

198. Two Spaces whose Product has Closed Projection Maps

By Masahiko ATSUJI

Department of Mathematics, Josai University, Saitama

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Dec. 12, 1969)

This note will give several equivalent properties with that of two spaces in the title and some properties of the spaces. This is also a preparation for the forthcoming paper [2].

Throughout this note, spaces are Hausdorff. We use notations in [1].

Definition 1 (cf. [4, p. 365]). A set A in $X \times Y$ is called to be *upper semi-continuous at* $a \in X$ if for any open set G in Y containing $A[a]$ there is $U \in \mathfrak{N}_a$ with $\bigcup_{x \in U} A[x] \subset G$. A is called *upper semi-continuous at* X if A is upper semi-continuous at every point of X .

It is easily seen that A is upper semi-continuous at X if and only if the set $\{x \in X; A[x] \subset G\}$ is open in X for every open G of Y .

Definition 2. Let a be a point of X . A space Y with the following property is called to be *upper compact at* a . Let Z be any subset of X with $a \in \bar{Z}$, and let $\{A_x; x \in Z\}$ be any family of non-empty subsets of Y , then $\limsup_a A_x \neq \emptyset$. Y is called *upper compact at* X when Y is upper compact at every point of X .

In this definition we can replace \bar{Z} by $\bar{Z} - Z$.

The following is seen easily.

Proposition 1. A closed subset of a space which is upper compact at $a \in X$ is upper compact at a .

Proposition 2. In order that Y is upper compact at $a \in X$, it is necessary and sufficient that for any subset Z of X with $a \in \bar{Z}$, and for any family $\{B_U; U \in \mathfrak{N}_a\}$ of subsets of Y such that

$$\bigcap_{U \ni x} \bar{B}_U \neq \emptyset$$

for every point $x \in Z$, it holds $\bigcap_{U \in \mathfrak{N}_a} \bar{B}_U \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. *Necessity.* Put

$$A_x = \bigcap_{U \ni x} \bar{B}_U$$

for $x \in Z$, then A_x is not empty, so

$$\emptyset \neq \bigcap_{U \in \mathfrak{N}_a} \bigcup_{x \in U} \bar{A}_x \subset \bigcap_{U \in \mathfrak{N}_a} \bar{B}_U.$$

Sufficiency. Let $\{A_x; x \in Z \subset X\}$, $a \in \bar{Z}$, be an arbitrary family of non-empty subsets of Y . Put

$$B_U = \bigcup_{x \in U} A_x,$$

then

$$\bigcap_{U \ni x} \overline{B_U} \supset A_x \neq \emptyset$$

for $x \in Z$, so we have

$$\bigcap_{U \in \mathfrak{N}_a} \overline{\bigcup_{x \in U} A_x} = \bigcap_{U \in \mathfrak{N}_a} \overline{B_U} \neq \emptyset.$$

Corollary. *In order that Y is upper compact at $a \in X$, it is necessary and sufficient that for any open cover $\mathfrak{G} = \{G_U; U \in \mathfrak{N}_a\}$ of Y and for any subset Z of X with $a \in \bar{Z}$, there is a point $x_0 \in Z$ such that $\{G_U; U \ni x_0\}$ is a subcover of \mathfrak{G} .*

Proposition 3. *The property that Y is upper compact at $a \in X$ is necessary and sufficient in order that any closed subset A of $X \times Y$ is upper semi-continuous at a .*

Proof. *Necessity.* Suppose that

$$(1) \quad \limsup_a A_x = \emptyset$$

for some family $\{A_x; x \in Z\}$ of non-empty A_x and for some $Z \subset X$ with $a \in \bar{Z}$. Then there is $U_0 \in \mathfrak{N}_a$ such that for some non-empty open $G \subset Y$,

$$(2) \quad A_x \not\subset G$$

for all $x \in U_0$. Take a point $y \in G$ and put

$$B = \bigcup_{x \in U_0} (x, A_x \cup \{y\}).$$

Then, for every $x \in U_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{B}[x] \supset B[x] &= A_x \cup \{y\}, \\ \bar{B}[x] &\not\subset G, \end{aligned}$$

namely, for any $U \in \mathfrak{N}_a$ there is $x \in U$ with

$$(3) \quad \bar{B}[x] \not\subset G.$$

On the other hand, from Proposition 2 in [1] and (1) we have

$$\bar{B}[a] = \limsup_a (A_x \cup \{y\}) = \{y\} \subset G,$$

which means together with (3) that \bar{B} is not upper semi-continuous at a .

Sufficiency. Suppose that there is a non-empty closed set $A \subset X \times Y$ which is not upper semi-continuous at a . (An empty set is upper semi-continuous.) There is an open set G including $A[a]$ such that for any $U \in \mathfrak{N}_a$ there is $x_U \in U$ with $A[x_U] \not\subset G$. Put $B_x = A[x] - G$ for $x \in X$, then

$$B = \bigcup_{x \in X} (x, B_x) = A - (X \times G)$$

is closed in $X \times Y$. $B[x_U] \neq \emptyset$ and $a \in \overline{\{x_U; U \in \mathfrak{N}_a\}}$. Since Y is upper compact at a , we have from Corollary 1 to Proposition 2 in [1]

$$\emptyset \neq \limsup_a B[x_U] \subset \limsup_a B[x] = B[a] = A[a] - G = \emptyset,$$

the contradiction.

Proposition 4. *Y is upper compact at X if and only if the projection map of $X \times Y$ onto X is closed.*

Proof. Suppose that Y is upper compact and A is a closed subset of $X \times Y$, and that there is a point

$$a \in \overline{\text{proj}_X A} - \text{proj}_X A.$$

From Corollary 1 to Proposition 2 in [1], we have $\limsup_a A[x] = A[a] = \emptyset$, which contradicts the upper compactness of Y .

Conversely, suppose that proj_X is closed. Consider any family $\{A_x; x \in Z \subset X\}$, $a \in \bar{Z}$, of non-empty $A_x \subset Y$, and put

$$A = \bigcup_{x \in Z} (x, A_x).$$

Since $Z \subset \text{proj}_X \bar{A}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a \in \bar{Z} \subset \overline{\text{proj}_X \bar{A}} &= \text{proj}_X \bar{A}, \\ \limsup_a A_x &= \bar{A}[a] \neq \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 2 in [1].

The following is essentially well known.

Corollary 1. *A space Y is compact if and only if Y is upper compact at any space.*

Definition 3. Let m be a cardinal number. A space is called *m-compact* if every open cover of power $\leq m$ of the space has a finite subcover.

Corollary 2 (cf. the footnote on p. 234 of [5]). *If a point a of X has the character $\leq m$, and if Y is m-compact, then Y is upper compact at a .*

Though the following is essentially known, we shall give a proof in our version.

Proposition 5. *If a non-discrete space X satisfies the first axiom of countability, then Y is upper compact at X if and only if Y is countably compact.*

Proof. From Corollary 2 above, it suffices to verify "only if" part. Suppose that a countable open cover $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, G_2, \dots\}$ of Y is given. Take a non-isolated point a in X , then we can select a sequence $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ of points of X which converges to a and a neighborhood base $\{U_1, U_2, \dots\}$ of a such that $x_i \notin U_n$ for all $i < n$. Considering $G_{U_i} = G_i$ and $Z = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$, and applying Corollary to Proposition 2, we have a finite subcover of \mathcal{G} .

Example. Let ω_1 be the first uncountable ordinal number, and denote by $W(\alpha)$ for an ordinal number α the space consisting of all ordinals less than α with the order topology.

(1) By Proposition 5, $W(\omega_1)$ is upper compact at itself.

(2) From the definition, $W(\omega_1)$ is not upper compact at $W(\omega_1 + 1)$, i.e., not upper compact at ω_1 .

Definition 4. Let m be a cardinal number. A space X is said to be *m-paracompact* if any open cover with power $\leq m$ of X admits a

locally finite open refinement. Let \mathfrak{n} be a cardinal number. A space X is said to be \mathfrak{n} -Lindelöf if any open cover of X includes a subcover of power $\leq \mathfrak{n}$.

Definition 5. A family $\{G_\lambda; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of open sets in a space is said an *open base for closed sets* if for any closed set A and any open set E containing A there is $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with $A \subset G_\lambda \subset E$.

Proposition 6. A space X is compact and metrizable if and only if it is regular and has an open base of power $\leq \aleph_0$ for closed sets.

Proof. Suppose that X is compact and metrizable, then it has a countable open base $\{E_n; n=1, 2, \dots\}$. Denote by Γ the totality of all the finite sets of natural numbers, and put

$$G_\gamma = \bigcup_{n \in \gamma} E_n$$

for $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then $\{G_\gamma; \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ is an open base for closed sets in X with $\|\Gamma\| \leq \aleph_0$, where $\|\Gamma\|$ is the power of Γ .

Conversely, suppose that a regular space X has an open base $\{G_n; n=1, 2, \dots\}$ for closed sets. Since it is an open base, we can consider that X is a metric space with a distance function d . If X is not compact, then there is a sequence $\{x_n; n=1, 2, \dots\}$ of points without accumulation point and a sequence $\{r_n; n=1, 2, \dots\}$ of positive numbers such that $U_n = \{x; d(x_n, x) < r_n\}$ does not include any x_i with $i \neq n$. For any set α of natural numbers there is $G_{n(\alpha)}$ such that

$$\{x_i; i \in \alpha\} \subset G_{n(\alpha)} \subset \bigcup_{i \in \alpha} U_i,$$

and $G_{n(\alpha)} \neq G_{n(\alpha')}$ for $\alpha \neq \alpha'$, which is impossible because of $2^{\aleph_0} > \aleph_0$.

Since an open base for closed sets is an open base for the space, we easily have

Proposition 7. If a space has an open base for closed sets of power $\leq m$, then it is m -Lindelöf.

Definition 6. Let m be a cardinal number, and A a subset of X . A point $a \in \bar{A}$ is said to be an m -point of A if for any family $\mathfrak{F} = \{U\}$ of neighborhoods of a with power $\leq m$, it holds

$$(1) \quad A \cap \left(\bigcap_{U \in \mathfrak{F}} U \right) \neq \emptyset.$$

If (1) holds for any A with $a \in \bar{A}$, then a is called an m -point.

In this definition we can replace \bar{A} by $\bar{A} - A$. A P -point in the sense of [3] is an \aleph_0 -point in our sense.

Proposition 8. An m -Lindelöf space Y is upper compact at an m -point $a \in X$.

Proof. Suppose that $\{A_x \subset Y; x \in Z \subset X\}$, $a \in \bar{Z}$, is given with

$$\bigcap_{x \in \mathfrak{N}_a} \bigcup_{x \in U} A_x = \emptyset.$$

$\{C(\bigcup_{x \in U} A_x); U \in \mathfrak{N}_a\}$ is an open cover of Y , so there is a subfamily \mathfrak{F} of \mathfrak{N}_a with power $\leq m$ such that $\{C(\bigcup_{x \in U} A_x); U \in \mathfrak{F}\}$ is a cover of Y . Since

a is an m -point, there is a point $z \in Z \cap \{\bigcap_{U \in \mathfrak{F}} U\}$, and

$$\emptyset \neq A_z \subset \bigcap_{U \in \mathfrak{F}} \overline{\bigcup_{x \in U} A_x} = \emptyset,$$

the contradiction.

References

- [1] M. Atsugi: Necessary and sufficient conditions for the normality of the product of two spaces, the same issue of these Proc.
- [2] —: Sufficient conditions for the normality of the product of two spaces, the same issue of these Proc.
- [3] L. Gillman and M. Jerison: Rings of Continuous Functions. Princeton (1960).
- [4] E. Michael: Continuous selections. I. Ann. of Math., **63**, 361–382 (1956).
- [5] K. Morita: Paracompactness and product spaces. Fund. Math., **50**, 223–236 (1962).