146. Free and Semi-free Differentiable Actions on Homotopy Spheres

By Katsuo KAWAKUBO*' Osaka University

(Comm. by Kenjiro SHODA, M. J. A., Oct. 13, 1969)

1. Introduction. The theorem of Browder-Novikov enables us to construct free differentiable actions of S^1 and S^3 on homotopy spheres (see Hsiang [8]). As is shown in § 2 of this paper, every free differentiable action is obtained in such a way. Hence if we know *J*-groups of complex projective spaces CP^n and quaternionic projective spaces QP^n , we can classify free differentiable actions of S^1 and S^3 on homotopy spheres. In [12], Prof. S. Sasao has determined *J*-groups of spaces which are like projective planes. Consequently we can determine the homotopy 11-spheres admitting free differentiable S^3 actions. Let Σ_M^{11} be the generator of Θ_{11} due to Milnor. Then we shall have

Theorem 1. Every homotopy sphere Σ which is diffeomorphic to $32k \Sigma_{\mathcal{M}}^{11}$ for some $k \equiv 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4, \pm 5, \pm 10, \pm 11, \pm 12, \pm 14, \pm 15 \pmod{31}$ admits infinitely many topologically distinct S³-actions and the remains of homotopy 11-spheres do not admit any free differentiable S³-actions.

Let Θ_n be the group of homotopy *n*-spheres and $\Theta_n(\partial \pi)$ be the subgroup consisting of those homotopy spheres which bound parallelizable manifolds. Let $\beta: \Theta_n \to \Theta_n(\partial \pi)$ be the splitting due to Brumfiel [5] and let Σ_M^{15} be the generator of $\Theta_{15}(\partial \pi)$ due to Milnor. Then we shall have

Theorem 2. There exist at least 35 homotopy 15-spheres $\{\Sigma_k\}$ all of which admit infinitely many topologically distinct S³-actions such that $\beta(\Sigma_k)=2^{6}\cdot k\Sigma_M^{16}$ where $k\equiv 0, \pm 6, \pm 8, \pm 13, \pm 14, \pm 15, \pm 17, \pm 23,$ $\pm 26, \pm 34, \pm 35, \pm 45, \pm 48, \pm 50, \pm 51, \pm 53, \pm 55, \pm 57 \pmod{127}$.

On the other hand we shall have

Theorem 3. A homotopy 15-sphere Σ admits no free differentiable S^3 -actions if $k \not\equiv 4 \pmod{4}$ where k is an integer defined by $\beta(\Sigma) = k \Sigma_{M}^{15}$.

As for free S^1 -actions on homotopy 15-spheres, we shall have

Theorem 4. There exist at least 70 homotopy 15-spheres $\{\Sigma_i^{15}\}$ all of which admit infinitely many topologically distinct S¹-actions.

An action (M^m, φ, G) is called semi-free if it is free off of the fixed

^{*)} The author is partially supported by the Yukawa Foundation.

point set, i.e. there are two types of orbits, fixed points and G. Concerning semi-free differentiable actions, Browder has studied in [4]. We shall study the situation where (Σ^m, φ, S^1) is a semi-free differentiable action of S^1 on a homotopy sphere Σ^m , and the fixed point set F^q is a homotopy sphere. Let η be a complex k-plane bundle over a homotopy q-sphere F^q . Let $\pi: P \to F$ be the associated CP^{k-1} bundle to η , and suppose $h: P \to S^q \times CP^{k-1}$ is an orientation preserving diffeomorphism such that $h^*(y) = x$, where $y = p_1^*(c_1), p_1: S^q \times CP^{k-1}$ $\to CP^{k-1}, c_1$ is the first Chern class of the canonical bundle over CP^{k-1} and x is the first Chern class of the canonical line bundle over P. Then he has proved

Theorem of Browder. There is a semi-free S^1 -action (Σ^m, φ, S^1) with fixed point set F embedded in Σ^m with (complex) normal bundle η , and such that the orbit space is $C_{\pi} \bigcup_{h} D^{q+1} \times CP^{k-1}$, where C_{π} is the mapping cylinder of π , and \bigcup_{h} means we identify $P \subset C_{\pi}$ with $S^q \times CP^{k-1}$ $\subset D^{q+1} \times CP^{k-1}$ via the diffeomorphism h. Every semi-free action of S^1 on a homotopy sphere of dimension >6 with fixed point set a homotopy sphere is given this way.

He says the fixed point set F^q is untwisted when η is the trivial complex *k*-plane bundle and constructs semi-free differentiable S^1 -actions on homotopy spheres Σ with some $F \in \Theta_q(\partial \pi)$ as untwisted fixed point set. He has posed the following problem.

"What are the homotopy spheres which are being operated on in our constructions?"

In § 3 we shall partially answer the problem. Precisely, we shall have the following

Theorem 5. If a homotopy sphere Σ^{p+2q} admits a semi-free S^{1} -action with $F^{p} \in \Theta_{p}(\partial \pi)$ as untwisted fixed point set for $p \ge 2q$, $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ or p=0, then Σ^{p+2q} belongs to the inertia group $I(S^{p} \times CP^{q})$.

This theorem is a generalization of H. Maehara [10].

Remark. It is an interesting phenomenon that possible homotopy spheres as fixed point set are related with the inertia group $I(S^p \times CP^{q-1})$ (see Browder [4]) and possible homotopy spheres operated on are related with the inertia group $I(S^p \times CP^q)$.

Corollary. Let $\Sigma^{s_{8}+1}$, $\Sigma^{s_{8}+2}$ $(s \ge 1)$ be the homotopy spheres not bounding spin-manifolds constructed by Milnor [11] and Anderson, Brown and Peterson [1]. Then $\Sigma^{s_{8}+1}$ (resp. $\Sigma^{s_{8}+2}$) does not admit such a semi-free differentiable S¹-action as Theorem 5.

Detailed proof will appear elsewhere.

2. Preliminary lemmas and homotopy 11-spheres admitting free S^3 -actions. It is well known that to study free differentiable actions of S^3 on homotopy spheres is to study manifolds homotopically equiva-

lent to quaternionic projective space QP^n . Let $\tau \in \widetilde{KO}(QP^n)$ (resp. $\nu \in \widetilde{KO}(QP^n)$) be the stable tangent (resp. normal) bundle of QP^n . Let $J: \widetilde{KO}(QP^n) \rightarrow J(QP^n)$ be Atiyah's fibre homotopy equivalence functor [2].

Lemma 2.1. Given a manifold \widetilde{QP}^n of the same homotopy type of QP^n . Let $g: QP^n \to \widetilde{QP}^n$ be a homotopy equivalence. Then we have

(1) $g! \nu(\widetilde{QP^n}) - \nu(QP^n) \in \operatorname{Ker} J$

(2) $\langle L(P(g!\tau(\widetilde{QP}^n))), \mu \rangle =$ Index of QP^n ,

where μ denotes the fundamental homology class of QP^n .

Proof. The former is the theorem of Atiyah [2] and the latter is proved as follows. According to Hirzebruch Index Theorem [7], we have

$$\langle L(P(\tau(\widetilde{QP}^n))), \widetilde{\mu} \rangle =$$
Index of \widetilde{QP}^n

where $\tilde{\mu}$ denotes the fundamental homology class of \widetilde{QP}^n defined by $\tilde{\mu} = g_* \mu$. Hence we have

$$egin{aligned} &\langle L(P(g!\, au(QP^n))),\,\mu
angle = \langle g^*L(P(au(QP^n))),\,\mu
angle \ = \langle L(P(au(\widetilde{QP^n}))),\,g_*\mu
angle = \langle L(P(au(\widetilde{QP^n}))),\,\widetilde{\mu}
angle \ = ext{Index of }\widetilde{QP^n} = ext{Index of }QP^n. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1.

Conversely we shall have

Lemma 2.2. Given an element $\xi \in \widetilde{KO}(QP^n)$ satisfying the following conditions (1) $\xi \in \operatorname{Ker} J$ (2) $\langle L(P(\tau(QP^n) \oplus \xi)), \mu \rangle = \operatorname{Index} \operatorname{of} QP^n$, then we have a homotopy equivalence f of a smooth manifold $\widetilde{QP^n}$ with QP^n , $f: \widetilde{QP^n} \to QP^n$ such that $f!(\nu(QP^n) \oplus \xi^{-1})$ is the stable normal bundle $\nu(\widetilde{QP^n})$ of $\widetilde{QP^n}$.

Proof. Denote by $T(\eta)$ the Thom complex of a bundle η . Since $\xi \in \text{Ker } J$, $T(\nu(QP^n) \oplus \xi^{-1})$ is homotopy equivalent to $T(\nu(QP^n))$, i.e., the top homology class of $T(\nu(QP^n) \oplus \xi^{-1})$ is spherical. The condition (2) is that the Hirzebruch Index Theorem holds [7]. It follows from the theorem of Novikov-Browder that there exist a manifold \widetilde{QP}^n and a homotopy equivalence $f: \widetilde{QP}^n \to QP^n$ such that $f!(\nu(QP^n) \oplus \xi^{-1})$ is the stable normal bundle $\nu(\widetilde{QP}^n)$ of \widetilde{QP}^n , completing the proof of Lemma 2.2.

Combining Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we obtain the following

Proposition 2.3. Every manifold \widetilde{QP}^n of the same homotopy type of the quoternionic projective space QP^n is obtained in the manner of Lemma 2.2.

An outline of the proof of Theorem 1. In [12], S. Sasao has proved that $J(QP^2) = Z_{1440} \oplus Z_4$ and he has kindly informed me that Ker $J = \{24k_1$

K. KAWAKUBO

 $\begin{array}{l} \oplus(-4k_1+240k_2)\,|\,k_1,\,k_2\in Z\} \text{ and that the Pontrjagin classes of } \xi=24k_1\\ \oplus(-4k_1+240_2) \text{ are } P_1(\xi)=2\cdot 24k_1u \text{ and } P_2(\xi)=\{24k_1(2\cdot 24k_1-1)+6(-4k_1+240k_2)\}u^2 \text{ where } u \text{ denotes a generator of } H^4(QP^2). \\ \text{Index Theorem } \langle L(\tau(QP_2)\oplus\xi),\,\mu\rangle=\text{Index of } QP^2 \text{ implies that } k_2=\frac{-k_1}{70}(40k_1+1). \\ \end{array}$

Case 1_k . $k_1 = 70k$ and $k_2 = -k(2800k+1)$, $k \in Z$

Case 2_k . $k_1 = 10(7k-1)$ and $k_2 = -(7k-1)(400k-57)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Here Z denotes the set of integers.

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that we have a manifold $\widehat{QP}_{1,k}^2$ (resp. $\widetilde{QP}_{2,k}^2$) and a principal fibration $S^3 \rightarrow \Sigma_{1,k}^{11} \rightarrow \widetilde{QP}_{1,k}^2$ (resp. $S^3 \rightarrow \Sigma_{2,k}^{11} \rightarrow \widetilde{QP}_{2,k}^2$) corresponding to Case 1_k (resp. Case 2_k). Let $D^4 \rightarrow \widetilde{W}_{1,k} \rightarrow \widetilde{QP}_{1,k}^2$ (resp. $D^4 \rightarrow \widetilde{W}_{2,k} \rightarrow \widetilde{QP}_{2,k}^2$) be the associated disk bundle to $S^3 \rightarrow \Sigma_{1,k}^{11} \rightarrow \widetilde{QP}_{1,k}^2$ (resp. $S^3 \rightarrow \Sigma_{1,k}^{11} \rightarrow \widetilde{QP}_{2,k}^2$).

Case 1_k . We have the total Pontrjagin class $P(\tilde{W}_{1,k}) = 1 + (4 + 2 \cdot 24 \cdot 70k)u + \{12 + 240 \cdot 4 \cdot 9k + 2800 \cdot 24 \cdot 6 \cdot 4k^2\}u^2$. Hence the Eells-Kuiper μ -invariant [6] is calculated as follows.

 $\mu = \{4P_2(\tilde{W}_{1,k})P_1(\tilde{W}_{1,k}) - 3P_1^3(\tilde{W}_{1,k}) - 24(\text{Index of } \tilde{W}_{1,k})\}/2^{11} \ 3 \ 31 \ \text{mod } 1. \\ = k(1+3k)(1+6k)/31 \ \text{mod } 1.$

Case 2_k . Similarly the Eells-Kuiper μ -invariant is calculated as follows.

$$\mu(\tilde{W}_{2,k}) = (5+3k)(12-14k+6k^2)/31 \mod 1.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\{\mu(\widetilde{W}_{1,\,k}) ext{ mod } 1 \, | \, k \in Z\} \cup \{\mu(\widetilde{W}_{2,\,k}) ext{ mod } 1 \, | \, k \in Z\}$$

 $= \left\{0, \pm \frac{1}{31}, \pm \frac{2}{31}, \pm \frac{3}{31}, \pm \frac{4}{31}, \pm \frac{5}{31}, \pm \frac{10}{31}, \pm \frac{11}{31}, \pm \frac{12}{31}, \pm \frac{14}{31}, \pm \frac{15}{31} \mod 1\right\},$

completing the proof of Theorem 1.

3. Semi-free differentiable S¹-actions.

In case where p=0, Theorem 5 is due to H. Maehara [10]. We outline the proof of Theorem 5 in case where $p \ge 2q$ and $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Suppose that a homotopy sphere Σ^{p+2q} admits a semi-free differentiable S^{1} -action with $F^{p} \in \Theta_{p}(\partial \pi)$ as untwisted fixed point set. Then we have an equivariant diffeomorphism $f: F^{p} \times S^{2q-1} \to S^{p} \times S^{2q-1}$ such that $F^{p} \times D^{2q} \bigcup D^{p+1} \times S^{2q-1}$ is diffeomorphic to the homotopy sphere Σ^{p+2q} . Let $(S^{2q+1}, \varphi, S^{1})$ be the canonical free S^{1} -action and let $S^{2q-1} \subset S^{2q+1}$ be the natural imbedding. Then we have an equivariant tubular neighbourhood $S^{2q-1} \times D^{2} \subset S^{2q+1}$, i.e., we have a free S^{1} -action on $S^{2q-1} \times D^{2}$. Clearly the map $f \times id: F^{p} \times S^{2q-1} \times D^{2} \to S^{p} \times S^{2q-1} \times D^{2}$ is equivariant, hence the map $f \times id$ induces a diffeomorphism $f \times id/\sim :$ $F^{p} \times (CP^{q} - \operatorname{Int} D^{2q}) \to S^{p} \times (CP^{q} - \operatorname{Int} D^{2q})$. It is easily seen that we can regard $f \times id / \sim |F^{p} \times S^{2q-1}$ as f. Thus we have the following diffeomorphism

No. 8]

$$(f imes id/\sim) \cup id: F^p imes (CP^q - \operatorname{Int} D^{2q}) \bigcup_{id} F^p imes D^{2q} \ o S^p imes (CP^q - \operatorname{Int} D^{2q}) \bigcup_{f} F^p imes D^{2q}.$$

Since $p \ge 2q$, $q \equiv 0 \mod 3$, we can easily prove that $S^p \times (CP^q - \operatorname{Int} D^{2q}) \bigcup_f F^p \times D^{2q}$ is diffeomorphic to $S^p \times CP^q \ \sharp \Sigma^{p+2q}$ where Σ^{p+2q} is the homotopy sphere above. On the other hand, Browder has proved in [4] that $F^p \times CP^q$ is diffeomorphic to $S^p \times CP^q$. Consequently $S^p \times CP^q \ \sharp \Sigma^{p+2q}$ is diffeomorphic to $S^p \times CP^q$. Consequently $S^p \times CP^q \ \sharp \Sigma^{p+2q}$ is diffeomorphic to $S^p \times CP^q$, i.e., $\Sigma^{p+2q} \in I(S^p \times CP^q)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

Since the homotopy spheres $\Sigma^{s_{s+1}}$, $\Sigma^{s_{s+2}}$ do not belong to the inertia groups of spin-manifolds with $\pi_1 = \{1\}$ (see Lemma 9.1 of [9]), Corollary follows from Theorem 5.

Added in proof. After the preparation of the present paper, an article of H. T. Ku and M. C. Ku was published in which Theorem 1 was independently proved.

References

- D. W. Anderson, E. H. Brown, and F. P. Peterson: The structure of the spin cobordism ring. Ann. of Math., 86, 271-298 (1967).
- [2] M. F. Atiyah: Thom complexes. Proc. L. M. S., 11, 291-310 (1961).
- [3] M. F. Atiyah and H. Hirzebruch: Riemann-Roch theorems for differentiable manifolds. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 65, 276-281 (1959).
- W. Browder: Surgery and theory of differentiable transformation groups. Proc. of Conference on Transformation Groups, 1-46. Springer-Verlag, New York (1968).
- [5] G. Brumfiel: On the homotopy groups of BPL and PL/O. Thesis, M. I. T. (1967).
- [6] J. Eells and N. H. Kuiper: An invariant for certain smooth manifolds. Annali di Mat., 60, 93-110 (1962).
- [7] F. Hirzebruch: New Topological Methods in Algebraic Geometry, 3rd Edition. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer (1966).
- [8] W. C. Hsiang: A note on free differentiable actions of S¹ and S⁸ on homotopy spheres. Ann. of Math., 83, 266-272 (1966).
- [9] K. Kawakubo: Smooth structures on $S^p \times S^q$. Osaka J. Math., **6**, 165–196 (1969).
- [10] H. Maehara: On the differentiable involution on homotopy spheres (to appear).
- [11] J. W. Milnor: Remarks concerning spin manifolds. Differential and Combinatorial Topology, 55–62. Princeton University Press (1965).
- [12] S. Sasao: On J-groups of spaces which are like projective planes. Proc. Japan Acad., 42, 702-704 (1966).