

52. On Axiom Systems of Propositional Calculi. XVI

By Shôtarô TANAKA

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., March 12, 1966)

In this paper, we shall show that several axiom systems of positive implicational calculus are equivalent. First we shall prove that 2-Axiom Base given by J. Lukasiewicz implies other axiom basis, i.e. 4-Axiom Base and 3-Axiom Base given by D. Hilbert, 2-Axiom Base and some 1-Axiom Basis given by C. A. Meredith (for example see, [2]).

For the details of the notations and the two rules of inferences for deductions, see [1].

The 2-Axiom Base given by J. Lukasiewicz is the set of the following two formulas.

- 1 $CpCqp.$
- 2 $CCpCqrCCpqCpr.$

Under these axioms, we have:

- 3 $1\ p/CCpCqrCCpqCpr, q/Cqr\ *C2-3,$
 $CCqrCCpCqrCCpqCpr.$
- 4 $2\ p/Cqr, q/CpCqr, r/CCpqCpr\ *C3-C1\ p/Cqr, q/p-4,$
 $CCqrCCpqCpr.$
- 5 $2\ p/Cqr, q/Cpq, r/Cpr\ *C4-5,$
 $CCCqrCpqCCqrCpr.$
- 6 $1\ p/CCCqrCpqCCqrCpr, q/Cpq\ *C5-6,$
 $CCpqCCCqrCpqCCqrCpr.$
- 7 $2\ p/Cpq, q/CCqrCpq, r/CCqrCpr\ *C6-C1\ p/Cpq,$
 $q/Cqr-7,$
 $CCpqCCqrCpr.$
- 8 $1\ p/CqCpq, q/CCpqCpr\ *C3\ p/q, q/p-8,$
 $CCCpqCprCqCpq.$
- 9 $2\ p/CCpqCpr, q/CqCpq, r/CqCpr\ *C4\ p/q, q/Cpq,$
 $r/Cpr-C8-9,$
 $CCCpqCprCqCpr.$
- 10 $4\ p/CpCqr, q/CCpqCpr, r/CqCpr\ *C9-C2-10,$
 $CCpCqrCqCpr.$
- 11 $2\ p/CpCqr, q/Cpq, r/Cpr\ *C2-11,$
 $CCCpCqrCpqCCpCqrCpr.$
- 12 $2\ r/p\ *C1-12,$
 $CCpqCqp.$
- 12 $12\ q/Cqp\ *C1-13,$

13 C_{pp} .
1 p/C_{pp} , $q/C_{pC_{pp}}$ *C13—14,

14 $CC_{pC_{pp}C_{pp}}$.
11 q/p , r/q *C14—15,

15 $CC_{pC_{pq}C_{pq}}$.

The set of theses 1, 4, 10, and 15 is the 4-Axiom Base given by D. Hilbert. The 3-Axiom Base given by D. Hilbert consists of theses 1, 7, and 15.

10 $p/C_{pC_{qr}}$, q/C_{pq} , r/C_{pr} *C2—16,
16 $CC_{pqCC_{pC_{qr}C_{pr}}}$.

The set of theses 1, 16 is the 2-Axiom Base given by C. A. Meredith

7 p/q , q/C_{pq} *C3 p/q , q/p —17,
17 $CCC_{pqrC_{qr}}$.
7 p/C_{qr} , $q/CC_{qC_{rt}C_{qt}}$, $r/CsCC_{qC_{rt}C_{qt}}$ *C16 p/q , q/r ,
 r/t —C1 $p/CC_{qC_{rt}C_{qt}}$ —18,

18 $CC_{qrCsCC_{qC_{rt}C_{qt}}}$.
7 p/CC_{pqr} , q/C_{qr} , $r/CsCC_{qC_{rt}C_{qt}}$ *C17—C18—19,

19 $CCC_{pqrCsCC_{qC_{rt}C_{qt}}}$.

This thesis is the 1-Axiom Base given by C. A. Meredith.

7 q/C_{sp} , r/C_{qr} *C1 q/s —20,
20 $CCC_{spC_{qr}C_{pC_{qr}}}$.
7 $p/CC_{spC_{qr}}$, $q/C_{pC_{qr}}$, r/C_{pr} *C20—21,

21 $CCC_{pC_{qr}C_{pr}CCC_{spC_{qr}C_{pr}}}$.
7 p/C_{pq} , $q/CC_{pC_{qr}C_{pr}}$, $r/CCC_{spC_{qr}C_{pr}}$ *C16—
C21—22,

22 $CC_{pqCCC_{spC_{qr}C_{pr}}}$.
1 $p/CC_{pqCCC_{spC_{qr}C_{pr}}}$, q/t *C22—23,

23 $CtCC_{pqCCC_{spC_{qr}C_{pr}}}$.

This thesis is also a 1-Axiom Base given by C. A. Meredith.

Next we shall show that 4-Axiom Base given by D. Hilbert implies the 2-Axiom Base by J. Lukasiewicz. The set of the following four theses is the 4-Axiom Base.

1 $CC_{pC_{pq}C_{pq}}$,
2 $CC_{qrCC_{pC_{qr}C_{pr}}}$,
3 $CC_{pC_{qr}C_{qC_{pr}}}$,
4 $C_{pC_{qp}}$.

3 p/C_{qr} , q/C_{pq} , r/C_{pr} *C2—5,
5 $CC_{pqCC_{qr}C_{pr}}$.

2 p/s , q/C_{pq} , $r/CC_{qr}C_{pr}$ *C5—6,
6 $CCsC_{pq}CsCC_{qr}C_{pr}$.

5 p/CsC_{pq} , $q/CsCC_{qr}C_{pr}$, $r/CC_{qr}CsC_{pr}$ *C6—C3
 p/s , q/C_{qr} , r/C_{pr} —7,

- 7 $CCsCpqCCqrCsCpr.$
 5 $p/CpCqr, q/CqCpr, r/CCpqCpCpr$ *C3—C2
 r/Cpr —8,
 8 $CCpCqrCCpqCpCpr.$
 7 $s/CpCqr, p/Cpq, q/CpCpr, r/Cpr$ *C8—C1 q/r —9,
 9 $CCpCqrCCpqCpr.$

The set of theses 4 and 9 is the 2-Axiom Base by J. Lukasiewicz. Hence the proof is complete.

Further we shall prove that the 3-Axiom Base by D. Hilbert implies the 4-Axiom Base by him. The 3-Axiom Base consists of the following three formulas.

- 1 $CCpCpqCpq,$
 2 $CCpqCCqrCpr,$
 3 $CpCqp.$
 2 $p/CCpqp, q/CCpqCCCpqq, r/CCpqq$ *C2 $p/Cpq, q/p,$
 r/q —C1 p/Cpq —4,
 4 $CCCpqpCCpqq.$
 2 $q/CCpqp, r/CCpqq$ *C3 q/Cpq —C4—5,
 5 $CpCCpqq.$
 2 $q/CCpqq$ *C5—6,
 6 $CCCCpqqrCpr.$
 2 $p/CpCqr, q/CCCqrrCpr, r/CqCpr$ *C2 q/Cqr —C6
 $p/q, q/r, r/Cpr$ —7,
 7 $CCpCqrCqCpr.$
 7 $p/Cpq, q/Cqr, r/Cpr$ *C2—8,
 8 $CCqrCCpqCpr.$

Theses 1, 3, 7, and 8 are the 4-Axiom Base by D. Hilbert.

Finally we shall prove that the A-axiom Base by C. A. Meredith implies the 2-Axiom Base by J. Lukasiewicz. The 2-Axiom Base by C. A. Meredith is given as the followings:

- 1 $CpCqp,$
 2 $CCpqCCpCqrCpr.$
 2 $p/q, q/Cpq$ *C1 $p/q, q/p$ —3,
 3 $CCqCCpqrCqr.$
 1 $p/CCpqCCpCqrCpr$ *C2—4,
 4 $CqCCpqCCpCqrCpr.$
 3 $r/CCpCqrCpr$ *C4—5,
 5 $CqCCpCqrCpr.$
 5 $p/s, q/CqCCpCqrCpr, r/t$ *C5—6,
 6 $CCsCCqCCpCqrCprtCst.$
 6 $s/CpCqr, t/CqCpr$ *C5 $q/CpCqr, p/q, r/Cpr$ —7,
 7 $CCpCqrCqCpr.$
 7 $p/Cpq, q/CpCqr, r/Cpr$ *C2—8,

8 $CCpCqrCCpqCpr$.

The set of theses 1 and 8 is the 2-Axiom Base by J. Lukasiewicz. Therefore the proof is complete.

References

- [1] Y. Imai and K. Iséki: On axiom systems of propositional calculi. I. Proc. Japan Acad., **41**, 436-439 (1965).
- [2] C. A. Meredith and A. N. Prior: Notes on the axiomatics of the propositional calculus. Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic, **4**, 171-187 (1963).