

## 17. On Adjoint Maps between Dual Systems

By Perla López DE CICILEO and Kiyoshi ISÉKI

Universidad Nacional del Sur Bahía Blanca, Argentina

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Feb. 12, 1964)

Let  $E, F$  be linear spaces,  $\alpha$  a bilinear form on  $E \times F$ . A pair of  $E, F$  is called a *dual system* on  $\alpha$  if

- 1)  $\alpha(x, y) = 0$  for all  $x \in E$  implies  $y = 0$ ,
- 2)  $\alpha(x, y) = 0$  for all  $y \in F$  implies  $x = 0$ .

Let  $E, F$  be a dual system on  $\alpha$ , and let  $G, H$  be a dual system on  $\beta$ . If  $u$  is a linear map from  $E$  to  $G$ , then  $\beta(u(x), y')$  is bilinear on  $G \times H$ , where  $y' \in H$ . Put  $\alpha(x, u^*(y')) = \beta(u(x), y')$  for all  $x \in E$  and  $y' \in H$ , if  $u^*$  is defined, it is a map from  $H$  to  $F$ .  $u^*$  is called the *algebraic adjoint* map of  $u$ . If  $B$  is of finite dimension,  $u^*$  is always well defined. In a Hilbert space with many inner products, we can define the adjoint on these inner products of a continuous linear map. The other example is the usual adjoint map. Let  $A$  be a subset of  $E$  (or  $F$ ), the *orthogonal part*  $A^\perp$  is defined by the set  $\{y | \alpha(x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in A\}$  (or  $\{x | \alpha(x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in A\}$ ). Similarly we can also consider the orthogonal part for  $G, H$ , and  $\beta$ .

We shall consider a system in which the adjoint map is well defined.

Then we have the following fundamental

**Proposition 1.** For a linear subspace  $A$  of  $E$ ,

$$u^{*-1}(A^\perp) = (u(A))^\perp.$$

**Proposition 2.** For a linear subspace  $B$  of  $G$ ,

$$(u^{-1}(B))^\perp = u^*(B^\perp).$$

**Proof of Proposition 1.** Let  $y' \in u^{*-1}(A^\perp)$ , then for all  $x \in A$ ,  $0 = \alpha(x, u^*(y')) = \beta(u(x), y')$  and so  $y' \in (u(A))^\perp$ . Conversely if  $y' \in (u(A))^\perp$ , then  $0 = \beta(u(x), y') = \alpha(x, u^*(y'))$  for all  $x \in A$ . Hence  $u^*(y') \subset A^\perp$ , and  $y' \in u^{*-1}(A^\perp)$ .

**Proof of Proposition 2.** Let  $y \in u^*(B^\perp)$ , there is an element  $y' \in B^\perp$  such that  $u(y') = y$ . For any  $x \in u^{-1}(B)$ , we have  $\alpha(x, y) = \alpha(x, u^*(y')) = \beta(u(x), y') = 0$ . Hence  $y \in (u^{-1}(B))^\perp$ .

To prove the converse, we shall consider some linear subspaces. From  $u^{-1}(B) \supset \ker(u)$ , there is the linear subspace  $E_1$  such that  $u^{-1}(B) = \ker(u) \oplus E_1$ . Hence  $B \subset u(E_1)$ . Further there is the linear subspace  $E_2$  such that  $E = \ker(u) \oplus E_1 \oplus E_2$ . Let  $\tilde{u}$  be the restriction of  $u$  on  $E_1 \oplus E_2$ , then  $u$  gives an isomorphism from  $E_1 \oplus E_2$  to  $\text{Im}(u)$ . If  $G_1 = \tilde{u}(E_1)$ ,  $G_2 = \tilde{u}(E_2)$ , then  $B \subset G_1$ . Let  $p$  be the projection from  $G$  to  $G_1$ .

For  $y \in (u^{-1}(B))^{\perp}$ , we define  $y'$  by

$$\beta(x', y') = \alpha(\tilde{u}^{-1}(p(x')), y) \quad \text{for } x' \in G.$$

If  $x' \in G_1 \oplus G_2$ , then  $\beta(x', y') = \alpha(\tilde{u}^{-1}(x'), y)$ . Hence  $\alpha(x, y) = \beta(u(x), y') = \alpha(x, u^*(y'))$  for all  $x \in E$ . Therefore we have  $y = u^*(y')$ . Then for  $x' \in G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(x', y') &= \alpha(\tilde{u}^{-1}(p(x')), u^*(y')) \\ &= \beta(u(\tilde{u}^{-1}(p(x'))), y') = \beta(p(x'), y'). \end{aligned}$$

This shows  $\beta(x'_3, y') = 0$  for  $x'_3 \in G_3$ . For each  $x' \in B$ , we have  $x' = x'_1 + x'_3$ ,  $x'_1 \in G_1$ ,  $x'_3 \in G_3$ . Let  $u(x_1) = x'_1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \alpha(x_1, y) = \alpha(x_1, u^*(y')) \\ &= \beta(u(x_1), y') = \beta(x'_1, y'). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\beta(x', y') = \beta(x'_1 + x'_3, y') = \beta(x'_1, y') + \beta(x'_3, y') = 0.$$

This shows  $y = u^*(y')$ ,  $y' \in B^{\perp}$ , which is equivalent to  $y \in u^*(B^{\perp})$ .

**COROLLARY.**  $\text{Ker}(u^*) = (\text{Im}(u))^{\perp}$ ,  $\text{Im}(u^*) = (\text{Ker}(u))^{\perp}$ .

**Proposition 3.**  $\text{Im}(u) = G$  if and only if  $u^{*-1}$  exists.

**Proof.** By Corollary  $\text{Ker}(u^*) = (\text{Im}(u))^{\perp} = (0)$  if and only if  $\text{Im}(u) = G$ . This is equivalent to the existence of the inverse of  $u^*$ .

**Proposition 4.**  $\text{Im}(u^*) = F$  if and only if  $u^{-1}$  exists.

**Proof.**  $u^{-1}$  exists, if and only if  $\text{Ker}(u) = 0$ . Hence by Corollary, this means  $\text{Im}(u^*) = F$ .

If  $\text{Ker}(u)$  is of finite dimension,  $u$  is called an  $\alpha$ -map, on the other hand, if  $\text{Im}(u)$  is of finite co-dimension,  $u$  is called a  $\beta$ -map. (See A. Deprit [1].) Then, by Corollary,

**Proposition 5.** Let  $u$  be a linear map from  $E$  to  $F$ .  $u$  is an  $\alpha$ -map (or  $\beta$ -map) if and only if  $u^*$  is a  $\beta$ -map (or an  $\alpha$ -map).

In this case, we have  $\dim(\text{ker}(u)) = \text{cod}(\text{Im}(u^*))$  or  $\text{cod}(\text{Im}(u)) = \dim(\text{ker}(u^*))$ .

## Reference

- [1] A. Deprit: Contribution à l'étude de l'Algèbre des applications linéaires continues d'un espace localement convexe séparé. Acad. Roy. Belgique, Cl. Sci. Mém. Coll., 8(31), 1-170 (1959).