

## 41. Integration with Respect to the Generalized Measure. I

By Masahiro TAKAHASHI

Department of Mathematics, Nara Medical College

(Comm. by Kinjirō KUNUGI, M.J.A., March 13, 1967)

1. Introduction. In this paper, we are going to deal with the integration theory with respect to the *topological-additive-group-valued measure* [1].

Let  $M$  be a set and  $\mathcal{S}$  a ring of subsets of  $M$  ( $\mathcal{S}$  is a ring in the algebraic sense,<sup>1)</sup> of which each element is an idempotent). Let  $\mu$  be a *measure* [1] defined on  $\mathcal{S}$  taking values in a topological additive group  $G$ .

Let  $K$  be a topological additive group and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the additive group of all  $K$ -valued functions defined on  $M$  (the sum of two functions in  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined in the usual way).

For  $X \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , let us denote by  $Xf$  the function in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that

$$(Xf)(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in X, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in M - X. \end{cases}$$

Then each  $X \in \mathcal{S}$  operates as a homomorphism on the group  $\mathcal{F}$ . We further assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a topological group with some topology such that each  $X \in \mathcal{S}$  operates as a continuous map on  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Let  $J$  be a topological additive group and suppose that a map of  $G \times K$  into  $J$ , denoting by  $g \cdot k$  the image of  $(g, k)$ ,  $g \in G$ ,  $k \in K$ , is defined, satisfying the conditions:

- 1)  $(g + g') \cdot k = g \cdot k + g' \cdot k$ ,
- 2)  $g \cdot (k + k') = g \cdot k + g \cdot k'$ ,

for each  $g, g' \in G$  and  $k, k' \in K$ .

As an illustration, suppose that  $M$  is the real line and  $G = K = J$  is the topological ring of all real numbers. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the *pseudo- $\sigma$ -ring* [1] of measure<sup>2)</sup>-finite Lebesgue measurable sets and  $\mu$  the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathcal{S}$  (strictly, its restriction on  $\mathcal{S}$ ). Now we can consider  $\mathcal{F}$  as a topological additive group introducing the topology in such a way that a sequence of functions in  $\mathcal{F}$  converges in the space  $\mathcal{F}$  if and only if the sequence uniformly converges as a functional sequence. Then, each  $X \in \mathcal{S}$  operates as a continuous homomorphism of  $\mathcal{F}$  into itself.

---

1)  $X + Y = (X - Y) \cup (Y - X)$ ,  $XY = X \cap Y$  for each  $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}$ .

2) Lebesgue measure.

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the set of all bounded measurable functions in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -invariant<sup>3)</sup> subgroup of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

For  $X \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ , write

$$\int_x g d\mu = \mathcal{I}(X, g).$$

Then  $\mathcal{I}$  is a map of  $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{G}$  into  $J$  with the properties:

(\*) The map  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}(X, g)$  is a continuous homomorphism of  $\mathcal{G}$  into  $J$  with respect to  $g$  for any fixed  $X$ .

(\*\*)  $\mathcal{I}(XY, g) = \mathcal{I}(X, Yg)$  for each of  $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}$ , and  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ .

(\*\*\*) If  $g(x) = k$  for every  $x \in M$ , then  $\mathcal{I}(X, g) = \mu(X) \cdot k$ .

It will be known that the Lebesgue integral  $\int_x g d\mu = \mathcal{I}(X, g)$  of  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  over  $X \in \mathcal{S}$  is characterized by these three properties.

In general, we shall define an integral  $\mathcal{I}$  as a map of  $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{G}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}$  being an  $\mathcal{S}$ -invariant subgroup of  $\mathcal{F}$ , into  $J$  satisfying the conditions above.

In part I in this paper, we shall deal in some abstract way with the process of extending a primitive integral to an integral which has a wider class of 'integrable' functions.

It may be noted that for the purpose of constructing an integral the countable additivity of the measure  $\mu$  is of no use. This property is used to prove that  $\mathcal{I}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i, g\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{I}(X_i, g)$  for some  $X_i$ 's in  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ .

2. An abstract integral and an extension theorem. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a ring (in the algebraic sense), of which each element is an idempotent. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a topological additive group and assume that each  $X \in \mathcal{S}$  operates as a continuous homomorphism of  $\mathcal{F}$  into itself satisfying the conditions:

$$1) (X+Y)f = Xf + Yf \quad \text{if } XY = 0,$$

$$2) (XY)f = X(Yf),$$

for each  $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then for a topological additive group  $J$  we shall call the triplet  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{F}, J)$  an *abstract integral structure* or briefly a *structure*. If  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{F}, J)$  is a structure, for any  $\mathcal{S}$ -invariant subgroup  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{G}, J)$  is a structure.

Let  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{F}, J)$  be a structure. A closed subgroup  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is called an *i-closed* subgroup of  $\mathcal{F}$  if it holds that  $\mathcal{G} = \{g \mid g \in \mathcal{F}, Xg \in \mathcal{G} \text{ for any } X \in \mathcal{S}\}$ . If  $\mathcal{G}$  is an *i-closed* subgroup of  $\mathcal{F}$ , then  $\mathcal{G}$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -invariant subgroup and consequently  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{G}, J)$  is a structure.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{F}, J)$  be a structure and  $\mathcal{A}$  a subset of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then there is the smallest *i-closed* subgroup  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  containing  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

---

3)  $X\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}$  for each  $X \in \mathcal{S}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\Gamma$  be the class of all  $i$ -closed subgroups of  $\mathcal{F}$  containing  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since  $\mathcal{F} \in \Gamma$ , it is sufficient to show that  $\bigcap_{\mathcal{H} \in \Gamma} \mathcal{H} \in \Gamma$  and this is easily seen.

The subgroup  $\mathcal{Q}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  in Proposition 2.1 is called the *integral closure* of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ .<sup>4)</sup>

Let  $(S, \mathcal{F}, J)$  be a structure. A map  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $S \times \mathcal{F}$  into  $J$  is called an *abstract integral* or briefly an *integral* with respect to  $(S, \mathcal{F}, J)$  if it satisfies the conditions:

(\*) The map  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}(X, f)$  is a continuous homomorphism of  $\mathcal{F}$  into  $J$  with respect to  $f$  for any fixed  $X$ .

(\*\*)  $\mathcal{I}(XY, f) = \mathcal{I}(X, Yf)$  for each  $X, Y \in S$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ .

We shall state the main theorem with respect to the extension of an abstract integral, which will be proved in part II of this paper.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(S, \mathcal{F}, J)$  be a structure and assume that  $J$  is a Hausdorff, complete group. Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be an  $S$ -invariant subgroup of  $\mathcal{F}$  and let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an integral with respect to the structure  $(S, \mathcal{Q}, J)$ . Then the integral  $\mathcal{I}$  is uniquely extended to an integral  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$  with respect to the structure  $(S, \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}, J)$ , where  $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}$  is the integral closure of  $\mathcal{Q}$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

### 3. Integral maps and some propositions.

**Assumption.** *In this section we assume that  $(S, \mathcal{F}, J)$  is a structure and  $\mathcal{Q}$  is an  $S$ -invariant subgroup  $P$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

**Proposition 3.1.** *For each  $X, Y \in S$ , it holds that*

- 1)  $XY = YX$ ,
- 2)  $X + X = 0$
- 3)  $ZX = X, ZY = Y$  for some  $Z \in S$ .

**Proof.** The formula  $X + Y = (X + Y)^2 = X^2 + XY + YX + Y^2 = X + XY + YX + Y$  implies that  $XY + YX = 0$ . Replacing  $Y$  by  $X$  we have  $X + X = X^2 + X^2 = 0$ , which proves 2). Further we have  $XY = XY + (XY + YX) = (XY + XY) + YX = YX$ , proving 1). Putting  $Z = X + Y + XY$ , we have 3).

**Proposition 3.2.** *If  $\mathcal{I}$  is an integral with respect to  $(S, \mathcal{F}, J)$ , then*

$\mathcal{I}(X + Y, f) = \mathcal{I}(X, f) + \mathcal{I}(Y, f)$  if  $XY = 0$ , for each  $X, Y \in S$ , and  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Proof.**  $\mathcal{I}(X + Y, f) = \mathcal{I}((X + Y)^2, f) = \mathcal{I}(X + Y, (X + Y)f) = \mathcal{I}(X + Y, Xf + Yf) = \mathcal{I}(X + Y, Xf) + \mathcal{I}(X + Y, Yf) = \mathcal{I}((X + Y)X, f) + \mathcal{I}((X + Y)Y, f) = \mathcal{I}(X, f) + \mathcal{I}(Y, f)$ .

4) Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the group stated in the example in section 1 and let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the set of all constant valued functions in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then the subgroup  $\mathcal{Q}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  in that example is contained in the integral closure  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$  of  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ . In fact  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$  is the class of functions  $f$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $Xf \in \mathcal{Q}$  for any  $X \in S$  (cf. Proposition 3. 17).

**Remark.** To obtain the following propositions, we can replace the assumption that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a ring, of which each element is an idempotent, by a weaker one:  $\mathcal{S}$  is a set and for each  $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}$ , the product  $XY$  is defined as an element of  $\mathcal{S}$ , satisfying the conditions:

- a)  $X^2 = X$ ,
- b)  $XY = YX$ ,
- c)  $ZX = X, ZY = Y$  for some  $Z \in \mathcal{S}$ ,

for each  $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}$ . Consequently the condition ' $(X + Y)f = Xf + Yf$  for  $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $XY = 0$  and for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ' may be omitted.

**Proposition 3.3.** *If  $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}$ , then*

- 1)  $X\mathcal{G}$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -invariant subgroup of  $\mathcal{F}$ ,
- 2)  $X\mathcal{G} \subset Y\mathcal{G}$  if  $X = XY$ .

**Proposition 3.4.**  $\bar{\mathcal{G}}^{(5)}$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -invariant subgroup of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Proof.** The continuity of  $X \in \mathcal{S}$  and the  $\mathcal{S}$ -invariance of  $\mathcal{G}$  implies the  $\mathcal{S}$ -invariance of  $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$  as  $X\bar{\mathcal{G}} \subset \overline{X\mathcal{G}} \subset \bar{\mathcal{G}}$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** *The following three conditions are mutually equivalent.*

- 1) For each  $g \in G$ , there exists  $X \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $Xg = g$ ,
- 2)  $\mathcal{G} \subset \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{S}} (X\mathcal{G})$ ,
- 3)  $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{S}} (X\mathcal{G})$ .

If an  $\mathcal{S}$ -invariant subgroup  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies the mutually equivalent conditions, in Proposition 3.5, then we shall say that  $\mathcal{G}$  is *perfect*.

**Proposition 3.6.** *If we put  $\mathcal{G}' = \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{S}} (X\mathcal{G})$ ,  $\mathcal{G}'$  is the largest perfect subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}$ .*

**Proof.** To prove that  $\mathcal{G}'$  is a subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}$ , since  $\mathcal{G}' \subset \mathcal{G}$ , it is sufficient to show that  $Xf - Yg \in \mathcal{G}'$  for each  $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $f, g \in \mathcal{G}$ . The  $\mathcal{S}$ -invariance of  $\mathcal{G}$  implies that  $Xf - Yg \in \mathcal{G}$ . For  $Z \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $ZX = X, ZY = Y$ , we have  $Xf - Yg = ZXf - ZYg = Z(Xf - Yg) \in Z\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}'$ . The remaining part is easily verified.

The largest perfect subgroup  $\mathcal{G}'$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  in Proposition 3.6 is called the *perfection* of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

**Proposition 3.7.** *The following three conditions are mutually equivalent.*

- 1) If  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and if  $Xf \in \mathcal{G}$  for each  $X \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{G}$ ,
- 2)  $\mathcal{G} \supset \bigcap_{X \in \mathcal{S}} (X^{-1}\mathcal{G})^{(6)}$ ,
- 3)  $\mathcal{G} = \bigcap_{X \in \mathcal{S}} (X^{-1}\mathcal{G})$ .

If an  $\mathcal{S}$ -invariant subgroup  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies the mutually equivalent

5)  $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$  means the closure of  $\mathcal{G}$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  in the topological sense.

6)  $X^{-1}$  means the inverse map of the map  $X$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  into itself.

lent conditions in Proposition 3.7, then we shall say that  $\mathcal{G}$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -complete. A necessary and sufficient condition that an  $\mathcal{S}$ -invariant subgroup  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  be  $i$ -closed is that  $\mathcal{G}$  be closed in  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$ -complete.

**Proposition 3.8.** *If we put  $\mathcal{G}'' = \bigcap_{X \in \mathcal{S}} (X^{-1}\mathcal{G})$ ,  $\mathcal{G}''$  is the smallest  $\mathcal{F}$ -complete subgroup of  $\mathcal{F}$  containing  $\mathcal{G}$ .*

The smallest  $\mathcal{F}$ -complete subgroup  $\mathcal{G}''$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  containing  $\mathcal{G}$  in Proposition 3.8 is called the  $\mathcal{F}$ -completion of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

**Proposition 3.9.** *The perfection  $\mathcal{G}'$  of the  $\mathcal{F}$ -completion of  $\mathcal{G}$  coincides with the perfection of  $\mathcal{G}$ . If, in particular,  $\mathcal{G}$  is perfect, then  $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{G}$ .*

**Proposition 3.10.** *The  $\mathcal{F}$ -completion  $\mathcal{G}''$  of the perfection of  $\mathcal{G}$  coincides with the  $\mathcal{F}$ -completion of  $\mathcal{G}$ . If, in particular,  $\mathcal{G}$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -complete, then  $\mathcal{G}'' = \mathcal{G}$ .*

A map  $I$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  into  $J$  is called an *integral map* with respect to the structure  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{F}, J)$  if, for each  $X \in \mathcal{S}$ , the restriction  $I_x$  of  $I$  on the group  $X\mathcal{F}$  is a continuous homomorphism.

**Proposition 3.11.** *If  $I$  is an integral map with respect to  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{G}, J)$  and if  $\mathcal{G}$  is perfect, then  $I$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathcal{G}$  into  $J$ .*

**Proof.** Our assertion is that  $I(g+h) = I(g) + I(h)$  for each  $g, h \in \mathcal{G}$ . The perfectness of  $\mathcal{G}$  implies that there exist  $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $g = Xg, h = Yh$ . For  $Z \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $ZX = X, ZY = Y$ , we have  $g = Xg = ZXg = Zg \in Z\mathcal{G}$  and similarly  $h \in Z\mathcal{G}$ . Since the restriction  $I_z$  of  $I$  on  $Z\mathcal{G}$  is a homomorphism, it follows that  $I(g+h) = I_z(g+h) = I_z(g) + I_z(h) = I(g) + I(h)$ .

**Proposition 3.12.** *Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be an integral with respect to  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{G}, J)$  and let  $\mathcal{G}'$  be the perfection of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then there uniquely exists an integral map  $I$  with respect to  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{G}', J)$  such that*

$$I(Xg) = \mathcal{J}(X, g) \text{ for } X \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } g \in \mathcal{G}.$$

**Proof.** Let us prove that  $\mathcal{J}(X, g) = \mathcal{J}(Y, h)$  for  $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $g, h \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $Xg = Yh$ . Putting  $Xg = Yh = f$ , we have  $f = Yh = Y^2h = Yf$ . Hence  $\mathcal{J}(X, g) = \mathcal{J}(X^2, g) = \mathcal{J}(X, Xg) = \mathcal{J}(X, f) = \mathcal{J}(X, Yf) = \mathcal{J}(XY, f)$ . Similarly we have  $\mathcal{J}(Y, h) = \mathcal{J}(XY, f)$  and this implies that  $\mathcal{J}(X, g) = \mathcal{J}(Y, h)$ . Thus we can define a map  $I$  of  $\mathcal{G}'$  into  $J$  such that  $I(Xg) = \mathcal{J}(X, g)$  for each  $X \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ . It is easily seen that  $I$  is an integral map required and the uniqueness of  $I$  is obvious.

The integral map  $I$  in Proposition 3.12 is called the *perfection* of  $\mathcal{J}$ .

**Proposition 3.13.** *Let  $I$  be an integral map with respect to  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{G}, J)$  and let  $\mathcal{G}''$  be the  $\mathcal{F}$ -completion of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then there uniquely exists an integral  $\mathcal{J}$  with respect to  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{G}'', J)$  such that*

$$\mathcal{J}(X, g) = I(Xg) \text{ for } X \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } g \in \mathcal{G}''.$$

The integral  $\mathcal{J}$  in Proposition 3.13 is called the  $\mathcal{F}$ -completion

of  $I$ .

**Proposition 3.14.** *If  $I$  is an integral map with respect to  $(S, \mathcal{Q}, J)$ , then the perfection  $I'$  of the  $\mathcal{F}$ -completion of  $I$  is the restriction of  $I$ . If, in particular,  $\mathcal{Q}$  is perfect, then  $I'$  coincides with  $I$ .*

**Proposition 3.15.** *If  $\mathcal{I}$  is an integral with respect to  $(S, \mathcal{Q}, J)$ , then the  $\mathcal{F}$ -completion  $\mathcal{I}''$  of the perfection of  $\mathcal{I}$  is an extension of  $\mathcal{I}$ . If, in particular,  $\mathcal{Q}$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -complete, then  $\mathcal{I}''$  coincides with  $\mathcal{I}$ .*

**Proposition 3.16.** *If  $\mathcal{I}$  is an integral with respect to  $(S, \mathcal{Q}, J)$  and if  $\mathcal{Q}''$  is the  $\mathcal{F}$ -completion of  $\mathcal{Q}$ , then  $\mathcal{I}$  is uniquely extended to an integral  $\mathcal{I}''$  with respect to  $(S, \mathcal{Q}'', J)$ .*

**Proof.** This follows immediately from Propositions 3.15 and 3.10.

The integral  $\mathcal{I}''$  in Proposition 3.16 is called the  $\mathcal{F}$ -completion of  $\mathcal{I}$ .

**Proposition 3.17.** *If  $\mathcal{Q}$  is closed in  $\mathcal{F}$ , then the  $\mathcal{F}$ -completion  $\mathcal{Q}''$  of  $\mathcal{Q}$  is closed in  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

**Proof.** Since each  $X \in \mathcal{S}$  is continuous, we have  $\overline{\mathcal{Q}''} = \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{S}} \overline{(X^{-1}\mathcal{Q})} \subset \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{S}} (\overline{X^{-1}\mathcal{Q}}) \subset \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{S}} (X^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{Q}}) = \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{S}} (X^{-1}\mathcal{Q}) = \mathcal{Q}''$ , which proves the proposition.

**Corollary.** *The integral closure of  $\mathcal{Q}$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  is the  $\mathcal{F}$ -completion  $\overline{\mathcal{Q}''}$  of the closure  $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$  of  $\mathcal{Q}$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

## References

- [1] M. Takahashi: On topological-additive-group-valued measures. Proc. Japan Acad., **42**, 330-334 (1966).
- [2] N. Bourbaki: Integration (Elément de Mathématique. XIII). Hermann et Cie. Paris (1952).