199. On a Problem of MacLane

By Jirokichi NAGATOMO
Department of Mathematics, Chiba University, Chiba
(Comm. by Zyoiti SUETUNA, M. J. A., Nov. 12, 1968)

1. Let f(z) be a non-constant holomorphic function in $\{|z|<1\}$, having asymptotic values at each point of a dense subset on $\{|z|=1\}$. Such a function is said to belong to the class \mathcal{A} (MacLane [1]). MacLane proposed a problem:

If f(z) and g(z) belong to \mathcal{A} , do f(z)+g(z) and f(z)g(z) belong to \mathcal{A} ?

Ryan and Barth [2] answered to this negatively, and raised a further question:

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ and b(z) is bounded, are $b(z)f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$? (We suppose, of course, that b(z)f(z) is not a constant.)

In the present note, we will answer to this positively but only partly. That is, we will prove the following

Theorem A. Let b(z) be a function, holomorphic and bounded in $\{|z|<1\}$, having non-zero Fatou limits on $\{|z|=1\}$ except on a set of the first Baire category. Then, if $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $b(z)f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$.

2. For the sake of convenience, we repeat the definitions due to MacLane [1], with slight modifications in notations.

An arc $\Gamma: z=z(t)$, $0 \le t < 1$, in $\{|z|<1\}$ is said to be the path ending at a point ζ , $|\zeta|=1$, if $z(t) \to \zeta$ as $t\to 1$. A function f(z) is said to have an asymptotic value a ($a=\infty$ permitted) at ζ , if there exists a path Γ ending at ζ on which f(z) has the limit a, i.e., if $f(z(t)) \to a$ as $t\to 1$. The set of these points is denoted by $A_f(a)$. That is, $A_f(a)$ is the set at each point of which f(z) has the asymptotic value a. We put

$$A_f^* = \bigcup_{a \neq \infty} A_f(a), \qquad A_f = A_f^* \cup A_f(\infty).$$

A function f(z) is defined to belong to the class \mathcal{A} if f(z) is holomorphic and non-constant in $\{|z|<1\}$ and the set A_f is dense on $\{|z|=1\}$.

Next we define the sets B_f^* and B_f . A point ζ , $|\zeta|=1$, belongs to B_f^* if and only if there exists a path Γ ending at ζ , on which f(z) is bounded by some finite constant M. The bound M may vary as ζ and Γ vary. We put

$$B_f = B_f^* \cup A_f(\infty)$$
.

f(z) is defined to belong to the class \mathcal{B} if f(z) is holomorphic and non-constant in $\{|z|<1\}$ and the set B_f is dense on $\{|z|=1\}$.

The set $\{z ; |f(z)| = \lambda\}$, where $\lambda \ge 0$ is a constant, is called *level set*

and denoted by $L_t(\lambda)$. For each r, 0 < r < 1, let the components of

$$L_{f}(\lambda) \cap \{r < |z| < 1\}$$

be $\Lambda_i(r)$, $i \in I$. Let $\delta_i(r) = \text{diam. of } \Lambda_i(r)$ and put

$$\delta(r) = \sup_{i \in I} \, \delta_i(r)$$

with $\delta(r) \equiv 0$ if I is void. Clearly $\delta(r) \setminus$ as $r \nearrow$. We shall say that the level set $L_f(\lambda)$ ends at points of $\{|z|=1\}$ if and only if $\delta(r) \setminus 0$ as $r \nearrow 1$.

f(z) is defined to belong to the class \mathcal{L} if f(z) is holomorphic and non-constant in $\{|z|<1\}$ and every level set $L_{t}(\lambda)$ ends at points of $\{|z|=1\}.$

MacLane proved the following important

Theorem M. $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{L}$.

- 3. Now we prove our Theorem A. Suppose that $b(z) f(z) \notin \mathcal{A}$. By Theorem M, $b(z) f(z) \notin \mathcal{B}$ and hence there exists an arc γ on $\{|z|=1\}$ such that
- (3.1) $B_{hf} \cap \gamma = \phi$.

Since a fortiori

$$(3.2) B_{bf}^* \cap \gamma = \phi,$$

 $B_t^* \cap \gamma$ must be void. Then there exists a sequence of arcs $\{C_n\}$ in $\{|z|<1\}$ such that (see [1], p. 15).

(3.3) $C_n \cap C_m = \phi$ if $n \neq m$; $C_n \to \gamma$ and $\inf_{z \in C_n} |f(z)| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Let

$$\mu_n = \inf_{\substack{z \in C_n \\ \gamma = \{e^{i\theta}; \ \alpha \leq \theta \leq \beta\}, \\ S = \{z; |z| < 1, \ \alpha < \arg z < \beta\}.}$$

By choosing γ suitably, we may assume that

(3.4) C_n is a cross-cut of the sector S and, if n > m, C_n separates C_m from γ .

Then ([1], Theorem 3) $\gamma \subset A_f(\infty)$, i.e., for any point $\zeta \in \gamma$ there is a path $\Gamma(\zeta)$ ending at ζ such that $f(z) \to \infty$ on $\Gamma(\zeta)$. But because of (3.1)

$$\underline{\lim}_{\Gamma(\zeta)}|b(z)f(z)|<+\infty.$$

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{\overline{\varGamma(\zeta)}} \mid b(z)f(z) \mid <+\infty. \\ \text{Take α', β' } (\alpha <\!\alpha' <\!\beta' <\!\beta) \text{ and put} \\ &\gamma' \!=\! \{e^{i\theta}\,; \; \alpha' \!\leq\! \theta \!\leq\! \beta'\}. \end{split}$$

$$\gamma' = \{e^{i\theta}; \alpha' \leq \theta \leq \beta'\}.$$

For a natural number N we set

(3.5) $E_N = \{ \zeta \in \gamma' ; \text{ there exists a path } \Gamma(\zeta) \text{ ending at } \zeta, \text{ on which } \zeta \in \gamma' \}$ $f(z) \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lim |b(z)f(z)| \leq N$.

 E_N is a closed set. To prove this, let $\zeta_n \in E_N$ and $\zeta_n \to \zeta_0$. We will construct a path $\Gamma(\zeta_0)$ satisfying the condition (3.5).

For each n, we can easily find a point $z_n \in \Gamma(\zeta_n)$ such that

(3.6)
$$|z_n - \zeta_n| < \frac{1}{n}, |f(z_n)| \ge \mu_n, |b(z_n)f(z_n)| < N + \frac{1}{n}.$$

Then

(3.7)
$$z_n \rightarrow \zeta_0 \text{ and } \underline{\lim} |b(z_n)f(z_n)| \leq N, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We may assume that $\alpha < \arg z_n < \beta$ and $|z_n| < |z_{n+1}|$, $n = 1, 2, \cdots$. Connecting these points by segments in order, we get a path (Jordan arc) Γ' which tends monotonely to $\{|z|=1\}$, lying in the sector $\alpha < \arg z < \beta$, and ends at ζ_0 .

Let
$$\alpha_k = \arg \zeta_0 - \frac{1}{k}$$
, $\beta_k = \arg \zeta_0 + \frac{1}{k}$, and let $R(\alpha_k)$, $R(\beta_k)$ be the

radii to $e^{i\alpha_k}$, $e^{i\beta_k}$ respectively.

Let E(n, k) be the domain bounded by C_n , C_{n+1} , $R(\alpha_k)$, $R(\beta_k)$, and let the components of $L_f(\mu_k) \cap E(n, k)$ be $l_f(n, k; i)$. If n > k, each of $l_f(n, k; i)$ is apart from C_n and C_{n+1} . Put

(3.8)
$$\delta_{n,k} = \max. \text{ diam. of } 1_f(n, k; i).$$

Since $f(z) \in \mathcal{L}$

(3.9)
$$\delta_{n,k} \rightarrow 0$$
 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any fixed k .

Let k=1. There exists an n_1 such that if $n \ge n_1$, any curve $l_f(n, k; i)$ which intersects with Γ' is contained in E(n, 1). Hence any portions of Γ' in E(n, 1), on which $|f(z)| < \mu_1$, may be replaced by Jordan subarcs of $l_f(n, 1; i)$. Making such replacements (finite in number for any n) for each $n \ge n_1$, we obtain a path Γ_1 such that

$$\underline{\lim} |f(z)| \ge \mu_1$$
 on Γ_1 .

 Γ_1 tends to ζ_0 and contains all z_n , so that on $\Gamma_1 \underline{\lim} |b(z)f(z)| \leq N$.

Next we find an n_2 such that if $n > n_2$, any curve $l_f(n, 2; j)$ which intersects with Γ_1 is contained in E(n, 2). Hence any portions of Γ_1 in E(n, 2), on which $|f(z)| < \mu_2$, may be replaced by Jordan subarcs of $l_f(n, 2; j)$ and we obtain a path Γ_2 which tends to ζ_0 and contains all z_n . Similarly, we can construct $\Gamma_3, \Gamma_4, \cdots$.

Continuing this procedure indefinitely, we obtain a path $\Gamma(\zeta_0)$ which obviously has the required property (3.5).

4. Because of (3.1), we have $\bigcup_N E_N = \gamma'$. Since E_N , $N = 1, 2, \cdots$ are closed, some E_N , say E_{N_1} , must contain an arc γ^* by the theorem of Baire. For every $\zeta \in \gamma^*$ there is a sequence $z_n = z_n(\zeta)$, $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ such that $z_n \to \zeta$ and

(4.1)
$$|f(z_n)| \ge \mu_n, \qquad |b(z_n)f(z_n)| \le N_1 + \frac{1}{n}.$$

Let $\{\zeta_l\}$ be a countable set, dense on γ^* . Write $z_n(\zeta_l) = z_{n,l}$. Then, from (4.1) we have

$$(4.2) |b(z_{n,l})| \leq \frac{2N_1}{\mu_n}, \text{whatever } l \text{ may vary.}$$

From the double sequence $\{z_{n,l}, n \ge l\}$ we form a sequence $\{Z_n\}$ as shown in the above figure, i.e.,

$$Z_1 = z_{1,1}, \quad Z_2 = z_{2,1}, \quad Z_3 = z_{2,2}, \quad Z_4 = z_{3,1}, \quad Z_5 = z_{3,2}, \quad \cdots$$

By (4.2), $\{Z_n\}$ has the following properties:

- (4.3) For any subsequence $\{Z_{n_k}\}$ of $\{Z_n\}$, $b(Z_{n_k}) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$;
- (4.4) For any point $\zeta \in \gamma^*$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a Z_n such that $|\zeta Z_n| < \varepsilon$.
- 5. Let $V(\varphi, \zeta)$ be a Stolz domain with vertex at $\zeta = e^{i\theta}$ and with opening 2φ :

$$V(\varphi, \zeta) = \{z; |z| < 1, |\arg(1 - ze^{-i\theta})| < \varphi\}.$$

We will show that the set

 $F = \{ \zeta \in \gamma^* : \text{for any } \varphi, \ V(\varphi, \zeta) \text{ contains only finitely many points of } Z_n's \} \text{ is of the first Baire category on } \gamma^*.$

Let K be an integer and put

 $F(\varphi,K) = \{\zeta \in \gamma^* \text{ ; } V(\varphi,\zeta) \text{ contains exactly } K \text{ points of } Z_n\text{'s} \}.$ Since $F = \bigcap_{0 < \varphi < \frac{\pi}{2}} \{\bigcup_{K \geq 0} F(\varphi,K) \}$, it suffices to show that $F(\varphi,K)$ is nowhere

dense on γ^* for fixed φ and K.

Take a subarc $\hat{\gamma} \subset \gamma^*$. If $\hat{\gamma} \cap F(\varphi, K) \ni \hat{\zeta} = e^{i\varphi}$, $V(\varphi, \hat{\zeta})$ contains Z_{n_i} , $i=1,2,\cdots,K$. Let $L_1 = \{z \; ; \arg{(1-ze^{-i\varphi})} = -\varphi\}$ and $L_2 = \{z \; ; \arg{(1-ze^{-i\varphi})} = -\varphi\}$ be the sides of $V(\varphi, \hat{\zeta})$, and let Z_{n_1}, Z_{n_2} be the points nearest to L_1, L_2 respectively. Let $\hat{\zeta}_1 = e^{i\varphi_1}$ and $\hat{\zeta}_2 = e^{i\varphi_2}$ be the points such that $\arg{(1-Z_{n_1}e^{-i\varphi_1})} = -\varphi$, $\arg{(1-Z_{n_2}e^{-i\varphi_2})} = \varphi$. By (4.4) there is a point Z_m such that $\varphi_1 < \arg{Z_m} < \varphi_2$ and $Z_m \notin V(\varphi, \hat{\zeta})$. Let $\zeta_1 = e^{i\theta_1}$ and $\zeta_2 = e^{i\theta_2}$ be the points such that $\arg{(1-Z_me^{-i\theta_1})} = -\varphi$, $\arg{(1-Z_me^{-i\theta_2})} = \varphi$. If $|Z_m|$ is sufficiently near to 1, the arc $\hat{\gamma}_1 = \{e^{i\theta}\; ; \theta_1 \leq \theta \leq \theta_2\}$ is contained in the arc $\{e^{i\theta}\; ; \varphi_1 \leq \theta \leq \varphi_2\}$. Hence for any $\zeta \in \hat{\gamma}_1$, $V(\varphi, \zeta)$ contains (K+1) points $Z_{n_1}, Z_{n_2}, \cdots, Z_{n_K}$ and Z_m , so that $\zeta \notin F(\varphi, K)$ and $\hat{\gamma}_1 \cap F(\varphi, K) = \varphi$. This shows that $F(\varphi, K)$ is nowhere dense and F is of the first category.

Hence the set $H = \gamma^* \setminus F$ is of the second category. If $\zeta \in H$, V (φ, ζ) contains infinitely many points of Z_n 's for some φ . Thus if we put

 $H_1 = \{ \zeta \in H ; b(z) \text{ has the Fatou limit 0 at } \zeta \},$ $H_2 = \{ \zeta \in H ; b(z) \text{ has no Fatou limit at } \zeta \},$

then $H=H_1\cup H_2$. But by our assumption, H_1 and H_2 must be of the

first category. This contradiction proves our theorem.

The author wishes to acknowledge with grateful thanks the help of Prof. O. Ishikawa during the writing of this paper.

References

- [1] MacLane, G. R.: Asymptotic values of holomorphic functions. Rice Univ. Studies, 49, No. 1, 1-83 (1963).
- [2] Ryan, F. B., and K. F. Barth: Asymptotic values of functions holomorphic in the unit disk. Math. Z., 100, Heft 5, 414-415 (1967).