

### 53. On a Theorem of I. Glicksberg

By JUNZO WADA

Waseda University, Tokyo

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., April 12, 1972)

§1. Let  $A$  be a function algebra on a compact Hausdorff space  $X$ . Some time ago Hoffman and Wermer [4] showed that the set of real parts  $\text{Re } A$  of  $A$  cannot be closed in  $C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$  unless  $A = C(X)$ . As a consequence of the Hoffman-Wermer result, Glicksberg [3] has recently proved the following theorem: Let  $A$  be a function algebra on a compact metric space  $X$  and  $I$  be a closed ideal in  $A$ . If  $A + \bar{I}$  is a closed, then  $\bar{I} = I$ , where  $\bar{I}$  denotes the conjugate of  $I$ , i.e.,  $\bar{I} = \{\bar{f}; f \in I\}$ . The main purpose of this paper is to give some extensions of the Glicksberg theorem in the case where  $X$  is any compact Hausdorff space.

By a function algebra on  $X$  we denote a closed subalgebra in  $C(X)$  containing constant functions and separating points in  $X$ , where  $C(X)$  is the Banach algebra of all complex-valued continuous functions on  $X$  with the uniform norm. Throughout this paper  $X$  will indicate a compact Hausdorff space.

Our results are following

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $A$  be a function algebra on a compact Hausdorff space  $X$ . Let  $N$  be a closed linear subspace in  $C(X)$  and  $I$  be a closed ideal in  $A$  with  $A + \bar{I} \supset N \supset I$ . If  $N + \bar{I}$  is closed, then  $\bar{I} = I$ .*

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $A$  be a function algebra on  $X$ . Let  $N$  be a closed linear subspace in  $A$ ,  $I$  be a closed ideal in  $A$  and  $N \cap I$  be an ideal in  $A$ . If  $N + \bar{I}$  is closed, then  $\overline{N \cap I} = N \cap I$ .*

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose  $A$  is a function algebra on  $X$  and  $I, J$  are any two closed ideals in  $A$ . Then  $I + \bar{J}$  is closed if and only if  $\overline{I \cap J} = I \cap J$ .*

§2. The following lemma is basic in our forthcoming proofs of these theorems.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $A$  be a function algebra on  $X$ . Let  $N$  be a closed linear subspace in  $C(X)$  and  $I$  be a closed ideal in  $A$ . If  $N + \bar{I}$  is closed, there is  $c > 0$  such that  $c \|g + (N \cap \bar{I})\| \leq \| \text{Re } g \|$  for any  $g \in N \cap I$ , where  $\text{Re } g$  denotes the real part of  $g$  and  $\|f + (N \cap \bar{I})\|$  is the norm of the factor space  $(N + \bar{I}) / (N \cap \bar{I})$ , i.e.,  $\|f + (N \cap \bar{I})\| = \inf_{h \in N \cap \bar{I}} \|f + h\|$ .*

**Proof.** We note first that the mapping  $\Phi: f + \bar{g} + (N \cap \bar{I}) \rightarrow f + (N \cap \bar{I})$  ( $f \in N, g \in I$ ) is well-defined as a linear mapping from the factor space  $(N + \bar{I}) / (N \cap \bar{I})$  to  $N / (N \cap \bar{I})$ . For, if  $(f_1 + \bar{g}_1) - (f_2 + \bar{g}_2) \in N \cap \bar{I}$

$(f_1, f_2 \in N, g_1, g_2 \in I)$ , then  $f_1 - f_2 \in \bar{I}$ . On the other hand,  $f_1 - f_2 \in N$  since  $f_1, f_2 \in N$ , and so  $f_1 - f_2 \in N \cap \bar{I}$ . Thus  $\Phi$  is well-defined. Next we shall prove that  $\Phi$  is a continuous linear mapping. For this it is enough to show that  $\Phi$  is a closed linear mapping by the closed graph theorem, since  $(N + \bar{I})/(N \cap \bar{I})$  and  $N/(N \cap \bar{I})$  are both Banach spaces. Let  $f_n + \bar{g}_n + (N \cap \bar{I})$  converge to  $\mathbf{O}$  (=the zero element in  $(N + \bar{I})/(N \cap \bar{I})$ ) in  $(N + \bar{I})/(N \cap \bar{I})$  and  $f_n + (N \cap \bar{I})$  tend to  $p + (N \cap \bar{I})$  in  $N/(N \cap \bar{I})$ , where  $f_n, p \in N$  and  $g_n \in I$ . Then  $\bar{g}_n + (N \cap \bar{I})$  converges to  $-p + (N \cap \bar{I})$  in  $(N + \bar{I})/(N \cap \bar{I})$ . Since  $\bar{I}/(N \cap \bar{I})$  is closed in  $(N + \bar{I})/(N \cap \bar{I})$  and  $p \in N$ , we have that  $p \in N \cap \bar{I}$ , and so  $p + (N \cap \bar{I}) = \mathbf{O}$  in  $N/(N \cap \bar{I})$ . We are done. Now, since  $\Phi$  is continuous, we have for some constant  $c > 0$ .

$$2c \|f + (N \cap \bar{I})\| \leq \|f + \bar{g} + (N \cap \bar{I})\| \quad (f \in N, g \in I).$$

If  $g \in N \cap I$ , put  $f = g$  in the above inequality. Then we obtain the desired one:  $c \|g + (N \cap \bar{I})\| \leq \|\operatorname{Re} g\|$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose  $N$  is a linear subspace in  $C(X)$ . If  $\operatorname{Re} N$  is closed, then so is  $\operatorname{Re}(N + C)$ , where  $\operatorname{Re} N$  is the real part of  $N$ , i.e.,  $\operatorname{Re} N = \{\operatorname{Re} f; f \in N\}$  and  $C$  denotes the space of all complex numbers.*

**Proof.** Let  $\operatorname{Re} f_n + r_n \rightarrow h$  ( $f_n \in N, r_n$ : real number). Then we shall show that  $h \in \operatorname{Re}(N + C)$ . (i) If  $r_{n_i} \rightarrow r$  for a subsequence  $\{r_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{r_n\}$ , then  $\operatorname{Re} f_{n_i} \rightarrow h - r$  since  $\operatorname{Re} f_{n_i} + r \rightarrow h$ . Since  $\operatorname{Re} N$  is closed,  $h - r \in \operatorname{Re} N$ . Hence  $h \in \operatorname{Re}(N + C)$ . (ii) If  $r_{n_i} \rightarrow \infty$  (or  $-\infty$ ) for a subsequence  $\{r_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{r_n\}$ , then  $\operatorname{Re}(f_{n_i}/r_{n_i}) + 1 \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore  $\operatorname{Re} N \ni 1$  since  $\operatorname{Re} N$  is closed. If  $\operatorname{Re} f_0 = 1$  ( $f_0 \in N$ ), then  $\operatorname{Re}(f_n + r_n f_0) \rightarrow h$ . This shows that  $h \in \operatorname{Re} N \subset \operatorname{Re}(N + C)$ , because  $f_n + r_n f_0 \in N$  and  $\operatorname{Re} N$  is closed. It completes the proof.

**§ 3.** With these preparation we shall give here proofs of our theorems.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** If we put  $M = \{f \in A; f + \bar{g} \in N \text{ for some } g \in I\}$ , then  $M$  is closed in  $A$  and  $M + \bar{I} = N + \bar{I}$ . In order to prove that  $M$  is closed, let  $f_n \in M$  and  $f_n \rightarrow f$ . Then  $f_n + \bar{g}_n \in N$  for some  $g_n \in I$  ( $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ). Since  $f_n \in N + \bar{I}$  and  $N + \bar{I}$  is closed,  $f \in N + \bar{I}$ . If we put  $f = h - \bar{g}$  ( $h \in N, g \in I$ ), we have  $f + \bar{g} = h \in N$ , and so  $f \in M$  by the definition of  $M$ . Hence, without loss of generality, we may assume that  $A \supset N$ . From Lemma 1, we have

$$(*) \quad c \|g + (N \cap \bar{I})\| \leq \|\operatorname{Re} g\| \quad (g \in I).$$

This will imply that  $\operatorname{Re} I$  is closed, so  $\operatorname{Re}(I + C)$  is also closed (by Lemma 2). If it was shown, the proof of the theorem would be immediate. For, if  $\operatorname{Re}(I + C)$  is closed, then  $\overline{I + C} = I + C$  by the Hoffman-Wermer theorem (cf. [4], Glicksberg [3]). This follows that  $\bar{I} = I$ . For, if  $\overline{I + C} = I + C$ , then  $I|K \equiv C$  or  $0$  for any maximal antisymmetric set  $K$  for  $A$ . Hence, by a theorem of Glicksberg ([2], Theorem 2.5), we have  $\bar{I} = I$ . From this, in order to verify the theorem, it remains to

show that  $\text{Re } I$  is closed. Let  $h$  be an arbitrary point in the uniform closure of  $\text{Re } I$ . Then  $\text{Re } g_n$  converges to  $h$  for a sequence  $\{g_n\} \subset I$ . By the above inequality (\*), we see that  $\{g_n + (N \cap \bar{I})\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space  $N/(N \cap \bar{I})$ , and so there exist an  $s \in N$  and some  $s_n \in N \cap \bar{I}$  ( $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) such that

$$(**) \quad \|g_n - s + s_n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Here we remark that  $N \cap \bar{I} \subset I$  (since  $N \subset A$ ). The fact is an easy consequence of a result of Glicksberg ([2], Corollary 2.6). Hence by (\*\*),  $s \in I$ . From (\*\*) again, we have

$$\|\text{Re } g_n - \text{Re } s + \text{Re } s_n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Since  $\bar{s}_n \in \overline{N \cap \bar{I}} \subset I$ ,  $\text{Re } s_n \in I$ , and it implies that  $h - \text{Re } s \in I$  because  $\text{Re } g_n \rightarrow h$ . If we put  $q = h - \text{Re } s$ , then  $q \in \text{Re } I$  since  $q$  is real. Hence  $h \in \text{Re } I$  and so  $\text{Re } I$  is closed. The proof is complete.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** The proof is almost same as one of Theorem 1. By Lemma 1, we have for some  $c > 0$ ,

$$c \|g + (N \cap \bar{I})\| \leq \|\text{Re } g\| \quad (g \in N \cap I).$$

Put  $J = N \cap I$ . Then  $J$  is an ideal by the hypothesis. If it was shown that  $\text{Re } J$  is closed, then the theorem would be proved as in the proof of Theorem 1. Therefore it suffices to show only that  $\text{Re } J$  is closed. For this, let  $\text{Re } g_n \rightarrow h$  ( $g_n \in J$ ). From the above inequality we see that  $\{g_n + (N \cap \bar{I})\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $N/(N \cap \bar{I})$ . Hence, for an  $s \in N$  and some  $s_n \in N \cap \bar{I}$ ,

$$\|g_n - s + s_n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Since  $N \subset A$ ,  $N \cap \bar{I} \subset N \cap I = J$ , and hence  $s \in J$ . Also we have

$$\|\text{Re } g_n - \text{Re } s + \text{Re } s_n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

But  $\bar{s}_n \in \overline{N \cap \bar{I}} \subset \bar{J} \cap I \subset J$  (cf. [2], Corollary 2.6). It follows that  $\text{Re } s_n \in J$ . Hence  $h - \text{Re } s \in J$ . From this, it can be shown that  $\text{Re } J$  is closed as in the proof of Theorem 1.

**Proof of Theorem 3.** The necessity of the proof is clear from Theorem 2. Hence we have only to prove the sufficiency. Suppose  $\bar{I} \cap \bar{J} = I \cap J$ . Then we easily see that  $I \cap J = \{f \in C(X); f(H) = 0\}$ , where  $H =$  the hull of  $I \cap J = \bigcap_{f \in I \cap J} Z(f)$ ,  $Z(f) = \{x \in X; f(x) = 0\}$ . If  $H = \phi$ , then the proof is immediate, so let  $H \neq \phi$ . Since  $I, J$  are ideals in  $A$ , we have  $H = H_1 \cup H_2$ , where  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  denote the hulls of  $I$  and  $J$  respectively. In order to prove that  $I + \bar{J}$  is closed, we suppose that  $f_n + \bar{g}_n \rightarrow h$  ( $f_n \in I, g_n \in J$ ). Then  $f_n \rightarrow h$  on  $H_2$  and  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  on  $H_1$ , because  $f_n = 0$  on  $H_1$  ( $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$ ). Hence  $\{f_n|H\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, that is, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a positive integer  $N$  such that for  $m, n \geq N$ ,  $\|f_n - f_m\|_H < \epsilon$ . Choosing a suitable subsequence  $\{f_{n_i}\}$  in  $\{f_n\}$ , we have  $\|f_{n_{i+1}} - f_{n_i}\|_H < 2^{-i}$  ( $i=1, 2, 3, \dots$ ). Here we define sequences  $\{p_i\}, \{h_i\}$  of continuous functions on  $X$  as follows:  $p_i \equiv f_{n_i}, h_i = f_{n_{i+1}} - f_{n_i}$  on  $H$ ,  $\|h_i\|_X < 2^{-i}$  and  $p_{i+1} = p_i + h_i$  ( $i=1, 2, 3, \dots$ ). Then  $h_i - (f_{n_{i+1}} - f_{n_i})$

$\in I \cap J$ , since  $h_i - (f_{n_{i+1}} - f_{n_i}) = 0$  on  $H$ . Hence  $h_i \in I$  and so  $p_i \in I$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ). Also, since  $\|p_{i+1} - p_i\| = \|h_i\| < 2^{-i}$ ,  $p_i$  converges uniformly to a function  $p \in I$ . We see that  $p_i = f_{n_i}$  on  $H$ , and  $q_i - g_{n_i} = \tilde{f}_{n_i} - \tilde{p}_i = 0$  on  $H$  if  $q_i = g_{n_i} + \tilde{f}_{n_i} - \tilde{p}_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ). Hence  $q_i - g_{n_i} \in I \cap J$ , and so  $q_i \in J$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ). Since  $q_i = g_{n_i} + \tilde{f}_{n_i} - \tilde{p}_i$ ,  $g_{n_i} + \tilde{f}_{n_i} \rightarrow \tilde{h}$  and  $p_i \rightarrow p$ , we have  $q_i \rightarrow \tilde{h} - \tilde{p}$ . If we put  $q = \tilde{h} - \tilde{p}$ , then  $q \in J$ , and so  $p + q = \tilde{h}$  ( $p \in I, q \in J$ ). The theorem is proved.

**Remark.** Under the assumption of Theorem 1, the following conditions are equivalent: (1)  $N + \tilde{I}$  is closed. (2)  $\tilde{I} = I$ . (3)  $X \sim hI$  is a  $w$ -interpolation set for  $A$ , that is, any compact subset in  $X \sim hI$  is an interpolation set (cf. [5] or [6]), where  $hI$  is the hull of  $I$ . The equivalence of (2) and (3) can be proved as follows: If (2) holds, then  $hI \supset E$  (cf. [3]), where  $E$  denotes the essential set for  $A$  ([1]). Hence  $X \sim hI \subset X \sim E$ , and so  $X \sim hI$  is a  $w$ -interpolation set. Conversely, let  $X \sim hI$  be a  $w$ -interpolation set. Then  $X \sim hI \subset X \sim \partial_{A|E}$  (cf. [5] or [6]). Therefore  $hI \supset \partial_{A|E}$  and it follows that  $hI \supset E$ . From this we can easily see that  $\tilde{I} = I$ .

### References

- [1] H. S. Bear: Complex function algebras. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **90**, 383-393 (1959).
- [2] I. Glicksberg: Measures orthogonal to algebras and sets of antisymmetry. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **105**, 415-435 (1962).
- [3] —: On two consequences of a theorem of Hoffman and Wermer. Math. Scand., **23**, 188-192 (1968).
- [4] K. Hoffman and J. Wermer: A characterization of  $C(X)$ . Pacific J. Math., **12**, 941-944 (1962).
- [5] H. Ishikawa, J. Tomiyama, and J. Wada: On the essential set of function algebras. Proc. Japan Acad., **44**, 1000-1002 (1968).
- [6] —: On the local behavior of function algebras. Tôhoku Math. J., **22**, 48-55 (1970).