

## 22. Construction of Finite Commutative $z$ -Semigroups

By Miyuki YAMADA

Department of Mathematics, Shimane University  
(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Feb. 12, 1964)

§ 1. **Introduction.** As defined by Tamura [4], a semigroup is called a  $z$ -semigroup if it has a zero element, 0, but has no idempotent except 0. In particular, for a finite commutative semigroup  $S$  it is easily seen that  $S$  is a  $z$ -semigroup if and only if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (1)  $S$  has a zero element 0  
and (2)  $S \supset S^2 \supset \cdots \supset S^p = \{0\}$  for some positive integer  $p$ .<sup>1)</sup>

If  $S \setminus S^2$  is non-empty, every element of  $S \setminus S^2$  is called a *prime element* of  $S$ .

In the case of  $p=1$  or  $p=2$ ,  $S$  satisfies the following

- (3)  $S = \{0\}$   
or (4)  $xy = 0$  for any  $x, y \in S$ ,  
respectively.

Such a semigroup  $S$  is called a *trivial  $z$ -semigroup* or a *null semigroup*, corresponding to  $p=1$  or  $p=2$ .

Now, the problem of construction of finite commutative  $z$ -semigroups occupies an important part in the problem of construction of finite commutative semigroups. In this paper, we shall deal with this problem and present a method of constructing all possible commutative  $z$ -semigroups of a given order. The proofs are omitted and will be given in detail elsewhere.<sup>2)</sup>

§ 2. **Commutative  $z$ -semigroups of order  $n$ .** At first, we have

**Theorem 1.** *For any positive integer  $n$ , there exists a commutative  $z$ -semigroup of order  $n$ .*

Let  $G$  be a semigroup with a zero element 0. The subset  $A$  of  $G$ , where  $A = \{x : x \in G, xy = yx = 0 \text{ for all } y \in G\}$ , is a subsemigroup of  $G$ . We shall call  $A$  the *annihilator* of  $G$ .

**Lemma 1.** *The annihilator of a non-trivial, finite commutative  $z$ -semigroup has a non-zero element (see also Tamura [3]).*

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $S$  be a commutative  $z$ -semigroup of order  $n+1$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). Let 0 be the zero element of  $S$  and let  $u$  be a non-zero element contained in the annihilator of  $S$ . Then the set  $\{0, u\}$  is both a null subsemigroup and an ideal of  $S$ , and the factor semigroup  $D = S/\{0, u\}$  of  $S \text{ mod } \{0, u\}$  in the sense of Rees [2] is a commutative  $z$ -semi-*

1)  $A \supset B$  means ' $B$  is a proper subset of  $A$ '.

2) This is an abstract of a paper which will appear elsewhere.

group of order  $n$ . Further, in this case  $S$  is a commutative extension of  $\{0, u\}$  by  $D$  in the sense of Clifford [1].<sup>3)</sup> Accordingly,  $S$  is a commutative extension of a null semigroup of order 2 by a commutative  $z$ -semigroup of order  $n$ .

Conversely, we have

**Lemma 3.** *A commutative extension of a null semigroup of order 2 by a commutative  $z$ -semigroup of order  $n$  is a commutative  $z$ -semigroup of order  $n+1$ .*

**Remark.** For any given null semigroup  $N$  of order 2 and for any commutative  $z$ -semigroup  $Z$  of order  $n$ , existence of a commutative extension of  $N$  by  $Z$  is proved by the following example: Let  $N=\{0, u\}$ , where 0 is the zero element of  $N$ . Let  $\mathbf{0}$  be the zero element of  $Z$ , and put  $S=Z\setminus\mathbf{0}+\{0, u\}$ .

Then  $S$  becomes a commutative extension of  $N$  by  $Z$  by the multiplication  $\circ$  defined as follows:

$$x \circ y = \begin{cases} xy & \text{if } x, y \in Z \text{ and } xy \neq \mathbf{0}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Combining Lemmas 2 and 3, we obtain the following

**Theorem 2.** *A commutative  $z$ -semigroup of order  $n+1$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) is a commutative extension of a null semigroup of order 2 by a commutative  $z$ -semigroup of order  $n$ , and vice-versa.*

Now, we consider the problem:

(A) Construct all possible commutative  $z$ -semigroups of order  $n$  for a given positive integer  $n$ .

For  $n=1$  or 2 this problem is easily solved, since a commutative  $z$ -semigroup of order 1 or 2 is a trivial  $z$ -semigroup or a null semigroup respectively.

Hence, the problem (A) is reduced to the following problem:

(B) We assume that we can construct all possible commutative  $z$ -semigroups of order  $m$  ( $m \geq 2$ ). Construct all possible commutative  $z$ -semigroups of order  $m+1$ .

Further, by Theorem 2 the problem (B) is reduced to the following problem:

3) Let  $K$  be a semigroup. Let  $L$  be a semigroup with a zero element 0, having no element in common with  $K$ . Let  $M=K+L\setminus\{0\}$ .

If a binary operation  $\circ$  in  $M$  satisfies the following

$$(M) \quad \begin{cases} (1) & x \circ y \begin{cases} =xy & \text{if } x, y \in K \text{ or if } x, y \in L \text{ and } xy \neq 0, \\ \in K & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ (2) & (x \circ y) \circ z = x \circ (y \circ z), \end{cases}$$

then the resulting system  $M(\circ)$  becomes a semigroup, which is called an *extension* of  $K$  by  $L$ . If  $K$  and  $L$  are commutative, we can consider the case in which  $M(\circ)$  becomes a commutative semigroup. In this case, we shall call  $M(\circ)$  a *commutative extension* of  $K$  by  $L$ .

(C) Construct all possible commutative extensions of a given null semigroup of order 2 by a given commutative  $z$ -semigroup of order  $m$  ( $m \geq 2$ ).

We shall deal with this problem (C) in the next paragraph.

Remark. The whole discussion and result of this paragraph still hold, even if we substitute the terms ' $z$ -semigroup' and 'extension' for the terms 'commutative  $z$ -semigroup' and 'commutative extension' respectively. Accordingly, the problem of constructing all finite  $z$ -semigroups is reduced to the following problem:

(C\*) Construct all possible extensions of a given null semigroup of order 2 by a given  $z$ -semigroup of order  $m$  ( $m \geq 2$ ).

§ 3. **C-factors of a finite commutative  $z$ -semigroup.** Let  $N$  be a null semigroup of order 2, and put  $N = \{0, z\}$ , where 0 is the zero element of  $N$ . Let  $T$  be a finite commutative  $z$ -semigroup having 0 as its zero element. Let  $T^* = T \setminus \{0\}$ , and let  $S = N + T^*$ . Let  $\Omega = \{(x, y) : xy = 0, x, y \in T\}$ .

Then, any subset  $A$  of  $\Omega$  satisfying the following conditions (1)–(3) is an ideal of the direct product  $T \times T$ :

$$(E) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (1) \quad (t, 0) \in A \text{ for any } t \in T, \\ (2) \quad (tv, w) \in A \text{ implies } (t, vw) \in A, \\ \text{and } (3) \quad (v, w) \in A \text{ implies } (w, v) \in A. \end{array} \right.$$

Such a  $A$  is called a *commutative extension factor* (abbrev., *C-factor*) of  $T$ . It is easy to see that  $\Omega$  itself is the greatest *C-factor* of  $T$ .

Under this definition, we have

Theorem 3. *Let  $A$  be a C-factor of  $T$ , and define multiplication  $\circ$  in  $S$  by the following*

$$(P) \quad x \circ y = \begin{cases} xy & \text{if } x, y \in T^*, xy \neq 0 \text{ or if } x, y \in N \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in N \text{ or } y \in N \\ 0 & \text{if } (x, y) \in A, x, y \in T^* \text{ and } xy = 0 \\ z & \text{if } (x, y) \notin A, x, y \in T^* \text{ and } xy = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then,  $S(\circ)$  becomes a commutative extension of  $N$  by  $T$ . Further, every commutative extension of  $N$  by  $T$  is found in this fashion.

By Theorem 3, the problem of determining all commutative extensions of  $N$  by  $T$  is reduced to the problem of finding all *C-factors* of  $T$ . Next, we shall consider this problem.

Theorem 4. *Let*

$$\Gamma = \{(t_1 t_2, t_3) : t_1, t_2, t_3 \in T, t_1 t_2 t_3 = 0\} \cup \{(t_1, t_2 t_3) : t_1, t_2, t_3 \in T, t_1 t_2 t_3 = 0\}.$$

Then,

- (1)  $\Gamma$  is a *C-factor* of  $T$ ,
- (2)  $\Gamma = \Omega \setminus \{(x, y) : x, y \text{ are prime elements of } T\}$

and (3) if  $\Omega \supseteq A \supseteq \Gamma$  and if  $A$  satisfies the condition (3) of (C), then  $A$  is a *C-factor* of  $T$ .

If a sequence  $\mathfrak{S} = \{t, t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots, t_r\}$  of elements of  $T$ , where  $r$

is an even integer  $\geq 2$ , satisfies

$$(1) \quad (t, t_0) \in \Omega$$

$$\text{and } (2) \quad t = t_1 t_2, t_0 t_1 = t_3 t_4, t_2 t_3 = t_5 t_6, \dots, t_{r-4} t_{r-3} = t_{r-1} t_r$$

$$(t = t_1 t_2 \text{ in the case of } r=2),$$

then  $\mathfrak{S}$  is called a  $(t, t_0)$ -chain (in  $T$ ). Further, in this case the ordered set  $(t_{r-2}, t_{r-1}, t_r)$  is called the *final part* of  $\mathfrak{S}$ . It should be noted that for a given  $(t, t_0) \in \Omega$  such a  $(t, t_0)$ -chain is not necessarily unique even if it exists.

Lemma 4.

(1) If  $(t_0, t_1, t_2)$  is the final part of a  $(t, \mathbf{0})$ -chain,  $\{t, t_0, t_1, t_2\}$ , then  $(t_0 t_1, t_2) = (\mathbf{0}, t_2)$  and  $(t_2, t_0 t_1) = (t_2, \mathbf{0})$ .

(2) If  $(t_{r-2}, t_{r-1}, t_r)$  is the final part of a  $(t, \mathbf{0})$ -chain and if  $r \geq 4$ , then  $(t_{r-2}, t_{r-1}, t_r)$  is also the final part of some  $(\mathbf{0}, t')$ -chain.

Using this lemma, we have

Theorem 5. The least  $C$ -factor  $A_0$  of  $T$  is as follows:

$$A_0 = \{(v, \mathbf{0}) : v \in T\} \cup \{(\mathbf{0}, w) : w \in T\} \cup \{(t_{r-2} t_{r-1}, t_r) : (t_{r-2}, t_{r-1}, t_r) \text{ is the final part of a } (\mathbf{0}, t)\text{- or } (t, \mathbf{0})\text{-chain for some } t \in T\} \cup \{(t_r, t_{r-2} t_{r-1}) : (t_{r-2}, t_{r-1}, t_r) \text{ is the final part of a } (\mathbf{0}, t)\text{- or } (t, \mathbf{0})\text{-chain for some } t \in T\} \\ = \{(v, \mathbf{0}) : v \in T\} \cup \{(\mathbf{0}, w) : w \in T\} \cup \{(t_{r-2} t_{r-1}, t_r) : (t_{r-2}, t_{r-1}, t_r) \text{ is the final part of a } (\mathbf{0}, t)\text{-chain for some } t \in T\} \cup \{(t_r, t_{r-2}, t_{r-1}) : (t_{r-2}, t_{r-1}, t_r) \text{ is the final part of a } (\mathbf{0}, t)\text{-chain for some } t \in T\}.$$

Further, we have the following

Theorem 6. Let  $A_0$  be a  $C$ -factor of  $T$  and let  $(u, v)$  be an element of  $\Omega$ . Then, the  $C$ -factor  $A$  of  $T$  generated by  $\{A_0, (u, v)\}$ , that is, the least  $C$ -factor containing  $A_0$  and  $(u, v)$  is as follows:

$$A = A_0 \cup \{(u, v)\} \cup \{(v, u)\} \cup \{(t_{r-2} t_{r-1}, t_r) : (t_{r-2}, t_{r-1}, t_r) \text{ is the final part of a } (u, v)\text{- or } (v, u)\text{-chain}\} \cup \{(t_r, t_{r-2} t_{r-1}) : (t_{r-2}, t_{r-1}, t_r) \text{ is the final part of a } (u, v)\text{- or } (v, u)\text{-chain}\}.$$

For any  $C$ -factor  $A$  of  $T$  and for any subset  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $\Omega$ , let  $\Gamma(A, \mathcal{E})$  be the least  $C$ -factor of  $T$  containing  $A$  and  $\mathcal{E}$ . Put  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_r\} = \mathcal{E}$ , where  $\alpha_j \in \Omega$ . Then, we can easily prove the following relation:

$$\Gamma(\Gamma(\dots \Gamma(\Gamma(\Gamma(A, \{\alpha_1\}), \{\alpha_2\}), \{\alpha_3\}), \dots, \{\alpha_{r-1}\}), \{\alpha_r\}) = \Gamma(A, \mathcal{E}).$$

Now, by Theorems 5 and 6 we can obtain all  $C$ -factors of  $T$ . In fact:  $\{\Gamma(A_0, \Sigma) : \Sigma \subset \Omega \setminus A_0\}$  is the totality of all  $C$ -factors of  $T$ .

Remark. In the case in which  $T$  is not necessarily commutative, we can also introduce the concept of  $E$ -factors of  $T$  as follows: A subset  $\Pi$  of  $\Omega$  satisfying the condition

$$(E^*) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (1) \quad (t, \mathbf{0}) \in \Pi \text{ and } (\mathbf{0}, t) \in \Pi \text{ for any } t \in T \\ \text{and } (2) \quad (tv, w) \in \Pi \text{ implies } (t, vw) \in \Pi, \text{ and } (t, vw) \in \Pi \text{ implies } \\ (tv, w) \in \Pi \end{array} \right.$$

is called an *extension factor* (abbrev.,  $E$ -factor) of  $T$ . It is clear that  $\Omega$  itself is the greatest  $E$ -factor of  $T$ . Let  $\Theta = \{(x, y) : x, y \in T\}$ . Define multiplication  $\circ$  ( $\odot$ ) in  $\Theta$  as follows:

$$(x, y) \circ (v, w) = (xv, wy) \quad ((x, y) \odot (v, w) = (vx, yw)).$$

Then, the resulting system  $\Theta(\circ)$  ( $\Theta(\odot)$ ) becomes a semigroup. It is clear that any  $E$ -factor of  $T$  is a left ideal of  $\Theta(\circ)$  and a right ideal of  $\Theta(\odot)$ . Also, it is easily seen that both  $\Theta(\circ)$  and  $\Theta(\odot)$  coincide with  $T \times T$  if  $T$  is commutative. Hence, if  $T$  is commutative any  $E$ -factor of  $T$  is an ideal of  $T \times T$ . Every  $C$ -factor of a finite commutative  $z$ -semigroup is an  $E$ -factor, but the converse is not true.

We have

*Theorem.* *An  $E$ -factor  $A$  of a finite commutative  $z$ -semigroup is a  $C$ -factor if and only if it satisfies the condition (3) of (E).*

Finally, we obtain the following extension theorem for the case in which  $T$  is not necessarily commutative:

*Theorem.* *Let  $A$  be an  $E$ -factor of  $T$ , and define multiplication  $\circ$  in  $S$  by (P) of Theorem 3. Then, the resulting system  $S(\circ)$  becomes an extension of  $N$  by  $T$ . Further, every extension of  $N$  by  $T$  is found in this fashion.*

### References

- [ 1 ] A. H. Clifford: Extensions of semigroups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **68**, 165-173 (1950).
- [ 2 ] D. Rees: On semigroups. *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.*, **36**, 387-400 (1940).
- [ 3 ] T. Tamura: On finite one-idempotent semigroups (1). *Jour. Gakugei Tokushima Univ.*, **4**, 11-20 (1954).
- [ 4 ] —: Note on finite semigroups and determination of semigroups of order 4. *Jour. Gakugei Tokushima Univ.*, **5**, 17-27 (1954).