

124. On Axiom Systems of Propositional Calculi. III

By Yoshinari ARAI

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Sept. 13, 1965)

In this note, we shall concern with Lukasiewicz (L_3) axioms (see Y. Imai and K. Iséki [1]). As mentioned in our previous papers, we only use the rules of substitution and detachment. The fundamental axioms are the following three theses:

- 1 $CpCqp$,
- 2 $CCpCqrCCpqCpr$,
- 3 $CCNpNqCqp$.

We shall first give a proof of $(L_3) \Rightarrow (L_1)$. From the (L_3) -system, we have the following theses:

- 1 $p/CCNqNpCpq, q/Np *C3 p/q, q/p-4$,
- 4 $CNpCCNqNpCpq.$
 - 2 $p/Np, q/CNqNp, r/Cpq *C4-C1 p/Np, q/Nq-5$,
- 5 $CNpCpq.$
 - 2 $p/Nq, r/p *C5 p/q, q/p-6$,
- 6 $CCNqqCNqp.$
 - 1 $p/CCNqqCNqp *C6-7$,
- 7 $CqCCNqqCNqp.$
 - 2 $p/q, q/CNqq, r/CNqp *C7-C1 p/q, q/Nq-8$,
- 8 $CqCNqp.$
 - 8 $q/p, p/q *C9$,
- 9 $CpCNpq.$
 - 1 $p/CCpCqrCCpqCpr, q/Cqr *C2-10$,
- 10 $CCqrCCpCqrCCpqCpr.$
 - 2 $p/Cqr, q/CpCqr, r/CCpqCpr *C10-C1 p/Cqr, q/p-11$,
- 11 $CCqrCCpqCpr.$
 - 2 $p/Cqr, q/Cpq, r/Cpr *C11-12$,
- 12 $CCCqrCpqCCqrCpr.$
 - 1 $p/CCCqrCpqCCqrCpr, q/Cpq *C12-13$,
- 13 $CCpqCCCqrCpqCCqrCpr.$
 - 2 $p/Cpq, q/CCqrCpq, r/CCqrCpr *C13-C1 p/Cpq, q/Cqr-14$,
- 14 $CCpqCCqrCpr.$
 - 2 $p/CpCqr, q/Cpq, r/Cpr *C2-15$,
- 15 $CCCpCqrCpqCCpCqrCpr.$
 - 2 $r/p *C1-16$,
- 16 $CCpqCqp.$

- 16 q/Cqp *C1—17,
 17 Cpp .
 1 p/Cpp , $q/CpCpq$ *C17—18,
 18 $CCpCpqCp$.
 15 q/p , r/q *C18—19,
 19 $CCpCpqCp$.
 11 q/Cpq , r/Cpr , p/q —20,
 20 $CCCpqCprCCqCpqCqCpr$.
 1 $p/CqCpq$, $q/CCpqCpr$ *C1 p/q , q/p —21,
 21 $CCCpqCprCqCp$.
 2 $p/CCpqCpr$, $q/CqCpq$, $r/CqCpr$ *C20—C21—22,
 22 $CCCpqCprCqCpr$.
 11 $q/CCpqCpr$, $r/CqCpr$, $p/CpCqr$ *C22—C2—23,
 23 $CCpCqrCqCpr$.
 11 q/Cpq , $r/CCqrCpr$, $p/CNqNp$ *C14—C3 p/q , q/p —24,
 24 $CCNqNpCCqrCpr$.
 11 $q/CNqNp$, $r/CCqrCpr$, $p/CNqq$ *C24—C6 p/Np —25,
 25 $CCNqqCCqrCpr$.
 23 $p/CNqq$, q/Cqq , $r/CCNqqq$ *C25 r/q ,
 $p/CNqq$ —C17 p/q —26,
 26 $CCNqqCCNqqq$.
 19 $p/CNpp$, q/p *C26 q/p —27,
 27 $CCNppp$.

In the theses given above, theses 9, 14, and 27 are the axioms of (L_1) -system. Then it follows that (L_1) -system is equivalent to (L_8) -system.

Next we shall prove the implications: $(L_8) \Rightarrow (R)$, (L_2) , (H) , (S_1) , (F) , and (S_2) .

We prove further:

- 14 p/Np , q/Cpq *C5—28,
 28 $CCCpqrCNpr$.
 28 p/Np , q/p , r/p *C27—29,
 29 $CNNpp$.
 14 p/Cpq , $q/CCqrCpr$, r/s *C14—30,
 30 $CCCCqrCprsCCpqs$.
 30 q/Cqr , r/Csr , $s/CCsqCpCsr$ *C30 p/s , $s/CpCsr$ —31,
 31 $CCpCqrCCsqCpCsr$.
 30 $s/CCCprsCCqrs$ *C14 p/Cqr , q/Cpr , r/s —32,
 32 $CCpqCCCprsCCqrs$.
 31 p/Cpq , $q/CCprs$, $r/CCqrs$, s/t *C32—33,
 33 $CCtCCprsCCpqCtCCqrs$.
 14 $q/CNpq$ *C9—34,
 34 $CCCNpqrCpr$.

- 34 $r/CCCNpppCCqpp$ *C32 $p/Np, r/p, s/p$ —35,
 35 $CpCCCNpppCCqpp$.
 35 $p/CCNppp$ *C27—C27 $p/CCNppp$ —36,
 36 $CCqCCNpppCCNppp$.
 34 $p/t, q/CCNppp, r/CCNppp$ *C36 q/Nt —37,
 37 $CtCCNppp$.
 33 $p/Np, r/p, s/p$ *C37—38,
 38 $CCNpqCtCCqpp$.
 14 $p/CNpq, q/CtCCqpp$ *C38—39,
 39 $CCCtCCqpprCCNpqr$.
 39 $t/NCCqpp, r/CCqpp$ *C27 $p/CCqpp$ —40,
 40 $CCNpqCCqpp$.
 14 $p/CpCqr, q/CqCpr, r/s$ *C23—41,
 41 $CCCqCprsCCpCqrs$.
 41 $q/Np, r/q, s/CCCpqpp$ *C40 q/Cpq —C9—42,
 42 $CCCpqpp$.
 23 $p/Cpq, q/CCprs, r/CCqrs$ *C32—43,
 43 $CCCprsCCpqCCqrs$.
 43 $p/Cpq, r/p, s/p, q/r$ *C42—44,
 44 $CCCpqrCCrpp$.
 44 $p/Np, q/p, r/p$ *C27—45,
 45 $CCpNpNp$.
 14 $p/NNp, q/p, r/q$ *C29—46,
 46 $CCpqCNNpq$.
 14 $p/Cpq, q/CNNpq$ *C46—47,
 47 $CCCNNpqrCCpqr$.
 47 $r/CCqNpNp$ *C40 p/Np —48,
 48 $CCpqCCqNpNp$.
 31 $p/Cpq, q/CqNp, r/Np$ *C48—49,
 49 $CCsCqNpCCpqCsNp$.
 49 $s/q, q/Nq$ *C9 $p/q, q/Np$ —50,
 50 $CCpNqCqNp$.

Theses 14, 23, 29, 45, 50, and the axiom 1 of (L_3) are the axioms of (R) -system.

Moreover, from theses 1, 5, and 14, we have the following theses:

- 14 $p/Np, q/Cpq$ *C5—51,
 51 $CCCpqrCNpr$.
 14 $p/q, q/Cpq$ *C1 $p/q, q/p$ —52,
 52 $CCCpqrCqr$.

Hence the axioms 1 and 2 of (L_2) -system are derived from (L_3) -system. We now prove the third axiom of (L_2) -system.

- 31 $p/CNpq, q/Cqp, r/p$ *C40—53,
 53 $CCsCqpCCNpqCsp$.

- 53 $s/Nq *C5 p/q, q/p-54,$
 54 $CCNpqCNqp.$
 14 $p/CNpq, q/CNqp *C54-55,$
 55 $CCCNqprCCNpqr.$
 55 $r/CCpqq *C40 p/q, q/p-56,$
 56 $CCNpqCCpqq.$
 23 $p/CNpq, q/Cpq, r/q *C56-57,$
 57 $CCpqCCNpqq.$

We complete the proof of $(L_3) \Rightarrow (H)$. Because theses 9, 11, 23, 57, and the axiom 1 of (L_3) are the (H) -system.

Our proof would be run:

- 14 $p/CCpqr, q/CCrpp, r/s *C44-58,$
 58 $CCCCrppsCCCpqrs.$
 58 $s/CCprr *C44 p/r, q/p, r/p-59,$
 59 $CCCpqrCCprr.$
 33 $t/CCpqr, s/r, q/s *C59-60,$
 60 $CCpsCCCpqrCCsrr.$
 23 $p/Cps, q/CCpqr, r/CCsrr *C60-61,$
 61 $CCCpqrCCpsCCsrr.$
 14 $p/CNpq, q/CCpqq *C56-62,$
 62 $CCCCpqqrCCNpqr.$
 62 $q/r, r/CCpqCCqrr *C61 q/r, s/q-63,$
 63 $CCNprCCpqCCqrr.$
 14 $p/CNpr, q/CCpqCCqrr, r/s *C63-64,$
 64 $CCCCpqCCqrrsCCNprs.$
 64 $s/CCqrCCpqr *C23 p/Cpq, q/Cqr-65,$
 65 $CCNprCCqrCCpqr.$

Theses 65 occurs as the axiom 3 of (L_2) . Consequently we have completed the proof of $(L_3) \Rightarrow (L_2)$.

We may also give a proof of $(L_3) \Rightarrow (S_1)$. The axioms 1 and 3 of (S_1) are already proved by obtained theses 5 and 65. The second axiom of (S_1) will be derived from theses 1 and 23 by the following proof line:

- 1 $p/CpCrp *C1 q/r-66,$
 66 $CqCpCrp.$
 23 $p/q, q/p, r/Crp *C66-67,$
 67 $CpCqCrp.$

From the theses already shown, we have the following theses:

- 49 $s/Nq *C5 p/q, q/Np-68,$
 68 $CCpqCNqNp.$
 34 $q/NNp, r/NNp *C45 p/Np-69,$
 69 $CpNNp.$

Theses 1, 2, 29, 68, and 69 form the axioms of (F)-system.

We prove an important thesis $CCpqCNqCpr$, which is the first axiom of (S_2) -system.

70 1 $p/CCqrCCpqCpr, q/Nq$ *C11—70,
 $CNqCCqrCCpqCpr$.

71 2 $p/Nq, q/Cqr, r/CCpqCpr$ *C70—C5 $p/q, q/r$ —71,
 $CNqCCpqCpr$.

72 23 $p/Nq, q/Cpq, r/Cpr$ *C71—72,
 $CCpqCNqCpr$.

Theses 56, 67, and 72 appear as three axioms of (S_2) -system.

Reference

- [1] Y. Imai and K. Iséki: On axiom systems of propositional calculi, I. Proc. Japan Acad., **41**, 436-439 (1965).