58. On Open Mappings. II

By Sitiro HANAI

Osaka University of Liberal Arts and Education (Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., May 13, 1961)

Let X and Y be topological spaces and let f be a continuous mapping of X onto Y. f is said to be open if the image of every open subset of X is open in Y. A. H. Stone [9] has obtained conditions under which the image of an open continuous mapping of a metric space becomes metrizable. In this note, we shall obtain some results concerning the images of the open continuous mappings of metric spaces.

1. By the open image, we mean the image of an open continuous mapping. We begin with proving the following theorem.

Theorem 1. If X is a T_1 -space which satisfies the first countability axiom, then X is an open image of a metric space.

Proof. Let $\{U_{\alpha_i} | \alpha \in \Omega\}$ be the open basis of X. For each point x of X, let $\{U_{\alpha_i} | i=1, 2, \cdots; \alpha_i \in \Omega\}$ be an open neighborhood basis of x, then $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots) \in N(\Omega)$, where $N(\Omega)$ is the generalized Baire's zero-dimensional space*' introduced by K. Morita [4]. Now let A denote the set of all such α . If we define a mapping f of A into X by $f(\alpha) = x$, then it is evident that f(A) = X. We shall next prove that f is an open continuous mapping. Let V be any open neighborhood of x such that $f(\alpha) = x$, then, since $\{U_{\alpha_i} | i=1, 2, \cdots\}$ is an open neighborhood basis of x, there exists a U_{α_k} such that $U_{\alpha_k} \subset V$. Then if $\rho(\alpha, \beta) < \frac{1}{k}$ where $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots) \in A$, then $\alpha_i = \beta_i$ for $i \leq k$ by the definition of the metric of $N(\Omega)$. Hence $f(\beta) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k U_{\alpha_i} \subset U_{\alpha_k} \subset V$. Therefore f is continuous.

Now let $V\left(\alpha; \frac{1}{k}\right) = \left\{\beta \mid \rho(\alpha, \beta) < \frac{1}{k}\right\}$, then $f\left(V\left(\alpha; \frac{1}{k}\right)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} U_{\alpha_i}$. In fact, since $f\left(V\left(\alpha; \frac{1}{k}\right)\right) \subset \sum_{i=1}^{k} U_{\alpha_i}$, it is sufficient to show that $f\left(V_{\alpha_i}, \frac{1}{k}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} U_{\alpha_i}$.

 $\left(\alpha;\frac{1}{k}\right) \supset_{i=1}^{k} U_{\alpha_{i}}$. For this purpose, let $y \in_{i=1}^{k} U_{\alpha_{i}}$ and let $\{U_{\beta_{j}} | j=k+1, k+2, \cdots\}$ be an open neighborhood basis which is obtained by number-

^{*)} We define the metric ρ of $N(\mathcal{Q}) = \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots) \mid \alpha_i \in \mathcal{Q}, i=1, 2, \cdots\}$ as follows: if $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots), \beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots), \alpha_i = \beta_i$ for $i < n, \alpha_n \neq \beta_n$, then $\rho(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{n}$. As is well known, $N(\mathcal{Q})$ is a 0-dimensional metric space and we call $N(\mathcal{Q})$ a generalized Baire's zero-dimensional space according to K. Morita.

S. HANAI

ing from k+1. Then $\{U_{\alpha_1}, U_{\alpha_2}, \dots, U_{\alpha_k}, U_{\beta_{k+1}}, \dots\}$ is an open neighborhood basis of y. Hence $f(\alpha') = y$ where $\alpha' = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_{k+1}, \dots)$. Therefore we get $f\left(V\left(\alpha; \frac{1}{k}\right)\right) \supset_{i=1}^{k} U_{\alpha_i}$. On the other hand, since $\left\{V\left(\alpha; \frac{1}{k}\right)\right\} | \alpha \in A, k=1, 2, \dots$ is the basis for open sets of A, f is an open mapping. This completes the proof

mapping. This completes the proof.

The following theorem is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1. Theorem 2. A T_1 -space X is an open image of a metric space if and only if X satisfies the first countability axiom.

Theorem 3. A regular space X is an open image of a locally separable metric space if and only if X is locally separable and locally metrizable.

Proof. Let f(T) = X be an open continuous mapping where T is a locally separable metric space. Let U be an open separable neighborhood of any point t of T, then, since f is open, f(U) is an open set in X and a regular space with a countable basis for open sets as a subspace of X. Hence f(U) is separable and metrizable as a subspace of X. Thus X is locally separable and locally metrizable.

Conversely, if X is locally separable and locally metrizable, then, for each point x of X, there exists an open neighborhood U(x) which is separable and metrizable. Let V_x be a topological space such that V_x is homeomorphic to U(x) and $V_x \cap V_{x'} = \phi$ for any distinct points x and x' of X, then $T = \underset{x \in X}{\smile} V_x$ is a locally separable metric space where the topology of T is defined as follows: for each point t of T such that $t \in V_x$, the open neighborhood basis of t is the open neighborhood basis of t of the space V_x . Let φ_x be the above homeomorphism between U(x) and V_x and let $f(t) = \varphi_x(t)$ if $t \in V_x$. Then it is easy to see that f is an open continuous mapping of T onto X. This completes the proof.

By Theorem 3, we easily obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4. Let X be a locally separable metric space and let Y be a regular space. If f(X) = Y is an open continuous mapping, then Y is metrizable if and only if Y is paracompact.

Remark 1. In Theorem 4, we assumed that X is locally separable. We can not drop this assumption since we can get an encounter example as follows. Let R be a set of real numbers. For each $x \in R$, we define an open neighborhood basis $\{U_u(x) | x < u, u \in R\}$ where $U_u(x) = \{y | x \leq y < u\}$. Then R is a paracompact Hausdorff space satisfying the first countability axiom, but R is not metrizable. By Theorem 1, R is an open image of a metric space.

Remark 2. By E. Michael's theorem [2, Cor. 1.4], we can prove the following theorem: let X be a complete metric space and let Y be a zero-dimensional paracompact T_1 -space. If Y is an open image of X, then Y is metrizable.

In conclusion of this section, we shall prove the following theorem. Before the proof, we give a definition. Let f(X)=Y be a continuous mapping. If $f^{-1}(y)$ is compact for each point y of Y, then Y is said to be a *compact image*.

Theorem 5. A Hausdorff space X is an open compact image of a metric space if and only if there exists a countable family $\{\mathfrak{B}_i\}$ of point-finite open coverings of X such that $\{S(x,\mathfrak{B}_i)\} | i=1, 2\cdots\}$ is a neighborhood basis of x for each point x of X.

Proof. Necessity. Let f(T)=X be an open continuous mapping of a metric space T onto X such that $f^{-1}(x)$ is compact for each $x \in X$. Let $\mathfrak{G}_n = \{G^n\}$ be a locally finite open covering of T such that the diameter of G^n is less than $\frac{1}{n}$ for each $G^n \in \mathfrak{G}_n$. Then $\mathfrak{B}_n = f(\mathfrak{G}_n)$ $= \{f(G^n) \mid G^n \in \mathfrak{G}_n\}$ is a point-finite open covering of X because f is open and $f^{-1}(x)$ is compact for each $x \in X$. Let V be any open neighborhood of x, then $f^{-1}(x) \subset f^{-1}(V)$. Since $f^{-1}(x)$ is compact, $\rho[f^{-1}(x), T$ $-f^{-1}(V)] > 0$ where ρ denotes the metric of T. If we find a positive integer m such that $\rho[f^{-1}(x), T-f^{-1}(V)] > \frac{1}{m}$, then $S(f^{-1}(x), \mathfrak{G}_m)$ $\subset f^{-1}(V)$. Hence $S(x, \mathfrak{B}_m) \subset V$.

Sufficiency. Let $\mathfrak{B}_i = \{V_{\alpha}^i | \alpha \in \Gamma_i\}$ $(i=1, 2, \cdots)$ and let $P = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \Gamma_i$, that is, P is the product of the sets Γ_i . Let T be the set of elements $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots)$ of P such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} V_{\alpha_i}^i$ is a single point of X. Now we define a mapping f as follows: $f(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} V_{\alpha}^i$. Then it is easy to see that f(T) = X. If we consider T as the subspace of the generalized Baire's zero-dimensional space, then T is a metric space. We shall next prove that f is an open continuous mapping. To show this, let V be any open neighborhood of x, there exists a k such that $S(x, \mathfrak{B}_k) \subset V$. If we take an open neighborhood $V(\alpha; \frac{1}{k}) = \{(\beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots)\}$ $|\beta_i = \alpha_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ of $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots)$, we get $x \in f\left(V\left(\alpha; \frac{1}{k}\right)\right) \subset S(x, x)$ $\mathfrak{B}_k) \subset V$. Hence f is continuous. We have also $f\left(V\left(\alpha; \frac{1}{k}\right)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} V_{\alpha_i}^i$. In fact, since $f\left(V\left(\alpha;\frac{1}{k}\right)\right) \subset_{i=1}^{k} V_{\alpha_{i}}^{i}$, we need only show that $f\left(V\left(\alpha;\frac{1}{k}\right)\right)$ $\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \supset \sum_{i=1}^{k} V_{a_{i}}^{i}$. Let x be any point of $\sum_{i=1}^{k} V_{a_{i}}^{i}$, then there exists an lsuch that $S(x, \mathfrak{B}_l) \subset \sum_{i=1}^{k} V_{\alpha_i}^i$ and l > k. Then there exists a $\gamma = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \beta_{i-1})$

S. HANAI

 $\begin{array}{l} \alpha_k, \gamma_{k+1}, \gamma_{k+2}, \cdots) \text{ such that } x = (\sum_{i=1}^k V_{\alpha_i}^i) \cap (\sum_{j=k+1}^\infty V_{\gamma_j}^j). \text{ Hence } f(\gamma) = x \text{ and } \gamma \\ \in V\left(\alpha \, ; \, \frac{1}{k}\right). \text{ Therefore } f\left(V\left(\alpha \, ; \, \frac{1}{k}\right)\right) \supset \sum_{i=1}^k V_{\alpha_i}^i. \text{ On the other hand, since } \\ \left\{V\left(\alpha \, ; \, \frac{1}{k}\right) \middle| k = 1, 2, \cdots, \alpha \in T\right\} \text{ is a basis for open sets of } T, f \text{ is open.} \\ \text{Finally we prove that } f^{-1}(x) \text{ is compact for each point } x \text{ of } X. \text{ Let } \\ \mathcal{A}_i \text{ be the set of } \alpha_i \text{ such that } x \in V_{\alpha_i}^i, \text{ then, by the assumption, } \mathcal{A}_i \text{ is a finite set. Hence } f^{-1}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{A}_i \text{ is compact. This completes the proof.} \\ \text{2. In the first place, we shall give an another proof of the } \end{array}$

following well-known theorem due to A. H. Stone [9].

Theorem 6 (A. H. Stone). If f is an open continuous mapping of a metric, locally separable space X onto a regular space Y, and if for each point y of Y the set $f^{-1}(y)$ is separable, then Y is metrizable and locally separable.

Proof. Let U be an open separable subset of X, then f(U) is separable and metrizable since Y is a regular space.

Now let $\mathfrak{H} = \{H\}$ be any open covering of Y, then $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{H}) = \{f^{-1}(H) \mid H \in \mathfrak{H}\}$ is an open covering of X. Since X is a metric space and locally separable, X has the star-finite property [3, Cor. 2, p. 67]. Hence there exists a star-finite open refinement $\mathfrak{G} = \{G\}$ of $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{H})$ such that each G is separable. Then $f(\mathfrak{G}) = \{f(G) \mid G \in \mathfrak{G}\}$ is a starcountable open refinement of \mathfrak{H} since $f(G) \cap f(G') \neq \phi$ if and only if $G \cap f^{-1}(f(G')) \neq \phi$ and $f^{-1}(f(G))$ is separable. Thus we can see that Y is a regular space with the star-countable property. Therefore Y has the star-finite property [8]. Hence Y is paracompact. Thus we get the theorem by use of Theorem 4, completing the proof.

Theorem 7. If a collectionwise normal space Y is an open compact image of a metric space, then Y is metrizable.

Proof. Let X be a metric space and let f(X)=Y be an open continuous mapping such that $f^{-1}(y)$ is compact for each point y of Y. Let \mathfrak{G}_n denote the locally finite open covering of X defined in the proof of Theorem 5. Then $f(\mathfrak{G}_n) = \{f(G^n) \mid G^n \in \mathfrak{G}_n\}$ is a point-finite open covering of Y. Since Y is collectionwise normal, by E. Michael's theorem [1] $f(\mathfrak{G}_n)$ has a locally finite open refinement $\mathfrak{F}_n = \{H\}$. Let y be any point of Y and let V be any open neighborhood of y. Then, since $f^{-1}(y)$ is compact, $\rho[f^{-1}(y), X-f^{-1}(V)] > 0$ where ρ is the metric of X. Hence there exists a positive integer m such that $\rho[f^{-1}(y),$ $X-f^{-1}(V)] > \frac{1}{m}$. Then $S(f^{-1}(y), \mathfrak{G}_m) \subset f^{-1}(V)$. Hence $S(y, f(\mathfrak{G}_m)) \subset V$. Therefore we get $S(y, \mathfrak{F}_m) \subset S(y, f(\mathfrak{G}_m)) \subset V$. By Nagata-Smirnov's theorem [6, 7], Y is metrizable. This completes the proof.

Remark 3. i) If we drop the condition that $f^{-1}(y)$ is compact

for each point y of Y, Theorem 7 does not hold. We can see this by the example given by A. H. Stone [9, Example (1)].

ii) In Theorem 7, we can not replace the collectionwise normality of Y by the regularity. This is also seen by A. H. Stone [9, Example (3)].

Theorem 8. Let X be a paracompact topological space and let Y be a collectionwise normal space. If f(X) = Y is an open continuous mapping such that the boundary $\mathfrak{B}f^{-1}(y)$ is compact for each point y of Y, then Y is paracompact.

Proof. Let $Y_0 = \{y \mid \text{Int } f^{-1}(y) \neq \phi\}$, then by the openness of f, $f(\text{Int } f^{-1}(y_0)) = y_0$ is open for each point y_0 of Y. Therefore Y_0 is a discrete open subspace and $Y - Y_0$ is closed. Let $X_0 = f^{-1}(Y_0)$, then $X-X_0$ is a closed inverse set and paracompact as the subspace of X. Let f_0 denote the mapping f of $X-X_0$ onto $Y-Y_0$, then f_0 is open and continuous. Since Int $f^{-1}(y) = \phi$ for each point y of $Y - Y_0$, we get $\mathfrak{B}f^{-1}(y) = f^{-1}(y)$. Then we can see that $\mathfrak{B}f^{-1}_0(y) = f^{-1}_0(y) = f^{-1}_0(y)$, that is, the boundary of $f_0^{-1}(y)$ in the subspace $X - X_0$ coincides with the compact set $f^{-1}(y)$. We shall next prove the paracompactness of the subspace $Y-Y_0$. Let $\mathfrak{H} = \{H\}$ be any open covering of $Y-Y_0$. Then we have the open covering $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{H}) = \{f^{1}(H) \mid H \in \mathfrak{H}\}$ of $X - X_{0}$. Since $X - X_0$ is paracompact, there exists a locally finite open refinement $\mathfrak{G} = \{G\}$ of $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{H})$. Then $f(\mathfrak{G}) = \{f(G) \mid G \in \mathfrak{G}\}$ is a point-finite open covering of $Y-Y_0$ because f is open and $f_0^{-1}(y)$ is compact for each point y of $Y-Y_0$. On the other hand, $Y-Y_0$ is collectionwise normal by the closedness of $Y - Y_0$. Therefore, by E. Michael's theorem [1], $f(\mathfrak{G})$ has a locally finite open refinement. Hence $Y - Y_0$ is paracompact. Therefore, by K. Morita's lemma [5, Lemma 1], Y is paracom-This completes the proof. pact.

Theorem 9. Let X be a locally countably compact paracompact topological space and let Y be a Hausdorff space satisfying the first countability axiom. If f(X)=Y is an open continuous mapping such that the inverse image of any countably compact set of Y under f is compact, then Y is paracompact.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{G} = \{G_{\alpha}\}$ be an open covering of Y, then $\mathfrak{G}' = \{f^{-1}(G_{\alpha}) | G_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{G}\}$ is an open covering of X. Since X is paracompact, \mathfrak{G}' has a locally finite open refinement $\mathfrak{R} = \{R_{\beta}\}$. Then $\mathfrak{R}' = \{f(R_{\beta}) | R_{\beta} \in \mathfrak{R}\}$ is an open refinement of \mathfrak{G} . It is easy to see that \mathfrak{R}' is point-finite since $f^{-1}(y)$ is compact for each point y of Y by the assumption.

Now we shall prove that \Re' is locally finite. For this purpose, we suppose on the contrary that \Re' is not locally finite. On the other hand, since X is locally countably compact, Y is also locally countably compact. Then there exist a point y_0 and a countably compact neighborhood $U(y_0)$ of y_0 such that $U(y_0)$ intersects infinitely

S. HANAI

many sets of \Re' . Let y_1 be a point of $U(y_0)$, then y_1 is contained in only a finite number of sets $f(R_{\beta})$. We denote by $\Re'(y_1)$ the family of all such sets $f(R_{\beta})$. We can find a point y_2 of $U(y_0)$ such that $\Re'(y_1) \cap \Re'(y_2) = \phi$ since $U(y_0)$ intersects infinitely many sets of \Re' and \Re' is point-finite. In the same way, we can find a point y_3 of $U(y_0)$ such that $\Re'(y_3) \cap (\Re'(y_1) \cup \Re'(y_2)) = \phi$. By induction, we get a sequence $\{y_n\}$ of points of $U(y_0)$ such that $\Re'(y_n) \cap \Re'(y_m) = \phi$ for $n \neq m$. Since $U(y_0)$ is countably compact and Y satisfies the first countability axiom, we may assume that $\{y_n\}$ converges to a point y of $U(y_0)$. Since Y is a Hausdorff space, the set $\{y_n\} \cup \{y\}$ is countably compact. Hence the set $(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(y_n)) \cup f^{-1}(y)$ intersects only a finite number of sets of \Re . Thus we get a contradiction, completing the proof.

References

- E. Michael: Point-finite and locally finite coverings, Canad. Jour. Math., 7, 275– 279 (1955).
- [2] -----: Continuous collections II, Ann. of Math., 64, 562-580 (1956).
- [3] K. Morita: Star-finite coverings and the star-finite property, Math. Japonicae, 1, 60-68 (1948).
- [4] —: A condition for the metrizability of topological spaces and for n-dimensionality, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku, sec. A, 5, no. 114, 33-36 (1955).
- [5] ----: On closed mappings, Proc. Japan Acad., 32, 539-543 (1956).
- [6] J. Nagata: On a necessary and sufficient condition of metrizability, Jour. Inst. Polytech. Osaka City Univ., ser. A, 1, 93-100 (1950).
- [7] Yu. M. Smirnov: A necessary and sufficient condition for metrizability of topological spaces, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 77, 197-200 (1951).
- [8] —: On strongly paracompact spaces, Izv. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat., 20, 253-274 (1956).
- [9] A. H. Stone: Metrizability of decomposition spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 7, 690-700 (1956).