

146. Characterization of a De Morgan Lattice in Terms of Implication and Negation

By M. L. GASTAMINZA and S. GASTAMINZA

Instituto de Matematica, Universidad Nacional del Sur,
Bahia Blanca, Argentina

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1968)

The purpose of this Note is to give a characterization of De Morgan lattice in terms of implication and negation.

The notion of De Morgan lattice has been considered by Gr. C. Moisil [4] in the work mentioned in the reference included at the end of this Note and has been studied by J. A. Kalman [3] under the name of *distributive i-lattice*. A. Bialynicki-Birula and H. Rasiowas [2] have studied this type of lattice having the first element under the name of *quasi-boolean algebra*. The nomenclature used here is due to A. Monteiro [5].

A lattice can be defined as a system (M, \cup, \cap) consisting of a non empty set M and two binary operations \cup, \cap defined on M such that the following properties are verified :

$$\text{L1. } x \cup y = y \cup x,$$

$$\text{L2. } x \cup (y \cup z) = (x \cup y) \cup z,$$

$$\text{L3. } x \cup (y \cap x) = x,$$

$$\text{L'1. } x \cap y = y \cap x,$$

$$\text{L'2. } x \cap (y \cap z) = (x \cap y) \cap z,$$

$$\text{L'3. } x \cap (y \cup x) = x.$$

A lattice is called a distributive lattice if it verifies the property :

$$\text{D. } x \cup (y \cap z) = (x \cup z) \cap (x \cup z).$$

A distributive lattice is called a De Morgan lattice if a unary operation $-$ is defined on it such that the following two properties hold :

$$\text{M1. } - - x = x,$$

$$\text{M2. } -(x \cup y) = -x \cap -y.$$

Theorem. Let M be a non-empty set, \rightarrow a binary operation and $-$ a unary operation defined on M such that the following properties are verified :

$$\text{A1. } x \rightarrow -y = y \rightarrow -x,$$

$$\text{A2. } (x \rightarrow -y) \rightarrow y = y,$$

$$\text{A3. } (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow z = -((-x \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)).$$

If we write $x \cup y = -x \rightarrow y$ and $x \cap y = -(x \rightarrow -y)$, then the system $(M, \cup, \cap, -)$ is a De Morgan lattice.

Proof. M1. $x = -(-x)$.

In order to prove this, let us see the following two relations :

a) $-x \rightarrow x = x,$

b) $x \rightarrow -x = -x.$

Using twice the axiom A2 we have

$$\neg x \rightarrow x = ((x \rightarrow \neg(\neg x)) \rightarrow \neg x) \rightarrow x = x.$$

From a), A2, A3, A1, and A2 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} x \rightarrow \neg x &= (\neg x \rightarrow x) \rightarrow \neg x = (\neg x \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow \neg x) \rightarrow x)) \rightarrow \neg x \\ &= (\neg x \rightarrow \neg((\neg x \rightarrow x) \rightarrow \neg(\neg x \rightarrow x))) \rightarrow \neg x \\ &= (((\neg x \rightarrow x) \rightarrow \neg(\neg x \rightarrow x)) \rightarrow \neg(\neg x)) \rightarrow \neg x = \neg x. \end{aligned}$$

We now prove 1. By successive applications of A2, A3, b), and 3) we have

$$x = (x \rightarrow \neg x) \rightarrow x = \neg((\neg x \rightarrow x) \rightarrow \neg(\neg x \rightarrow x)) = \neg(\neg(\neg x \rightarrow x)) = \neg(\neg x).$$

$$\text{M2. } \neg(x \cup y) = \neg x \cap \neg y.$$

$$\neg(x \cup y) = \neg(\neg x \rightarrow y) = \neg(\neg x \rightarrow \neg(\neg y)) = \neg x \cap \neg y.$$

$$\text{L1. } x \cup y = y \cup x.$$

$$x \cup y = \neg x \rightarrow y = \neg x \rightarrow \neg(\neg y) = \neg y \rightarrow \neg(\neg x) = \neg y \rightarrow x = y \cup x$$

by M1 and A1.

$$\text{L3. } x \cup (y \cap x) = x.$$

Applying A1, M1, and A2 it follows that

$$x \cup (x \cap y) = \neg x \rightarrow (\neg x \rightarrow y) = (\neg x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow \neg(\neg x) = (y \rightarrow \neg x) \rightarrow x = x.$$

$$\text{L2. } (x \cup y) \cup z = x \cup (y \cup z).$$

To prove the associative law we shall first demonstrate the following relation :

$$\neg x = x \rightarrow \neg(\neg(\neg x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow z).$$

By successive applications of A1, A3, M1, and A2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \rightarrow \neg(\neg(\neg x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow z) &= (\neg(\neg x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow z) \rightarrow \neg x \\ &= \neg(\neg(\neg(\neg x \rightarrow y)) \rightarrow \neg x) \rightarrow \neg(z \rightarrow \neg x) \\ &= \neg(\neg(\neg x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow \neg x) \rightarrow \neg(z \rightarrow \neg x) \\ &= \neg(\neg x \rightarrow \neg(z \rightarrow \neg x)) = \neg((z \rightarrow \neg x) \rightarrow x) = \neg x. \end{aligned}$$

The following formulas are proved in the same way :

$$\neg y = y \rightarrow \neg(\neg(\neg x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow z) = y \rightarrow \neg(\neg(\neg y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow x),$$

$$\neg z = z \rightarrow \neg(\neg(\neg y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow x).$$

Let us now prove the associative law. By definition, we have $(x \cup y) \cup z = \neg(\neg x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow z$. We substitute $\neg x$, y , and z for the following expressions :

$$\neg x = x \rightarrow \neg(\neg y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow x, \quad (\text{by A1 and A2})$$

$$y = \neg(y \rightarrow \neg(\neg y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow x),$$

$$z = \neg(z \rightarrow \neg(\neg y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow x).$$

Then we apply twice the axiom A3, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x \cup y) \cup z &= \neg(\neg x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow z = \neg((x \rightarrow \neg(\neg y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow x)) \\ &\rightarrow \neg(y \rightarrow \neg(\neg y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow \neg(z \rightarrow \neg(\neg y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow x) \\ &= ((\neg x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow \neg(\neg y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow \neg(z \rightarrow \neg(\neg y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow x) \\ &= \neg((\neg x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow z) \rightarrow \neg(\neg y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow x. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

On the other hand we have $x \cup (y \cup z) = \neg x \rightarrow (\neg y \rightarrow z)$.

Substituting $\neg x$, $\neg y$ and z for

$$\begin{aligned} -x &= x \rightarrow -(-x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow z, \\ -y &= y \rightarrow -(-x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow z, \\ z &= -(z \rightarrow -(-x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow z)) \quad (\text{by A1 and A2}) \end{aligned}$$

respectively and applying the above method we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \cup (y \cup z) &= -x \rightarrow (-y \rightarrow z) \\ &= (x \rightarrow -(-x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow z) \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow -(-x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow z) \\ &\quad \rightarrow -(-z \rightarrow -(-x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow z))) \\ &= (x \rightarrow -(-x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow z) \rightarrow -((-y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow -(-x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow z)) \\ &= -((-x \rightarrow -y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow -(-x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow z)) \\ &= -((-x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow -(-y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow x)). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

From (1) and (2) we have $x \cup (y \cup z) = (x \cup y) \cup z$.

D7. $x \cup (y \cap z) = (x \cup y) \cap (x \cup z)$.

Applying A1, M1, A3, and A1 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} x \cup (y \cap z) &= -x \rightarrow -(y \rightarrow -z) = (y \rightarrow -z) \rightarrow -(-x) = (y \rightarrow -z) \rightarrow x \\ &= -((-y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow -(-z \rightarrow x)) = -((-x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow -(-x \rightarrow z)) \\ &= (-x \rightarrow y) \cap (-x \rightarrow z) = (x \cup y) \cap (x \cup z). \end{aligned}$$

The dual laws follow immediately from the above properties.

Independence of the postulates A1, A2, and A3.

- 1) Let A be a set consisting of two elements a and b , on which the operations \rightarrow and $-$ are defined by the following tables :

\rightarrow	a	b	$-$	
a	a	b	a	b
b	a	b	b	a

The axioms A2 and A3 are verified but not A1 since

$$a \rightarrow -b = a \quad \text{and} \quad b \rightarrow -a = b$$

- 2) On the same set let us consider the operations defined by the following tables :

\rightarrow	a	b	$-$	
a	a	a	a	a
b	a	b	b	b

The axioms are verified but A2 since

$$(a \rightarrow -b) \rightarrow b = (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b = a$$

- 3) Finally let us consider on the same set the operations defined by the following tables :

\rightarrow	a	b	$-$	
a	a	b	a	a
b	a	a	b	a

A1 and A2 hold but not A3 since

$$\begin{aligned} (a \rightarrow a) \rightarrow b &= a \rightarrow b = b, \\ -((a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow -a) &= -(a \rightarrow b) = -b \rightarrow -b = -a = a. \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] G. Birkhoff: *Lattice Theory*. Amer. Math. Soc. (1948).
- [2] A. Bialynicki-Birula and H. Rasiowa. On the representation of quasi-boolean algebras. *Bull. de l'Acad. Polonaise des Sci. Classe III*, **5**, 259–261 (1957).
- [3] J. A. Kalman: Lattices with involution. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **87**, 485–491 (1958).
- [4] Gr. C. Moisil: Recherches sur l'algèbre de la logique. *Ann. Sc. de l'université de Jassy*, **22**, 1–117 (1935).
- [5] A. Monteiro: Matrices de Morgan caractéristiques pour le calcul propositionnel classique. *Anais da Ac. Brasileira de Ciencias*, **32**, 1–7 (1960).