

127. On Fourier Coefficients of Certain Cusp Forms

By Mutsuo WATABE

Department of Mathematics, Gakushuin University, Tokyo

(Comm. by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., Oct. 12, 1973)

§ 1. Congruences on certain bases of $S_k(\Gamma)$.

We shall denote by Γ the group $SL(2, \mathbf{Z})$. The set of integral automorphic forms (cusp forms) of weight k (k being a positive integer) with respect to Γ forms a vector space $G_k(\Gamma)$ ($S_k(\Gamma)$) over the complex number field \mathbf{C} , whose dimension is known to be (cf. [7] p. 48):

$$\dim G_k(\Gamma) = \begin{cases} [k/12] & (k \equiv 2 \pmod{12}), \\ [k/12] + 1 & (k \not\equiv 2 \pmod{12}), \end{cases}$$

$$\dim S_k(\Gamma) = \begin{cases} 0 & (k=2), \\ [k/12] & (k \not\equiv 2 \pmod{12}), \\ [k/12] - 1 & (k > 2, k \equiv 2 \pmod{12}). \end{cases}$$

Any element $\varphi(\tau)$ ($\tau \in \mathbf{C}$, $\text{Im } \tau > 0$) of $G_k(\Gamma)$ admits a Fourier expansion in $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$:

$$\varphi(\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha(n) q^n;$$

we have $\varphi(\tau) \in S_k(\Gamma)$ if and only if $\alpha(0) = 0$.

Using Eisenstein series, one can obtain bases of $S_k(\Gamma)$ as follows. Following the notation in [9], we put

$$\begin{aligned} E_k(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{l, m \in \mathbf{Z} \\ (l, m) \neq (0, 0)}} (l\tau + m)^{-k} \quad (k=4, 6, 8, \dots) \\ &= \zeta(k) + \frac{(2\pi i)^k}{(k-1)!} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^n \\ &= -\frac{(2\pi i)^k B_k}{2 \cdot k!} + \frac{(2\pi i)^k}{(k-1)!} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^n \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta-function, B_k is the k -th Bernoulli number and

$$\sigma_g(n) = \sum_{\substack{t|n \\ t > 0}} t^g \quad (g=0, 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

We put further

$$(1) \quad E_k^*(\tau) = 1 - \frac{2 \cdot k}{B_k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^n \quad (k=4, 6, 8, \dots),$$

so that

$$E_k(\tau) = \zeta(k) \cdot E_k^*(\tau).$$

Then the well-known cusp form $\Delta(\tau)$ of weight 12 under the name of Ramanujan's function is written in the form:

$$\Delta(\tau) = 2^{-6} \cdot 3^{-3} (E_4^{*3}(\tau) - E_6^{*3}(\tau)).$$

$\Delta(\tau)$ has the Fourier expansion :

$$(2) \quad \Delta(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c(n)q^n, \quad \text{with } c(n) \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

We have furthermore (cf. [7] p. 49)

$$\begin{aligned} S_k(\Gamma) &= 0 & (k=4, 6, 8, 10, 14), \\ S_{12}(\Gamma) &= [\Delta(\tau)], \\ S_k(\Gamma) &= [\Delta(\tau) \cdot E_{k-12}^*(\tau)] & (k=16, 18, 20, 22), \\ S_{24}(\Gamma) &= [\Delta(\tau) \cdot E_{12}^*(\tau) + \Delta^2(\tau)], \\ S_k(\Gamma) &= [\Delta(\tau) \cdot E_4^{*a}(\tau) \cdot E_6^{*b}(\tau)]_{\substack{4a+6b=k-12 \\ a, b \in \mathbf{Z}, a, b \geq 0}} & (k: \text{even integer } > 24) \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $S_k(\Gamma)$ for even integer $k > 24$ is spanned by the cusp forms of the type $\Delta(\tau) \cdot E_4^{*a}(\tau) \cdot E_6^{*b}(\tau)$, where a, b run over all non-negative integral solution of $4a + 6b = k - 12$.

We quote the following result of Siegel [9]. Let $k > 2$ and $r = r_k = \dim G_k(\Gamma)$. Then the function $E_{12r-k+2}^*$ has the Fourier expansion of the form :

$$c_{k,r}q^{-r} + \dots + c_{k,1}q^{-1} + c_{k,0} + \dots,$$

where $c_{k,r} = 1$ and

$$(3) \quad \frac{c_{k,0}B_k}{2 \cdot k} = \sum_{l=1}^r c_{k,l} \sigma_{k-1}(l).$$

Siegel has proved that $c_{k,0} \neq 0$. We put

$$(4) \quad c_{k,0} = c_k.$$

Now we have the following propositions.

Proposition 1. *Let k be 16, 18, 20, or 22. Then the space $S_k(\Gamma)$ is spanned by the function $\Delta \cdot E_{k-12}^*$, which we denote by $f^{(k)}$. This function has the Fourier expansion of the form $f^{(k)}(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^{(k)}(n)q^n$. Then for the coefficients $a^{(k)}(n)$, we have*

$$(5) \quad a^{(k)}(n) \equiv c(n) \pmod{c_{k-12}}$$

for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, where $c(n)$ are the coefficients in (2).

Remark 1. The values of c_{k-12} for $k = 16, 18, 20, 22$ are as follows (cf. [9]).

k	16	18	20	22
c_{k-12}	$-2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$	$2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7$	$-2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$	$2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 11$

Remark 2. Our proposition may be more simply expressed by the following ‘‘congruence’’ :

$$(5') \quad f^{(k)}(\tau) \equiv \Delta(\tau) \pmod{c_{k-12}}.$$

Proof. Since

$$\Delta(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c(n)q^n, \quad E_{k-12}^*(\tau) = 1 - \frac{2(k-12)}{B_{k-12}} \sum \sigma_{k-13}(n)q^n,$$

so that

$$f^{(k)}(\tau) = \Delta(\tau) \cdot E_{k-12}^*(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c(n)q^n - \frac{2(k-12)}{B_{k-12}} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{i \neq 1}^{n-1} c(i) \cdot \sigma_{k-13}(n-i)q^n.$$

As we have $r=1$ in (3), we have just $c_{k-12} = \frac{2(k-12)}{B_{k-12}}$, and as $c(i) \cdot \sigma_{k-13}(n-i) \in \mathbf{Z}$, we have the congruence (5) (cf. [8]).

Proposition 2. *Let k be any even integer > 24 and f be a function of the form $\Delta \cdot E_4^{*a} E_6^{*b}$ with $4a + 6b = k - 12$, i.e., any element of the base of the space $S_k(\Gamma)$ given above. Let the Fourier expansion of f be $f(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b(n)q^n$. Then we have*

$$b(n) \equiv c(n) \pmod{24}$$

or more simply $f(\tau) \equiv \Delta(\tau) \pmod{24}$.

Proof. First we note the following fact. Let $\varphi(\tau) \in G_k(\Gamma)$ and

$$\varphi(\tau) = \Delta(\tau) \cdot (1 + m_1 g_1(\tau))^a \cdot (1 + m_2 g_2(\tau))^b,$$

where a, b are non-negative integers, $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbf{Z}$, and $g_1(\tau), g_2(\tau)$ admit Fourier expansions with integral coefficients in q . Then we have

$$\varphi(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c(n)q^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(n)q^n,$$

where $d(n)$ are integers divisible by the G.C.M. (m_1, m_2) of m_1 and m_2 . This can be seen in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 1, in noticing that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(n)q^n = \varphi(\tau) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c(n)q^n$$

can be written in the form:

$$m_1 h_1(\tau) + m_2 h_2(\tau) = (m_1, m_2) \cdot h_3(\tau),$$

where $h_i(\tau)$, $i=1, 2, 3$ admit Fourier expansions with integral coefficients in q .

The function $f = \Delta \cdot E_4^{*a} \cdot E_6^{*b}$ in proposition 2 can be considered in virtue of (1) as a function φ above mentioned, where we have

$$m_1 = -\frac{8}{B_4} = 240, \quad m_2 = -\frac{12}{B_6} = -504,$$

so that $(m_1, m_2) = 24$.

These propositions permit us to transfer the congruences which are known to hold on $c(n)$ to $a^{(k)}(n)$ or $b(n)$. The following are some examples of these congruences.

Firstly, it is known that

$$c(np) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

for all n if $p=2, 3, 5$ or 7 (cf. [2]).

From Proposition 1 follows therefore

$$a^{(16)}(np) \equiv a^{(20)}(np) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

for all n if $p=2, 3$ or 5 , and

$$a^{(18)}(np) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

for all n if $p=2, 3$ or 7 .

Secondly, from Proposition 2, we have

$$b^{(2\theta)}(p) \equiv 1 + p \pmod{3}$$

for $p \neq 3$, since

$$c(p) \equiv 1 + p \pmod{3}$$

for $p \neq 3$, (cf. [5]). $(b^{(2\theta)}(n))$ means the Fourier coefficient of $f = \Delta \cdot E_4^{*2} E_6^*$, which is a base of $S_{26}(\Gamma)$.

§ 2. Effect of certain Hecke operators on bases of $S_k(\Gamma)$.

Let m be any positive integer. The m -th Hecke operator $T_k(m)$ operates on $\varphi \in G_k(\Gamma)$ as follows and gives an endomorphism of $G_k(\Gamma)$ and $S_k(\Gamma)$ respectively (cf. [3], [5]):

$$\varphi | T_k(m) = m^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{ad=m \\ a \bmod d, d > 0 \\ a, b, d \in \mathbb{Z}}} \varphi \left| \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right. = m^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{ad=m \\ a \bmod d, d > 0 \\ a, b, d \in \mathbb{Z}}} \varphi \left(\frac{a\tau + b}{d} \right) d^{-k}.$$

If $\varphi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha(n)q^n \in G_k(\Gamma)$ is an eigenfunction of $T_k(n)$ with the eigenvalue $\gamma(n)$, it is easily seen that

$$\gamma(n) \cdot \alpha(1) = \alpha(n).$$

$\varphi \in G_k(\Gamma)$ is said to be *normalized*, if $\alpha(1) = 1$. For a normalized integral automorphic form φ , we have thus $\gamma(n) = \alpha(n)$.

Now we have the following theorems:

Theorem 1. *Let k be 16, 18 or 20, and p be a prime number such that $p \equiv -1 \pmod{c_{k-12}} \cdot f^{(k)}$ will denote the function $\Delta \cdot E_{k-12}^*$ as above. Then we have*

$$f^{(k)} | T_k(p) \equiv 0 \pmod{c_{k-12}}.$$

Theorem 2. *If $k = 22$ or k is an any even integer > 24 and f is a function the form $\Delta \cdot E_4^{*a} \cdot E_6^{*b}$ with $4a + 6b = k - 12$ and if $p \equiv -1 \pmod{24}$, then we have*

$$f | T_k(p) \equiv 0 \pmod{24}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. As f is an eigenfunction of $T_k(p)$ with the eigenvalue $a^{(k)}(p)$, we have by virtue of Proposition 1:

$$f^{(k)} | T_k(p) = a^{(k)}(p) f^{(k)} \equiv c(p) f^{(k)} \pmod{c_{k-12}}.$$

Now the following congruences are known to hold:

$$\begin{aligned} c(p) &\equiv 1 + p^{11} \pmod{2^5} \quad (p \neq 2) \text{ (cf. [1]),} \\ c(p) &\equiv p^2 + p^9 \pmod{3^3} \quad \text{(cf. [4]),} \\ c(p) &\equiv p + p^{10} \pmod{5^2} \quad \text{(cf. [1]),} \\ c(p) &\equiv p + p^4 \pmod{7} \quad \text{(cf. [6]).} \end{aligned}$$

Hence follows $c(p) \equiv 0 \pmod{c_{k-12}}$ by our assumption $p \equiv -1 \pmod{c_{k-12}}$, which implies our conclusion.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let the Fourier expansion of f be:

$$f(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b(n)q^n.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\tau) | T_k(p) &= p^{k-1} f(p\tau) + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{l \pmod p} f\left(\frac{\tau+l}{p}\right) \\ &= p^{k-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b(n)q^{np} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b(np)q^n. \end{aligned}$$

From Proposition 2 we obtain, in noticing that $p^{k-12} \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ for $k \geq 22$, and that Δ is a common eigenfunction of Hecke operators $T_{12}(n)$, $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$, the congruence

$$\begin{aligned} f(\tau) | T_k(p) &\equiv p^{k-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c(n)q^{np} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c(np)q^n \pmod{24} \\ &= p^{k-12} \cdot p^{11} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c(n)q^{np} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c(np)q^n \\ &\equiv p^{11} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c(n)q^{np} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c(np)q^n \pmod{24} \\ &= \Delta(\tau) | T_{12}(p). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(7) \quad f(\tau) | T_k(p) \equiv c(p) \cdot \Delta(\tau) \pmod{24}.$$

From the congruences (6) we get $c(p) \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$ for $p \equiv -1 \pmod{24}$.

Thus we obtain from (7) our conclusion:

$$f | T_k(p) \equiv 0 \pmod{24}.$$

Remark. In the case $k=22$, we have just $E_{10}^* = E_4^* \cdot E_6^*$. Theorem 1 can also be proved in the same way as in Theorem 2 but the proof would then become less simple. Theorem 2 for the case $k=22$ can also be proved like Theorem 1, as we have $\dim S_{22}(\Gamma) = 1$.

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to express his hearty thanks to Prof. S. Iyanaga, Prof. Y. Ihara and Mr. M. Koike for their kind advice.

References

- [1] R. P. Bambah: Two congruence properties of Ramanujan's function $\tau(n)$. J. London Math. Soc., **21**, 91-93 (1964).
- [2] G. H. Hardy: Ramanujan, Cambridge University Press (1940).
- [3] E. Hecke: Über Modulfunktionen und die Dirichletschen Reihen mit Eulerscher Produktentwicklung. I, II. Math. Ann., **114**, 644-671, 672-702 (1937).
- [4] D. H. Lehmer: Note on some arithmetical properties of elliptic modular functions. Berkley, date of publication not given (Reference in [8]).
- [5] A. Ogg: Modular forms and Dirichlet series. Benjamin (1965).
- [6] K. G. Ramanathan: Congruence properties of Ramanujan's function $\tau(n)$. I. J. Indian Math. Soc., **9**, 55-57 (1945).
- [7] G. Shimura: Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions. Publ. Math. Soc., Japan, **11** (1971).
- [8] J. P. Serre: Formes modulaires et fonctions zeta p -adiques. Summer Inst. on Modular Functions, ANVERS (1972).
- [9] C. Siegel: Berechnung von Zetafunktionen an ganzzahligen Stellen. Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen Math-Phys., **10**, 87-102 (1969).