\left(X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}, \cdots, X^{(m-1)}, X\right)=f\left(X^{(1)} \cdot \Lambda, X^{(2)} \cdot \Lambda, \cdots, X^{(m-1)} \cdot \Lambda, X \cdot \Lambda\right)=0$
for any vectors $X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}, \cdots, X^{(m-1)}$. This implies that $N_{F}$ contains non-zero vector $X$. And this contradiction shows that $\Lambda$ is an automorphism.

If $F(X)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i}^{m}$, then we shall say that $F(X)$ is a diagonal form.
Proposition 2. When $F(X)$ is a diagonal form, then $F(X)$ is non-

1) The algebraic dimensions of the invariant groups of $m$-forms are zero, if and only if the group is a finite group (cf. C. Chevalley: Théorie des Groupes de Lie, 2, Hermann, Paris (1951)).
degenerate, if and only if $\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \neq 0$.
Proof. We can prove easily from the definitions.
Let $C_{m}$ be the cyclic group generated by a primitive $m$-th root of 1 , and $S_{n}$ be the symmetric group of $n$-letters. The multiplicative group of non-zero elements of $k$ will be denoted by $k^{*}$. And we shall use $C_{m}^{(k)}$ to denote $k^{*} \frown C_{m}$.

Proposition 3. Let $m \geq 3$. If $k$ is algebraically closed and $F(X)$ is a non-degenerate diagonal form, then $G(F)$ is isomorphic to the semi-direct product of $S_{n}$ and the direct product of $n$-copies of $C_{m}$.

Proof. It follows immediately from Prop. 2 and the condition of Prop. that we can assume all $a_{i}$ 's are 1. And we shall represent an automorphism $\Lambda$ of $V$ as a non-singular matrix ( $\lambda_{i j}$ ). If ( $\lambda_{i j}$ ) belongs to $G(F)$, then, comparing the coefficients of the terms $x_{\mu} \cdot x_{\alpha} \cdot x_{\beta}^{m-2}$ (where $\alpha \neq \beta, 1 \leq \mu \leq n$ ) of $F(X)$ and $F(X \cdot \Lambda)$, we have the following equations:
for all

$$
\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \lambda_{\mu \nu}\left(\lambda_{\alpha \nu} \cdot \lambda_{\beta \nu}^{m-2}\right)=0
$$

Because ( $\lambda_{i j}$ ) is non-singular, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda_{\alpha \nu} \cdot \lambda_{\beta \nu}=0  \tag{1}\\
\alpha \neq \beta, \quad 1 \leq \nu \leq n .
\end{gather*}
$$

for all
It is easily seen that all permutation matrices are contained in $G(F)$. So, we can assume $\lambda_{11} \neq 0$, multiplying some permutation matrix to the right side of $\Lambda$. Then from (1), $\lambda_{\mu 1}=0$ for all $2 \leq \mu \leq n$. By the induction with respect to $\mu$, we can find a permutation matrix $P$ and a diagonal matrix $D$, whose product is equal to $\Lambda$. If $D=\left(d_{i j}\right)$ (where $d_{i j}=0$, if $i \neq j$ ), then from the fact that $\Lambda$ and $P$ belong to $G(F), D$ belongs to $G(F)$. So $d_{i i}=1$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus $G(F)$ is generated by the direct product of $n$-copies of $C_{m}$ and $S_{n}$.

It is easily seen that the direct product of $n$-copies of $C_{m}$ is the normal subgroup of $G(F)$, and the intersection of $S_{n}$ and the direct product of $n$-copies of $C_{m}$, contains only the identity matrix. This completes the proof.

For non-zero elements $a, b$, in $k$, we shall say $a$ and $b$ to be in the same class, if there exists an $m$-th root of $a / b$ in $k$. And, if $a$ and $b$ are in the same class, we denote $a \equiv b$. When $F(X)$ is a diagonal form, for the coefficients of $F(X)$ we can assume that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1} \equiv a_{2} \equiv \cdots \equiv a_{\alpha} \\
& a_{\alpha+1} \equiv \cdots \equiv a_{a+\beta} \\
& \equiv \cdots \cdots \\
& \cdots \equiv a_{(\alpha+\beta+\cdots+r)} \\
& a_{(\alpha+\beta+\cdots+r)+1} \equiv \cdots \equiv a_{(\alpha+\beta+\cdots+r+\delta)}
\end{aligned}
$$

(where $\alpha+\beta+\cdots+\gamma+\delta=n$, and $a_{\alpha} \equiv a_{\alpha+\beta}, \cdots, a_{\alpha} \equiv a_{n}, \cdots, a_{(\alpha+\beta+\cdots+\gamma)} \equiv$ $a_{n}$ ).

Theorem 1. Let $m \geq 3$. If $F(X)$ is a non-degenerate diagonal form and its coefficients are as above, then $G(F)$ is isomorphic to the semi-direct product of, the direct product of $S_{\alpha}, S_{\beta}, \cdots, S_{r}$ and $S_{\mathrm{s}}$, and the direct product of $n$-copies of $C_{m}^{(k)}$.

Proof. Let $\bar{k}$ be the algebraic closure of $k$ and let $\bar{V}$ be the scalar extension of $V$ with respect to $\bar{k}$. We shall use $\bar{G}(F)$ to denote the invariant group of $F$, on $\bar{V}$. The general linear groups of $V$ and $\bar{V}$ are denoted by $G L(V)$ and $G L(\bar{V})$, respectively. Then we have $G(F)=\bar{G}(F) \frown G L(V)$.

Let $b_{\alpha}, b_{\beta}, \cdots, b_{r}$ and $b_{b}$, be the $m$-th root of $a_{\alpha}, a_{\beta}, \cdots, a_{r}$ and $a_{\delta}$, and let $B$ be the diagonal matrix


Then, from Prop. 3, we can identify $\bar{G}(F)$ with the group, $B^{-1}\left\{\left(C_{m} \times \cdots \times C_{m}\right) \cdot S_{n}\right\} B$. So, $G(F)=\left(C_{m} \times \cdots \times C_{m}\right) \cdot\left(B^{-1} S_{n} B\right) \frown G L(V)$. And from this we can obtain the conclusion.
3. When $F(X)$ is an $m$-form with $m$-variables, we shall say $F(X)$ is a multiple form ${ }^{2)}$ if $F(X)=a \cdot X_{1} \cdot X_{2} \cdot \cdots \cdot X_{m}$ (where $a=0$ ).

It is easily seen that multiple forms are non-degenerate and we can assume $a=1$.

Theorem 2. If $F(X)$ is a multiple form, then $G(F)$ is isomorphic to the semi-direct product of $S_{m}$ and the direct product of ( $m-1$ ) copies of $k^{*}$. ${ }^{3)}$

Proof. If $\Lambda=\left(\lambda_{i j}\right)$ belongs to $G(F)$, then, comparing the coefficients of $F(X)$ and $F(X \Lambda)$, we have $\prod_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{\mu j}=0$ for all $1 \leq \mu \leq m$. Multiplying a permutation matrix to the right side of $\Lambda$, we can assume $\lambda_{11} \neq 0$ and $\lambda_{12}=0$.

When $\lambda_{11} \neq 0, \lambda_{12}=\lambda_{13}=\cdots=\lambda_{1 r}=0$, (where $2 \leq r<n$ ), let $J_{\nu}$ be the set of numbers $j$, for which $\lambda_{j \nu}=0(2 \leq \nu \leq r)$. We denote by $e(\alpha)$ the
2) If $K$ is a separable extension of $k$ of degree $m, K$ has a structure of vector space over $k$. Then, the norm form relative to the extension $K / k$ is an $m$-form, and it is equivalent to a multiple form in $K \cdot \bar{k} / \bar{k}$, where $\bar{k}$ is an algebraic closure of $k$ (cf. T. Ono: On algebraic groups defined by norm forms of separable extensions, Nagoya Math. J., 11).
3) In this case the algebraic dimension of $G(F)$ is ( $m-1$ ).
number of $J_{\nu}$ which contains $\alpha(1 \leq \alpha \leq m)$. And, among the $\alpha$ which has the largest $e(\alpha)$, we pick the minimal one and denote it to be $\alpha_{0}$.

Let $\left\{J_{\nu}^{\prime}\right\}$ be all $J_{\nu}$ 's that do not contain $\alpha_{0}$. And we determine $\beta_{0}$ from these $\left\{J_{\nu}^{\prime}\right\}$ just as $\alpha_{0}$ from $\left\{J_{\nu}\right\}$, and so on. Thus, we have the system ( $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}, \cdots, \gamma_{0}$ ), where $2 \leq \alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}, \cdots, \gamma_{0} \leq n$ and $e\left(\alpha_{0}\right)+e\left(\beta_{0}\right)+\cdots$ $+e\left(\gamma_{0}\right)=r-1$. For this system, comparing the coefficients of the term $x_{1}^{n-r+1} \cdot x_{\alpha_{0}}^{e\left(\alpha_{0}\right)} \cdot x_{\beta_{q}}^{e\left(\beta_{0}\right)} \cdot \cdots \cdot x_{r_{0}}^{e\left(\gamma_{0}\right)}$ of $F(X)$ and $F(X \Lambda)$, we have $\lambda_{1 r+1} \cdot \lambda_{1 r+2} \cdots$ $\cdot \lambda_{1 n}=0$. So, we can assume $\lambda_{1 r+1}=0$, multiplying a permutation matrix to $\Lambda$. Thus, we have proved that $\lambda_{12}=\lambda_{13}=\cdots=\lambda_{1 n}=0$. Then, from this it is easily seen that $\lambda_{21}=\lambda_{31}=\cdots=\lambda_{n 1}=0$. By the induction with respect to $m$, we can prove that $\Lambda$ is equal to the product of a diagonal matrix $D$ and a permutation matrix $P$.

Let $\mathfrak{D}$ be the subgroup of $G(F)$ generated by all diagonal matrices of $G(F)$. Then it is easily seen that $\mathfrak{D}$ is the normal subgroup of $G(F)$ and is isomorphic to the direct product of ( $m-1$ ) copies of $k^{*}$, and $\mathscr{D}_{\frown} S_{m}=(I)$. This completes the proof.

