

## 83. On Axiom Systems of Propositional Calculi. XX

By Shôtarô TANAKA

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., April 12, 1966)

In their note ([1], [2]), Y. Arai and K. Iséki discuss on some theses of equivalential calculus introduced by S. Leśniewski (see, [3]).

The equivalential calculus satisfies the following two fundamental axioms:

$$E1 \quad EEEprEEqpErq,$$

$$E2 \quad EEpEqrEEpqr,$$

where  $E$  is the truth functor in the calculus (see, [4]).

In his paper [2], Prof. K. Iséki has given a new axiom set and has proved that the equivalential calculus is characterized by it, using some metatheorems. His results are read as below:

Lemma 1. *The equivalential calculus is characterized by*

$$(1) \quad Epp,$$

$$(2) \quad EEpqEqp,$$

$$(3) \quad EEpqEEqrEpr.$$

Lemma 2. *The above axiom set is equivalent to the single axiom  $EEpqEEprErq$  (see, [2]).*

In this paper, we shall also give a new axiom set of the equivalential calculus and prove that its set characterizes the equivalential calculus.

We use the two rules of inference, i.e., substitution and detachment:  $\alpha$  and  $E\alpha\beta$  imply  $\beta$ .

First we shall prove the following

Theorem 1. *The following axiom set, i.e.,*

$$1 \quad EEpEqrEEsqEsEpr,$$

$$2 \quad EEpqEqp,$$

*implies the axiom set, i.e.,*

$$(1) \quad Epp,$$

$$(2) \quad EEpqEqp,$$

$$(3) \quad EEpqEEqrEpr.$$

For the proof we shall use prooflines by J. Lukasiewicz.

Proof. From the axioms 1 and 2, i.e.,

$$1 \quad EEpEqrEEsqEsEpr,$$

$$2 \quad EEpqEqp,$$

we deduce the following theses:

$$1 \quad p/Erq \text{ *C2—3,}$$

$$3 \quad EEsqEsEEqr.$$

- 2  $p/EpEqr, q/EEsqEsEpr$  \*C1—4,  
 4  $EEEsqEsEprEpEqr.$   
 4  $s/Epr, q/Epr$  \*C2  $p/Epr, q/Epr$ —5,  
 5  $EpEEpr.$   
 2  $p/Esq, q/EsEErqr$  \*C3—6,  
 6  $EEsEErqrEsq.$   
 6  $s/p, r/p, q/p$  \*C5  $r/p$ —7,  
 7  $Epp.$   
 1  $p/EpEqr, q/Esq, r/EsEpr, s/t$  \*C1—8,  
 8  $EEtEsqEtEEpEqrEsEpr.$   
 8  $t/Esq$  \*C7  $p/Esq$ —9,  
 9  $EEsqEEpEqrEsEpr.$   
 9  $s/q$  \*C7  $p/q$ —10,  
 10  $EEpEqrEqEpr.$   
 10  $p/EpEqr, r/Epr$  \*C10—11,  
 11  $EqEEpEqrEpr.$   
 1  $p/q, q/EpEqr, r/Epr$  \*C11—12,  
 12  $EEsEpEqrEsEqEpr.$   
 1  $p/Eqr$  \*C7  $p/Eqr$ —13,  
 13  $EEsqEsEEqrr.$   
 12  $s/Epq, q/Eqr$  \*C13  $s/p$ —14,  
 14  $EEpqEEqrEpr.$

Next we shall give the proof of the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *The single axiom of the equivalential calculus, i.e.,*

$$1 \quad EEpqEEprErq$$

*implies the following axiom set, i.e.,*  $EEpEqrEEsqEsEpr, EEpqEqp.$

For the proof we use some results from the axiom  $EEpqEEprErq$  (for the details and prooflines, see [5]).

**Lemma 3.** *The axiom*  $EEpqEEprErq$  *implies*

- 2  $EEprErp,$   
 3  $EEpqEErpErq,$   
 4  $EEqErsErEqs.$   
 4  $q/Epq, q/Erp, s/Erq$  \*C3—5,  
 5  $EErpEEpqErq.$   
 3  $p/q, q/Epr, r/s$  \*6  
 6  $EEqEprEEsqEsEpr.$   
 5  $r/EpEqr, p/EqEpr, q/EEsqEsEpr$  \*C4  $q/p, r/q,$   
 $s/r$ —C6—7,  
 7  $EEpEqrEEsqEsEpr.$

If we put  $B$  for  $CCqrCCpqCpr$ ,  $C$  for  $CCpCqrCqCpr$ ,  $I$  for  $Cpp$ , then the system  $BCI$  is equivalent to  $I$  together with

$CCpCqrCCsqCsCpr$  (see [2]). Hence, if  $I$  and  $CCpCqrCCsqCsCpr$  are independent, then it is clearly seen that  $Epp$  and  $EEpEqrEEsqEsEpr$  are independent. Further  $CCpqCqp$  is not a thesis in the system  $BCI$ . Hence  $EEpqEqp$  is independent from  $Epp$  and  $EEpEqrEEsqEsEpr$ .

### References

- [1] Y. Arai: On axiom systems of propositional calculi. XVII. Proc. Japan Acad., **42**, 351-354 (1966).
- [2] K. Iséki: On axiom systems of propositional calculi. XV. Proc. Japan Acad., **42**, 217-220 (1966).
- [3] S. Leśniewski: Grundzüge eines neuen Systems der Grundlagen der Mathematik. Fund. Math., **14**, 1-81 (1929).
- [4] A. N. Prior: Formal Logic. Oxford (1962).
- [5] S. Tanaka: On axiom systems of propositional calculi. XVIII. Proc. Japan Acad., **42**, 000-000 (1966).