

### 53. Properties of Ergodic Affine Transformations of Locally Compact Groups. I

By Ryotaro SATO

Department of Mathematics, Josai University, Saitama

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., March 12, 1970)

**1. Introduction.** Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. An affine transformation  $S$  of  $G$  is a one-to-one continuous transformation of  $G$  onto itself which is of the form  $S(x) = a \cdot T(x)$ , where  $a$  is an element of  $G$  and  $T$  is a continuous isomorphism of  $G$  onto itself. In his book, Lectures on Ergodic Theory [1], Halmos has raised a question: Can an automorphism of a locally compact but non-compact group be an ergodic measure preserving transformation? Recently Rajagopalan and Schreiber [3] have answered his question negatively, i.e., if  $G$  is a locally compact group which has an ergodic continuous automorphism with respect to a Haar measure on  $G$  then  $G$  is compact.

Then the following question has become of interest to the author: Can an affine transformation of a locally compact but non-compact group be an ergodic left Haar measure preserving transformation?

The aim of this paper is to study some properties of an ergodic affine transformation of a locally compact group and to give an answer to the above question. We shall prove the followings below:

(1) An affine transformation  $S$  of a locally compact group  $G$  which is not *bi*-continuous can not be ergodic with respect to a left Haar measure on  $G$ .

(2) An affine transformation  $S$  of a locally compact group  $G$  which is not left Haar measure preserving can not be ergodic with respect to a left Haar measure on  $G$ .

(3) If  $G$  is a locally compact totally disconnected non-discrete group which has an ergodic affine transformation  $S$  with respect to a left Haar measure on  $G$  then  $G$  is compact.

#### 2. Properties of ergodic affine transformations.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group with a left Haar measure  $\mu$ . Suppose  $S(x) = a \cdot T(x)$  is an affine transformation of  $G$  which is not *bi*-continuous. Then  $S$  is not ergodic with respect to  $\mu$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $S$  is not *bi*-continuous,  $T$  is not *bi*-continuous. Thus there exists an open  $\sigma$ -compact subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  such that  $T(H) \subset H$  and  $T^{-1}(H)$  is not  $\sigma$ -compact by [2, Lemma 1].

*Case I.* Let there exist a positive integer  $n$  for which  $S^{-n}(H) \supset H$ . Let  $p$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $S^{-p}(H) \supset H$ . Then it

is easy to see that if  $m$  is a positive integer such that  $S^{-m}(H) \supset H$  then  $m = kp$  for some positive integer  $k$ . Since  $S^{-p}(H)$  is not  $\sigma$ -compact, there exists an element  $x_1$  in  $S^{-p}(H)$  such that  $(x_1H) \cap H = \phi$ . Since  $x_1H$  is  $\sigma$ -compact and  $S$  is continuous,  $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} S^n(x_1H)$  is  $\sigma$ -compact. So there exists an element  $x_2$  in  $S^{-p}(H)$  such that  $(x_2H) \cap H = (x_2H) \cap \left[ \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} S^n(x_1H) \right] = \phi$ . Since  $x_1H$  is open,  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S^{-n}(x_1H)$  is open. Hence the set

$$E = \bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} S^n(x_1H)$$

is a Borel set and clearly  $S^{-1}(E) = E$  and  $\mu(E) > 0$  since  $E$  has non-void interior.

If  $(x_2H) \cap E \neq \phi$  then there exists a positive integer  $n$  for which  $(x_2H) \cap S^{-n}(x_1H) \neq \phi$ . So  $S^{-p}(H) \cap S^{-(n+p)}(H) \neq \phi$ , hence  $H \subset S^{-p}(H) \subset S^{-(n+p)}(H)$ , thus  $n+p = kp$  for some  $k \geq 2$ . Since this is impossible from the choice of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  and the fact that  $S^{-p}(H) \supset H$ ,  $x_2H$  and  $E$  are disjoint. Therefore  $\mu(G \cap E^c) \geq \mu(x_2H) > 0$ .

*Case II.* Let  $S^{-n}(H) \cap H = \phi$  for all positive integers  $n$ . Then  $S^m(H) \cap S^n(H) = \phi$  for  $m \neq n$ . Since  $S^{-1}(H)$  is not  $\sigma$ -compact, there exist two elements  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in  $S^{-1}(H)$  such that  $(x_1H) \cap (x_2H) = \phi$ . The set

$$F = \bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} S^n(x_1H)$$

is a Borel set such that  $S^{-1}(F) = F$ ,  $\mu(F) > 0$  and  $\mu(G \cap F^c) \geq \mu(x_2H) > 0$ .

The proof is complete.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group with a left Haar measure  $\mu$ . Suppose  $S(x) = a \cdot T(x)$  is an affine transformation of  $G$  which is not  $\mu$  measure preserving. Then  $S$  is not ergodic with respect to  $\mu$ .*

**Proof.** By Theorem 1, we may assume that  $S$  is *bi*-continuous. So  $T$  is *bi*-continuous, whence there exists a constant  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\mu(T(E)) = \delta \mu(E)$  for all Borel sets  $E$  by uniqueness of left Haar measure. Therefore  $\mu(S(E)) = \mu(a \cdot T(E)) = \mu(T(E)) = \delta \mu(E)$ . Since  $S^{-1}(x) = T^{-1}(a^{-1}) \cdot T^{-1}(x)$ , we have  $\mu(S^{-1}(E)) = \mu(T^{-1}(E)) = \delta^{-1} \mu(E)$ . Since  $S$  is not  $\mu$  measure preserving, it follows  $\delta \neq 1$ .

*Case I.* Let  $\delta > 1$ . Since  $S$  is not  $\mu$  measure preserving,  $G$  can not be compact or discrete. Thus for any positive number  $\varepsilon$  there exists a nonvoid open set  $U$  which satisfies  $\mu(U) < \varepsilon$ . Now let  $V$  be a compact neighborhood of the identity  $e$  of  $G$ . Let  $W = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} S^{-n}(V)$ .

Then

$$\mu(W) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu(S^{-n}(V)) = \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta^{-n} \right) \mu(V) = \frac{\delta}{\delta - 1} \mu(V) < \infty.$$

Clearly  $S(W) \supset W$ , so we have  $S^n(G \cap W^c) \cap W = \emptyset$  for  $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Since  $W$  is  $\sigma$ -compact, there exists a  $\sigma$ -compact open subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  such that  $W \subset H$ . Therefore there exists a Borel set  $E$  such that

$$E \subset G \cap W^c \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \mu(E) < \frac{\delta - 1}{2\delta} \mu(V).$$

Then

$$\mu \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S^{-n}(E) \right) < \mu(V)/2.$$

Let  $F = \bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} S^n(E)$ . Then  $S^{-1}(F) = F$ ,  $\mu(F) > 0$  and  $\mu(G \cap F^c) > 0$ .

So  $S$  is not ergodic with respect to  $\mu$ .

*Case II.* Let  $\delta < 1$ . Then  $S^{-1}(x) = T^{-1}(a^{-1}) \cdot T^{-1}(x)$  is not ergodic by Case I, whence  $S$  is not ergodic.

The proof is complete.

By Theorems 1 and 2, an affine transformation  $S(x) = a \cdot T(x)$  of a locally compact group  $G$  which is ergodic with respect to a left Haar measure  $\mu$  on  $G$  is *bi*-continuous and  $\mu$  measure preserving. Thus an ergodic  $S$  induces a unitary operator  $U(S)$  of  $L^2(G, \mu)$  as follows

$$(U(S)f)(x) = f(S(x)) \quad \text{for } f \in L^2(G, \mu).$$

Let for  $y$  in  $G$ ,  $V(y)$  be the unitary operator of  $L^2(G, \mu)$  which is defined by

$$(V(y)f)(x) = f(yx) \quad \text{for } f \in L^2(G, \mu).$$

The following two lemmas are contained in [5].

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $S(x) = a \cdot T(x)$  be an affine transformation of a locally compact group  $G$  which is ergodic with respect to a left Haar measure  $\mu$  on  $G$ . Then*

$$V(S^n(y)) = [U(T)]^{-n} V(y) [U(S)]^n$$

for every integer  $n$  and every  $y$  in  $G$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $H$  be a complex Hilbert space, let  $A$  be a bounded operator and  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  unitary operators on  $H$ . Then for given  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  in  $H$ , the sequence  $\langle AU_1^n(\xi), U_2^n(\eta) \rangle_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of Fourier-Stieltjes coefficients of some complex regular measure on the torus  $K = \{\exp(i\theta) \mid 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi\}$ .*

**Theorem 3.** *If  $G$  is a locally compact totally disconnected non-discrete group which has an ergodic affine transformation  $S(x) = a \cdot T(x)$  with respect to a left Haar measure  $\mu$  on  $G$  then  $G$  is compact.*

**Proof.** Let  $N$  be a compact open subgroup of  $G$  and let  $\mu$  be normalized so that  $\mu(N) = 1$ . Let  $U(S)$  and  $V(y)$  be as above. For  $y$  in  $G$  and  $n$  an integer we define

$$\begin{aligned} a_n(y) &= \langle V(y)[U(S)]^n \chi_N, [U(T)]^n \chi_N \rangle \\ &= \langle [U(T)]^{-n} V(y) [U(S)]^n \chi_N, \chi_N \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\chi_N$  is the indicator function of  $N$ . Then from Lemma 1 we observe

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_n(y) &= \langle V(S^n(y))\chi_N, \chi_N \rangle \\
 &= \int_G \chi_N(S^n(y)x)\chi_N(x) d\mu(x) \\
 &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y \in S^{-n}(N) \\ 0 & \text{if } y \notin S^{-n}(N). \end{cases} \tag{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$a_n(S(y)) = \langle V(S^{n+1}(y))\chi_N, \chi_N \rangle = a_{n+1}(y).$$

By Lemma 2 and (1), the sequence  $\langle a_n(y) \rangle_{n=-\infty}^\infty$  is a sequence of Fourier-Stieltjes coefficients of some idempotent measure on the torus  $K$ . Hence the sequence  $\langle a_n(y) \rangle_{n=-\infty}^\infty$  differs from a periodic sequence at most finitely many places (see for example [4, 3.1.6]). So the set  $\mathfrak{M}$  of all sequences  $\langle a_n \rangle_{n=-\infty}^\infty$  which is of the form  $\langle a_n \rangle_{n=-\infty}^\infty = \langle a_n(y) \rangle_{n=-\infty}^\infty$  for some  $y$  in  $G$  is countable. For  $\langle a_n \rangle_{n=-\infty}^\infty \in \mathfrak{M}$ , let  $M(\langle a_n \rangle_{n=-\infty}^\infty)$  be the set defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 M(\langle a_n \rangle_{n=-\infty}^\infty) &= \{y \in G \mid \langle a_n \rangle_{n=-\infty}^\infty = \langle a_n(y) \rangle_{n=-\infty}^\infty\} \\
 &= \bigcap_{n=-\infty}^\infty S^{-n}(N^{\varepsilon_n}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $N^{\varepsilon_n} = N$  if  $\varepsilon_n = 1$  and  $N^{\varepsilon_n} = G \cap N^c$  if  $\varepsilon_n = -1$ . Since  $N$  is open and closed,  $M(\langle a_n \rangle_{n=-\infty}^\infty)$  is an intersection of open and closed sets, so closed. By the Baire category theorem, there exists at least one sequence  $\langle a_n \rangle_{n=-\infty}^\infty$  in  $\mathfrak{M}$  such that  $M(\langle a_n \rangle_{n=-\infty}^\infty)$  has non-void interior. Then the set

$$\begin{aligned}
 M^*(\langle a_n \rangle_{n=-\infty}^\infty) &= \bigcup_{j=-\infty}^\infty S^j(M(\langle a_n \rangle_{n=-\infty}^\infty)) \\
 &= \{y \in G \mid \langle a_n \rangle_{n=-\infty}^\infty = \langle a_{n+k}(y) \rangle_{n=-\infty}^\infty \text{ for some integer } k\}
 \end{aligned}$$

must be almost all of  $G$  since  $S$  is ergodic.

Let  $a_n = 0$  for all but finitely many  $n$ . Let  $k = 1 + \max\{|m - n| \mid a_m = a_n = 1\}$ . Since  $G$  is non-discrete,  $\mu$  is not atomic. Thus for  $\varepsilon = 1/(2k + 1)$ , there exists a neighborhood  $W$  of the identity  $e$  of  $G$  such that  $\mu(W) < \varepsilon$  and  $W \subset N$ . Since  $\{S^j(W) \mid j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$  covers almost all of  $N$  and  $S$  is  $\mu$  measure preserving, it follows that  $\{j \mid S^j(W) \cap N \neq \phi\}$  contains at least  $(2k + 1)$  integers. So there exists an integer  $i$  such that  $S^i(W) \cap N \neq \phi$  and  $|i| \geq k$ . Hence

$$N \cap S^{-i}(N) \supset W \cap S^{-i}(N) \neq \phi$$

and

$$M^*(\langle a_n \rangle_{n=-\infty}^\infty) \cap (N \cap S^{-i}(N)) = \phi.$$

This is impossible, thus  $a_n = 1$  for infinitely many  $n$ . For such an essentially periodic sequence there exists a positive integer  $p$  such that in every interval of length  $p$  there exists at least one integer  $n$  such that  $a_n = 1$ , and so

$$M^*(\langle a_n \rangle_{n=-\infty}^\infty) \subset N \cup S(N) \cup \dots \cup S^p(N).$$

This establishes Theorem 3.

## References

- [1] P. R. Halmos: Lectures on Ergodic Theory. Tokyo (1956).
- [2] M. Rajagopalan: Ergodic properties of automorphisms of a locally compact group. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **17**, 372–376 (1966).
- [3] M. Rajagopalan and B. Schreiber: Ergodic properties of automorphisms of a locally compact group. II (to appear).
- [4] W. Rudin: Fourier Analysis on Groups. New York (1962).
- [5] R. Sato: Continuous affine transformations of locally compact totally disconnected groups. Proc. Japan Acad., **46**, 143–146 (1970).