

3. On wM -Spaces. I

By Tadashi ISHII
Utsunomiya University

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Jan. 12, 1970)

1. Introduction. The purpose of the present paper is to introduce the notion of wM -spaces, which is a generalization of M -spaces introduced by K. Morita [6], and to show some properties of these spaces. For a sequence $\{\mathfrak{U}_n\}$ of open (or closed) coverings of a topological space X , we shall consider the following two conditions:

- (M₁) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{If } \{K_n\} \text{ is a decreasing sequence of non-empty subsets of } X \text{ such} \\ \text{that } K_n \subset \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{U}_n) \text{ for each } n \text{ and for some point } x_0 \text{ of } X, \text{ then} \\ \bigcap \bar{K}_n \neq \emptyset. \end{array} \right.$
- (M₂) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{If } \{K_n\} \text{ is a decreasing sequence of non-empty subsets of } X \text{ such} \\ \text{that } K_n \subset \text{St}^2(x_0, \mathfrak{U}_n) \text{ for each } n \text{ and for some point } x_0 \text{ of } X, \text{ then} \\ \bigcap \bar{K}_n \neq \emptyset. \end{array} \right.$ ¹⁾

A space X is an M -space if there exists a normal sequence $\{\mathfrak{U}_n\}$ of open coverings of X satisfying (M₁). A space X is an M^* -space (M^\sharp -space) if there exists a sequence $\{\mathfrak{F}_n\}$ of locally finite (closure preserving) closed coverings of X satisfying (M₁) (T. Ishii [2], F. Siwiec and J. Nagata [8]). A space X is a wA -space if there exists a sequence $\{\mathfrak{U}_n\}$ of open coverings of X satisfying (M₁) (C. Borges [1]). As is shown by K. Morita [7], there exists an M^* -space which is locally compact Hausdorff but not an M -space. Further, in our previous paper [3], we proved that a normal space X is an M -space if and only if it is an M^* -space.

Now we shall define wM -spaces including all M -spaces, M^* -spaces and M^\sharp -spaces.

Definition. A space X is a wM -space if there exists a sequence $\{\mathfrak{U}_n\}$ of open coverings of X satisfying (M₂).

In the above definition, we may assume without loss of generality that \mathfrak{U}_{n+1} refines \mathfrak{U}_n for each n .

As a remarkable property of a wM -space, we can prove that every normal wM -space is strongly normal, that is, collectionwise normal and countably paracompact (Theorem 2.4). This result plays an important role in metrizability of wM -spaces in the next paper. Throughout this paper we assume at least T_1 for every topological spaces unless otherwise specified.

1) For each positive integer k , $\text{St}^k(x_0, \mathfrak{U}_n)$ denotes the iterated star of a point x_0 in each covering \mathfrak{U}_n .

We express our hearty thanks to Prof. K. Morita for his kind advices.

2. Some properties of wM -spaces.

Theorem 2.1. *For a space X , the following conditions are equivalent.*

(1) X is a wM -space with a sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$ of open coverings of X satisfying (M_2) .

(2) There exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$ of open coverings of X such that, for any locally finite sequence $\{A_n\}$ of subsets of X , $\{\text{St}(A_n, \mathcal{U}_n) \mid n=1, 2, \dots\}$ is locally finite in X .

(3) There exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$ of open coverings of X such that, for any discrete sequence $\{x_n\}$ of points of X , $\{\text{St}(x_n, \mathcal{U}_n) \mid n=1, 2, \dots\}$ is locally finite in X .

Proof. (1) \rightarrow (2). Let X be a wM -space with a decreasing sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$ of open coverings of X satisfying (M_2) . Then we can prove that, for any locally finite sequence $\{A_n\}$ of subsets of X , $\{\text{St}(A_n, \mathcal{U}_n)\}$ is locally finite in X . Indeed, if not, then for some locally finite sequence $\{A_n\}$ of subsets of X , $\{\text{St}(A_n, \mathcal{U}_n)\}$ is not locally finite in X . Hence there exists a point x_0 such that any neighborhood of x_0 intersects infinitely many elements of $\{\text{St}(A_n, \mathcal{U}_n)\}$. Therefore, for each n , we can select some positive integer $i(n)$ such that $\text{St}(x_0, \mathcal{U}_n) \cap \text{St}(A_{i(n)}, \mathcal{U}_{i(n)}) \neq \emptyset$, $n < i(n)$. Let $y_{i(n)} \in \text{St}(x_0, \mathcal{U}_n) \cap \text{St}(A_{i(n)}, \mathcal{U}_{i(n)})$. Then the sequence $\{y_{i(n)}\}$ has an accumulation point y_0 in X , and hence we can select a subsequence $\{y_{j(n)}\}$ of $\{y_{i(n)}\}$ such that $y_{j(n)} \in \text{St}(y_0, \mathcal{U}_n)$, $i(n) < j(n)$. Since $y_{j(n)} \in \text{St}(A_{j(n)}, \mathcal{U}_{j(n)}) \subset \text{St}(A_{j(n)}, \mathcal{U}_n)$, we have $A_{j(n)} \cap \text{St}^2(y_0, \mathcal{U}_n) \neq \emptyset$. Let $x_{j(n)} \in A_{j(n)} \cap \text{St}^2(y_0, \mathcal{U}_n)$. Then the sequence $\{x_{j(n)}\}$ has an accumulation point in X by (M_2) , while it has no accumulation point in X by local finiteness of $\{A_{j(n)}\}$. This is a contradiction. Hence (2) holds.

(2) \rightarrow (3). This implication is obvious.

(3) \rightarrow (1). Let $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$ be a sequence of open coverings of X such that, for any discrete sequence $\{x_n\}$ of points of X , $\{\text{St}(x_n, \mathcal{U}_n)\}$ is locally finite in X . First, we prove that $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$ satisfies (M_1) . To prove this, assume to be contrary. Then there exists a discrete sequence $\{x_n\}$ of points of X such that $x_n \in \text{St}(x_0, \mathcal{U}_n)$ for each n and for some point x_0 of X . Since $x_0 \in \text{St}(x_n, \mathcal{U}_n)$ for each n , $\{\text{St}(x_n, \mathcal{U}_n)\}$ is not locally finite in X , while it is locally finite in X by our assumption. This is a contradiction. Hence $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$ satisfies (M_1) . Next, we prove that $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$ satisfies (M_2) . To prove this, assume to be contrary. Then there exists a discrete sequence $\{x_n\}$ of points of X such that $x_n \in \text{St}^2(x_0, \mathcal{U}_n)$ for each n and for some point x_0 of X . Since $\text{St}(x_n, \mathcal{U}_n) \cap \text{St}(x_0, \mathcal{U}_n) \neq \emptyset$, we can select a point $y_n \in \text{St}(x_n, \mathcal{U}_n) \cap \text{St}(x_0, \mathcal{U}_n)$ for each n . Then the sequence

$\{y_n\}$ has an accumulation point in X by (M_1) , while it has no accumulation point in X , because $\{\text{St}(x_n, \mathfrak{A}_n)\}$ is locally finite in X . This is a contradiction. Hence (1) holds. Thus we complete the proof.

As the other characterizations of wM -spaces, we can prove the following

Theorem 2.2. *For a space X , the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) X is a wM -space.
 (2) Each point x of X has a sequence $\{U_n(x)\}$ of symmetric neighborhoods (i.e., $y \in U_n(x)$ implies $x \in U_n(y)$) satisfying the condition $(*)$ below:
 $(*)$ $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{If } \{x_n\} \text{ is a sequence of points of } X \text{ such that } x_n \in U_n^2(x_0) \text{ for each} \\ n \text{ and for some point } x_0 \text{ of } X, \text{ then the sequence } \{x_n\} \text{ has an ac-} \\ \text{cumulation point in } X, \text{ where } U_n^2(x_0) = \cup \{U_n(y) \mid y \in U_n(x_0)\}. \end{array} \right.$
 (3) Each point x of X has a sequence $\{U_n(x)\}$ of symmetric neighborhoods such that, for any locally finite sequence $\{A_n\}$ of subsets of X , $\{U_n(A_n) \mid n=1, 2, \dots\}$ is locally finite in X , where $U_n(A_n) = \cup \{U_n(y) \mid y \in A_n\}$.
 (4) Each point x of X has a sequence $\{U_n(x)\}$ of symmetric neighborhoods such that, for any discrete sequence $\{x_n\}$ of points of X , $\{U_n(x_n) \mid n=1, 2, \dots\}$ is locally finite in X .

Proof. (1) \rightarrow (2). Let X be a wM -space with a sequence $\{\mathfrak{A}_n\}$ of open coverings of X satisfying (M_2) , and put $U_n(x) = \text{St}(x, \mathfrak{A}_n)$ for each point x of X and for each n . Then $\{U_n(x) \mid n=1, 2, \dots\}$ is a sequence of symmetric neighborhoods of x and satisfies $(*)$, because $U_n^2(x) = \text{St}^2(x, \mathfrak{A}_n)$.

(2) \rightarrow (3). This implication can be proved by the similar way as in the proof of the implication (1) \rightarrow (2) in Theorem 2.1.

(3) \rightarrow (4). This implication is obvious.

(4) \rightarrow (1). Suppose that each point x of X has a sequence $\{U_n(x)\}$ of symmetric neighborhoods such that, for any discrete sequence $\{x_n\}$ of points of X , $\{U_n(x_n)\}$ is locally finite in X . Then it is easily verified that any sequence $\{x_n\}$ of points of X such that $x_n \in U_n(x_0)$ for some point x_0 of X and for each n has an accumulation point in X . Further, it is proved by induction for k that any sequence $\{x_n\}$ of points of X such that $x_n \in U_n^k(x_0)$ for some point x_0 of X and for each n has an accumulation point in X .²⁾ Now let us put $\mathfrak{A}_n = \{\text{Int } U_n(x) \mid x \in X\}$ for $n=1, 2, \dots$. Then $\{\mathfrak{A}_n\}$ satisfies (M_2) , because $\text{St}^2(x, \mathfrak{A}_n) \subset U_n^4(x)$. Hence (1) holds. Thus we complete the proof.

Theorem 2.3. *Every $M^{\#}$ -space is a wM -space.*

2) For a point x_0 of X and for each n , the sets $U_n^k(x_0)$, $k=2, 3, \dots$, are defined inductively, i.e., $U_n^k(x_0) = \cup \{U_n(y) \mid y \in U_n^{k-1}(x_0)\}$.

Proof. Let X be an $M^\#$ -space with a sequence $\{\mathfrak{F}_n\}$ of closure preserving closed coverings of X satisfying (M_1) , where we may assume without loss of generality that $\{\mathfrak{F}_n\}$ is decreasing. Then for each $k \geq 2$ it is easily proved that, if $\{K_n\}$ is a decreasing sequence of non-empty subsets of X such that $K_n \subset \text{St}^k(x_0, \mathfrak{F}_n)$ for each n and for some point x_0 of X , then $\bigcap \bar{K}_n \neq \emptyset$. Let us put $\mathfrak{A}_n = \{\text{Int}(\text{St}(x, \mathfrak{F}_n)) \mid x \in X\}$ for each n . Then $\{\mathfrak{A}_n\}$ is a sequence of open coverings of X and satisfies (M_2) , because $\text{St}^2(x, \mathfrak{A}_n) \subset \text{St}^4(x, \mathfrak{F}_n)$. Hence X is a wM -space. Thus we complete the proof.

In view of Theorem 2.3, all M - and M^* -spaces are also wM -spaces.

Now we shall show by an example that a $w\Delta$ -space is not a wM -space in general, that is, the condition (M_1) does not imply the condition (M_2) .

Example. (A $w\Delta$ -space which is not a wM -space). Let R be the set of ordinals not greater than the first infinite ordinal ω , and let S be the set of ordinals not greater than the first uncountable ordinal Ω , each with the order topology. If we put $X = R \times S - \{(\omega, \Omega)\}$, then the space X is a locally compact Hausdorff $w\Delta$ -space but is not a wM -space. Indeed, if we put

$$\mathfrak{A}_n = \{\{i\} \times S, \bigcup_{n \leq j < \omega} (\{j\} \times (S - \{\Omega\})) \mid 1 \leq i < \omega\}$$

for each n , then $\{\mathfrak{A}_n\}$ satisfies (M_1) . But, if we put $x_n = (n, \Omega)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then there is no sequence $\{\mathfrak{B}_n\}$ of open coverings of X such that $\{\text{St}(x_n, \mathfrak{B}_n)\}$ is locally finite in X , and hence X is not a wM -space. Finally, it is obvious that X is a locally compact Hausdorff space.

Theorem 2.4. *Every normal wM -space is strongly normal, that is, collectionwise normal and countably paracompact.*

To prove Theorem 2.4, we use the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.5. *Let X be a wM -space with a sequence $\{\mathfrak{A}_n\}$ of open coverings of X satisfying (M_2) , and let k be a positive integer such that $k \geq 3$. If $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence of points of X such that $x_n \in \text{St}^k(x_0, \mathfrak{A}_n)$ for each n and for some point x_0 of X , then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ has an accumulation point in X .*

This lemma immediately follows from (3) in Theorem 2.1 by induction for k .

Lemma 2.6. *Every wM -space is countably paracompact.*

Proof. Let X be a wM -space with a decreasing sequence $\{\mathfrak{A}_n\}$ of open coverings of X satisfying (M_2) , and let $\{G_n\}$ be any countable open covering of X such that $G_n \subset G_{n+1}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Let us put

$$F_n = X - \text{St}^2(X - G_n, \mathfrak{A}_n), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then $X = \bigcup F_n$. Indeed, if not, then there exists a point x_0 of X such that $x_0 \in X - \bigcup F_n = \bigcap \text{St}^2(X - G_n, \mathfrak{A}_n)$, and hence $\text{St}^2(x_0, \mathfrak{A}_n) \cap (X - G_n)$

$\neq \emptyset$ for $n=1, 2, \dots$. This shows that $\cap(X - G_n) \neq \emptyset$ by (M_2) , which is a contradiction. Hence $X = \cup F_n$. Now let us put

$$H_n = X - \overline{\text{St}(X - G_n, \mathfrak{A}_n)}, \quad n=1, 2, \dots$$

Then clearly $F_n \subset H_n$ for each n , and hence $X = \cup H_n$. Further it holds that $\bar{H}_n \subset G_n$ for each n . Consequently, by a theorem of F. Ishikawa [4], X is countably paracompact. Thus we complete the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Let X be a normal wM -space with a decreasing sequence $\{\mathfrak{A}_n\}$ of open coverings of X satisfying (M_2) . As is proved by M. Katetov [5], a normal space is strongly normal if and only if for every locally finite collection $\{F_\lambda\}$ of closed subsets of X there exists a locally finite collection $\{H_\lambda\}$ of open subsets of X such that $F_\lambda \subset H_\lambda$ for each λ . To apply this theorem to our case, let $\{F_\lambda\}$ be a locally finite collection of closed subsets of X . Then it is easily proved by (M_2) that for each point x of X there exists some \mathfrak{A}_n such that $\{\lambda | \text{St}^2(x, \mathfrak{A}_n) \cap F_\lambda \neq \emptyset\}$ is a finite set. For each n , let us denote by A_n the subset of X consisting of points x of X such that $\{\lambda | \text{St}^2(x, \mathfrak{A}_n) \cap F_\lambda \neq \emptyset\}$ is a finite set, and put $B_n = \text{Int } A_n$. Then clearly $B_n \subset B_{n+1}$ for each n , and further it is proved that $\{B_n\}$ is an open covering of X . Indeed, let $x_0 \in X$. Then, in view of Lemma 2.5, there exists some \mathfrak{A}_n such that $\{\lambda | \text{St}^3(x_0, \mathfrak{A}_n) \cap F_\lambda \neq \emptyset\}$ is a finite set. Therefore, for each point x of $\text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{A}_n)$, $\{\lambda | \text{St}^2(x, \mathfrak{A}_n) \cap F_\lambda \neq \emptyset\}$ is a finite set. This shows that $\text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{A}_n) \subset A_n$, i.e., $x_0 \in B_n = \text{Int } A_n$, and hence $X = \cup B_n$. Now, since X is countably paracompact by Lemma 2.6, there exists a locally finite open refinement $\{G_n\}$ of $\{B_n\}$ such that $\bar{G}_n \subset B_n$ for each n . Let us put $G_{\lambda n} = \text{St}(F_\lambda, \mathfrak{A}_n) \cap G_n$ and $H_\lambda = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{\lambda n}$. Then clearly $F_\lambda \subset H_\lambda$ for each λ , and further $\{H_\lambda\}$ is a locally finite collection of open subsets of X . Indeed, let $x_0 \in X$, and $U(x_0) = X - \cup\{\bar{G}_n | x_0 \notin \bar{G}_n\}$. Since $\{\bar{G}_n | n=1, 2, \dots\}$ is locally finite in X , $U(x_0)$ is an open neighborhood of x_0 . Let $\{G_{n(i)} | i=1, \dots, k\}$ be all of the elements of $\{G_n\}$ each closure of which contains x_0 . Then from $x_0 \in \bar{G}_{n(i)} \subset B_{n(i)}$, $i=1, \dots, k$, it follows that $\{\lambda | \text{St}^2(x_0, \mathfrak{A}_{n(i)}) \cap F_\lambda \neq \emptyset\}$ is a finite set for $i=1, \dots, k$. This implies that $\{\lambda | \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{A}_{n(i)}) \cap \text{St}(F_\lambda, \mathfrak{A}_{n(i)}) \neq \emptyset\}$ is a finite set for $i=1, \dots, k$. Hence $\{\lambda | \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{A}_{n(i)}) \cap G_{\lambda n(i)} \neq \emptyset\}$ is also a finite set for each $i \leq k$. Let us put $m = \text{Max}\{n(1), \dots, n(k)\}$, $V(x_0) = \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{A}_m) \cap U(x_0)$, $A_i = \{\lambda | V(x_0) \cap G_{\lambda n(i)} \neq \emptyset\}$ and $\Gamma = \bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i$. Then A_i is a finite set for each $i \leq k$, and hence so is Γ . Further $V(x_0)$ intersects only elements H_λ such that $\lambda \in \Gamma$. Consequently $\{H_\lambda\}$ is locally finite in X . Thus we complete the proof.

In spite of validity of Theorem 2.4, we don't know whether every normal wM -space is an M -space or not.

References

- [1] Carlos J. R. Borges: On metrizable of topological spaces. *Canadian J. Math.*, **20**, 795-804 (1968).
- [2] T. Ishii: On closed mappings and M -spaces. I. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **43**, 752-756 (1967).
- [3] —: On M - and M^* -spaces. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **44**, 1028-1030 (1968).
- [4] F. Ishikawa: On countably paracompact spaces. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **31**, 686-689 (1955).
- [5] M. Katetov: On expansion of locally finite coverings. *Colloq. Math.*, **6**, 145-151 (1958).
- [6] K. Morita: Products of normal spaces with metric spaces. *Math. Ann.*, **154**, 365-382 (1964).
- [7] —: Some properties of M -spaces. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **43**, 869-872 (1967).
- [8] F. Siwiec and J. Nagata: A note on nets and metrization. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **44**, 623-627 (1968).