

60. On the Covering Dimension of Product Spaces

By Nobuo KIMURA

Hokkaido Gakugei University

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., April 13, 1964)

Let X and Y be normal spaces. As for the covering dimension of the product space $X \times Y$ we have known several cases for which the following relation

$$(A) \quad \dim(X \times Y) \leq \dim X + \dim Y$$

holds.

Especially when Y is a separable metrizable space, (A) has been proved in each of the following cases.

(a) X is metrizable ([2]).

(b) X is countably paracompact and normal, and Y is locally compact ([2]).

In the present paper we shall prove (A) under the conditions that Y is separable metrizable and $X \times Y$ is countably paracompact and normal.

Recently E. Michael [1] has given a non-normal space $X \times Y$ which is a product space of a hereditarily paracompact normal space X with a separable metric space Y . This space $X \times Y$ is not 0-dimensional, nevertheless X and Y are 0-dimensional; thus (A) does not hold.

Accordingly the normality of $X \times Y$ is indispensable.

The idea of the proof for our theorem is based on the "basic coverings" introduced by K. Morita ([3]).

1. Henceforth Y always means a separable metrizable space.

Lemma 1. *Suppose that $\dim Y = n$ and let s be an arbitrary positive integer: then there are locally finite countable coverings*

$$\mathfrak{B}_i^{(l)} = \{V_{i\alpha}^{(l)} \mid \alpha = 1, 2, \dots\} \quad (1 \leq l \leq s; i = 1, 2, \dots)$$

satisfying the following conditions (i) and (ii).

(i) $\bigcup_i \mathfrak{B}_i^{(l)}$ is an open basis of Y for any l ($1 \leq l \leq s$).

(ii) The order of the family $\{\mathfrak{B}V_{i\alpha}^{(l)} \mid i, \alpha = 1, 2, \dots; 1 \leq l \leq s\}$ is at most n . (Here $\mathfrak{B}V_{i\alpha}^{(l)}$ means $\overline{V_{i\alpha}^{(l)}} - V_{i\alpha}^{(l)}$.)

Proof. The existence of $\mathfrak{B}_i^{(l)}$ satisfying (i) is well known (e.g. [3]), and these may be considered as countable coverings for any i and l , according to separability of Y . Moreover, the existence of such $\mathfrak{B}_i^{(l)}$ that satisfy (ii) is assured by the shrinkability of the covering $\mathfrak{B}_i^{(l)}$ and [4].

Put
$$W^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_i) = V_{1\alpha_1}^{(l)} \cap V_{2\alpha_2}^{(l)} \cap \dots \cap V_{i\alpha_i}^{(l)}$$

Lemma 2. *Let $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i), (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_j), \dots, (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_h)$ be $n+1$ sets, each of which is a finite ordered set of positive integers. Then $\mathfrak{B}W^{(1)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \cap \mathfrak{B}W^{(2)}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_j) \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{B}W^{(n+1)}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_h) = \phi$ for $1 \leq l_1 < l_2 < \dots < l_{n+1} \leq s$.*

Proof. According to Lemma 1 (ii) we have

$$(\mathfrak{B}V_{1\alpha_1}^{(i_1)} \cup \mathfrak{B}V_{2\alpha_2}^{(i_2)} \cup \dots \cup \mathfrak{B}V_{i\alpha_i}^{(i_i)}) \cap (\mathfrak{B}V_{1\beta_1}^{(j_1)} \cup \dots \cup \mathfrak{B}V_{j\beta_j}^{(j_j)}) \cap \dots \cap (\mathfrak{B}V_{1\lambda_1}^{(h_1)} \cup \dots \cup \mathfrak{B}V_{h\lambda_h}^{(h_h)}) = \phi.$$

But $\mathfrak{B}W^{(1)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \subset (\mathfrak{B}V_{1\alpha_1}^{(i_1)} \cup \dots \cup \mathfrak{B}V_{i\alpha_i}^{(i_i)})$, thus the lemma is proved.

Theorem. *If a product space $X \times Y$ of a space X with a separable metrizable space Y is countably paracompact and normal, then $\dim(X \times Y) \leq \dim X + \dim Y$.*

Proof. Suppose $\dim X = m$ and $\dim Y = n$, and put $s = m + n + 1$.

Let $F^{(l)}$ and $G^{(l)}$ be arbitrarily given closed sets and open sets respectively such that $F^{(l)} \subset G^{(l)}$ ($1 \leq l \leq s$).

There are open sets $L^{(l)}$ and $M^{(l)}$ ($1 \leq l \leq s$) such that

$$F^{(l)} \subset M^{(l)} \subset \overline{M^{(l)}} \subset L^{(l)} \subset \overline{L^{(l)}} \subset G^{(l)}.$$

We put

$$N_1^{(l)} = X \times Y - \overline{M^{(l)}}, N_2^{(l)} = L^{(l)}.$$

Then $\mathfrak{N}^{(l)} = \{N_1^{(l)}, N_2^{(l)}\}$ is an open covering of $X \times Y$ for any l .

We put

$$(1) \quad G^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; k) = \text{Int} \{x \mid x \times W^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \subset N_k^{(l)}\} \quad (k=1, 2).$$

(Here $\text{Int } A$ means the interior of the subset A .)

Then $G^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; k) \times W^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \subset N_k^{(l)}$. By (1) we get immediately $G^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; k) \subset G^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}; k)$.

Put $G^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) = G^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; 1) \cup G^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; 2)$.

Then

$$G^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \subset G^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}).$$

Consequently $\{G^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \times W^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \mid \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; i\}$ is a basic covering ([3]) for each l .

Now, since $X \times Y$ is countably paracompact and normal, we get a special refinement ([3]). That is to say, there exists a family $\{F^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \mid \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; i\}$ of closed sets in X such that

$$F^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \subset G^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \quad \text{and that} \\ \{F^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \times W^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \mid \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; i\} \text{ is a covering of } X \times Y.$$

From the relation that $F^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \subset G^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; 1) \cup G^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; 2)$ it follows that there are closed sets

$$F^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; 1) \quad \text{and} \quad F^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; 2) \quad \text{of } X \text{ such that} \\ F^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) = F^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; 1) \cup F^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; 2) \quad \text{and} \\ F^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; k) \subset G^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; k) \quad (k=1, 2).$$

The relation $(G^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; 1) \times W^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)) \cap F^{(l)} = \phi$ is reduced to $(F^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; 1) \times W^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)) \cap F^{(l)} = \phi$.

By the assumption that $\dim X = m$, there is a family

$\{H^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; k) \mid \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; i; 1 \leq l \leq s\}$
of open sets in X such that $F^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; k) \subset H^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; k) \subset G^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; k)$ and that

(2) the order of $\{\mathfrak{B}H^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; k) \mid \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; i; 1 \leq l \leq s; k=1, 2\}$ is at most m .

Let us put

$$(3) \quad H_i^{(l)} = \cup \{H^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; 2) \times W^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \mid \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i\},$$

$$(4) \quad K_i^{(l)} = \cup \{H^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; 1) \times W^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \mid \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i\}.$$

And put $P_1^{(l)} = H_1^{(l)}$, $Q_1^{(l)} = K_1^{(l)} - \overline{H_1^{(l)}}$, $P_i^{(l)} = H_i^{(l)} - \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} \overline{K_j^{(l)}}$, $Q_i^{(l)} = K_i^{(l)} - \bigcup_{j=1}^i \overline{H_j^{(l)}}$ ($i \geq 2$), $P^{(l)} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i^{(l)}$ and $Q^{(l)} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_i^{(l)}$.*

Then we have

$$(5) \quad X \times Y = (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{P_i^{(l)}}) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{Q_i^{(l)}}),$$

$$(6) \quad P^{(l)} \cap Q^{(l)} = \phi, \overline{P_j^{(l)}} \subset G^{(l)} \quad (j=1, 2, \dots) \text{ and } Q^{(l)} \cap \overline{M^{(l)}} = \phi.$$

Finally we put $V^{(l)} = X \times Y - \overline{Q^{(l)}}$; then we have

$$(7) \quad \mathfrak{B}V^{(l)} \subset \mathfrak{B}Q^{(l)}.$$

Since $Q^{(l)} \cap M^{(l)} = \phi$ by (6) and $M^{(l)}$ is open, we have $\overline{Q^{(l)}} \cap M^{(l)} = \phi$, and hence $F^{(l)} \subset M^{(l)} \subset V^{(l)}$.

On the other hand, since $V^{(l)} = X \times Y - \overline{Q^{(l)}} \subset X \times Y - \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{Q_i^{(l)}} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{P_i^{(l)}} \subset G^{(l)}$ we have

$$(8) \quad F^{(l)} \subset V^{(l)} \subset G^{(l)}.$$

Since $\overline{P_i^{(l)}} = P_i^{(l)} \cup (\overline{P_i^{(l)}} - P_i^{(l)})$ and $\overline{Q_i^{(l)}} = Q_i^{(l)} \cup (\overline{Q_i^{(l)}} - Q_i^{(l)})$ we have by

$$(9) \quad X \times Y = P^{(l)} \cup Q^{(l)} \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{B}P_i^{(l)}) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{B}Q_i^{(l)}).$$

Since $P^{(l)}$ is open, $P^{(l)} \cap \overline{Q^{(l)}} = \phi$ by (6), and hence

$$(10) \quad P^{(l)} \cap \mathfrak{B}Q^{(l)} = \phi.$$

Combining (7) with (9) and (10), we have

$$(11) \quad \mathfrak{B}V^{(l)} \subset \mathfrak{B}Q^{(l)} \subset (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{B}P_i^{(l)}) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{B}Q_i^{(l)}).$$

On the other hand we have

$$(12) \quad \mathfrak{B}P_i^{(l)} = \mathfrak{B}(H_i^{(l)} - \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} \overline{K_j^{(l)}}) \subset (\mathfrak{B}H_i^{(l)} \cup (\bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} \mathfrak{B}K_j^{(l)})),$$

and

$$(13) \quad \mathfrak{B}Q_i^{(l)} \subset (\mathfrak{B}K_i^{(l)} \cup (\bigcup_{j=1}^i \mathfrak{B}H_j^{(l)})).$$

Now (11), (12), and (13) give us

$$(14) \quad \mathfrak{B}V^{(l)} \subset \mathfrak{B}Q^{(l)} \subset (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{B}H_i^{(l)}) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{B}K_i^{(l)}),$$

hence we have

$$(15) \quad \bigcap_{i=1}^s \mathfrak{B}V^{(l)} \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^s [(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{B}H_i^{(l)}) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{B}K_i^{(l)})].$$

Since $\{W^{(l)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) \mid \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i\}$ is locally finite, we have

* The argument below is the same as that in [6, Lemma 2.2].

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{B}H_i^{(v)} &\subset [\cup \{\mathfrak{B}(H^{(v)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; 2) \times W^{(v)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)) | \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i\}] \\ &= [\cup \{\mathfrak{B}H^{(v)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; 2) \times \overline{W^{(v)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)} | \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i\}] \\ &\cup [\cup \{\overline{H^{(v)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; 2)} \times \mathfrak{B}W^{(v)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) | \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i\}] \end{aligned}$$

in view of (3). Likewise

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{B}K_i^{(v)} &\subset [\cup \{\mathfrak{B}H^{(v)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; 1) \times \overline{W^{(v)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)} | \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i\}] \\ &\cup [\cup \{\overline{H^{(v)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; 1)} \times \mathfrak{B}W^{(v)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) | \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i\}] \end{aligned}$$

in view of (4).

Let us put $E_1^{(v)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; k) = \mathfrak{B}H^{(v)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; k) \times \overline{W^{(v)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)}$ and $E_2^{(v)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; k) = \overline{H^{(v)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; k)} \times \mathfrak{B}W^{(v)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$.

Then (14) can be expressed as

$$(14') \quad \mathfrak{B}V^{(v)} \subset \cup \{E_1^{(v)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; k) \cup E_2^{(v)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; k) | \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i; i; k=1, 2\}.$$

The right hand side of (15) is a union of sets of the form

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} &E_{\delta_1}^{(1)}(\alpha_1^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{i_1}^{(1)}; k_1) \cap E_{\delta_2}^{(2)}(\alpha_1^{(2)}, \dots, \alpha_{i_2}^{(2)}; k_2) \\ &\cap \dots \cap E_{\delta_s}^{(s)}(\alpha_1^{(s)}, \dots, \alpha_{i_s}^{(s)}; k_s) \end{aligned}$$

in view of (14'). Here each of $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_s, k_1, \dots, k_s$ is 1 or 2.

Let us suppose that

$$\delta_{i_1} = \delta_{i_2} = \dots = \delta_{i_p} = 1, \quad \delta_{j_1} = \delta_{j_2} = \dots = \delta_{j_q} = 2 \quad (p+q=s).$$

If $p \geq n+1$ then (16) is empty by (2), on the contrary if $p < n+1$ then $q = s - p = (m+n+1) - p \geq m+1$ and (16) is also empty by Lemma 1. Thus (16) is empty in any case.

Consequently the right hand side of (15) is empty, hence we have

$$(17) \quad \bigcap_{i=1}^s \mathfrak{B}V^{(i)} = \phi.$$

Now the theorem follows from (8) and (17) ([4]).

2. If X is perfectly normal, then $X \times Y$ is perfectly normal ([5]), and hence countably paracompact normal. The following Corollary 1 follows directly from the theorem.

Corollary 1. *If X is a perfectly normal space and Y is separable metrizable, then*

$$\dim(X \times Y) \leq \dim X + \dim Y.$$

If Y is a countable union of locally compact subsets then $X \times Y$ is countably paracompact normal for any countably paracompact normal space X ([3]), hence the following Corollary 2 follows.

Corollary 2. *If X is a countably paracompact normal space and Y is a separable metrizable space which is a countable union of locally compact subsets, then*

$$\dim(X \times Y) \leq \dim X + \dim Y.$$

3. Finally we shall show that Michael's space defined in [1] serves as a counter example for (A).

Let X be a topological space which is obtained from the closed unit interval $[0, 1]$ by retopologizing it so that a set M is open if

and only if M is expressed as $M=G \cup L$ with an open set G in the usual sense and with L consisting of irrationals. Then $\dim X=0$.

To show this we may construct such an open refinement of a given covering $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k\}$ that its order is 1.

Let $\{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$ be the set of all rationals; then there is an interval (λ_i, μ_i) (λ_i and μ_i are irrational numbers in $[0, 1]$) for any i which is a neighborhood of p_i and which is contained in one of $\{U_j\}$. Then $\{(\lambda_i, \mu_i) | i=1, 2, \dots\}$ is a family of open subsets of X each of which is contained in some element of $\{U_j\}$.

Now

$$(\lambda_i, \mu_i) - \bigcup_{i < i} [\lambda_i, \mu_i]$$

is expressed as a disjoint union of finite open intervals whose end points are irrational numbers. Accordingly

$$\{p_j | j=1, 2, \dots\} \subset \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_i, \beta_i),$$

where α_i and β_i are irrational numbers and \sum stands for a disjoint union.

Let us put

$$A = X - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_i, \beta_i),$$

then A is a subset of irrationals.

We put

$$V_j = \bigcup \{(\alpha_i, \beta_i) | (\alpha_i, \beta_i) \not\subset U_i \text{ for } l < j, (\alpha_i, \beta_i) \subset U_j\} \\ \cup \{x | x \in A, x \notin U_i \text{ for } l < j, x \in U_j\}.$$

Clearly $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k\}$ is a disjoint family and it is the desired refinement of $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k\}$, and $\dim X=0$ follows.

Let Y be a subspace of closed interval $[0, 1]$ consisting of all irrationals. Then, as is well known, $\dim Y=0$.

E. Michael has shown ([1]) that $X \times Y$ is not normal. Generally any 0-dimensional space is always normal, hence $X \times Y$ is not 0-dimensional, and hence

$$\dim X \times Y > \dim X + \dim Y.$$

References

- [1] E. Michael: The product of a normal space and a metric space need not be normal. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **69**, 375-376 (1963).
- [2] K. Morita: On the dimension of product spaces. *Amer. Jour. Math.*, vol. LXXV, No. 2, April, 205-223 (1953).
- [3] —: Products of normal spaces with metric spaces. II. *Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku, Sec. A*, **8**(190), 87-92 (1963).
- [4] —: On the dimension of normal spaces II. *Jour. Math. Soc. Japan*, **2**, 16-33 (1950).
- [5] —: On the product of a normal space with a metric space. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **39**, 148-150 (1963).
- [6] —: Normal families and dimension theory for metric spaces. *Math. Ann.*, **128**, 350-362 (1954).