

## 99. On the Normalizer of an $f$ -Ring

By Barron BRAINERD

Institute of Advanced Studies, Australian National University,  
Canberra, Australia

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Oct. 12, 1962)

1. *Introduction.* D. G. Johnson has studied the structure of  $f$ -rings, that is, lattice ordered rings  $R$  which satisfy the following condition:

For  $a, b, c \in R$ , if  $c \geq 0$  and  $a \wedge b = 0$ , then  $ca \wedge b = ac \wedge b = 0$ .

In [4] he shows that an  $f$ -ring without nilpotent elements can be embedded as a sub- $f$ -ring of an  $f$ -ring with an identity. In [7] Henriksen and Isbell have shown that  $f$ -ring without left or right annihilators can also be embedded in an  $f$ -ring with identity. In this note we intend to prove following theorem which improves these results.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *If  $R$  is an  $f$ -ring without non-zero right or left annihilators, then the normalizer of  $R$  is an  $f$ -ring which is, of course, without non-zero right or left annihilators.*

The methods employed in this note are quite different from those used by either Johnson or Henriksen and Isbell.

Recall that a *left annihilator* of ring  $R$  is an element  $a \in R$  with the property:  $aR = 0$ . A *right annihilator* is defined analogously.

R. E. Johnson in [5] discusses the normalizer  $N^l(R)$  of a ring  $R$  without non-zero left annihilators. This ring is composed of those mappings  $\varphi$  of  $R$  into  $R$  which satisfy the following conditions:

$$(N 1) \quad \varphi(a+b) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b) \quad \text{for } a, b, \in R.$$

$$(N 2) \quad \varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)b \quad \text{for } a, b, \in R.$$

$$(N 3) \quad \text{For each } a \in R, \text{ there is an element } (a)_{\rho_\varphi} \in R \\ \text{such that } a\varphi(x) = (a)_{\rho_\varphi}x \text{ for every } x \in R.$$

The conditions (N 1) and (N 2) indicate that  $N^l(R)$  is a subset of the ring  $E^l(R)$  of  $R$ -endomorphisms of  $R$  considered as a left  $R$ -module. The set  $N^l(R)$  is closed with respect to the ring operations of  $E^l(R)$  and hence is a sub-ring of  $E^l(R)$ . The set  $N^l(R)$ , endowed with the operations of  $E^l(R)$ , we shall call the *normalizer of  $R$* .

Since 0 is the only left annihilator of  $R$  in  $R$ , the mapping  $a \rightarrow T_a$  is an isomorphism of  $R$  into  $N^l(R)$  provided  $T_a$  is defined to be the mapping  $T_a : x \rightarrow ax$ .

In [5], R. E. Johnson shows that if the ring  $R$  is an ideal of a ring  $S$  and if the only left annihilator of  $R$  in  $S$  is zero, then  $S$  is isomorphic to a subring of  $N^l(R)$ . In addition, one easily verifies

that the isomorphism can be chosen so as to be the identity mapping of  $R \subseteq S$  onto  $R \subseteq N^l(R)$ , that is the isomorphism can be chosen to be an isomorphism over  $R$ .

It is also clear that  $N^l(R)$  contains the identity mapping of  $R$  onto  $R$ , and  $R$  is embedded as an ideal of  $N^l(R)$ .

The author in [2] has studied the normalizers of a special class of  $f$ -rings from a different point of view. Normalizers for Banach algebras have been defined and studied by Choda and Nakamura [3] and by Wang [6].

2. *The right normalizer.* In the Introduction the left normalizer  $N^l(R)$  is defined. If  $R$  has no right annihilators except zero, then a right normalizer  $N^r(R)$  can also be defined with properties symmetric to those of  $N^l(R)$ . In order to avoid notational confusion the elements of  $N^r(R)$  are written as operators on the right and the elements of  $N^l(R)$  as operators on the left.

In this section we show that there is a natural isomorphism between  $N^r(R)$  and  $N^l(R)$  provided the only annihilator of  $R$  on either side in  $R$  is 0. For the remainder of this Section assume that  $R$  has neither right nor left non-zero annihilators.

From (N 3) it follows that for  $\varphi \in N^l(R)$  there is a mapping  $(\cdot)\rho_\varphi$  of  $R$  into  $R$  with the property:

$$(a)\rho_\varphi x = a\varphi(x) \tag{2.1}$$

for each  $a \in R$  and each  $x \in R$ .

LEMMA 2.1. The mapping  $(\cdot)\rho_\varphi$  is an element of  $N^r(R)$ .

PROOF. If  $a, b \in R$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (a+b)\rho_\varphi x &= (a+b)\varphi(x) = a\varphi(x) + b\varphi(x) = (a)\rho_\varphi x + (b)\rho_\varphi x \\ &= [(a)\rho_\varphi + (b)\rho_\varphi]x \end{aligned}$$

for each  $x \in R$ . Thus

$$[(a+b)\rho_\varphi - (a)\rho_\varphi - (b)\rho_\varphi]R = 0,$$

and so the mapping  $(\cdot)\rho_\varphi$  is additive.

Since

$$a(b)\rho_\varphi x = ab\varphi(x) = (ab)\rho_\varphi x$$

for all  $a, b, x \in R$ , it follows that

$$a(b)\rho_\varphi = (ab)\rho_\varphi,$$

and so the right-hand analogue of (N 2) is satisfied by  $(\cdot)\rho_\varphi$ .

The right-hand analogue of (N 3) follows directly for  $(\cdot)\rho_\varphi$  from Equation (2.1).

THEOREM 2.1. *The mapping  $\varphi \rightarrow (\cdot)\rho_\varphi$  is an isomorphism of  $N^l(R)$  onto  $N^r(R)$ .*

PROOF. If  $\varphi, \psi \in N^l(R)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (a)\rho_{\varphi+\psi} x &= a[\varphi + \psi](x) = a\varphi(x) + a\psi(x) = (a)\rho_\varphi x + (a)\rho_\psi x \\ &= (a)[\rho_\varphi + \rho_\psi]x \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a, x \in R$ . Since  $R$  has no non-zero right annihilators,

$$\rho_{\varphi+\psi} = \rho_{\varphi} + \rho_{\psi}.$$

In addition,

$$(a)\rho_{\varphi\psi}x = a[\varphi\psi](x) = a\varphi(\psi(x)) = (a)\rho_{\varphi}\psi(x) = ((a)\rho_{\varphi})\rho_{\psi}x$$

for all  $x, a \in R$ . Thus

$$\rho_{\varphi\psi} = \rho_{\varphi}\rho_{\psi}$$

for  $\varphi, \psi \in N^l(R)$ . Hence  $\varphi \rightarrow (\cdot)\rho_{\varphi}$  is a homomorphism of the ring  $N^l(R)$  into  $N^r(R)$ .

If we observe that  $N^r(R)$  contains a mapping if and only if it satisfies the right-hand analogue of (N 3), then it is clear from the right-hand analogue of Lemma 2.1 that  $\varphi \rightarrow (\cdot)\rho_{\varphi}$  maps  $N^l(R)$  onto  $N^r(R)$ .

To show  $\varphi \rightarrow (\cdot)\rho_{\varphi}$  is one to one, note that if  $(a)\rho_{\varphi} = (a)\rho_{\psi}$  for all  $a \in R$ , then

$$a\varphi(x) = (a)\rho_{\varphi}x = (a)\rho_{\psi}x = a\psi(x)$$

for all  $a, x \in R$ . Thus

$$\varphi(x) = \psi(x)$$

for all  $x \in R$ , and hence  $\varphi = \psi$ . Therefore the theorem is proved.

COROLLARY 2.1. If  $R$  is commutative, then

$$N^l(R) = E^l(R) \text{ and } N^r(R) = E^r(R).$$

In addition the mapping  $\varphi \rightarrow (\cdot)\rho_{\varphi}$  is the identity isomorphism, that is  $\varphi(x) = (x)\rho_{\varphi}$  for all  $x \in R$ .

PROOF. If  $R$  is commutative, then

$$(a)\rho_{\varphi}x = a\varphi(x) = \varphi(x)a = \varphi(xa) = \varphi(a)x \text{ for all } x, a \in R.$$

Thus (N 3) is valid for all mappings in  $E^l(R)$  and  $N^l(R) = E^l(R)$ . Analogously,  $N^r(R) = E^r(R)$ .

Since for all  $x, a \in R$ ,

$$(a)\rho_{\varphi}x = \varphi(a)x,$$

it follows that  $(\cdot)\rho_{\varphi}$  and  $\varphi(\cdot)$  constitute the same mapping.

3. *The normalizer of an  $f$ -ring.* In this section let  $R$  stand for an  $f$ -ring without non-zero left or right annihilators.

In order to show that Theorem 3.1 is a true generalization of D. G. Johnson's result we consider the following example.

EXAMPLE 3.1. Let  $S$  be the algebra over the rational numbers generated by the elements  $e, z$  where  $e^2 = e, ez = ze = z$  and  $z^2 = 0$ .

Lexicographically order  $S$  with  $e$  dominant: thus  $\alpha e + \beta z \geq 0$  provided either  $\alpha > 0$  or  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ . It is clear that  $S$  is an ordered group with respect to the relation " $\leq$ ".

$$\text{If } \alpha e + \beta z \geq 0 \text{ and } \gamma e + \delta z \geq 0$$

then it can be easily verified that

$$(\alpha e + \beta z)(\gamma e + \delta z) = \alpha\gamma e + (\alpha\delta + \beta\gamma)z \geq 0.$$

Thus  $S$  is an ordered ring. Since  $a \wedge b = 0$  in an ordered ring implies either  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ , it can easily be verified that  $S$  is an  $f$ -ring. By construction  $S$  contains a nilpotent element  $z$ , while

$(\alpha e + \beta z)S = 0$  implies that  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ . Therefore, since  $S$  is commutative, it has no non-zero left or right annihilators. Thus  $S$  constitutes an  $f$ -ring with nilpotent elements which has neither left nor right non-zero annihilators.

If  $T$  is an  $f$ -ring with no nilpotent elements but without an identity, then the direct sum  $T \oplus S$  is a ring with nilpotent elements which does not contain an identity and does not contain non-zero left or right annihilators.  $T \oplus S$ , however, can be embedded in an  $f$ -ring with identity.

In order to prove Theorem 3.1 we prove the following sequence of lemmas.

**LEMMA 3.1.** The ring  $N^l(R)$  is a partially ordered ring with respect to the relation:  $\varphi \geq \psi$  if and only if  $\varphi(x) \geq \psi(x)$  for all  $x \geq 0$  in  $R$ .

**PROOF.** The following statements are easily verifiable:

- (1)  $\varphi \geq \psi \Rightarrow \varphi + \xi \geq \psi + \xi$  for  $\varphi, \psi, \xi \in N^l(R)$ .
- (2)  $\varphi \geq \psi$  and  $\xi \geq 0 \Rightarrow \varphi\xi \geq \psi\xi$  and  $\xi\varphi \geq \xi\psi$  for  $\varphi, \psi, \xi \in N^l(R)$ .
- (3)  $\varphi \geq \psi$  for  $\varphi \in N^l(R)$ .
- (4)  $\varphi \geq \psi$  and  $\psi \geq \xi \Rightarrow \varphi \geq \xi$  for  $\varphi, \psi, \xi \in N^l(R)$ .

To show  $N^l(R)$  is a p.o. ring we need only show:

$$\varphi \geq \psi \text{ and } \psi \geq \varphi \Rightarrow \varphi = \psi.$$

If  $\varphi(x) - \psi(x) \geq 0$  and  $\psi(x) - \varphi(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \geq 0$  in  $R$ , then  $\varphi(x) = \psi(x)$  for all  $x \geq 0$  in  $R$ . Since every element  $x \in R$  can be written in the form  $x = x^+ - x^-$  where  $x^+ \geq 0$  and  $x^- \geq 0$  it follows that  $\varphi(x) = \psi(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ , and  $\varphi = \psi$ .

**REMARK 3.1.** It is clear that if  $R$  is an  $f$ -ring, then with respect to the relation,  $\rho_\varphi \geq \rho_\psi$  if and only if  $(x)\rho_\varphi \geq (x)\rho_\psi$  for all  $0 \leq x \in R$ ,  $N^r(R)$  is a partially ordered ring. In addition the mapping  $\varphi \rightarrow (\cdot)\rho_\varphi$  is an isomorphism of the p.o. ring  $N^l(R)$  onto the p.o. ring  $N^r(R)$ .

Let  $\varphi^+(x) = [\varphi(x^+)]^+ - [\varphi(x^-)]^+$  for each  $x \in R$ . Then the following lemma is valid.

**LEMMA 3.2.** For  $x \in R$ ,  $0 \leq a \in R$  and  $\varphi \in N^l(R)$ ,

$$a\varphi^+(x) = [(a)\rho_\varphi]^+ x.$$

Thus  $\varphi^+$  satisfies condition (N 3).

**PROOF.** Since by [4, Theorem 3.15] and Equation (2.1),

$$a\varphi^+(x) = [a\varphi(x^+)]^+ - [a\varphi(x^-)]^+$$

it follows that

$$a\varphi^+(x) = [(a)\rho_\varphi]^+(x^+ - x^-) = [(a)\rho_\varphi]^+ x.$$

**LEMMA 3.3.** The partially ordered ring  $N^l(R)$  is a lattice-ordered ring in which  $\varphi^+$  is the least upper bound of  $\varphi$  and 0 in  $N^l(R)$ .

**PROOF.** First show that  $\varphi^+$  is an element of  $N^l(R)$ : If  $x, y \in R$ ,  $0 \leq a \in R$  and  $\varphi \in N^l(R)$ , then by Lemma 3.2

$$a\varphi^+(x+y) = [(a)\rho_\varphi]^+(x+y),$$

and

$$a[\varphi^+(x) + \varphi^+(y)] = [(a)\rho_\varphi]^+(x+y).$$

Thus

$$R[\varphi^+(x+y) - \{\varphi^+(x) + \varphi^+(y)\}] = 0$$

and hence  $\varphi^+$  satisfies (N 1).

Since it has already been established that  $\varphi^+$  satisfies (N 3) and since (N 2) is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.2, it follows that  $\varphi^+ \in N^l(R)$ .

It remains only to show that  $\varphi^+$  is the least upper bound of the elements  $\varphi$  and 0 in  $N^l(R)$ : Indeed, it is clear that  $\varphi^+ \geq 0$  and  $\varphi^+ \geq \varphi$ . Suppose  $\psi \geq 0$  and  $\psi \geq \varphi$ . Then  $\psi(x) \geq \varphi(x)$  and  $\psi(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . Thus

$$\psi(x) = [\psi(x)]^+ = \psi^+(x) \geq (\varphi(x))^+ = \varphi^+(x)$$

for all  $x \geq 0$ . Therefore  $\psi \geq \varphi^+$  and hence  $\varphi^+$  is the least upper bound of  $\varphi$  and 0 in  $N^l(R)$ . Therefore  $N^l(R)$  is a lattice-ordered ring.

**COROLLARY 3.2.**  $N^r(R)$  is a lattice ordered ring with respect to the order relation:

$$\rho_\varphi \geq \rho_\psi \text{ if and only if } (x)\rho_\varphi \geq (x)\rho_\psi \text{ for all } x \geq 0 \text{ in } R.$$

**LEMMA 3.4.**  $N^l(R)$  is an  $f$ -ring.

**PROOF.** Since  $N^l(R)$  has no non-zero right or left annihilators, we can show, using [1, Theorem 14], that  $N^l(R)$  is an  $f$ -ring if we can show that from  $0 \leq \xi \in R$ ,  $\varphi \in R$ , and  $\psi \in R$  it follows that (i)  $\xi(\varphi \wedge \psi) = \xi\varphi \wedge \xi\psi$ , (ii)  $(\varphi \wedge \psi)\xi = \varphi\xi \wedge \psi\xi$ , (iii)  $\xi(\varphi \vee \psi) = \xi\varphi \vee \xi\psi$ , and (iv)  $(\varphi \vee \psi)\xi = \varphi\xi \vee \psi\xi$ .

To establish (i) note that for  $x \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi \wedge \psi)(x) &= [\varphi - (\varphi - \psi)^+](x) = \varphi(x) - (\varphi(x) - \psi(x))^+ \\ &= \varphi(x) \wedge \psi(x). \end{aligned}$$

For  $x \geq 0$  and  $a \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} a\xi(\varphi \wedge \psi)(x) &= a\xi(\varphi(x) \wedge \psi(x)) = (a)\rho_\xi(\varphi(x) \wedge \psi(x)) \\ &= (a)\rho_\xi\varphi(x) \wedge (a)\rho_\xi\psi(x) \end{aligned}$$

because  $\xi \geq 0$  and hence  $\rho_\xi \geq 0$  by Remark 3.1. Thus

$$a\xi(\varphi \wedge \psi)(x) = a\xi\varphi(x) \wedge a\xi\psi(x) = a(\xi\varphi \wedge \xi\psi)(x)$$

for all  $a \geq 0$  and  $x \geq 0$ . This is sufficient to yield the result:

$$\xi(\varphi \wedge \psi)(x) = (\xi\varphi \wedge \xi\psi)(x)$$

for all  $x \geq 0$ . Therefore since  $x = x^+ - x^-$  and for any  $v \in N^l(R)$ ,  $v(x) = v(x^+) - v(x^-)$ , it follows that

$$\xi(\varphi \wedge \psi) = \xi\varphi \wedge \xi\psi.$$

A similar argument can be used to verify (iii). To verify (ii) and (iv) use the fact that for  $0 \leq x \in R$  and  $\varphi, \psi \in N^l(R)$ ,

$$(\varphi \vee \psi)(x) = \varphi(x) \vee \psi(x).$$

Therefore  $N^l(R)$  is an  $f$ -ring.

Thus the validity of Theorem 3.1 is established.

We conclude with the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *If  $R$  is an ordered ring without non-zero right or left annihilators, then  $N^r(R)$  is also ordered.*

**PROOF.** Suppose  $N^r(R)$  is not ordered, that is suppose that for some  $\varphi \in N^r(R)$ , there is an  $a \geq 0$  and a  $d \geq 0$  both in  $R$  such that

$$\varphi^+(a) \neq 0 \text{ and } \varphi^-(d) \neq 0.$$

Then

$$\varphi^+(a) = [\varphi(a)]^+ = \varphi(a),$$

and

$$\varphi^-(d) = [\varphi(d)]^- = -\varphi(d).$$

If  $a \leq d$ , then  $\varphi^+(d) \geq \varphi^+(a) > 0$ . Thus

$$\varphi^+(d) = [\varphi(d)]^+ = \varphi(d) > 0 \text{ while } \varphi^-(d) = -\varphi(d) > 0.$$

Since  $\varphi(d)$  cannot be both positive and negative  $a$  cannot be less than or equal to  $d$ . On the other hand if  $d < a$ , then

$$0 < \varphi^-(d) \leq \varphi^-(a) = -\varphi(a),$$

and so in this case too we are lead to a situation where  $\varphi(a)$  is both positive and negative. Thus the assumption that  $N^r(R)$  is not ordered is inadmissible.

### References

- [1] G. Birkhoff and R. S. Pierce: Lattice ordered rings, *An. Acad. Brasil Ci.*, 41-69 (1956).
- [2] B. Brainerd: A construction for the normalizer of a ring with local unit with applications to the theory  $L$ -algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **97**, 237-253 (1960).
- [3] H. Choda and M. Nakamura: Multipliers of Banach algebras, *Proc. Japan Acad., Acad.*, **38**, 93-94 (1962).
- [4] D. G. Johnson: A structure theory for a class of lattice-ordered rings, *Acta Math.*, **104**, 163-215 (1960).
- [5] R. E. Johnson: The imbedding of a ring as an ideal in another ring, *Duke Math. Jour.*, **20**, 569-573 (1953).
- [6] J. K. Wang: Multipliers of commutative Banach algebras, *Pacific Jour. Math.*, **11**, 1131-1149 (1961).
- [7] M. Henriksen and Isbell: Lattice-ordered rings and function rings, To appear *Pacific Jour. Math.*