

**251. On the Propositional Calculus with a
Variable Functor, $C\delta pC\delta Np\delta q$**

By Shôtarô TANAKA

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Dec. 12, 1966)

In this note, we shall prove that $C\delta pC\delta Np\delta q$ implies

- 1) $CpCqp$,
- 2) $CCpCqrCCpqCpr$,
- 3) $CCNpNqCqp$.

As the substitution rules for δ are well-known, we omit these. (For example, see [1] Lukasiewicz, [2] Meredith or [3] Prior.) For the details on the propositional calculus with a variable functor δ , see [1], [2], [3].

Proof.

- 1 $C\delta pC\delta Np\delta q$.
1 $\delta/C'r$ *2,
- 2 $CCprCCNpqr$.
1 $\delta/'$ *3,
- 3 $CpCNpq$.
1 $\delta/CpCNp', q/Np$ *C3 q/p —4,
- 4 $CCpCNpNpCpCNpNp$.
3 $p/CpCNpNp, q/NCpCNpNp$ *C3 q/Np —5,
- 5 $CNCpNpNpNCpNpNp$.
1 δ/C'' , $p/CpCNpNp, q/p$ *C4—C5—6,
- 6 Cpp .
1 δ/C'' *C6—7,
- 7 $CCNpNpCqq$.
1 $\delta/CC''Cqq, p/Np, q/r$ *C7—C7 p/Np —8,
- 8 $CCrrCqq$.
3 p/Cpp *C8—9,
- 9 $CNCppq$.
1 $\delta/C'Cqq, p/Cpp, q/r$ *C8 r/p —C9 q/Cqq —10,
- 10 $CrCqq$.
1 $\delta/CrC'r, p/r$ *C10 q/r —C3 $p/r, q/r$ —11,
- 11 $CrCqr$.
1 δ/Cr' *12,
- 12 $CCrpCCrNpCrq$.
12 $p/Np, q/p, r/Np$ *C6 p/Np —13,
- 13 $CCNpNNpCNpp$.
1 $\delta/CCNpN'C'p$ *C8 $r/Np, q/p$ —C13—14,
- 14 $CCNpNqCqp$.

- 11 $q/CNpCpr, r/CpCNpr *C3 q/r-15,$
 15 $CCNpCprCpCNpr.$
 1 $\delta/CC'CprCpC'r *C6 p/CpCpr-C15-16,$
 16 $CCqCprCpCqr.$
 12 $r/p *C6-17,$
 17 $CCpNpCpq.$
 11 $r/CCpNpCpr, q/CNpr *C17 q/r-18,$
 18 $CCNprCCpNpCpr.$
 1 $\delta/CC'rCCp'Cpr *C11 r/Cpr, q/Cpp-C18-19,$
 19 $CCqrCCpqCpr.$
 16 $q/Cqr, p/Cpq, r/Cpr *C19-20,$
 20 $CCpqCCqrCpr.$
 16 $q/Cpq, r/q *C6 p/Cpq-21,$
 21 $CpCCpqq.$
 21 $p/CNCppq, q/NCpp *C9 p/s-22,$
 22 $CCCNCppqNCppNCpp.$
 11 $r/Cpp, q/CCCppqCpp *C6-23,$
 23 $CCCCppqCppCpp.$
 1 $\delta/CCC'q'', p/Cpp, q/p *C23-C22-24,$
 24 $CCCpqqp.$
 20 $p/CCpCqrCqCpr, q/CCCpsCpCqrCCpsCqCpr,$
 $r/CCCsCqrCCpsCpCqrCCsCqrCCpsCqCpr *C19$
 $p/Cps, q/CpCqr, r/CqCpr-C19 p/CsCqr,$
 $q/CCpsCpCqr, r/CCpsCqCpr-C16 p/q, q/p-C19$
 $q/s, r/Cqr-25,$
 $CCCCpCqrCqCprCCCpsCpCqrCCpsCqCprCCCCpsCpCqr$
 $CCpsCqCprCCCsCqrCCpsCpCqrCCsCqrCCpsCqCprCCCp$
 $CqrCqCprCCCsCqrCCpsCpCqrCCsCqrCCpsCqCpr,$
 25 $CCsCqrCCpsCqCpr.$
 25 $p/s, q/Cpq, r/Cpr, s/Cqr *C19-26,$
 26 $CCsCqrCCpqCsCpr.$
 25 $p/s, q/Cqr, r/Cpr, s/Cpq *C20-27,$
 27 $CCsCpqCCqrCsCpr.$
 20 $p/CpCpq, q/CCCpqCpq, r/Cpq *C20 q/Cpq,$
 $r/q-C24 p/Cpq-28,$
 28 $CCpCpqCpq.$
 27 $p/Cpq, q/CpCpr, r/Cpr, s/CpCqr *C26$
 $s/p-C28 q/r-29,$
 29 $CCpCqrCCpqCpr.$

Theses 11, 14, and 29 are axioms by J. Lukasiewicz. Therefore the proof is complete.

References

- [1] J. Lukasiewicz: Aristotle's Syllogistic. 2nd Edition, Oxford (1963).
- [2] C. A. Meredith: On an extended system of the propositional calculus. Proc. Royal Irish Acad., Dublin, **54** A3 (1951).
- [3] A. N. Prior: Formal Logic. 2nd Edition, Oxford (1962).