17. Singularities of the Solution of a Non-linear Wave Equation

By Sadakazu AIZAWA

Department of Mathematics, Köbe University (Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., March 12, 1962)

1. Concerning the characteristic initial value problem for the non-linear wave equation

(1)
$$\Box u \equiv \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1^2} - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_2^2} - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_3^2} = f(x_1, x_2, x_3, u)$$

in two space variables, the author [1] proved the existence of a generalized solution satisfying vanishing initial condition under the assumption that i) f(x, u) is continuous in \mathfrak{D} , and ii) the inequality $\Box \underline{\omega}(x) \leq f(x, u) \leq \Box \overline{\omega}(x)$ holds in \mathfrak{D} , where

 $\mathfrak{D} = \{(x, u); x \in \overline{D}, \omega(x) \le u \le \overline{\omega}(x)\}.^{1}$

In this note we are concerned with singularities of the solution of the characteristic initial value problem for (1), that is, we shall show in §3 that for a certain class of functions f(x, u), the solution of (1) with vanishing initial condition becomes infinite at a (finite) point in D. The proof is similar to that given by J. B. Keller [2] for the solution of the Dirichlet problem concerning the non-linear elliptic equation $\Delta u = f(u)$.

2. To give an explicit bound on the solution of (1), we shall make use of the following comparison theorem.

THEOREM 1. Let f(x, u) and $\underline{f}(x, u)$ be continuous functions defined for $x \in \overline{D}$ and $-\infty < u < +\infty$, and let the inequality f(x, u) $\geq \underline{f}(x, \underline{u})$ hold for $x \in \overline{D}$ and $u \geq \underline{u}$. Further let $\underline{f}(x, u)$ be Lipschitz continuous²⁾ with respect to u.

Assume that u(x) and $\underline{u}(x)$ are generalized solutions in D of the equations $\Box u = f(x, u)$, $\Box \underline{u} = \underline{f}(x, \underline{u})$ with initial conditions $u(x) = \varphi(x)$, $\underline{u}(x) = \underline{\varphi}(x)$ on \mathbf{S}_{Σ} respectively, where $\varphi(0) \ge \underline{\varphi}(0)$ and $\partial \varphi / \partial \lambda_x \ge \partial \underline{\varphi} / \partial \lambda_x$ on \mathbf{S}_{Σ} . Then the inequality $u(x) \ge \underline{u}(x)$ holds in \overline{D} .

For the proof, see Theorem 2.3 in [1].

3. We begin by considering the ordinary non-linear differential equation of the second order

(2)
$$\frac{d^2v}{dr^2} + \frac{\lambda}{r}\frac{dv}{dr} = h(r, v) \quad (\lambda > 0)$$

1) For the notation refer to S. Aizawa: Differentiability of the generalized solution of a non-linear wave equation, Proc. Japan Acad., **38**, 69-74 (1962).

2) $|\underline{f}(x, u_1) - \underline{f}(x, u_2)| \leq L(M) |u_1 - u_2|$ provided $|u_1|, |u_2| \leq M$.

with initial conditions

(3) $v(0) = v_r(0) = 0.$

LEMMA 1. Let h(r, v) be a non-negative continuous function defined for $0 \le r < +\infty$ and $-\infty < v < +\infty$. Further let h(r, v) be Lipschitz continuous with respect to u. Then a unique solution of (2) and (3) exists in the interval $(0, \alpha)$. If α is finite, then the solution v(r) tends to infinity as $r \rightarrow \alpha$.

Proof. Rewriting (2) in the form

$$(4) \qquad (r^{\lambda}v_{r})_{r} = r^{\lambda}h(r,v)$$

and integrating (4) from 0 to r, we have

(5)
$$v_r(r) = r^{-\lambda} \int_0^r x^{\lambda} h(x, v(x)) dx.$$

From (5) it follows that $v_r(r) \ge 0$. Hence v(r) is a non-decreasing function of r, which proves our assertion. The uniqueness is obvious.

We say that a continuous function f(x, u) defined for $x \in \overline{D}$ and $-\infty < u < +\infty$ satisfies *Condition* (G) in D if there exists a continuous function h(r, v) defined for $0 \le r < +\infty$ and $-\infty < v < +\infty$ and satisfying the following conditions:

i) h(r, v) is non-decreasing, that is, $h(r_1, v_1) \ge h(r_2, v_2)$ provided $r_1 \ge r_2$ and $v_1 \ge v_2$,

- ii) h(r, v) is Lipschitz continuous with respect to v,
- iii) $h(r, v) \ge 0$ for $v \ge 0$, h(r, v) > 0 for r > 0 and $v \ge 0$,
- iv) $f(x, u) \ge h(r, u)$, where we set $r = (x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$,
- v) for any fixed r > 0,

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\int_{0}^{x} h(r,z) dz \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} dx < +\infty,$$

vi) D contains a point x whose (Lorentzian) distance r_x from the origin is greater than

$$\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{v_0}^{\infty} \left[\int_{v_0}^{x} h(r_0, z) \, dz \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} dx + r_0$$

for some $r_0 > 0$, where $v_0 = v(r_0)$ and v(r) is the solution of (2) and (3).

Now we can prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. If f(x, u) satisfies Condition (G) in D, then the solution of (1) with vanishing initial condition becomes infinite at a (finite) point in D.

Proof. It is obvious that if we set $r = (x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\lambda = 2$ in (2), the solution $v(r)^{3}$ of (2) and (3) becomes a unique solution⁴⁾ of the equation $\Box v = h(r, v)$ with vanishing condition. Moreover, it

³⁾ From iii) it follows that v(r) is not an identically zero function.

⁴⁾ The uniqueness follows from Theorem 1.

is clear from ii), iii) and Theorem 1 that $v(r) \ge 0$. Hence it follows from (5) (with $\lambda=2$) that $v_r(r) \ge 0$. Accordingly, v(r) is a monotone increasing function of r. From (5), we have in virtue of i) $v_r(r) \le (r/3)h(r, v(r)).$

Now, from (2) (6)

(6) $v_{rr} \ge h(r, v(r))/3$. Multiplying (6) by v_r and integrating from r_0 to r, we have in virtue of i)

$$v_{r}(r)^{2} - v_{r}(r_{0})^{2} \ge \frac{2}{3} \int_{r_{0}}^{r} h(x, v(x)) v_{r}(x) dx$$

$$\ge \frac{2}{3} \int_{r_{0}}^{r} h(r_{0}, v(x)) v_{r}(x) dx = \frac{2}{3} \int_{v_{0}}^{v} h(r_{0}, z) dz,$$

where $v_0 = v(r_0)$. Hence

$$v_r(r)^{-1} \leq \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \Big[\int_{v_0}^{v} h(r_0, z) dz \Big]^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Integrating again from r_0 to r, we get

(7)
$$r-r_0 \leq \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{v_0}^{v} \left[\int_{v_0}^{x} h(r_0, z) dz \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} dx.$$

By v) the integral in (7) converges as $v \to +\infty$. Hence it follows from (7) that v(r) becomes infinite at a finite value of r. Moreover, by vi), there is a point in D at which v(r) becomes infinite. Thus Theorem 1 shows that the solution of (1) with vanishing condition becomes infinite at a point in D.

REMARK 1. If for any fixed r > 0, $h(r, v) = O(v^{1+\lambda})$, $\lambda > 0$, as $v \to +\infty$, then the integral in Condition (G), v) converges.

REMARK 2. If h(v) is a Lipschitz continuous function defined for all values of v such that i) h(v) > 0 for $v \ge 0$, and ii)

$$\int_0^\infty \left[\int_0^x h(z)\,dz\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}dx = +\infty,$$

then a unique solution v(r) of (2) and (3) exists, as is easily verified, in the interval $(0, \infty)$. In this case, if $h(v) \ge f(x, u)$ for $x \in \overline{D}$ and $v \ge u$, we can take the solution v(r) as the function $\overline{\omega}(x)$ required in the existence theorem in [1].

References

- S. Aizawa: On the characteristic initial value problem for non-linear wave equations in two space variables, Funkcialaj Ekvacioj, 3, 115-146 (1961).
- [2] J. B. Keller: On solutions of $\Delta u = f(u)$, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 10, 503-510 (1957).
- [3] J. B. Keller: On solutions of nonlinear wave equations, Ibid., 10, 523-530 (1957).