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As an application of a fixed point theorem due to Tychonov, the author [2] has obtained a theorem concerning the existence of solutions of difference-differential equations defined on a finite interval of $t$ such that

$$
x^{\prime}(t)=f(t, x(t), x(t-1))
$$

under the initial conditions $x(t-1)=\varphi(t)(0 \leqq t<1)$ and $x(0)=x_{0}$, where $\varphi(t)$ is a given continuous function. In [2], he imposed on $f(t, x, y)$ only the condition of continuity of $f(t, x, y)$ in $(t, x, y)$. For the practical problems defined on an infinite interval of $t$, the function $f(t, x, y)$ has so restricted a form that in the sequel we shall consider the equations, in which the function $f$ has some stronger restrictions than those in [2].

The purpose of this paper is to obtain some results concerning the existence, stability, and boundedness of solutions of differencedifferential equations by making use of Tychonov's fixed point theorem.

Recently, as an application of Tychonov's theorem, Stokes [1] has discussed the same problems as above for nonlinear differential equations. His method can also be applied for difference-differential equations.

We first prove the following
Theorem 1. Let $F(t, x, y)$ be continuous and nonnegative in $(t, x, y)$ and nondecreasing in $x$ and $y$ for fixed $t$ in the region $R$ defined by $0 \leqq t<\infty$ and $0 \leqq x \leqq f(t), 0 \leqq y \leqq f(t)^{1)}$ where
(i) $f(t)$ is continuous in the interval $I: 0 \leqq t<\infty$ and $f(0)$ $=\alpha(\geqq 0)$;
(ii) $f(t)$ satisfies a difference-differential inequality

$$
f^{\prime}(t) \geqq F(t, f(t), f(t-1))
$$

under the condition $f(t-1)=|\varphi(t)|(0 \leqq t<1)$ for a given continuous function $\varphi(t)$, which has the limit $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1-0} \varphi(t)$.

Then, if we define a transformation $T$ such that

$$
T f(t)=\alpha+\int_{0}^{t} F(s, f(s), f(s-1)) d s
$$

[^0]$T$ has at least a fixed point, that is, there exists a solution of
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(t)=F(t, x(t), x(t-1)) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

on I with the initial conditions $x(t-1)=|\varphi(t)|(0 \leqq t<1)$ and $x(0)=\alpha$.
Proof. It follows from (ii) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T f(t)-f(t) & =\alpha+\int_{0}^{t} F(s, f(s), f(s-1)) d s-\left(\int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime}(s) d s+\alpha\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{t}\left(F(s, f(s), f(s-1))-f^{\prime}(s)\right) d s \leqq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we have $T f(t) \leqq f(t)$. On account of the properties imposed on $F$, we inductively obtain the monotonicity

$$
T^{n+1} f(t) \leqq T^{n} f(t) \quad(n=0,1,2, \cdots)
$$

on $I$. Since $F \geqq 0$ and $\alpha \geqq 0$, it follows that $\left\{T^{n} f(t)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is monotone decreasing and bounded below by 0 . Furthermore, since it is proved that every $T^{n} f(t)$ is equicontinuous, we obtain that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T^{n} f(t)$ converges uniformly in the interval $I$ and the limit is a fixed point, which is a solution of the equation

$$
x(t)=\alpha+\int_{0}^{t} F(s, x(s), x(s-1)) d s
$$

This is equivalent to (1) with the initial conditions $x(t-1)=|\varphi(t)|$ ( $0 \leqq t<1$ ) and $x(0)=\alpha$.

Now, using the above result, we shall prove the following
Theorem 2. In the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(t)=f(t, x(t), x(t-1)) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial conditions $x(t-1)=\varphi(t)(0 \leqq t<1)$ and $x(0)=x_{0}$, we suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $f(t, x, y)$ is continuous in $(t, x, y)$ for the region $R: 0 \leqq t<\infty$, $|x|<\infty,|y|<\infty$;
(ii) $|f(t, x, y)| \leqq F(t,|x|,|y|)$ in $R$, where the function $F$ is defined as in Theorem 1;
(iii) there is a function $f(t)$ as in Theorem 1.

Then, there exists a solution of (2) with the initial conditions $x(t-1)=\varphi(t)(0 \leqq t<1)$ and $x(0)=x_{0}$, where $\left|x_{0}\right| \leqq \alpha$.

Proof. Let $A$ be a set of all functions $x(\mathrm{t})$ continuous on $I$ such that $|x(t)| \leqq f(t)$, where $x(t-1)=\varphi(t)(0 \leqq t<1)$.

In order to apply a fixed point theorem, we define a transformation $T$ such that

$$
T x(t)=x_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} f(s, x(s), x(s-1)) d s
$$

for any function in $A$. Then, it follows from the properties mentioned above that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|T x(t)| & \leqq\left|x_{0}\right|+\int_{0}^{t}|f(s, x(s), x(s-1))| d s \\
& \leqq\left|x_{0}\right|+\int_{0}^{t} F(s,|x(s)|,|x(s-1)|) d s \\
& \leqq \alpha+\int_{0}^{t} F(s, f(s), f(s-1)) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, it follows from (iii) that there exists a function $f(t)$ such that

$$
F(t, f(t), f(t-1)) \leqq f^{\prime}(t)
$$

on $I$ with the conditions $f(t-1)=|\varphi(t)|(0 \leqq t<1)$ and $f(0)=\alpha$, which shows us that the inequality $|T x(t)| \leqq f(t)(t \in I)$ remains valid. This implies $T A \subset A$.

Since it is well known that $A$ is closed, convex, and bounded in the topology suitably chosen, it follows that there exists at least a fixed point in $A$, which yields an integral equation

$$
x(t)=x_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} f(s, x(s), x(s-1)) d s
$$

This is equivalent to (2) with the conditions $x(t-1)=\varphi(t) \quad(0 \leqq t<1)$ and $x(0)=x_{0}$, which proves the theorem.

Corollary 1. In the equation $f^{\prime}(t)=F(t, f(t), f(t-1))$, where $F$ is defined as in Theorem 1, suppose that there exists a solution defined on $I$ under the initial conditions $f(t-1)=|\varphi(t)|(0 \leqq t<1)$ and $f(0)=\alpha(\geqq 0)$.

Then, there exists a solution of (2) on $I$ under the conditions $x(t-1)=\varphi(t)(0 \leqq t<1)$ and $x(0)=x_{0}$, where $\left|x_{0}\right| \leqq \alpha$.

Corollary 2. Let the inequality $|f(t, x, y)| \leqq \lambda(t)(M(|x|)+M(|y|))$ be satisfied, where $\lambda$ and $M$ are piecewise continuous, nonnegative, $M$ is nondecreasing, $M(0)=0$, and the integral

$$
\int_{r_{0}}^{r} \frac{d \rho}{M(\rho)}
$$

is divergent as $r \rightarrow \infty$ for any $r_{0}(\geqq 0)$.
Then, there exists a solution of (2) on $I$ under the conditions $x(t-1)=0(0 \leqq t<1)$ and $x(0)=x_{0}$, where $\left|x_{0}\right| \leqq r_{0}$.

As is observed in the proof of Theorem 2, if a solution of (1) is stable (bounded), there exists a stable (bounded) solution of (2). ${ }^{2}$ Hence, we obtain the following

Theorem 3. Under the assumptions in Theorem 2, if $f(t)$ is stable (bounded), there exists a stable (bounded) solution of (2).

Corollary 3. Under the assumptions in Theorem 2, if there is a stable (bounded) solution of $f^{\prime}(t)=F(t, f(t), f(t-1))$ with the conditions $f(t-1)=|\varphi(t)|(0 \leqq t<1)$ and $f(0)=\alpha$, then there exists a stable

[^1](bounded) solution of (2) with the conditions $x(t-1)=\varphi(t)(0 \leqq t<1)$ and $x(0)=x_{0}$, where $\left|x_{0}\right| \leqq \alpha$.

Corollary 4. Suppose that the inequality $|f(t, x, y)| \leqq \lambda(t)(M(|x|)$ $+M(|y|))$ is satisfied on $R$, where $\lambda$ and $M$ are piecewise continuous, nonnegative, $M$ is nondecreasing, $M(0)=0$, and the integral

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda(t) d t
$$

is convergent, but the integral

$$
\int_{r_{0}}^{r} \frac{d \rho}{M(\rho)}
$$

diverges as $r \rightarrow \infty$ for any $r_{0}(\geqq 0)$.
Then, there exists a bounded solution of (2) on I with the conditions $x(t-1)=0(0 \leqq t<1)$ and $x(0)=x_{0}$, where $\left|x_{0}\right| \leqq r_{0}$.

As for a perturbed equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(t)=A(t) x(t)+B(t) x(t-1)+f(t, x(t), x(t-1)) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we consider as usual the matrix equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{X}^{\prime}(t)=A(t) \boldsymbol{X}(t)+B(t) \boldsymbol{X}(t-1) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

under the initial conditions $\boldsymbol{X}(t-1)=0(0 \leqq t<1)$ and $\boldsymbol{X}(0)=E$, where 0 is a zero matrix and $E$ the unit matrix.

Let $R(t)$ be a nonsingular solution of (4) under the same initial conditions as above. Then, it is easily seen that $x(t)=R(t) x_{0}$ is a solution of a vector equation

$$
x^{\prime}(t)=A(t) x(t)+B(t) x(t-1)
$$

with the conditions $x(t-1)=0(0 \leqq t<1)$ and $x(0)=x_{0}$.
Let $K(t, s)$ be a matrix solution of the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} K(t, s) & =A(t) K(t, s)+B(t) K(t-1, s) \quad(0 \leqq s<t-1) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} K(t, s) & =A(t) K(t, s) \quad(0<t-1<s<t, 0 \leqq s<t<1), \\
K(t, t) & =1 \\
K(t, s) & =0(-1 \leqq t<0) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, it is observed that

$$
x(t)=R(t) x_{0}+\int_{0}^{\iota} K(t, s) w(s) d s
$$

is a solution of

$$
x^{\prime}(t)=A(t) x(t)+B(t) x(t-1)+w(t)
$$

with the conditions $x(t-1)=0(0 \leqq t<1)$ and $x(0)=x_{0}$.
If we define a transformation $T$ such that

$$
T x(t)=R(t) x_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} K(t, s) f(s, x(s), x(s-1)) d s
$$

we obtain, by means of the same methods as before, the following

Theorem 4. In the equation (3), we suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $R(t)$ and $K(t, s)$ are bounded, that is, $|R(t)| \leqq C,|K(t, s)| \leqq C$;
(ii) $|f(t, x, y)| \leqq F(t,|x|,|y|)$, where $F$ is defined as in Theorem 2 ;
(iii) there exists a solution $f(t)$ such that

$$
f^{\prime}(t) \geqq C F(t, f(t), f(t-1))
$$

with the conditions $f(t-1)=0(0 \leqq t<1)$ and $f(0)=\alpha(\geqq 0)$.
Then, there exists a solution of (3) with the conditions $x(t-1)=0$ $(0 \leqq t<1)$ and $x(0)=x_{0}$, where $C\left|x_{0}\right| \leqq \alpha$.

Corollary 5. In the equation $f^{\prime}(t)=C F(t, f(t), f(t-1))$, suppose that for any constant $\alpha(\geqq 0)$ there exists a solution with $f(t-1)=0$ $(0 \leqq t<1)$ and $f(0)=\alpha$.

Then, there exists a solution of (3) with $x(t-1)=0(0 \leqq t<1)$ and $x(0)=x_{0}$, where $C\left|x_{0}\right| \leqq \alpha$.

Corollary 6. If $f(t)$ in Theorem 3 is stable (bounded), the solution of (3) is also stable (bounded).

Corollary 7. If $|f(t, x, y)| \leqq \lambda(t)(M(|x|)+M(|y|))$, where $\lambda$ and $M$ are defined as in Corollary 2, there exists a solution of (3) on $I$ with the conditions $x(t-1)=0(0 \leqq t<1)$ and $x(0)=x_{0}$, where $\left|x_{0}\right| \leqq r_{0}$.

Corollary 8. If $|f(t, x, y)| \leqq \lambda(t)(M(|x|)+M(|y|))$ in (3), where $\lambda$ and $M$ are defined as in Corollary 4, there exists a bounded solution of (3) on I with the conditions $x(t-1)=0(0 \leqq t<1)$ and $x(0)=x_{0}$, where $\left|x_{0}\right| \leqq r_{0}$.
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[^0]:    1) As usual, $F(t, x, y)$ may be continuously extended to the whole region $|x|<\infty$, $|y|<\infty$. (Cf. [2].)
[^1]:    2) Although there are many types of stability and boundedness, any type of $x(t)$ corresponds to that of $f(t)$.
