

53. On a Characterization of L^2 -well Posed Mixed Problems for Hyperbolic Equations of Second Order

By Rentaro AGEMI

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., April 12, 1975)

§ 1. Introduction and results. Let Ω be a domain in an n -dimensional euclidean space R^n with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Let P be a t -strictly hyperbolic operator of second order defined in the cylinder $R^1 \times \bar{\Omega}$ and B a boundary operator of first order defined on $R^1 \times \partial\Omega$. Furthermore we assume that the boundary $\partial\Omega$ is non-characteristic for P and B and the coefficients of P and B are smooth and constant outside a compact set of $R^1 \times \bar{\Omega}$. We then consider the following mixed problem (P, B) :

$$\begin{aligned} P(t, x; D_t, D_x)u(t, x) &= f(t, x) & (t, x) \in R^1 \times \Omega \quad t > 0, \\ B(t, x; D_t, D_x)u(t, x) &= g(t, x) & (t, x) \in R^1 \times \partial\Omega \quad t > 0, \\ D_t^j u(0, x) &= h_j(x) & (j=0, 1) \quad x \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Here $D_t = -i(\partial/\partial t)$, $D_k = -i(\partial/\partial x_k)$ ($k=1, \dots, n$) and $D_x = (D_1, \dots, D_n)$.

The aim of this paper is to show the following

Theorem. A mixed problem (P, B) is L^2 -well posed if and only if every constant coefficients problem frozen the coefficients at a boundary point is L^2 -well posed.

For the L^2 -well posedness of mixed problems see [3].

The "only if" part of Theorem is a special case of [2], Theorem 1 which is proved by using the results in [4], [6]. When the coefficients of B are real valued, the author characterized, using the method in [3], L^2 -well posed mixed problems with constant coefficients by the inequalities among the coefficients and proved the "if" part of Theorem by energy method ([1]). When the coefficients of B are complex valued, a characterization of L^2 -well posed mixed problems with constant coefficients is obtained in the same direction as real case ([8]). In general, a mixed problem is L^2 -well posed whenever Lopatinski determinant does not vanish ([5], [10]). Under the assumption of L^2 -well posedness, Lopatinski determinant does not vanish in the interior of the most inner normal cone ([11]) and also does not vanish for $\text{Im } \tau < 0$ where τ is the covariable of t ([4]). When Lopatinski determinant vanishes only on the real points where the roots λ are simple, a mixed problem is L^2 -well posed in the case of second order ([2], [9]). Here λ is a root of characteristic polynomial with respect to the covariable of normal direction to $\partial\Omega$. Thus the "if" part of theorem is proved if a

priori L^2 -estimate holds in a neighbourhood of a real point such that λ is double. In this paper we shall construct a symmetrizer near such a point using the considerations (§ 2) in [5], [9] and the results (§ 3, Lemma 1) in [8].

§ 2. Preliminaries. For the sake of simplicity of description we shall prove Theorem in the case when P is d'Alembertian and Ω is the half space $R_+^n = \{x \in R^n; x = (x', x_n), x_n > 0\}$. However, our argument is applicable to a general case. We shall consider a problem (P, B) :

$$Pu = \left(-D_t^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n D_j^2\right)u = f \quad \text{in } R_+^{n+1},$$

$$Bu = \left(D_n - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j(t, x')D_j - c(t, x')D_t\right)u = g \quad \text{on } R^n.$$

In order to reduce our problem (P, B) to one for 2×2 system of pseudo-differential operator of first order, put

$$U = {}^t(u_1, u_2) = {}^t(Au, D_n u) \quad \text{for } u \in C_0^\infty(\overline{R_+^{n+1}}),$$

where

$$Au = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{R^n} e^{i(\tau t + \sigma x')} A(\tau, \sigma) \hat{u}(\tau, \sigma, x_n) d\xi d\sigma,$$

$$\hat{u} = \int_{R^n} e^{-i(\tau t + \sigma x')} u(t, x', x_n) dt dx',$$

$$A(\tau, \sigma) = (|\tau|^2 + |\sigma|^2)^{1/2}, \quad \tau = \xi - i\gamma (\gamma \geq 0), \quad \sigma \in R^{n-1}.$$

Then our problem becomes

$$D_n U - MAU = {}^t(0, f) = F \quad \text{in } R_+^{n+1},$$

$$u_2 - su_1 = g \quad \text{on } R^n.$$

Here the symbols of pseudo-differential operators M, s with parameters γ and x_n are as follows:

$$(1) \quad M(\tau', \sigma') = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \tau'^2 - |\sigma'|^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\tau' = \tau A(\tau, \sigma)^{-1}, \sigma' = \sigma A(\tau, \sigma)^{-1}),$$

$$s(t, x', \tau', \sigma') = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j(t, x') \sigma'_j + c(t, x') \tau'.$$

We shall construct a symmetrizer Q in the form ([5]):

$$(2) \quad Q(t, x, \xi', \sigma', \gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} d_0 & d_1 \\ d_1 & d_2 \end{pmatrix} + i\gamma' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f \\ -f & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where a positive constant f and the real symbols $d_j(t, x, \xi', \sigma')$ ($j=0, 1, 2$) of order zero are determined in § 3. The integration by part gives

$$2 \operatorname{Im} (D_n U - MAU, QU)_{0,r}$$

$$= \langle U, QU \rangle_{0,r} + \operatorname{Im} (({}^*MQ - QM)U, U)_{0,r} + (\text{lower order term}),$$

where

$$(u, v)_{0,r} = (e^{-rt}u, e^{-rt}v)_{L^2(R_+^{n+1})} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle u, v \rangle_{0,r} = (e^{-rt}u, e^{-rt}v)_{L^2(R^n)}.$$

If

$$(3) \quad d_0 = d_2(\xi'^2 - |\sigma'|^2),$$

then it follows from (1) and (2) that

$$(4) \quad (*MQ - QM)(t, x, \xi', \sigma', \gamma') = 2i\gamma' \begin{pmatrix} 2d_1\xi' - f(\xi' - |\sigma'|^2) & d_2\xi' \\ d_2\xi' & f \end{pmatrix} + 0(\gamma'^2).$$

On the other hand, using boundary condition $u_2 = su_1 + g$ we get

$$(5) \quad \langle U, QU \rangle_{0,r} = \langle (d_0 + 2d_1 \operatorname{Re} s + d_2 |s|^2 + 2\gamma' f \operatorname{Im} s)u_1, u_1 \rangle_{0,r} + R(U, g),$$

where $|R(U, g)| \leq C(\langle A^{-1/2}U \rangle_{0,r}^2 + \langle A^{1/2}g \rangle_{0,r}^2)$. Using (3) the symbol of pseudo-differential operator appeared in the first term of the right hand side in (5) can be written by the form $K + \gamma'H$, where $H(t, x', \xi', \sigma', \gamma)$ is of order zero and

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} K(t, x', \xi', \sigma') &= 2d_1 \operatorname{Re} s_0 + d_2(|s_0|^2 + (\xi'^2 - |\sigma'|^2)), \\ s(t, x', \tau', \sigma') &= s_0(t, x', \xi', \sigma') - ic(t, x')\gamma'. \end{aligned}$$

Now we shall consider a real point stated in Introduction at which Lopatinski determinant vanishes and λ is double. Recall the definition of Lopatinski determinant R for our problem (P, B) :

$$R(t, x', \tau', \sigma') = \sqrt{\tau'^2 - |\sigma'|^2} - s(t, x', \tau', \sigma'),$$

where $\sqrt{\tau'^2 - |\sigma'|^2}$ is a root in λ of $\lambda^2 + |\sigma'|^2 - \tau'^2 = 0$ whose imaginary part is positive if $\gamma' = -\operatorname{Im} \tau' > 0$. Hence, in this case, such a real point $(t_0, x'_0, 0, \xi'_0, \sigma'_0)(\gamma' = 0)$ satisfies the relations:

$$(7) \quad \xi_0'^2 = |\sigma_0'|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad s_0(t_0, x'_0, \xi'_0, \sigma'_0) = 0.$$

Suppose that the following inequalities hold in a neighbourhood of a real point satisfying (7):

$$(8) \quad K(t, x', \xi', \sigma) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Im} (*MQ - QM)(t, x, \xi', \sigma', \gamma') \geq C\gamma'I.$$

Then a priori L^2 -estimate follows from a sharp form of Gårding inequality ([7]) and [9], Lemma 7.2 (the treatment of the term $\langle \gamma'Hu_1, u_1 \rangle_{0,r}$); that is, for a large constant $\gamma > 0$,

$$\gamma^2 \|\varphi U\|_{0,r}^2 \leq C(\|F\|_{0,r}^2 + \gamma^2 \langle A^{1/2}g \rangle_{0,r}^2 + \gamma \|u\|_{0,r}^2),$$

where the support of symbol $\varphi(t, x, \xi', \sigma', \gamma')$ of order zero is contained in a small neighbourhood of a point satisfying (7).

We shall introduce a new variable ζ in a neighbourhood of a point satisfying (7):

$$(9) \quad \zeta = \tau - |\sigma| \quad \text{if} \quad \xi > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \zeta = \tau + |\sigma| \quad \text{if} \quad \xi < 0.$$

Hereafter we shall consider the first case, since the argument below is applicable to the second case. Then we have

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} s_0(t, x', \xi', \sigma') &= c(t, x') |\sigma'| + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j(t, x') \sigma_j' + c(t, x') \operatorname{Re} \zeta' \\ &= \alpha(t, x', \sigma') + c(t, x') \operatorname{Re} \zeta', \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is the definition of α . Putting as in [9], § 7

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} d_1(t, x', \xi', \sigma') &= d_1^0(t, x', \sigma') + d_1^1(t, x', \sigma') \operatorname{Re} \zeta', \\ d_2(t, x', \xi', \sigma') &= d_2^0(t, x', \sigma') \end{aligned}$$

(Remark that, in [9], $d_1^1 = 0$ and the linear term in $\operatorname{Re} \zeta'$ of d_2 is considered), then we can rewrite K as a polynomial in $\operatorname{Re} \zeta'$ of degree 2:

$$K(t, x', \xi', \sigma') = K_0 + 2K_1 \operatorname{Re} \zeta' + K_2 (\operatorname{Re} \zeta')^2,$$

where

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} K_0(t, x', \sigma') &= 2d_1^0 \operatorname{Re} \alpha + d_2^0 |\alpha|^2, \\ K_1(t, x', \sigma') &= d_1^0 \operatorname{Re} c + d_1^1 \operatorname{Re} \alpha + d_2^0 (|\sigma'| + \operatorname{Re} (\bar{c}\alpha)), \\ K_2(t, x', \sigma') &= 2d_1^1 \operatorname{Re} c + d_2^0 (1 + |c|^2). \end{aligned}$$

§ 3. A construction of a symmetrizer Q . In this section we shall show that (8) holds in a small neighbourhood of a point satisfying (7), if

$$(13) \quad d_1^0 = |\sigma'| + \operatorname{Re} (\bar{c}\alpha), \quad d_1^1 = 1 + |c|^2, \quad d_2^0 = -\operatorname{Re} c,$$

and f is a large positive constant. Here we remark that the choice of d_1 and d_2 is a natural extension of one in [1]. It follows from (12) and (13) that

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} K_0 &= 2|\sigma'| \operatorname{Re} \alpha + \operatorname{Re} c (\operatorname{Re} \alpha)^2 - \operatorname{Re} c (\operatorname{Im} \alpha)^2 + 2 \operatorname{Im} c \operatorname{Re} \alpha \operatorname{Im} \alpha, \\ K_1 &= (1 + |c|^2) \operatorname{Re} \alpha, \quad K_2 = (1 + |c|^2) \operatorname{Re} c. \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove that $K \geq 0$, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 ([8]). *A frozen problem $(P, B)_{(t, x')}$ at a boundary point $(t, x', 0)$ is L^2 -well posed if and only if, for every σ , either*

- (I) *when $\operatorname{Re} \alpha = \operatorname{Re} \beta = 0$, $1 + |\sigma|^{-2} \operatorname{Im} \alpha \operatorname{Im} \beta > 0$ or*
- (II) *when $(\operatorname{Re} \alpha)^2 + (\operatorname{Re} \beta)^2 \neq 0$,*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2|\sigma|^{-1} \operatorname{Re} \alpha & |\sigma|^{-2} \operatorname{Im} (\alpha \bar{\beta}) \\ |\sigma|^{-2} \operatorname{Im} (\alpha \bar{\beta}) & 2|\sigma|^{-1} \operatorname{Re} \beta \end{pmatrix} \geq 0,$$

where α is considered as a function in σ and

$$(15) \quad \beta(t, x', \sigma) = c(t, x') |\sigma| - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j(t, x') \sigma_j.$$

Hereafter β is considered as a function σ' .

Lemma 2.

$$(K_1)^2 - K_0 K_2 = -4^{-1} (1 + |c|^2) |\sigma'|^2 (\det A).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} (K_1)^2 - K_0 K_2 &= (1 + |c|^2)^2 (\operatorname{Re} \alpha)^2 - (1 + |c|^2) \operatorname{Re} c (2|\sigma'| \operatorname{Re} \alpha + \operatorname{Re} c (\operatorname{Re} \alpha)^2 \\ &\quad - \operatorname{Re} c (\operatorname{Im} \alpha)^2 + 2 \operatorname{Im} c \operatorname{Re} \alpha \operatorname{Im} \alpha) \\ &= -(1 + |c|^2) (\operatorname{Re} \alpha (2|\sigma'| \operatorname{Re} c - \operatorname{Re} \alpha) - (\operatorname{Re} c \operatorname{Im} \alpha - \operatorname{Im} c \operatorname{Re} \alpha)^2). \end{aligned}$$

Using the relations $\operatorname{Re} \alpha + \operatorname{Re} \beta = 2|\sigma'| \operatorname{Re} c$ and $2(\operatorname{Re} c \operatorname{Im} \alpha - \operatorname{Im} c \operatorname{Re} \alpha) = \operatorname{Im} (\alpha \bar{\beta})$, we obtain the lemma.

Lemma 3. *If the case (II) in Lemma 1 is valid, then we have*

$$K_0 \geq 0 \text{ and if } K_0 = 0, K_1 = 0.$$

Proof. Put

$$X = 2^{-1} |\sigma'|^{-2} \operatorname{Im} (\alpha \bar{\beta}), \quad Y = |\sigma'|^{-1} \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j \sigma_j \right).$$

Then the case (II) is valid if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} c > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad X^2 + Y^2 \leq (\operatorname{Re} c)^2.$$

Furthermore we have

$$(16) \quad |\sigma'|^{-2} K_0 = (\operatorname{Re} c)^{-1} (2 \operatorname{Re} c (Y + \operatorname{Re} c) + |c|^2 (Y + \operatorname{Re} c)^2 - X^2).$$

In fact, since $\operatorname{Re} \alpha = \operatorname{Re} c + Y$, the left hand side of (16) becomes

$$2(\operatorname{Re} c + Y) + \operatorname{Re} c(\operatorname{Re} c + Y)^2 \\ + \operatorname{Im} \alpha \left(\operatorname{Re} c \operatorname{Im} c + 2Y \operatorname{Im} c - \operatorname{Re} c |\sigma'|^{-1} \operatorname{Im} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j \sigma'_j \right) \right).$$

Using the relation

$$(17) \quad X = 2^{-1} |\sigma'|^{-2} \operatorname{Im} (\alpha \bar{\beta}) = \operatorname{Re} c |\sigma'|^{-1} \operatorname{Im} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j \sigma'_j \right) - Y \operatorname{Im} c,$$

the above quantity is equal to

$$2(\operatorname{Re} c + Y) + \operatorname{Re} c (\operatorname{Re} c + Y)^2 + \operatorname{Im} \alpha (\operatorname{Im} c (\operatorname{Re} c + Y) - X).$$

Again using (17), $\operatorname{Im} \alpha = (\operatorname{Re} c)^{-1} (\operatorname{Im} c (\operatorname{Re} c + Y) + X)$ and hence (16) is proved. By a simple computation, we see that a circle $X^2 + Y^2 = (\operatorname{Re} c)^2$ and a hyperbola $K_0 = 0$ have only one common point $(X, Y) = (0, -\operatorname{Re} c)$ at which two curves tangent each other to second order and a hyperbola K_0 intersects to X -axis at two points $(\pm \operatorname{Re} c(2 + |c|^2)^{1/2}, 0)$. Therefore the lemma is proved.

Now we return to the proof of (8). Using (10) and (15), it follows from Lemma 1 that $\operatorname{Re} c \geq 0$. Then we see from (14) that $K_2 \geq 0$. In the case (I) it holds that $\operatorname{Re} \alpha = 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} c = 0$. Hence we see from this and (14) that $K = 0$. Remark that we do not use the inequality in the case (I). In the case (II) we see from Lemmas 1, 2, 3 that $K \geq 0$. To prove the second assertion in (8), we remark that $\operatorname{Re} \zeta'$, $\operatorname{Re} \alpha$ and $\operatorname{Im} \alpha$ are small in a neighbourhood of a point satisfying (7). Hence, in such a neighbourhood, that $d_i > 0$ follows from (11) and (13). Therefore, it follows from (4) that the second assertion in (8) holds if f be taken large.

References

- [1] R. Agemi: On energy inequalities of mixed problems for hyperbolic equations of second order. *Jour. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ., Ser. I*, **21**, 221–236 (1971).
- [2] —: Remarks on L^2 -well posed mixed problems for hyperbolic equations of second order. *Hokkaido Math. J.*, **2**, 214–230 (1973).
- [3] R. Agemi and T. Shirota: On necessary and sufficient conditions for L^2 -well-posedness of mixed problems for hyperbolic equations. *Jour. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ., Ser. I*, **21**, 133–151 (1970).
- [4] —: On necessary and sufficient conditions for L^2 -well-posedness of mixed problems for hyperbolic equations. II. *ibid*, **22**, 137–149 (1972).
- [5] H. O. Kreiss: Initial boundary value problems for hyperbolic system. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **19**, 277–298 (1970).
- [6] K. Kubota: Remarks on boundary value problems for hyperbolic equations. *Hokkaido Math. J.*, **2**, 202–213 (1973).
- [7] P. D. Lax and L. Nirenberg: On stability for difference schemes. A sharp form of Gårding inequality. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **19**, 473–492 (1966).
- [8] S. Miyatake: On mixed problem for hyperbolic equation of second order. *R. I. M. S. Kyoto Univ., Surikaisekikenkyusyo Kokyuroku*, No. 205, 15–27 (1974) (in Japanese).
- [9] T. Ohkubo and T. Shirota: On the structure of L^2 -well posed mixed prob-

- lems for hyperbolic systems of first order (to appear in Hokkaido Math. J., Vol. 4).
- [10] R. Sakamoto: Mixed problems for hyperbolic equations. I. J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **10**, 349–373 (1970).
- [11] T. Shirota: On the propagation speed of hyperbolic operator with mixed boundary condition. Jour. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ., **22**, 25–31 (1972).