

250. On Certain Condition for the Principle of Limiting Amplitude

By Koji KUBOTA and Taira SHIROTA

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University

(Comm. by Kinjirō KUNUGI, M.J.A., Dec. 12, 1966)

1. Introduction and results. We consider the nonstationary problems

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \Delta + q(x) \right] u(x, t) = f(x) e^{-i\sqrt{\lambda}t} \quad (\lambda > 0), \quad (1)$$

$$u(x, 0) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x, 0) = 0; \quad (2)'$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \Delta + q(x) \right] u(x, t) = 0, \quad (1)'$$

$$u(x, 0) = g_1(x), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x, 0) = g_2(x); \quad (2)$$

in 3 Euclidean space R^3 , where $q(x)$ is a real-valued function belonging to $C_0^2(R^3)$. Furthermore assume that the operator $L = -\Delta + q(x)$ has no eigenvalue. Here Δ denotes the Laplacian $\partial^2/\partial x_1^2 + \partial^2/\partial x_2^2 + \partial^2/\partial x_3^2$, and L is the unique self-adjoint extension in $L^2(R^3)$ of $-\Delta + q$ defined on $C_0^\infty(R^3)$. Then under the conditions imposed on q , L is strictly positive, and it is known that $D(L) = W_2^2(R^3)$, where $W_2^2(R^3)$ denotes the space of functions whose partial derivatives of order ≤ 2 in the sense of distribution belong to $L^2(R^3)$.

Then we have the following

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $g_1(x) \in C_0^2(R^3)$, $g_2(x) \in C_0^1(R^3)$, and $f(x) \in C_0^1(R^3)$. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:*

i) *The solution of the problem (1), (2)' is such that at every point $x \in R^3$ we have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(x, t) e^{i\sqrt{\lambda}t} = u_+(x, \lambda) \quad (\lambda > 0),$$

where $u_+(x, \lambda)$ denotes $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} u_\varepsilon(x, \lambda)$ and $u_\varepsilon(x, \lambda)$ is the solution of the equation

$$Lu = (\lambda + i\varepsilon)u + f.$$

ii) *The solution of the problem (1)', (2) is such that at every point $x \in R^3$ we have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(x, t) = 0.$$

iii) *Every solution of the equation $(-\Delta + q)u = 0$, satisfying the conditions $u = O(|x|^{-1})$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} = O(|x|^{-2})$ at infinity is identically zero*

(cf. [4]).

For the special case where $q(x)$ depends only on $|x|$ and satisfies the inequality

$$-q(x) \leq \left(\frac{1}{4} + 2\right) \frac{1}{|x|^2},$$

we give the relation of the principle of limit amplitude and the characteristics.

Theorem 2. *If there exists a solution $u(x)$ of the equation $(-\Delta + q)u = 0$ which is not identically zero and satisfies the conditions $u = O(|x|^{-1})$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} = O(|x|^{-2})$ at infinity, then there exists a solution $v(x, t)$ of the problem*

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} v + Lv = 0,$$

$$v(x, 0) = g_1(x), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v(x, 0) = g_2(x),$$

such that $v(x, t) = u(x)$ for $|x| \leq t$, where $g_1(x) \in C_0^2(R^3)$, $g_2(x) \in C_0^1(R^3)$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1. From theorem 6 in [1] it follows that iii) implies i) and ii). To prove the converse assertion in Theorem 1 we use the following Lemmas together with the methods considered in [1] or [3].

Lemma 2 (Fredholm). *Let $q(x) = 0$ for $|x| > r_0$ and $R(x, y, \lambda)$ be the resolvent kernel of the equation*

$$u(x) = \int -\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{e^{-\lambda|x-y|}}{|x-y|} q(y)u(y)dy + \psi(x)$$

in Ω , where Ω is a compact set of R^3 . Then we see that $R(x, y, \lambda)$ has the form

$$R(x, y, \lambda) = \frac{w(x)v_m(y)}{(\lambda - \lambda_0)^m} + \dots + \frac{w(x)v_1(y)}{(\lambda - \lambda_0)} + K(x, y, \lambda)$$

in a neighbourhood of a pole λ_0 of $R(x, y, \lambda)$, where $v_j(y)$, ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) are non-trivial solutions of the equation

$$v(y) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} q(y) \int \frac{1}{|y-s|} v(s)ds \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

and $K(x, y, \lambda)$ is continuous in (x, y, λ) for $x \neq y$, analytic in λ for $x \neq y$, $K(x, y, \lambda) = 0$ for $|y| > r_0$ and $K(x, y, \lambda) = O(|x-y|^{-1})$ as $|x-y| \rightarrow 0$.

Let E_λ be the resolution of the identity generated by the operator L . Since $E_{\lambda+0} = 0$, we have

Lemma 2. *If there exists a solution of $(-\Delta + q)w = 0$ which is not identically zero and $w = O(|x|^{-1})$, $\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_k} = O(|x|^{-2})$ at infinity, then in Lemma 1 we have that $\lambda_0 = 0$ and $m = 1$.*

3. Proof of Theorem 2. It follows from [4] that $u(x)$ depends

only on $|x|$. Set $w(r) = ru(x)$, where $r = |x|$. Then we have

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}w(r) - q(r)w(r) = 0 \quad \text{for } r \geq 0,$$

where $q(r) = q(x)$, $q(r) = 0$ for $r > r_0$.

If we set $u(r, t) = w(r)$ in D_1 , where $D_1 \equiv \{(r, t); 0 \leq r \leq t\}$, we see that $u(r, t)$ satisfies the equation

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + q \right] u(r, t) = 0 \tag{3}$$

in D_1 with the condition: $u(0, t) = 0$.

Next in $D_2 \equiv \{(r, t); 0 \leq t \leq r\}$ we shall find the solution $u_1(r, t)$ of the equation (3) with initial data: $u_1(r, 0) = \varphi_1(r)$, $\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t}(r, 0) = \varphi_2(r)$,

where $\varphi_1 \in C^3([0, \infty))$, $\text{supp } \varphi_1 \subset [0, 2r_0]$, $\varphi_2 \in C^2([0, \infty))$ and $\text{supp } \varphi_2 \subset (2r_0, r_1)$, where $r_1 > 2r_0$. Furthermore we see that $u_1(r, t)$ satisfies the integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(r, t) = & \frac{1}{2} \{ \varphi_1(r+t) + \varphi_1(r-t) \} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{r-t}^{r+t} \varphi_2(s) ds \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t d\tau \int_{r-(t-\tau)}^{r+(t-\tau)} -q(s)u_1(s, \tau) ds \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

in D_2 . Since $\text{supp } \varphi_2 \subset (2r_0, r_1)$, we see that $\tilde{u}_1(r, t) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int_{r-t}^{r+t} \varphi_2(s) ds$ satisfies (3) in D_2 . Therefore for $r > \frac{r_1}{2}$ we can assume that $w(r) = u_1(r, r) = C$, where C is a constant and $C \neq 0$.

Now we shall find the solution $u_2(r, t)$ of (3) in D_2 satisfying the initial data with compact supports such that $u_2(r, r) = w(r) - u_1(r, r)$ for $r \geq 0$.

To this end we replace the coordinates r, t by new coordinates ξ_1, ξ_2 such that $\xi_1 = \frac{1}{2}(r+t)$, $\xi_2 = \frac{1}{2}(r-t)$. In $D_3 \equiv \{(\xi_1, \xi_2); \xi_1 \geq 0, \text{ and } \xi_2 \geq 0\}$, we consider

$$\tilde{u}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \psi(\xi_1) - \int_0^{\xi_2} d\zeta_2 \int_{\xi_1}^{r_0} q(\zeta_1 + \zeta_2) \tilde{u}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) d\zeta_1, \tag{5}$$

where $\psi(\xi_1) = w(r) - u_1(r, r) \in C^3([0, \infty))$ and $\psi(\xi_1) = 0$ for $\xi_1 > \frac{r_1}{2}$. By

$\bar{C}(D_3)$ we denote the Banach space of all bounded continuous functions $\tilde{v}(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ defined on D_3 , with the norm $\|\tilde{v}\| = \sup_{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in D_3} |\tilde{v}(\xi_1, \xi_2)|$. Instead of (5) we consider the equation

$$\tilde{v}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = e^{\alpha(\xi_1 - \xi_2)} \psi(\xi_1) - e^{\alpha(\xi_1 - \xi_2)} \int_0^{\xi_2} d\zeta_2 \int_{\xi_1}^{r_0} q(\zeta_1 + \zeta_2) e^{-\alpha(\zeta_1 - \zeta_2)} \tilde{v}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) d\zeta_1, \tag{6}$$

where α is an arbitrary positive number. Set

$$(T_\alpha \tilde{v})(\xi_1, \xi_2) = -e^{\alpha(\xi_1 - \xi_2)} \int_0^{\xi_2} d\zeta_2 \int_{\xi_1}^{r_0} q(\zeta_1 + \zeta_2) e^{-\alpha(\zeta_1 - \zeta_2)} \tilde{v}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) d\zeta_1.$$

Then T_α is a continuous linear operator on $\bar{C}(D_3)$ to $\bar{C}(D_3)$ and we have

$$\|T_\alpha\| \leq \frac{1}{2\alpha} \int_0^{r_0} |q(r)| dr.$$

Therefore for sufficiently large α there exists a unique solution $\tilde{v}(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ of (6) belonging to $\bar{C}(D_3)$. Setting $\tilde{u}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = e^{-\alpha(\xi_1 - \xi_2)} \tilde{v}(\xi_1, \xi_2)$, we see that $\tilde{u}(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ is continuous and satisfies (5) in D_3 . Hence $\tilde{u}(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in C^3(D_3)$.

Furthermore we have that $\tilde{u}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = 0$ for $\xi_1 > \frac{r_1}{2}$.

If we set

$$u_2(r, t) = \tilde{u}(\xi_1, \xi_2), \quad g_1(r) = \varphi_1(r) + \tilde{u}\left(\frac{r}{2}, \frac{r}{2}\right),$$

$$g_2(r) = \varphi_2(r) + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \tilde{u}\left(\frac{r}{2}, \frac{r}{2}\right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} \tilde{u}\left(\frac{r}{2}, \frac{r}{2}\right) \right\},$$

from (5) we have

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + q \right] u_2(r, t) = 0 \quad \text{in } D_2,$$

$$u_2(r, r) = w(r) - u_1(r, r), \quad u_2(r, 0) = g_1(r) - \varphi_1(r), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_2(r, 0) = g_2(r) - \varphi_2(r),$$

and furthermore

$$g_1(r) = g_2(r) = 0 \quad \text{for } r > r_1, \quad g_1(r) \in C^3([0, \infty)), \quad g_2(r) \in C^2([0, \infty)).$$

If we set

$$u_3(r, t) = u_1(r, t) + u_2(r, t) \quad \text{in } D_2,$$

then we have that $u_3(r, t)$ satisfies (3) in D_2 with the initial data $u_3(r, 0) = g_1(r)$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_3(r, 0) = g_2(r)$ and on the characteristic line $\{(r, t);$

$t = r\}$ we have $w(r) = u_3(r, r)$. Therefore if we set

$$u(r, t) = w(r) \quad \text{in } D_1,$$

$$= u_3(r, t) \quad \text{in } D_2,$$

then we have that in $\{(r, t); r \geq 0 \text{ and } t \geq 0\}$, $u(r, t)$ satisfies (3) in the sense of distribution and $u(0, t) = 0$, $u(r, 0) = g_1(r)$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(r, 0) = g_2(r)$,

$u(r, t) = w(r)$ for $r \leq t$.

Furthermore we have the following

Lemma 3. *We can take $g_1(r), g_2(r)$ such that*

$$g_1(0) = g_2(0) = 0.$$

We shall postpone to prove Lemma 3. Setting $v(x, t) = r^{-1}u(r, t)$, $\bar{g}_1(x) = r^{-1}g_1(r)$, $\bar{g}_2(x) = r^{-1}g_2(r)$, by virtue of Lemma 3, we have $\bar{g}_1(x) \in C_0^3(\mathbb{R}^s)$, $\bar{g}_2(x) \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^s)$, and

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \Delta + q \right] v(x, t) = 0,$$

$$v(x, 0) = \bar{g}_1(x), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v(x, 0) = \bar{g}_2(x), \quad v(x, t) = u(x) \quad \text{for } |x| \leq t.$$

which is the assertion of Theorem 2.

Proof of Lemma 3. By the construction of $g_1(r)$, $g_2(r)$, we have

$$g_1(0) = w(0) = 0,$$

$$g_2(0) = \frac{1}{2} - \varphi'_2(0) + \frac{1}{2}w'(0) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{r_0} q(r)w(r)dr - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{r_0} q(r)u_1(r, r)dr.$$

Set

$$K = huw'(0) + hu \int_0^{r_0} q(r)w(r)dr.$$

Then we have $g_2(0) = 0$ if and only if we have

$$\varphi'_1(0) + \int_0^{r_0} q(r)u_1(r, r)dr = K. \tag{7}$$

Let $\bar{u}_1(r, t)$ be the solution of (3) in D_2 with initial data $\bar{u}_1(r, 0) = \bar{\varphi}_1(r)$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bar{u}_1(r, 0) = 0$, where $\bar{\varphi}_1(r) \in C^3([0, \infty))$ and $\text{supp } \bar{\varphi}_1 \subset [0, 2r_0]$.

Then we can take $\bar{\varphi}_1(r)$ such that

$$\bar{\varphi}'_1(0) + \int_0^{r_0} q(r)\bar{u}_1(r, r)dr \neq 0.$$

In fact, we have

$$\bar{u}_1(r, r) = \frac{1}{2} \{ \bar{\varphi}_1(2r) + \bar{\varphi}_1(0) \} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^r d\tau \int_\tau^{2r-\tau} -q(s)\bar{u}_1(s, \tau)ds,$$

by virtue of (4). Since we have

$$\int_0^{r_0} d\tau \left(\int_0^{r_0} |\bar{u}_1(s, \tau)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \left(\int_0^{r_0} |\bar{\varphi}_1(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

by virtue of the energy inequality, we have

$$\left| \int_0^{r_0} q(r)\bar{u}_1(r, r)dr \right| \leq C' \sup |\bar{\varphi}_1(r)|,$$

where C' is a constant depending on q, r_0 .

If we choose $\bar{\varphi}_1$ such that

$$|\bar{\varphi}'_1(0)| > C' \sup_{0 \leq r \leq 2r_0} |\bar{\varphi}_1(r)|,$$

we have

$$\bar{\varphi}'_1(0) + \int_0^{r_0} q(r)\bar{u}_1(r, r)dr \neq 0.$$

If in (7) we replace $u_1(r, r)$ by $u_1(r, r) + k\bar{u}_1(r, r)$, then (7) becomes

$$\varphi'_1(0) + \int_0^{r_0} q(r)u_1(r, r)dr + k \left\{ \bar{\varphi}'_1(0) + \int_0^{r_0} q(r)\bar{u}_1(r, r)dr \right\} = K, \tag{8}$$

where k is an arbitrary real number. Taking k such that (8) holds, we have $g_2(0) = 0$ for the $g_2(r)$ which is obtained by replacing $u_1(r, t)$ by $u_1(r, t) + k\bar{u}_1(r, t)$.

Since $q(r) = 0$ for $r > r_0$ and $w(r)$ is bounded, we see that $w(r) = \text{constant}$ for $r > r_0$, therefore set $w(r) = C$ for $r > r_0$. We also require that $k\bar{u}_1(r, r) + u_1(r, r) = C$ for $r > \frac{r_1}{2}$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi_1(0) + k\bar{\varphi}_1(0) + \int_0^{r_1} \varphi_2(s) ds + \int_0^{r_0} d\tau \int_\tau^{r_0} -q(s)\{u_1(s, \tau) + k\bar{u}_1(s, \tau)\} ds \\ & = 2C \quad \text{for } r > \frac{r_1}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

But in the equality (9) the values of $u_1(s, \tau) + k\bar{u}_1(s, \tau)$ for $(s, \tau) \in \{(s, \tau); 0 \leq \tau \leq s \leq r_0\}$ depend only on the values of $\varphi_1(r)$, $\varphi_2(r)$, $\bar{\varphi}_1(r)$ for $r \in [0, 2r_0]$, and are independent of the values of $\varphi_2(r)$ for $r \in (2r_0, r_1)$. Therefore it is obvious that we can take $\varphi_2(r)$ such that (9) holds for $r > \frac{r_1}{2}$. Q.E.D.

References

- [1] D. M. Èidus: The principle of limiting absorption. *Mat. Sb.*, **57** (99), 13-44 (1962); *A.M.S. Transl. series 2* (47), 157-191 (1965).
- [2] T. Ikebe: Eigenfunction expansions associated with the Schroedinger operators and their applications to scattering theory. *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, **5**, 1-34 (1960).
- [3] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya: On the asymptotic amplitude principle. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* (N.S.), **12**, 161-164 (1957).
- [4] K. Asano and T. Shirota: Remarks on eigenfunctions of the operators $-A+q$. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **42** (1966).