

69. On the Nörlund Summability of the Conjugate Series of Fourier Series

By Hiroshi HIROKAWA*) and Ikuko KAYASHIMA**)

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., May 13, 1968)

§ 1. Let $\{p_n\}$ be a sequence such that $P_n = p_0 + p_1 + \cdots + p_n \neq 0$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. A series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ with its partial sum s_n is said to be summable (N, p_n) to sum s , if $(p_n s_0 + p_{n-1} s_1 + \cdots + p_0 s_n) / P_n \rightarrow s$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The choice $p_n = 1/(n+1)$ yields the familiar harmonic summability. Let $f(t)$ be a periodic finite-valued function with period 2π and integrable (L) over $(-\pi, \pi)$. Let its Fourier series be

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(t).$$

Then the conjugate series of the series (1.1) is

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n \cos nt - a_n \sin nt) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n(t).$$

Throughout this paper, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t) &\equiv \frac{1}{2} \{f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2f(x)\}, & \Phi(t) &\equiv \int_0^t |\varphi(u)| du, \\ \psi(t) &\equiv \frac{1}{2} \{f(x+t) - f(x-t)\}, & \Psi(t) &\equiv \int_0^t |\psi(u)| du \end{aligned}$$

and $\tau = [1/t]$, where $[\lambda]$ is the integral part of λ .

On the Nörlund summability of Fourier series at a given point x , the following results are known. Iyengar [3] proved that if

$$\varphi(t) = o(1/\log t^{-1}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +0,$$

then the series (1.1) at $t=x$ is harmonic summable to sum $f(x)$.

Later, generalizing this result, Siddiqi [5] proved that if

$$\Phi(t) = o(t/\log t^{-1}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +0,$$

then the series (1.1) at $t=x$ is harmonic summable to sum $f(x)$.

Further, generalizing this result, Pati [7] proved the following

Theorem A. *Let $\{p_n\}$ be a sequence such that*

$$p_n > 0, \quad p_n \downarrow, \quad P_n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \log n = O(P_n).$$

If

$$\Phi(t) = o(t/P_t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +0,$$

then the series (1.1) at $t=x$ is summable (N, p_n) to sum $f(x)$.

Furthermore Rajagopal [8] proved the following

*) Chiba University, Chiba, Japan.

***) Kuroiso Senior High School, Tochigi, Japan.

Theorem B. *Let a function $p(t)$ be monotone non-increasing and positive for $t \geq 0$. Let $p_n = p(n)$ and let*

$$(1.3) \quad P(t) \equiv \int_0^t p(u) du \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

If, for some fixed δ , $0 < \delta < 1$,

$$(1.4) \quad \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} \Phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \frac{P(1/t)}{t} dt = o(P_n) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then the series (1.1) at $t=x$ is summable (N, p_n) to sum $f(x)$.

It should be noted that Theorem B is a generalization of Theorem A. Thus, among these results, Theorem B is the most general. We now remark that, from Rajagopal [8, Lemma (a)], (1.3) and (1.4) together imply

$$(1.5) \quad \Phi(t) = o(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +0.$$

On the other hand, the summability (N, p_n) of the conjugate series of Fourier series at a given point x has been considered by Siddiqi [6], Dikshit [1, 2], Saxena [4] and others, respectively. Siddiqi proved that if

$$\Psi(t) = o(t/\log t^{-1}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +0,$$

then the series (1.2) at $t=x$ is harmonic summable to sum

$$(1.6) \quad \tilde{f}(x) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \psi(t) \cot \frac{t}{2} dt$$

provided that the integral exists as a Cauchy integral at origin. A conjugate-analogue of Pati's Theorem A was obtained by Dikshit [1]. That result was generalized independently by Dikshit [2] and by Saxena [4]. Their theorems are as follows.

Theorem C. (Dikshit [2]). *Let $\{p_n\}$ be a sequence such that*

$$(1.7) \quad p_n > 0, p_n \downarrow, P_n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{and } \alpha(n) \log n = O(P_n),$$

where $\alpha(t)$ is a positive monotone non-decreasing function. If

$$(1.8) \quad \Psi(t) = o(\alpha(1/t)t/P_n) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +0,$$

then the series (1.2) at $t=x$ is summable (N, p_n) to sum $\tilde{f}(x)$ provided that the integral in (1.6) exists as a Cauchy integral at origin.

Theorem D. (Saxena [4]). *Let $\{p_n\}$ be a sequence such that*

$$(1.9) \quad p_n > 0, p_n \downarrow, P_n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{and } \log n = O(\beta(P_n)),$$

where $\beta(t)$ is a positive monotone non-decreasing function such that $t/\beta(t)$ is also monotone non-decreasing. If

$$(1.10) \quad \Psi(t) = o(t/\beta(P_n)) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +0,$$

then the series (1.2) at $t=x$ is summable (N, p_n) to sum $\tilde{f}(x)$ provided that the integral in (1.6) exists as a Cauchy integral at origin.

Remark. It is easily proved that the assumption on monotone of $\beta(t)$ is superfluous.

Theorem E. (Saxena [4]). *Let $\{p_n\}$ be a sequence such that*

$$p_n > 0, p_n \downarrow, P_n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{and } \log n = O(\gamma(P_n)),$$

where $\gamma(t)$ is a positive function such that

$$\int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} \frac{P_{\tau}}{\gamma(P_{\tau})} \frac{1}{t} dt = O(P_n) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

If

$$\Psi(t) = o(t/\gamma(P_{\tau})) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +0,$$

then the series (1.2) at $t=x$ is summable (N, p_n) to sum $\tilde{f}(x)$ provided that the integral in (1.6) exists as a Cauchy integral at origin.

It is obvious that Theorem E is a generalization of Theorem D.

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following two theorems.

Theorem 1. Let $\{p_n\}$ and $P(t)$ be defined as in Theorem B. If, for some fixed δ , $0 < \delta < 1$,

$$(1.11) \quad \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} \psi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \frac{P(1/t)}{t} dt = o(P_n) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then the series (1.2) at $t=x$ is summable (N, p_n) to sum $\tilde{f}(x)$ provided that the integral in (1.6) exists as a Cauchy integral at origin.

Theorem 2. If Theorem C holds, then Theorem D also holds and conversely, when

$$(1.12) \quad \alpha(1/t)/\alpha(\tau) = O(1) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +0,$$

if Theorem D holds, then Theorem C also holds.

Obviously there exists a function $\alpha(t)$ which does not satisfy the condition (1.12). Thus we see that Theorem C is better than Theorem D. We do not know however a relation between Theorems C and E, when the function $\alpha(t)$ does not satisfy the condition (1.12).

§2. Proof of Theorem 1. Let us write

$$\tilde{s}_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{t}_n(x) = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n-k} \tilde{s}_k(x).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{s}_n(x) - \tilde{f}(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \psi(t) \frac{\cos\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin t/2} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\delta} \psi(t) \frac{\cos\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin t/2} dt + \eta_n, \end{aligned}$$

where, by the Riemann-Lebesgue theorem,

$$(2.1) \quad \eta_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\delta}^{\pi} \psi(t) \frac{\cos\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin t/2} dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$\tilde{t}_n(x) - \tilde{f}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n-k} \{\tilde{s}_k(x) - \tilde{f}(x)\} / P_n$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{\pi P_n} \int_0^\delta \psi(t) \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n-k} \frac{\cos\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin t/2} dt + \xi_n \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi P_n} \int_0^\delta \psi(t) \frac{K_n(t)}{\sin t/2} dt + \xi_n, \end{aligned}$$

where $K_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n-k} \cos\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)t$ and, by (2.1) together with the regularity of the method of summation (N, p_n) ,

$$\xi_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n-k} \eta_k \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{t}_n(x) - \tilde{f}(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi P_n} \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} + \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^\delta \right) \psi(t) \frac{K(t)}{\sin t/2} dt + o(1) \\ &= I_n + J_n + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

say. Since the integral in (1.6) exists, we have

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \psi(t) \cos \frac{t}{2} dt = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} I_n &= \frac{1}{\pi P_n} \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \psi(t) \frac{K_n(t)}{\sin t/2} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi P_n} \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \psi(t) \frac{K_n(t) - P_n \cos t/2}{\sin t/2} dt + o(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi P_n} \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \psi(t) \left(\sum_{k=0}^n p_{n-k} \frac{\cos(k + 1/2)t - \cos t/2}{\sin t/2} \right) dt + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\sin kt| \leq k |\sin t|$ when k is a positive integer, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n-k} \frac{\cos(k + 1/2)t - \cos t/2}{\sin t/2} &= -2 \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n-k} \frac{\sin(k + 1)t/2 \sin kt/2}{\sin t/2} \\ &= O\left(\sum_{k=0}^n k p_{n-k} (k + 1)t\right) \\ &= O(n^2 t \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n-k}) \\ &= O(n^2 P_n t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by an analogue of (1.5),

$$\begin{aligned} I_n &= O\left(\frac{1}{\pi P_n} \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} |\psi(t)| n^2 P_n t dt\right) + o(1) \\ &= O\left(n \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} |\psi(t)| dt\right) + o(1) = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in order to prove Theorem, it is sufficient to prove that $J_n = o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. But this is proved by an estimation similar to one of J_n in the proof of Theorem B. Thus our Theorem is completely proved.

§ 3. **Proof of Theorem 2.** Let $h(t)$ be a continuous function defined over $t \geq 0$ such that

$$h(t) = P_n \quad (t = n) \quad \text{and} \quad h(t) = \text{linear} \quad (\text{elsewhere}).$$

Then the function $h(t)$ is strictly increasing so that the function $h(t)$ has an inverse function $k(t)$ such that $n = k(P_n)$ and $k(t)$ is strictly increasing. We shall now prove the first part of Theorem. Let $\{p_n\}$ and $\beta(t)$ satisfy the condition of Theorem D. Then we define $\alpha(t)$ by $\alpha(t) = h(t)/\beta(h(t))$. Since the functions $h(t)$ and $t/\beta(t)$ are monotone non-decreasing, the function $\alpha(t)$ is also so. Then, by (1.9),

$$\log n = O(\beta(P_n)) = O(P_n/\alpha(n))$$

and, by (1.10),

$$\Psi(t) = o(t/\beta(P_\tau)) = o(\alpha(\tau)t/P_\tau) = o(\alpha(1/t)t/P_\tau).$$

These prove the first part of Theorem. To prove the converse part, we set $\beta(t) = t/\alpha(k(t))$. Then we see that $t/\beta(t) = \alpha(k(t))$ is monotone non-decreasing and, by (1.7),

$$\log n = O(P_n/\alpha(n)) = O(P_n/\alpha(k(P_n))) = O(\beta(P_n)),$$

and, by (1.8) and (1.12),

$$\Psi(t) = o(\alpha(1/t)t/P_\tau) = o(\alpha(\tau)t/P_\tau) = o(\alpha(k(P_\tau))t/P_\tau) = o(t/\beta(P_\tau)).$$

Thus the proof is complete.

§ 4. We shall now show that *Theorem 1 is a generalization of Theorem C*. For the proof, it is sufficient to prove that the condition of Theorem C implies the one of Theorem 1. Let $\{p_n\}$ be given as in Theorem C. Then we define a function $p(t)$ by

$$p(t) = p_n \quad \text{for} \quad n \leq t < n+1, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Further define a function $P(t)$ as in (1.3). Then, by the condition, the function $p(t)$ is monotone non-decreasing and positive for $t \geq 0$. By (1.7) and (1.8), we get, $t \rightarrow +0$,

$$P(1/t) \rightarrow \infty, \quad P_\tau \sim P(1/t), \quad \alpha(1/t)/P(1/t) = O(1/\log t^{-1}),$$

and

$$\Psi(t) = o(\alpha(1/t)t/P(1/t)).$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^s \Psi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \frac{P(1/t)}{t} dt &= o \left(\int_{\frac{1}{n}}^s \alpha \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) \frac{t}{P(1/t)} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{P(1/t)}{t} dt \right) \\ &= o \left(\int_{\frac{1}{n}}^s \alpha(t) \frac{p(1/t)}{P(1/t)} \frac{1}{t^2} dt + \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^s \alpha \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) \frac{1}{t} dt \right) \\ &= o \left(\int_{\frac{1}{s}}^n \frac{p(t)}{\log t} dt \right) + o(P_n) \\ &= o(P_n) \end{aligned}$$

which shows that (1.11) holds. Thus the proof is complete.

We shall next show that *Theorem 1 is also a generalization of Theorem E*. Let $\{p_n\}$ be given as in Theorem E. Then we define func-

tions $p(t)$ and $P(t)$ as in the above case. Since the sequence $\{p_n\}$ is monotone decreasing,

$$\frac{1}{t}p\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) < (n+1)p_n \leq P_n = P_r \leq P\left(\frac{1}{t}\right),$$

when $n \leq 1/t < n+1$, $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$. Thus we have, by the condition,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} \psi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \frac{P(1/t)}{t} dt &= o\left(\int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} \frac{p(1/t)}{\gamma(P_r)} \frac{1}{t^2} dt + \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} \frac{P(1/t)}{\gamma(P_r)} \frac{1}{t} dt\right) \\ &= o\left(\int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} \frac{P(1/t)}{\gamma(P_r)} \frac{1}{t} dt\right) \\ &= o(P_n), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that (1.11) holds. Thus the proof is complete.

§ 5. From the argument in § 4, we have the following theorems as corollaries of Theorem B. These are analogues of Theorems C and E.

Theorem 3. *Let $\{p_n\}$ and $\alpha(t)$ be defined as in Theorem C. If $\Phi(t) = o(\alpha(1/t)t/P)$ as $t \rightarrow +0$, then the series (1.1) at $t=x$ is summable (N, p_n) to sum $f(x)$.*

Theorem 4. *Let $\{p_n\}$ and $\gamma(t)$ be defined as in Theorem E. If $\Phi(t) = o(t/\gamma(P_r))$ as $t \rightarrow +0$, then the series (1.1) at $t=x$ is summable (N, p_n) to sum $f(x)$.*

It should be noted that these Theorems are also proved directly by analogous methods to those of the proofs of Theorems C and E.

References

- [1] H. P. Dikshit: The Nörlund summability of the conjugate series of a Fourier series. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, **11**, 217-224 (1962).
- [2] —: On the Nörlund summability of the conjugate series of a Fourier series. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, **14**, 165-170 (1965).
- [3] K. S. K. Iyengar: A Tauberian theorem and its application to convergence of Fourier series. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Sec. A*, **18**, 81-87 (1943).
- [4] A. Saxena: On Nörlund summability of the conjugate series of a Fourier series. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, **13**, 222-228 (1964).
- [5] J. A. Siddiqi: On the harmonic summability of Fourier series. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Sec. A*, **28**, 527-531 (1948).
- [6] —: The Nörlund summability of trigonometrical series. *D. Phil. Thesis*. (1949).
- [7] T. Pati: A generalization of a theorem of Iyengar on the harmonic summability of Fourier series. *Indian J. Math.*, **3**, 85-90 (1961).
- [8] C. T. Rajagopal: On the Nörlund summability of Fourier series. *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.*, **59**, 47-53 (1963).