

139. Unique Continuation Theorem of Elliptic Systems of Partial Differential Equations

By Kazunari HAYASHIDA

Department of Applied Mathematics, Nagoya University

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Nov. 12, 1962)

1. Let L be a linear partial differential operator defined in a domain \mathfrak{D} of the n -dimensional Euclidean space R^n . For simplicity, we assume that the origin 0 of R^n is contained in \mathfrak{D} . Denoting by $x=(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ a point of R^n , we can write

$$L=L(x, D)=\sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}(x)D^{\alpha},$$

where α is a sequence $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ of n non-negative integers,

$$D^{\alpha}=\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial^{\alpha_n}}{\partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}$$

and each $a_{\alpha}(x)$ is a real-valued continuous function in \mathfrak{D} . We use a notation $|\alpha|=\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_n$. Put $r=|x|=(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2)^{1/2}$.

Friedman [3] proved the following.

Let $u(x)$ be a constant-signed solution, in \mathfrak{D} , of an elliptic differential equation $Lu=0$ of order $2s$. If

$$(1) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{D^{\alpha} u(x)}{r^k} = 0 \quad \text{for any positive integer } k,$$

where α is an arbitrary sequence with $|\alpha| \leq 2s-1$, then $u(x)$ vanishes identically in \mathfrak{D} .

And Pederson [4] gave an improvement of this theorem. That is, he proved that, in the above theorem, the assumption (1) can be replaced by the condition that there exists a positive integer N satisfying

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{D^{\alpha} u}{r^N} = 0$$

for every $\alpha(0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2s-1)$ and being dependent on L and independent of u .

Now consider an elliptic system of linear partial differential equations

$$(2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^p l_{ij} u_j = 0 \quad (i=1, \dots, p)$$

in unknown functions u_1, \dots, u_p , where l_{ij} is a linear partial differential operator with variable coefficients continuous in \mathfrak{D} . Carleman [1] proved the following.

In the case when in (2), $p=2$, $n=2$ and $l_{ij}(i, j=1, 2)$ are of order 1, each solution u_j of (2), satisfying

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{u_j(x)}{r^k} = 0 \quad \text{for any positive integer } k,$$

vanishes identically in \mathfrak{D} .

In this note, we shall give a result similar to Pederson's theorem for a system (2) under some additional conditions.

2. Before stating our result, we prove the following

Lemma. *Let $L(x, D) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}(x)D^{\alpha}$ be an elliptic linear partial differential operator of order $2s$. Then there exist positive constants m, r_0 and k_0 such that, if $0 < r \leq r_0$ and $k_0 \leq k$,*

$$L(x, D) \frac{e^{\lambda r^2}}{r^{2k}} \geq m \lambda^{2s} \frac{e^{\lambda r^2}}{r^{2(k-s)}} \quad \text{for any } \lambda < 0,$$

where m, r_0 and k_0 are independent of λ and, in particular, k_0 depends only on $L(x, D)$.

Proof. It is easy to see that

$$(3) \quad D^{\alpha} \frac{e^{\lambda r^2}}{r^{2k}} = \sum_{q=1}^{|\alpha|} \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_n! \frac{d^q}{d\rho^q} \frac{e^{\lambda \rho}}{\rho^k} \left(\sum_{\substack{|\beta+\gamma|=q \\ \beta+2\gamma=\alpha}} \frac{2^{|\beta|}}{\beta_1! \cdots \beta_n! \gamma_1! \cdots \gamma_n!} x_1^{\beta_1} \cdots x_n^{\beta_n} \right)$$

and

$$(4) \quad \frac{d^q}{d\rho^q} \frac{e^{\lambda \rho}}{\rho^k} = \left(\lambda^q \frac{1}{\rho^{2k}} + \sum_{l=1}^q \lambda^{q-l} (-1)^l \binom{q}{l} k(k+1) \cdots (k+l-1) \frac{1}{\rho^{2(k+l)}} \right) e^{\lambda \rho},$$

where $\rho = r^2$ and $\beta + 2\gamma$ means the sum of vectors $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ and $(2\gamma_1, \dots, 2\gamma_n)$. In \mathfrak{D} , we consider a closed sphere with center 0 and of radius r_1 . Since $L(x, D)$ is elliptic, we can find a positive number c such that

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=2s} a_{\alpha}(x) x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \geq c r^{2s}$$

for $r = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq r_2$. From now on, we assume $0 < r \leq r' = \text{Min}(r_1, r_2)$ and $\lambda < 0$. If q is even, each term on the right hand side of (4) is positive. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} L(x, D) \frac{e^{\lambda r^2}}{r^{2k}} &= \sum_{|\alpha|=2s} a_{\alpha}(x) D^{\alpha} \frac{e^{\lambda r^2}}{r^{2k}} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2s-1} a_{\alpha}(x) D^{\alpha} \frac{e^{\lambda r^2}}{r^{2k}} \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha|=2s} a_{\alpha}(x) \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_n! \frac{d^{2s}}{d\rho^{2s}} \frac{e^{\lambda \rho}}{\rho^k} \frac{2^{2s}}{\alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_n!} x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \\ &\quad + \sum_{|\alpha|=2s} a_{\alpha}(x) \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_n! \sum_{q=1}^{2s-1} \frac{d^q}{d\rho^q} \frac{e^{\lambda \rho}}{\rho^k} \left(\sum_{\substack{|\beta+\gamma|=q \\ \beta+2\gamma=\alpha}} \frac{2^{|\beta|}}{\beta_1! \cdots \beta_n! \gamma_1! \cdots \gamma_n!} x_1^{\beta_1} \cdots x_n^{\beta_n} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2s-1} a_{\alpha}(x) \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_n! \sum_{q=1}^{|\alpha|} \frac{d^q}{d\rho^q} \frac{e^{\lambda \rho}}{\rho^k} \left(\sum_{\substack{|\beta+\gamma|=q \\ \beta+2\gamma=\alpha}} \frac{2^{|\beta|}}{\beta_1! \cdots \beta_n! \gamma_1! \cdots \gamma_n!} x_1^{\beta_1} \cdots x_n^{\beta_n} \right) \\ &\geq 2^{2s} c r^{2s} \frac{d^{2s}}{d\rho^{2s}} \frac{e^{\lambda \rho}}{\rho^k} \\ &\quad - M_1 (2s+1)^{n-1} (2s)! \sum_{q=s}^{2s-1} \left| \frac{d^q}{d\rho^q} \frac{e^{\lambda \rho}}{\rho^k} \right| (2nr)^{2q-2s} \\ &\quad - M_2 \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2s-1} (|\alpha|+1)^{n-1} |\alpha|! \sum_{q=\lfloor \frac{|\alpha|}{2} \rfloor+1}^{|\alpha|} \left| \frac{d^q}{d\rho^q} \frac{e^{\lambda \rho}}{\rho^k} \right| (2nr)^{2q-|\alpha|}, \end{aligned}$$

where $M_1 = \sup_{\substack{|\alpha| \leq 2s \\ r \leq r_1}} |\alpha_\alpha(x)|$ and $M_2 = \sup_{\substack{|\alpha| \leq 2s-1 \\ r \leq r_1}} |\alpha_\alpha(x)|$. Setting $m = 2^{2s}c$ and $K_i = M_i(2s+1)^{n-1}(2s)!$ ($i=1, 2$), we get

$$\begin{aligned} L(x, D) \frac{e^{\lambda r^2}}{r^{2k}} - m\lambda^{2s} \frac{e^{\lambda r^2}}{r^{2(k-s)}} &\geq m \sum_{l=1}^{2s} |\lambda|^{2s-l} \binom{2s}{l} k(k+1) \cdots (k+l-1) \frac{1}{r^{2(k+l-s)}} \\ &- K_1 \sum_{q=s}^{2s-1} \left(\frac{|\lambda|^q}{\rho^k} + \sum_{l'=1}^q |\lambda|^{q-l'} \binom{q}{l'} k(k+1) \cdots (k+l'-1) \frac{1}{r^{2(k+l'+s-q)}} \right) \\ &- K_2 \sum_{\substack{|\alpha| \leq 2s-1 \\ q = \lfloor \frac{|\alpha|}{2} \rfloor + 1}} \left(\frac{|\lambda|^q}{\rho^k} + \sum_{l''=1}^q |\lambda|^{q-l''} \binom{q}{l''} k(k+1) \cdots (k+l''-1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \frac{1}{r^{2(k+l'') + |\alpha| - 2q}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In the right hand side of this inequality, we compare the coefficient of each term in the first sum with that of $|\lambda|^{q-l''}$ in the third sum. If $2s-l=q-l''$, then $2(k+l-s) > 2(k+l'') + |\alpha| - 2q$ for any α ($|\alpha| \leq 2s-1$) and any q ($\lfloor \frac{|\alpha|}{2} \rfloor + 1 \leq q \leq |\alpha|$). Therefore, we can choose a sufficiently small number r_0 ($< r'$) such that, when $0 < r \leq r_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} L(x, D) \frac{e^{\lambda r^2}}{r^{2k}} - m\lambda^{2s} \frac{e^{\lambda r^2}}{r^{2(k-s)}} &\geq \frac{m}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{2s} |\lambda|^{2s-l} \binom{2s}{l} k(k+1) \cdots (k+l-1) \frac{1}{r^{2(k+l-s)}} \\ &- K_1 \sum_{q=s}^{2s-1} \left(\frac{|\lambda|^q}{\rho^k} + \sum_{l'=1}^q |\lambda|^{q-l'} \binom{q}{l'} k(k+1) \cdots (k+l'-1) \frac{1}{r^{2(k+l'+s-q)}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In the above, we compare the coefficient of $|\lambda|^{2s-l}$ of the first sum with that of the second sum. If $2s-l=q-l'$, then $2(k+l-s) = 2(k+l'+s-q)$ and $l' \leq l-1$. Hence we have the required inequality for $k \geq k_0$ by taking k_0 suitably.

3. We consider linear differential operators (l_{ij}) ($i, j=1, \dots, p$) with variable coefficients defined in \mathfrak{D} . Every l_{ij} can be expressed as follows:

$$l_{ij} = l_{ij}(x, D) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}^{ij}(x) D^{\alpha}.$$

For an arbitrary real n -dimensional vector $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$, there exists a one to one correspondence between operators $l_{ij}(x, D)$ and polynomials $l_{ij}(x, \xi) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}^{ij}(x) \xi^{\alpha}$ in ξ .

Assume that there are $2p$ integers $s_1, \dots, s_p, t_1, \dots, t_p$ such that the order of l_{ij} does not exceed $s_i + t_j$. Denote by $l'_{ij}(x, \xi)$ the sum of terms in $l_{ij}(x, \xi)$ which are exactly of order $s_i + t_j$ with respect to ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n , where it is to be understood that $l_{ij}(x, \xi) \equiv 0$ if the order of $l_{ij}(x, \xi)$ in ξ is less than $s_i + t_j$. The determinant $L(x, \xi)$ of the charac-

teristic matrix

$$(5) \quad (l'_{ij}(x, \xi))$$

of (l_{ij}) is a polynomial in ξ which is homogeneous of degree $\sum_{i=1}^p (s_i + t_i)$.

The system

$$\sum_{j=1}^p l_{ij}(x, D)u_j = 0, \quad i=1, \dots, p$$

is called elliptic, if there exist s_i and t_i ($i=1, \dots, p$) such that $L(x, \xi)$ is positive definite at every point x in \mathfrak{D} . This definition is due to Douglis and Nirenberg [2].

We say that the elliptic system in the above sense is $(*)$ -elliptic, if it satisfies the following condition:

$(*)$ When $q \neq j$, the order of

$$\sum_{i=1}^p L_{iq}(x, D)l_{ij}(x, D) \quad (q, j=1, \dots, p)$$

is less than $\sum_{i=1}^p (s_i + t_i)$, where $L_{iq}(x, \xi)$ is the cofactor of $l'_{iq}(x, \xi)$ of the determinant of (5).

Example. Consider a system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^3 u_1}{\partial x_1^3} + \frac{\partial^2 u_2}{\partial x_2^2} + a_1(x) \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} + a_2(x) \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_2} + b_1(x) \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1} + b_2(x) \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1} + a_3(x)u_1 \\ - \frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial x_2^2} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1} + c(x)u_1 + d(x)u_2 = 0, \end{cases} + b_3(x)u_2 = 0,$$

in a domain of R^2 . Taking $s_1=2, s_2=1, t_1=1, t_2=0$, we see our system is $(*)$ -elliptic in our sense. It is easy to verify that Carleman's system stated in §1 is also $(*)$ -elliptic.

Now we can state the

Theorem. *Let*

$$(6) \quad \sum_{j=1}^p l_{ij}(x, D)u_j = 0, \quad i=1, \dots, p$$

be an $(*)$ -elliptic system whose coefficients have continuous derivatives of order $\sum_{i=1}^p (s_i + t_i)$ in \mathfrak{D} and let $u_j(x)$ ($j=1, \dots, p$) be solutions of the above system. If each $u_i(x)$ ($1 \leq i \leq p$) is constant-signed, non-negative or non-positive, in \mathfrak{D} , then there exists a number N depending only on (l_{ij}) such that each $u_i(x)$ vanishes identically in \mathfrak{D} provided that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{D^\alpha u_j(x)}{r^N} = 0, \quad i=1, \dots, p$$

for every α ($|\alpha| \leq \sum_{i=1}^p (s_i + t_i) - 1$).

Proof. Operating $L_{iq}(x, D)$ to the left hand side of (6) and summing up for i , we have

$$(7) \quad \sum_i L_{iq}(x, D)l_{iq}(x, D)u_q + \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ j \neq q}} L_{iq}(x, D)l_{ij}(x, D)u_j = 0.$$

By the condition $(*)$, (7) can be written in the form

$$(8) \quad L(x, D)u_q + \sum_{j=1}^p R_{jq}(x, D)u_j = 0,$$

where $R_{jq}(x, D)$ is a linear partial differential operator whose order is less than $\sum_{i=1}^p (s_i + t_i)$ and $L(x, \xi)$ is the determinant of (5). Put

$$v_q = \begin{cases} u_q, & \text{if } u_q \geq 0, \\ -u_q, & \text{if } u_q \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

From (8), we get

$$\sum_{q=1}^p L(x, D)v_q = \sum_{q=1}^p R_q(x, D)v_q,$$

where $R_q(x, D)$ is a linear partial differential operator with order less than $\sum_{i=1}^p (s_i + t_i)$. We put

$$L(x, D) - R_q(x, D) = L_q(x, D)$$

and denote by $\bar{L}_q(x, D)$ the adjoint operator of $L_q(x, D)$. Since every $\bar{L}_q(x, D)$ is also an elliptic operator with coefficients continuous in \mathfrak{D} and has a principal part, common with $L_q(x, D)$, of order $\sum_{i=1}^p (s_i + t_i)$, we can apply our lemma to $\bar{L}_q(x, D)$ and we see that there exist numbers m_q, r_q and k_0 such that, if $\lambda < 0, 0 < r \leq r_q$ and $k_0 \leq k$, it holds

$$\bar{L}_q(x, D) \frac{e^{\lambda r^2}}{r^{2k}} \geq m_q \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^p (s_i + t_i)} \frac{e^{\lambda r^2}}{r^{2k - \sum_{i=1}^p (s_i + t_i)}}.$$

Putting $r_0 = \min_{1 \leq q \leq p} r_q$ and $m_0 = \min_{1 \leq q \leq p} m_q$, we have

$$(9) \quad \bar{L}_q(x, D) \frac{e^{\lambda r^2}}{r^{2k}} \geq m_0 \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^p (s_i + t_i)} \frac{e^{\lambda r^2}}{r^{2k - \sum_{i=1}^p (s_i + t_i)}}$$

for all q , if $\lambda < 0, 0 < r \leq r_0$ and $k_0 \leq k$. On the other hand, let $\zeta(x)$ be an infinitely differentiable function with compact carrier in $|x| < r_0$ such that $\zeta(x) = 1$ in $|x| \leq \frac{r_0}{2}$. We put $w_q(x) = \zeta(x)v_q(x)$.

By Green's formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\varepsilon \leq r \leq r_0} w_q(x) \bar{L}_q(x, D) \frac{e^{\lambda r^2}}{r^{2k}} dV_x \\ &= \int_{\varepsilon \leq r \leq r_0} \frac{e^{\lambda r^2}}{r^{2k}} L_q(x, D)w_q dV_x \\ & \quad + \int_{r=\varepsilon} K_q \left(D^\beta \frac{e^{\lambda r^2}}{r^{2k}}, D^r w_q(x) \right) dS_x, \end{aligned}$$

where dV_x and dS_x denote the volume element and the area element respectively and further $K_q \left(D^\beta \frac{e^{\lambda r^2}}{r^{2k}}, D^r w_q(x) \right)$ is a sum of products of $D^\beta \frac{e^{\lambda r^2}}{r^{2k}} (|\beta| \leq \sum_{i=1}^p (s_i + t_i) - 1), D^r w_q(x) (|\beta + \gamma| \leq \sum_{i=1}^p (s_i + t_i), |\gamma| \leq \sum_{i=1}^p (s_i + t_i) - 1)$ and bounded functions. Put $N = 2(k_0 + \sum_{i=1}^p (s_i + t_i))$. If

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{D^\alpha u_q(x)}{r^{2N-|\alpha|}} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |\alpha| \leq \sum_{i=1}^p (s_i + t_i) - 1,$$

then, from (3),

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} K_q \left(D^\beta \frac{e^{\lambda r^2}}{r^{2k}}, D^r w_q(x) \right)_{r=\epsilon} = 0.$$

Thus we obtain

$$(10) \quad \int_{r \leq r_0} \bar{L}_q(x, D) \frac{e^{\lambda r^2}}{r^{2k}} w_q(x) dV_x \leq \int_{r \leq r_0} \frac{e^{\lambda r^2}}{r^{2k}} L_q(x, D) w_q dV_x.$$

Since $L_q(x, D)w_q = L_q(x, D)v_q$ in $|x| < \frac{r_0}{2}$ and since (9) holds, the above inequality (10) implies

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^p (s_i + t_i)} e^{\lambda \left(\frac{r_0}{2}\right)^2} \int_{r \leq \frac{r_0}{2}} \frac{v_q}{r^{2k_0 - \sum_{i=1}^p (s_i + t_i)}} dV_x \\ \leq \int_{\frac{r_0}{2} \leq r \leq r_0} e^{\lambda r^2} \frac{\sum_{q=1}^p L_q(x, D)w_q}{r^{2k_0}} dV_x. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides by $m_0 \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^p (s_i + t_i)} e^{\lambda \left(\frac{r_0}{2}\right)^2}$ and letting $\lambda \rightarrow -\infty$, we have

$$\int_{r \leq \frac{r_0}{2}} \frac{\sum_{q=1}^p v_q}{r^{2k_0 - \sum_{i=1}^p (s_i + t_i)}} dV_x \leq 0.$$

Since every $v_q(x)$ is non-negative, we conclude that $v_q(x)$ vanishes in $|x| < \frac{r_0}{2}$, that is, $u_q(x) = 0$ in $|x| < \frac{r_0}{2}$ ($q = 1, \dots, p$). By a classical procedure of continuation, we see the vanishing of all $u_q(x)$ in the whole domain.

Remark. In the case $p = 1$, the above proof gives an alternating proof of Pederson's theorem.

References

- [1] T. Carleman: Sur les systèmes linéaires aux dérivées partielles du premier ordre à deux variables, C. R. Paris, **197**, 471-474 (1933).
- [2] A. Douglis and L. Nirenberg: Interior estimates for elliptic systems of partial differential equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **8**, 503-538 (1955).
- [3] A. Friedman: Uniqueness properties in the theory of differential operators of elliptic type, Journ. Math. Mech., **7**, 61-67 (1958).
- [4] R. N. Pederson: On the order of zeros of one-signed solutions of elliptic equations, Journ. Math. Mech., **8**, 193-196 (1959).