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We continue our study of tempered ultrahyperfunctions and use the same notations as in our previous note [5]. In this paper, we consider exclusively the 1-dimensional case.
§ 1. Fourier transformation of distributions with properly convex support. Let $K^{\prime}=[a, b]$ be a closed interval in $\boldsymbol{R}$. We put

$$
h_{K^{\prime}}(x)=\sup \{x \xi ; \xi \in[a, b]\}= \begin{cases}b x & \text { for } x \geqslant 0,  \tag{1}\\ a x & \text { for } x<0\end{cases}
$$

We denote by $H\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$ the space of all $C^{\infty}$ functions $f$ on $\boldsymbol{R}$ for which there exists a constant $\varepsilon>0$ such that for any integer $p \geqslant 0, \exp \left(h_{R^{\prime}}(x)\right.$ $+\varepsilon|x|) D^{p} f(x)$ is bounded in $\boldsymbol{R}$, where $D^{p}=d^{p} / d x^{p} . H\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$ is the inductive limit of FS spaces. The dual space $H^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$ of $H\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$ is a space of distributions of exponential growth ([5]).

Proposition 1. Let $\beta$ be a $C^{\infty}$ function on $\boldsymbol{R}$ such that $0 \leqslant \beta(x) \leqslant 1$, $\beta(x)=1$ for $x \geqslant B($ resp. $x \leqslant-B)$ and $\beta(x)=0$ for $x \leqslant-B$ (resp. $x \geqslant B$ ), with some constant $B>0$. Then $\beta(x) \exp (-i x \zeta) \in H\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $\operatorname{Im} \zeta<-b(r e s p . \operatorname{Im} \zeta>-a)$.

Proof. Remark first
(2) $\quad\left|e^{-i x \zeta}\right|=e^{x \eta},\left|D^{p} e^{-i x \zeta}\right|=\left|\zeta^{p}\right| e^{x \eta}$, where $\zeta=\xi+i \eta$.

Therefore, we have

$$
\exp \left(h_{K^{\prime}}(x)+\varepsilon|x|\right)\left|D^{p} e^{-i x}\right|= \begin{cases}\left\{\zeta^{p} \mid \exp (b+\varepsilon+\eta) x\right. & \text { for } x>0, \\ \left|\zeta^{p}\right| \exp (a-\varepsilon+\eta) x & \text { for } x<0,\end{cases}
$$

from which follows the proposition.
q.e.d.

We put

$$
H_{(+)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)=\left\{T \in H^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) ; \text { supp } T \subset[-A, \infty) \text { for some } A \geqslant 0\right\},
$$

(3) $H_{(-)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)=\left\{T \in H^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) ; \operatorname{supp} T \subset(-\infty, A]\right.$ for some $\left.A \geqslant 0\right\}$, $H_{(0)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)=\left\{T \in H^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) ; \operatorname{supp} T \subset[-A, A]\right.$ for some $\left.A \geqslant 0\right\}$.
These are linear subspaces of $H^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$. We put further

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{+}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) & =\left\{T \in H^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) ; \operatorname{supp} T \subset[0, \infty)\right\} \\
H_{-}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) & =\left\{T \in H^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) ; \operatorname{supp} T \subset(-\infty, 0]\right\}, \\
H_{0}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) & =\left\{T \in H^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) ; \operatorname{supp} T=\{0\}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

The spaces $H_{+}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right), H_{-}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$ and $H_{0}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$ are closed subspaces of the space $H^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$.

Let $T \in H_{(+)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$ and $\operatorname{supp} T \subset[-A, \infty)\left(r e s p . T \in H_{(-)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)\right.$ and $\operatorname{supp} T \subset(-\infty, A])$. We choose a $C^{\infty}$ function $\beta$ such that $0 \leqslant \beta(x) \leqslant 1$, $\beta(x)=1$ for $x \geqslant-A-\delta$ (resp. $x \leqslant A+\delta$ ) and $\beta(x)=0$ for $x \leqslant-A-2 \delta$
(resp. $x \geqslant A+2 \delta$ ) with some $\delta>0$. The function
(4)

$$
\tilde{T}(\zeta)=(2 \pi)^{-1 / 2}\left(T_{x}, \beta(x) e^{-i x \zeta}\right)
$$

is independent of the choice of the function $\beta$ and is defined for $\zeta \in T((-\infty,-b)$ ) (resp. for $\zeta \in T((-a, \infty)) . \quad \tilde{T}$ is, by definition, the Fourier transformation of $T \in H_{(+)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$ or $H_{(-)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$. We will denote also $\mathscr{F} T=\tilde{T}$. If $T \in H_{(0)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)=H_{(+)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) \cap H_{(-)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$, then (4')

$$
\mathscr{F} T(\zeta)=\tilde{T}(\zeta)=(2 \pi)^{-1 / 2}\left(T_{x}, e^{-i x \zeta}\right)
$$

is an entire function of $\zeta$.
For an open set $\Omega$ of $C$, $\mathcal{A}_{0}(\Omega)$ denotes the space of all holomorphic functions $\psi$ on $\Omega$ for which there exist for any $\varepsilon>0$ an integer $p \geqslant 0$ and a constant $C \geqslant 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\psi(\zeta)| \leqslant C\left(1+\left|\zeta^{p}\right|\right) \quad \text { for } \zeta \in \boldsymbol{C} \backslash(C \backslash \Omega), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(C \backslash \Omega)$, denotes the $\varepsilon$-neighborhood of $C \backslash \Omega$. By the Liouville theorem, $\mathcal{A}_{0}(C)$ is the space of all polynomials. We can show the following theorem (see Hasumi [1]) :

Theorem 1. Let $K^{\prime}=[a, b]$. The Fourier transformation defined by (4) or (4') establishes the following isomorphisms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{F}: H_{0}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{0}(C) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{F}: H_{+}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{0}(T((-\infty,-b))), \tag{6'}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\mathscr{F}: H_{-}^{\prime}\left(R ; K^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{0}(T((-a, \infty)))
$$

Proof. (6) is well known. Suppose $T \in H_{+}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$. Then by Theorem 3 of [5], for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exist an integer $p$ and a continuous bounded function $F$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x)=D^{p}[\exp (b x+\varepsilon x) F(x)] . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $T_{0}=T-D^{p}[\exp (b x+\varepsilon x) Y(x) F(x)]$, where $Y(x)$ is the Heaviside $Y$ function. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{T}(\zeta) & =(2 \pi)^{-1 / 2}\left(T_{x}, \beta(x) e^{-i x \zeta}\right) \\
& =2(\pi)^{-1 / 2}(i \zeta)^{p} \int_{0}^{\infty} F(x) \exp (b x+\varepsilon x) \exp (-i x \zeta) d x+\tilde{T}_{0}(\zeta) \\
& =(2 \pi)^{-1 / 2}(i \zeta)^{p} \int_{0}^{\infty} F(x) \exp ((b+\varepsilon+\eta) x) \exp (-i x \xi) d x+\tilde{T}_{0}(\zeta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\tilde{T}_{0}(\zeta)$ is a polynomial, there exist an integer $p_{0}$ and a constant $C_{0} \geqslant 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\tilde{T}(\zeta)| \leqslant C_{0}\left(1+\left|\zeta^{p_{0}}\right|\right) \quad \text { for } \eta<-b-2 \varepsilon \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(H_{+}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)\right) \subset \mathcal{A}_{0}(T((-\infty,-b)))$. Similarly we can show $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\left(H_{-}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)\right) \subset \mathcal{A}_{0}(T((-a, \infty)))$.

Let $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}\left(T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)\right)$. There exists a positive number $\varepsilon_{0}$ such that $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}_{\mathrm{E}}\left(T\left(-K_{\varepsilon_{0}}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. We have for $\eta \in-K_{\varepsilon_{0}}^{\prime}=\left(-b-\varepsilon_{0},-a+\varepsilon_{0}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{F} \varphi(x)=(2 \pi)^{-1 / 2} \int_{R+i \eta} \varphi(\zeta) e^{-i x 5} d \zeta . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\beta$ is the function as in (4) and if $-b-\varepsilon_{0}<\eta<-b$ or $-a<\eta<-a+\varepsilon_{0}$, the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(x) \mathscr{F} \varphi(x)=(2 \pi)^{-1 / 2} \int_{R+i \eta} \varphi(\zeta)\left(\beta(x) e^{-i x 5}\right) d \zeta \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges in the topology of $H\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore for $T \in H_{+}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$ (resp. $T \in H_{-}^{\prime}\left(R ; K^{\prime}\right)$ ), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T, \mathscr{F} \varphi)=\int_{R+i \eta} \mathscr{F} T(\zeta) \varphi(\zeta) d(\zeta) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $-b-\varepsilon_{0}<\eta<-b$ (resp. with $-a<\eta<-a+\varepsilon_{0}$ ). As the Fourier transformation $\mathscr{F}: \mathscr{E}\left(T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)\right) \rightarrow H\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$ is a topological isomorphism (Theorem $4^{\prime}$ of [5]), the Fourier transformation ( $6^{\prime}$ ) and ( $6^{\prime \prime}$ ) are injective.

We shall prove the Fourier transformation ( $6^{\prime}$ ) is surjective. Suppose $\psi \in \mathcal{A}_{0}\left(T((-\infty,-b))\right.$ ) is given. Fix $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and suppose $\varphi \in \mathfrak{S}_{C}\left(T\left(-K_{\varepsilon_{0}}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Because of the Cauchy integral theorem, the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R+i_{\eta}} \psi(\zeta) \varphi(\zeta) d \zeta \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is independent of $\eta$ satisfying $-b-\varepsilon_{0}<\eta<-b$. We can define a continuous linear functional on $\mathscr{S o}^{( }\left(T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)\right)$ by assigning (12) to $\varphi \in \mathscr{S C}_{( }(T$ $\left(-K^{\prime}\right)$ ). Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
(S, f)=\int_{R+i \eta} \psi(\zeta)(\overline{\mathscr{F}} f)(\zeta) d \zeta \quad\left(-b-\varepsilon_{0}<\eta<-b\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines a continuous linear functional $S$ on $H\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$.
We claim that $\operatorname{supp} S$ is contained in $[0, \infty)$. In fact, by the definition, for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exist an integer $p_{0}$ and a constant $C_{0} \geqslant 0$ such that (8) is valid for $\eta=\operatorname{Im} \zeta<-b-\varepsilon$. If the support of $f$ is compact and contained in $(-\infty,-\delta], \delta>0$, then $\overline{\mathcal{F}} f$ is an entire function and for any integer $p$ there exists a constant $C \geqslant 0$ such that

$$
\left|\zeta^{p}\right||\overline{\mathscr{F}} f(\zeta)| \leqslant C \exp (\delta \eta) \quad \text { for } \eta<0 .
$$

Thus tending $\eta \rightarrow-\infty$ in (13), we get ( $S, f$ ) $=0$. As $\delta>0$ is arbitrary, this shows supp $S \subset[0, \infty)$.

By (11) we have

$$
\int_{R+i \eta} \mathscr{F} S(\zeta) \varphi(\zeta) d \zeta=(S, \mathscr{F} \varphi)=\int_{R+i \eta} \psi(\zeta) \varphi(\zeta) d \zeta .
$$

Hence, putting $\psi_{0}(\zeta)=\mathscr{F} S(\zeta)-\psi(\zeta)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R+i \eta} \psi_{0}(\zeta) \varphi(\zeta) d \zeta=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{0}(\xi+i \eta) \varphi(\xi+i \eta) d \xi=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(T\left(-K_{t_{0}}^{\prime}\right)\right),-b-\varepsilon_{0}<\eta<-b$. Because the restriction of $\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}\left(T\left(-K_{\varepsilon_{0}}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ on $\boldsymbol{R}_{\xi}+i \eta \cong \boldsymbol{R}_{\xi}\left(\eta \in-K_{s_{0}}^{\prime}\right.$ being fixed) forms a dense subspace of $\mathcal{S}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{\xi}\right)$ and the function $\xi \mapsto \psi_{0}(\xi+i \eta)$ defines a tempered distribution, (14) shows $\psi_{0}(\xi+i \eta)=0$ as a distribution of $\xi$, whence $\psi_{0}=\mathscr{F} S-\psi=0$. This proves the surjectivity of (6'). We can show similarly the Fourier transformation ( $6^{\prime \prime}$ ) is surjective.
q.e.d.

In order to describe the Fourier images of $H_{(+)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right), H_{(-)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$ and $H_{(0)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$, we introduce some notations. For an open set $\Omega$ in $C$, $\mathcal{A}_{\text {exp }}(\Omega)$ denotes the space of all holomorphic functions $\psi$ on $\Omega$ for which the following estimate is valid with some constant $A \geqslant 0$ : for any
$\varepsilon>0$, there exist an integer $p$ and a constant $C \geqslant 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\psi(\zeta)| \leqslant C\left(1+\left|\zeta^{p}\right|\right) \exp (A|\operatorname{Im} \zeta|) \quad \text { for } \zeta \in C \backslash(C \backslash \Omega) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2. Let $K^{\prime}=[a, b]$. Then the Fourier transformation $\mathscr{F}$ defined by (4) or (4') establishes the following linear isomorphisms:

$$
\left(16^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{F}: H_{(0)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text {exp }}(C),  \tag{16}\\
& \mathscr{F}: H_{(+)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text {exp }}(T((-\infty,-b))) \quad \text { and } \\
& \mathscr{F}: H_{(-)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text {exp }}(T((-a, \infty))) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. $H_{(0)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$ being the space of distributions with compact support, (13) is a linear isomorphism by the Paley-Wiener theorem.

Remark that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{(+)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\tau_{A} T ; T \in H_{+}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right), A \in \boldsymbol{R}\right\} \quad \text { and } \\
& \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{exp}}(T((-\infty,-b)))=\left\{e^{i A \xi} \psi(\zeta) ; \psi \in \mathcal{A}_{0}(T((-\infty,-b))), A \in \boldsymbol{R}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tau_{A}$ is the translation: $\left(\tau_{A} T\right)(x)=T(x-A)$. As we have (17)

$$
\mathscr{F}\left(\tau_{A} T\right)(\zeta)=e^{-i A \zeta}(\mathcal{F} T)(\zeta),
$$

the isomorphism ( $16^{\prime}$ ) results from the isomorphism ( $6^{\prime}$ ). ( $16^{\prime \prime}$ ) can be similarly shown to be an isomorphism.
q.e.d.
§ 2. Fourier transformation of distributions of exponential growth. Proposition 2. We have the following exact sequences of linear spaces:

$$
\begin{gather*}
0 \rightarrow H_{(0)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow H_{(+)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) \oplus H_{(-)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow H^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow 0 \\
\cup \cup  \tag{18}\\
0 \rightarrow H_{0}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow H_{+}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) \oplus H_{-}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow H^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow 0,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $S \in H_{(0)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$ goes to $(S,-S)$ and

$$
\left(T_{+}, T_{-}\right) \in H_{(+)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) \oplus H_{(-)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)
$$

goes to $-T_{+}+T_{-}$.
In fact, by Theorem 3 of [5], we can decompose $T \in H^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$ in the form of $T=-T_{+}+T_{-}$.

By the restriction mapping we consider $\mathcal{A}_{\text {exp }}(C)$ as a subspace of $\mathcal{A}_{\text {exp }}\left(C \backslash T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{0}(C)$ as a subspace of $\mathcal{A}_{0}\left(C \backslash T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)\right)$. We define the quotient spaces

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)}^{1}\left(C ; \mathcal{A}_{\exp }\right)=\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{exp}}\left(C \backslash T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)\right) / \mathcal{A}_{\exp }(C),  \tag{19}\\
& H_{T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)}^{1}\left(C ; \mathcal{A}_{0}\right)=\mathcal{A}_{0}\left(C \backslash T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)\right) / \mathcal{A}_{0}(C)
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have the following commutative diagram, each row of which is exact:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\exp }(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text {exp }}\left(C \backslash T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)\right) \rightarrow H_{T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)}^{1}\left(C ; \mathcal{A}_{\text {exp }}\right) \rightarrow 0  \tag{20}\\
& 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{0}(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{0}\left(C \backslash T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)\right) \rightarrow H_{T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)}^{1}\left(C ; \mathcal{A}_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Now for $\left(T_{+}, T_{-}\right) \in H_{(+)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) \oplus H_{(-)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$ we put

$$
\Phi(\zeta)=\mathscr{F}\left(T_{+}, T_{-}\right)(\zeta)= \begin{cases}\mathscr{F} T_{-}(\zeta) & \text { for } \operatorname{Im} \zeta>-a \\ \mathscr{F} T_{+}(\zeta) & \text { for } \operatorname{Im} \zeta<-b\end{cases}
$$

Then by Theorems 1 and 2, the Fourier transformation $\mathscr{F}:\left(T_{+}, T_{-}\right) \rightarrow \Phi$ gives a linear isomorphism of

$$
H_{(+)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) \oplus H_{(-)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)
$$

onto $\mathcal{A}_{\exp }\left(\boldsymbol{C} \backslash T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and a linear isomorphism of $H_{+}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) \oplus H_{-}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$ onto $\mathcal{A}_{0}\left(C \backslash T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)\right.$ ). Therefore the Fourier transformation $\mathcal{F}$ gives the following commutative diagrams:

and


Theorem 3. The Fourier transformation $\mathscr{F}$ gives the linear isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{F}: H^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow H_{T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)}^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{C} ; \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{exp}}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a topological linear isomorphism
(22')

$$
\mathscr{F}: H^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow H_{T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)}^{1}\left(C ; \mathcal{A}_{0}\right)
$$

so that the diagrams (21) and (21') become commutative.
Corollary. The canonical mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)}^{1}\left(C ; \mathcal{A}_{0}\right) \rightarrow H_{T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)}^{1}\left(C ; \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{exp}}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a linear isomorphism.
The Fourier transformations $\mathscr{F}$ (22) and (22') can be defined more concretely: For $T \in H^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$, we choose $T_{+} \in H_{(+)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$ and $T_{-} \in H_{(-)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$ such that $T=-T_{+}+T_{-} . \quad$ We define

$$
\Phi(\zeta) \in \mathscr{A}_{\text {exp }}\left(C \backslash T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

putting

$$
\Phi(\zeta)= \begin{cases}\mathscr{F} T_{-}(\zeta) & \text { for } \operatorname{Im} \zeta>-a \\ \mathscr{F} T_{+}(\zeta) & \text { for } \operatorname{Im} \zeta<-b\end{cases}
$$

The function $\Phi$ depends on the choice of ( $T_{+}, T_{-}$). If $T=-T_{+}+T_{-}$ $=-T_{+}^{\prime}+T_{-}^{\prime}$, then $T_{+}-T_{+}^{\prime}=T_{-}-T_{-}^{\prime}=S \in H_{(0)}^{\prime}\left(R ; K^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore the class $[\Phi]$ of $\Phi$ modulo $\mathcal{A}_{\text {exp }}(\boldsymbol{C})$ is well defined by $T \in H^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$. By the definition, we have $\mathscr{F} T=[\Phi]$.

Remark. For $\psi \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {exp }}(T(-\infty,-b)) \quad\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\psi \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {exp }}(T(-a, \infty))\right)$, we put

$$
\psi_{0}(\zeta)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & (\text { resp. } \psi(\zeta)) & \text { for } \operatorname{Im} \zeta>-a \\
-\psi(\zeta) & (\text { resp. } 0) & \text { for } \operatorname{Im} \zeta<-b
\end{array}\right.
$$

[ $\psi_{0}$ ] denotes the class of $\psi_{0}$ modulo $\mathcal{A}_{\text {exp }}(C)$. Then the mapping $\psi$ $\mapsto\left[\psi_{0}\right]$ is injective. We will consider by this mapping

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\exp }(T(-\infty,-b)) \subset H_{T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)}^{1}\left(C ; \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{exp}}\right)
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\exp }(T(-a, \infty)) \subset H_{T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)}^{1}\left(C ; \mathcal{A}_{\text {exp }}\right)
$$

By this convention the two definitions of $\mathscr{F} T$ for $T \in H_{(+)}^{\prime}\left(R ; K^{\prime}\right)$ or $H_{(-)}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$ are consistent.
§3. Cohomological representation of tempered ultrahyperfunctions. We shall define an inner product of $H_{T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)}^{1}\left(C ; \mathcal{A}_{\text {exp }}\right)$ and $\mathscr{S}_{\mathfrak{E}}\left(T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Let $\left.[\Phi] \in H_{T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)}^{1}\right)\left(C ; \mathcal{A}_{\text {exp }}\right)$ and $\varphi \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{E}}\left(T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)\right)$ be given. As $\Phi$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}_{\text {exp }}\left(C \backslash T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)\right.$ ), there exists, by the definition, $A \geqslant 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exist an integer $p_{0}$ and a constant $C$ such that

$$
|\Phi(\zeta)| \leqslant C\left(1+\left|\zeta^{p_{0}}\right|\right) \exp (A|\operatorname{Im} \zeta|) \quad \text { for } \zeta \in C \backslash T\left(-K_{\varepsilon / 2}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

For the function $\varphi$, there exists $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}_{\complement}\left(T\left(-K_{\varepsilon_{0}}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Therefore, the integrals

$$
\begin{align*}
-\int_{\partial T\left(-K_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)} \Phi(\zeta) \varphi(\zeta) d \zeta & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(\xi+i(-a+\varepsilon)) \varphi(\xi+i(-a+\varepsilon)) d \xi  \tag{24}\\
& -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(\xi+i(-b-\varepsilon)) \varphi(\xi+i(-b-\varepsilon)) d \xi
\end{align*}
$$

are defined for $\alpha$ sufficiently small positive number $\varepsilon$. They are independent of $\varepsilon$ because of the Cauchy integral formula.

If $\Phi \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {exp }}(C)$, then the integrals (24) are zero by the Cauchy integral theorem. Hence we may define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle[\Phi], \varphi\rangle=-\int_{\partial T\left(-K_{!}^{\prime}\right)} \Phi(\zeta) \varphi(\zeta) d \zeta . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4. Suppose $T \in H^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$ and $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}\left(T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)\right)$ be given. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\mathscr{F} T, \varphi\rangle=(T, \mathscr{F} \varphi), \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the left term is defined by (25) and the right term is the canonical inner product of $H^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$ and $H\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right)$.

In fact, the formula (11) in the proof of Theorem 1 gives (26).
Theorem 5 (Cohomological representation of $\mathfrak{S}^{\prime}\left(T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)\right)$ ). The inner product (25) gives the linear isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)\right) \cong H_{T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)}^{1}\left(C ; \mathcal{A}_{e \times x}\right)=H_{T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)}^{1}\left(C ; \mathcal{A}_{0}\right) . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dual Fourier transformation $\mathscr{F}_{a}$ defined in [5] coincides with the above defined Fourier transformation via (27).

In fact, we have by (26) and the definition of $\mathscr{F}_{d}$, $\langle\mathscr{F} T, \varphi\rangle=(T, \mathscr{F} \varphi)=\left(\mathscr{F}_{d} T, \varphi\right)$.
The Fourier transformation $\mathscr{F}: H^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{R} ; K^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow H_{T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)}^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{C} ; \mathcal{A}_{0}\right)$ and the dual Fourier transformation $\mathscr{F}_{a}: H^{\prime}\left(R ; K^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{S}_{2}^{\prime}\left(T\left(-K^{\prime}\right)\right)$ being isomorphisms, we get the theorem.
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