

58. Leśniewski's Protothetics S1, S2. III

By Shôtarô TANAKA

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., April 12, 1969)

In this paper, we shall prove that every theorem of S2 is a theorem of S1.

Lemma 8. *The proposition*

$$(16) \quad [f]\{f(0)\equiv(f(1)\equiv[q]\{f(0)\equiv f(q)\})\}$$

is a theorem of S1.

Proof. We add to S1 the following definitions:

$$D12 \quad [f, p]\{\psi_1\langle f\rangle(p)\equiv(f(0)\supset f(p))\},$$

$$D13 \quad [f, p]\{\psi_2\langle f\rangle(p)\equiv(f(p)\supset f(0))\}.$$

$$T84 \quad [f]\{f(0)\cdot f(1)\supset[q]\{f(0)\supset f(q)\}\}$$

Proof. (1) $f(0)$

$$(2) \quad f(1)\supset$$

$$(3) \quad f(0)\supset f(1)$$

(T2 ; 1)

$$(4) \quad f(0)\supset f(0)$$

(T2 ; 2)

$$(5) \quad \psi_1\langle f\rangle(1)$$

(D12 ; 3)

$$(6) \quad \psi_1\langle f\rangle(0)$$

(D12 ; 4)

$$(7) \quad [q]\{\psi_1\langle f\rangle(q)\}$$

(A1' ; 6 ; 5)

$$(8) \quad [q]\{f(0)\supset f(q)\}$$

(D12 ; 7)

$$T85 \quad [f]\{f(0)\cdot f(1)\supset[q]\{f(q)\supset f(0)\}\}$$

Proof. (1) $f(0)$

$$(2) \quad f(1)\supset$$

$$(3) \quad f(1)\supset f(0)$$

(T2 ; 1)

$$(4) \quad f(0)\supset f(0)$$

(T2 ; 2)

$$(5) \quad \psi_2\langle f\rangle(1)$$

(D13 ; 3)

$$(6) \quad \psi_2\langle f\rangle(0)$$

(D13 ; 4)

$$(7) \quad [q]\{\psi_2\langle f\rangle(q)\}$$

(A1' ; 5 ; 6)

$$(8) \quad [q]\{f(q)\supset f(0)\}$$

(D13 ; 7)

$$T86 \quad [f]\{f(0)\cdot f(1)\supset[q]\{f(0)\equiv f(q)\}\} \quad (T84 ; T85 ; T10 ; \text{def (2), ii})$$

$$T87 \quad [f]\{f(0)\supset(f(1)\supset[q]\{f(0)\equiv f(q)\})\} \quad (T86)$$

$$T88 \quad [f]\{f(0)\cdot[q]\{f(0)\equiv f(q)\}\supset f(1)\}$$

Proof. (1) $f(0)$

$$(2) \quad [q]\{f(0)\equiv f(q)\}\supset$$

(2 ; rule(a))

$$(3) \quad f(0)\equiv f(1)$$

(def (2) ; T8 ; 3)

$$(4) \quad f(0)\supset f(1)$$

(4 ; 1)

$$T89 \quad [f]\{f(0)\supset([q]\{f(0)\equiv f(q)\}\supset f(1))\}$$

(T88)

$$T90 \quad [f]\{f(0)\supset(f(1)\equiv[q]\{f(0)\equiv f(q)\})\} \quad (\text{def (2), ii} ; T87 ; T89)$$

T91 $[f]\{f(1) \equiv [q]\{f(0) \equiv f(q)\}\} \supset f(0)\}$

- Proof.**
- (1) $f(1) \equiv [q]\{f(0) \equiv f(q)\} \supset$
 - (2) $[q]\{f(0) \equiv f(q)\} \supset f(1)$ (def (2), i ; T9)
 - (3) $(f(0) \equiv f(0)) \supset f(1)$ (2 ; rule (a))
 - (4) $f(1)$ (3)
 - (5) $[q]\{f(0) \equiv f(q)\}$ (1 ; 4)
 - (6) $f(0) \equiv f(1)$ (5)
 - (7) $f(1) \supset f(0)$ (def (2), ii ; T9)
 - (8) $f(0)$ (7 ; 4)

T92 $[f]\{f(0) \equiv (f(1) \equiv [q]\{f(0) \equiv f(q)\})\}$ (def (2), i ; T90 ; T91)

T92 is equiform to the proposition (16), and thus Lemma 8 is true. We have used terms '0' and '1' instead of abbreviations of the propositions ' $[p]\{p\}$ ' and ' $[p]\{p \supset p\}$ ', respectively. Now we add to S1 the following definitions :

- def (3) $1 \equiv [p]\{p \supset p\}$,
 def (4) $0 \equiv [p]\{p\}$.

We shall prove further theorems of S1.

T93 $[p]\{p\} \equiv [p]\{p\}$ (T10 ; def (2), ii)

T94 $([p]\{p\} \equiv [p]\{p\}) \supset 1$

- Proof.**
- (1) $([p]\{p\} \equiv [p]\{p\}) \supset [p]\{p \supset p\}$ (T2)
 - (2) $[p]\{p \supset p\} \supset 1$ (def (3))
 - (3) $([p]\{p\} \equiv [p]\{p\}) \supset 1$ (T1 ; 1 ; 2)

T95 $1 \equiv ([p]\{p\} \equiv [p]\{p\})$

- Proof.**
- (1) $1 \supset ([p]\{p\} \equiv [p]\{p\})$ (T2 ; T93)
 - (2) $([p]\{p\} \equiv [p]\{p\}) \supset 1$ (T94)
 - (3) $1 \equiv ([p]\{p\} \equiv [p]\{p\})$ (T10 ; 1 ; 2 ; def (2), ii)

Lemma 9. *The proposition*

(17) $[f]\{f([p]\{p\}) \equiv (f([p]\{p\} \equiv [p]\{p\}) \equiv [q]\{f([p]\{p\}) \equiv f(q)\})\}$
is a theorem of S1.

Proof. We shall prove further theorems.

T96 $[f]\{f([p]\{p\}) \equiv (f(1) \equiv [q]\{f([p]\{p\}) \equiv f(q)\})\}$

- Proof.**
- (1) $0 \equiv [p]\{p\}$ (def (4))
 - (2) $[f]\{f(0) \equiv f([p]\{p\})\}$ (rule (d) ; 1)
 - (3) $(f(0) \equiv (f(1) \equiv [q]\{f(0) \equiv f(q)\})) \equiv (f([p]\{p\}) \equiv (f(1) \equiv [q]\{f([p]\{p\}) \equiv f(q)\}))$ (2)
 - (4) $f([p]\{p\}) \equiv (f(1) \equiv [q]\{f(0) \equiv f(q)\})$ (3 ; T92)

To obtain (3) we use functional substitution :

$f(r) : f(r) \equiv (f(1) \equiv [q]\{f(r) \equiv f(q)\}).$

This substitution is possible on the strength of auxiliary definitions.

T97 $[f]\{f([p]\{p\}) \equiv (f([p]\{p\} \equiv [p]\{p\}) \equiv [q]\{f([p]\{p\}) \equiv f(q)\})\}$

- Proof.** (1) $1 \equiv ([p]\{p\} \equiv [p]\{p\})$ (T95)

- $$\begin{aligned}
 (2) \quad & [f][f(1) \equiv f([p]\{p\}) \equiv [p]\{p\})] && (\text{rule } (d); 1) \\
 (3) \quad & (f([p]\{p\}) \equiv (f(1) \equiv [q]\{f([p]\{p\}) \equiv f(q)\})) \equiv (f([p]\{p\}) \\
 & \equiv (f([p]\{p\}) \equiv [p]\{p\}) \equiv [q]\{f([p]\{p\}) \equiv f(q)\})) && (2) \\
 (4) \quad & f([p]\{p\}) \equiv (f([p]\{p\}) \equiv [p]\{p\}) \equiv [q]\{f([p]\{p\}) \equiv f(q)\}) && (3; T96)
 \end{aligned}$$

To obtain (3), we have used functional substitution :

$$f(r) : f([p]\{p\}) \equiv (f(r) \equiv [q]\{f([p]\{p\}) \equiv f(q)\}).$$

Such a substitution is possible on the strength of auxiliary definition.

T97 is equiform to (17), and thus Lemma 9 is true. T97 is equiform to axiom S2A4.

Theorem 4. *Every theorem of S2 is a theorem of S1.*

Proof. It follows from Lemmata 4, 5, 7, 9 and T26 that axioms of S2 are theorems of S1. It follows from Lemmata 1, 2, 3, 4 that the rule of S2 may be derived from the rules and the axiom of S1. Therefore, Theorem 4 is true.

References

- [1] S. Leśniewski: Grundzüge eines neuen Systems der Grundlagen der Matematik. Fundamenta Mathematicae, **65**, 1-81 Warszawa (1929).
- [2] K. Iséki: Symbolic Logic Propositional Calculi (in Japanese), Vol. 1, Maki publisher (1968).
- [3] K. Chikawa: On equivalences of laws in elementary protothetics. I. Proc. Japan Acad., **43**, 743-747 (1967).
- [4] J. Slupecki: St. Leśniewski's protothetics. Studia Logica, **1**, 54-55 (1953).
- [5] J. Lukasiewicz: Elements of Mathematical Logic (in Polish), authorised notes from lectures prepared by M. Presburger, Warszawa (1929).