

**47. On the Classical Propositional Calculus of
A. R. Anderson and N. D. Belnap**

By Kiyoshi ISÉKI

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., March 13, 1967)

In this paper, we concern with the classical propositional calculus by A. R. Anderson and N. D. Belnap [1]. In their system, axioms are formulated as "if p and $\sim p$ are in a primitive disjunction α , then α is an axiom", and rules of deduction as

$$\text{I) } \frac{\varphi(\alpha)}{\varphi(\sim\sim\alpha)}, \quad \text{II) } \frac{\varphi(\sim\alpha), \varphi(\sim\beta)}{\varphi(\sim(\alpha\vee\beta))}.$$

We shall show that, if we interpret $p \rightarrow q$ as $\sim p \vee q$, then we have Lukasiewicz axiom system:

- 1) $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$,
- 2) $(p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow ((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r))$,
- 3) $(\sim p \rightarrow \sim q) \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$.

To prove 1), take

$$(1) \quad \sim p \vee (\sim q \vee p),$$

then (1) is an axiom, since (1) contains p and $\sim p$ at the same time. Hence $p \rightarrow (\sim q \vee p)$ and we have $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$.

To prove 2), it is sufficient to show

$$(2) \quad \sim(\sim p \vee \sim q \vee r) \vee \sim(\sim p \vee q) \vee \sim p \vee r.$$

The following formulas are axioms:

- (3) $\sim r \vee (\sim p \vee r) \vee \sim q$,
- (4) $q \vee (\sim p \vee r) \vee \sim q$,
- (5) $p \vee (\sim p \vee r) \vee \sim q$.

By the rule of deduction I), (4) implies

$$(6) \quad \sim\sim q \vee (\sim p \vee r) \vee \sim q,$$

similarly by I), (5) implies

$$(7) \quad \sim\sim p \vee (\sim p \vee r) \vee \sim q.$$

Then, by II), (3) and (6) imply

$$(8) \quad \sim(\sim q \vee r) \vee (\sim p \vee r) \vee \sim q.$$

Further, by II), (7) and (8) imply

$$(9) \quad \sim(\sim p \vee (\sim q \vee r)) \vee (\sim p \vee r) \vee \sim q.$$

On the other hand,

- (10) $\sim r \vee (\sim p \vee r) \vee p$,
- (11) $q \vee (\sim p \vee r) \vee p$,
- (12) $p \vee (\sim p \vee r) \vee p$.

are axioms in this system. By I), (10) implies

$$(13) \quad \sim r \vee (\sim p \vee r) \vee \sim\sim p.$$

Similarly (11) implies $\sim\sim q \vee (\sim p \vee r) \vee p$ and further

$$(14) \quad \sim\sim q \vee (\sim p \vee r) \vee \sim\sim p.$$

(12) implies

$$(15) \quad \sim\sim p \vee (\sim p \vee r) \vee \sim\sim p.$$

By (13), (14), and II), we have

$$(16) \quad \sim(\sim q \vee r) \vee (\sim p \vee r) \vee \sim\sim p.$$

We use the rule of deduction II), then we have

$$(17) \quad \sim(\sim p \vee (\sim q \vee r)) \vee (\sim p \vee r) \vee \sim\sim p.$$

Consequently (9) and (11) imply

$$\sim(\sim p \vee q) \vee \sim(\sim p \vee (\sim q \vee r)) \vee (\sim p \vee r),$$

which is the formula (2).

Next we shall prove 3).

$$\sim p \vee (\sim q \vee p),$$

$$q \vee (\sim q \vee p)$$

are axioms in this system. Then by I), we have

$$\sim\sim\sim p \vee (\sim q \vee p)$$

and

$$\sim\sim q \vee (\sim q \vee p).$$

Then by II), we have

$$\sim(\sim\sim p \vee \sim q) \vee (\sim q \vee p),$$

which is $\sim(\sim p \rightarrow \sim q) \vee (q \rightarrow p)$. This means $(\sim p \rightarrow \sim q) \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$.

Therefore we complete the proof.

Reference

- [1] A. R. Anderson and N. D. Belnap, Jr.: A simple treatment of truth functions. *Jour. Symbolic Logic*, **24**, 301-302 (1959).