

## 171. A Characterization of $P$ -Spaces

By Rastislav TELGÁRSKY

Institute of Mathematics, Technical University, Wrocław, Poland

(Comm. by Kenjiro SHODA, M. J. A., Oct. 13, 1975)

$P$ -spaces were introduced by K. Morita [2] (cf. [3]) for an intrinsic characterization of those normal (resp. paracompact) spaces  $X$  whose product space  $X \times Y$  is normal (resp. paracompact) for each metric space  $Y$ . That was a solution of a problem stated by H. Tamano [5].

In the present paper a characterization of  $P$ -spaces is given (Theorem 1). Further it is pointed out that this characterization is related to a topological game (Theorem 4). Finally, to each topological space  $X$  a metric space  $P(X)$  is associated such that e.g. the paracompactness of  $X \times P(X)$  implies the paracompactness of  $X \times Y$  for each metric space  $Y$  (Theorem 8).

**Definition** ([3], p. 369). Let  $m$  be a cardinal number  $\geq 1$ . A topological space  $X$  is said to be a  $P(m)$ -space if for a set  $I$  of cardinality  $m$  and for any family

$$\{G(i_1, \dots, i_n) : (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I^n, n \in N\}$$

of open subsets of  $X$  such that  $G(i_1, \dots, i_n) \subset G(i_1, \dots, i_n, i_{n+1})$  for each  $(i_1, \dots, i_n, i_{n+1}) \in I^{n+1}$ ,  $n \in N$ , there exists a family

$$\{F(i_1, \dots, i_n) : (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I^n, n \in N\}$$

of closed subsets of  $X$  satisfying the two conditions below:

- (a)  $F(i_1, \dots, i_n) \subset G(i_1, \dots, i_n)$  for each  $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I^n$ ,  $n \in N$ , and
- (b)  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F(i_1, \dots, i_n) = X$  for each  $(i_1, i_2, \dots) \in I^N$  such that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G(i_1, \dots, i_n) = X$ .

$X$  is said to be a  $P$ -space if  $X$  is  $P(m)$ -space for each cardinal  $m \geq 1$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{C}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{O}$ ) denotes the family of all closed (resp. open) subsets of a topological space  $X$ .

**Theorem 1.**  $X$  is a  $P$ -space iff there exists a function

$$F : \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{O}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$$

such that

1.1. if  $(G_1, \dots, G_n) \in \mathfrak{O}^n$ ,  $n \in N$ , then  $F(G_1, \dots, G_n) \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n G_k$ , and

1.2. if  $(G_1, G_2, \dots) \in \mathfrak{O}^N$  and  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n = X$ , then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F(G_1, \dots, G_n) = X$ .

**Proof.** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $X$  be a  $P$ -space. We set  $I = \mathfrak{O}$  and  $G(G_1, \dots, G_n) = \bigcup_{k=1}^n G_k$  for each  $(G_1, \dots, G_n) \in \mathfrak{O}^n$ ,  $n \in N$ . It is clear that  $G(G_1, \dots, G_n)$

$\subset G(G_1, \dots, G_n, G_{n+1})$  for each  $(G_1, \dots, G_n, G_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{G}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \in N$ . Thus there exists a family

$$\{F(G_1, \dots, G_n) : (G_1, \dots, G_n) \in \mathcal{G}^n, n \in N\}$$

of closed subsets of  $X$  so that the conditions (a) and (b) are satisfied.

Since  $G(G_1, \dots, G_n) = \bigcup_{k=1}^n G_k$ , it follows that the function  $F : \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$  has the properties 1.1 and 1.2.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $F$  be a function from  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}^n$  into  $\mathfrak{F}$  such that 1.1 and 1.2 are satisfied. Let  $I$  be any nonvoid index set and let

$$\{G(i_1, \dots, i_n) : (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I^n, n \in N\}$$

be a family of open sets in  $X$  such that  $G(i_1, \dots, i_n) \subset G(i_1, \dots, i_n, i_{n+1})$  for each  $(i_1, \dots, i_n, i_{n+1}) \in I^{n+1}$ ,  $n \in N$ . We set

$$F(i_1, \dots, i_n) = F(G(i_1), G(i_1, i_2), \dots, G(i_1, \dots, i_n))$$

for each  $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I^n$ ,  $n \in N$ . Then we have  $F(i_1, \dots, i_n) \subset G(i_1, \dots, i_n)$

for each  $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I^n$ ,  $n \in N$ . Let  $(i_1, i_2, \dots) \in I^N$  and let  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G(i_1, \dots, i_n)$

$= X$ . Then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F(i_1, \dots, i_n) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F(G(i_1), G(i_1, i_2), \dots, G(i_1, \dots, i_n)) = X$ .

Hence  $X$  is a  $P$ -space.

Theorem 2 which follows is just another variant of Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2.**  $X$  is a  $P$ -space iff there exists a function  $F$  defined on the family of all finite sequences  $G_1 \subset G_2 \subset \dots \subset G_n$  of open sets in  $X$  such that  $F(G_1, \dots, G_n) \in \mathfrak{F}$ ,  $F(G_1, \dots, G_n) \subset G_n$  and if  $(G_1, G_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{G}^N$ ,  $G_n \subset G_{n+1}$  for each  $n \in N$  and  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n = X$ , then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F(G_1, \dots, G_n) = X$ .

The function  $F$  for some of  $P$ -spaces can be easily defined and the verifications of conditions 1.1 and 1.2 are not difficult. Here are some examples.

**Example 1.** Let  $X$  be a countably compact space. Then we set  $F(G_1, \dots, G_n) = X$  if  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n G_k = X$ , and we set  $F(G_1, \dots, G_n) = \emptyset$  if  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n G_k \neq X$ .

**Example 2.** Let  $X$  be a  $\sigma$ -compact space, i.e., let  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$  where  $C_1, C_2, \dots$  are compact subsets of  $X$ . We set  $F(G_1, \dots, G_n) = \bigcup \left\{ C_k : k \leq n, C_k \subset \bigcup_{m=1}^n G_m \right\}$ .

**Example 3.** Let  $X$  be a perfectly normal space. Then for each  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  there exists a sequence  $(F_1(G), F_2(G), \dots) \in \mathfrak{F}^N$  such that  $G = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n(G)$ . We set  $F(G_1, \dots, G_n) = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \bigcup_{m=1}^n F_k(G_m)$ .

**Example 4.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. We set  $F(G_1, \dots, G_n) = X$

if  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n G_k = X$  and we set  $F(G_1, \dots, G_n) = \left\{ x \in X : d(x, y) \geq 1/n \text{ for each } y \in X - \bigcup_{k=1}^n G_k \right\}$  if  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n G_k \neq X$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $m \geq \aleph_0$ . Then  $X$  is a  $P(m)$ -space iff for each family  $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{G}$  with  $\text{card } \mathfrak{A} \leq m$  there exists a function  $F : \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{A}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$  such that*

$$3.1. \quad F(A_1, \dots, A_n) \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k \text{ for each } (A_1, \dots, A_n) \in \mathfrak{A}^n, n \in N$$

and

$$3.2. \quad \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F(A_1, \dots, A_n) = X \text{ for each } (A_1, A_2, \dots) \in \mathfrak{A}^N \text{ with } \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = X.$$

The proof of Theorem 3 is similar to the proof of Theorem 1 and thus it is omitted.

Now we shall describe a game associated with  $P$ -spaces. Let  $X$  be a topological space. Then  $\Gamma(X)$  denotes the following infinite positional game with perfect information. There are two players: the first and the second one. The players choose alternatively consecutive terms of a sequence of subsets of  $X$  so that each player knows  $X$  and first  $k$  elements of that sequence when he is choosing the  $(k+1)$ -st element.

A sequence  $(G_1, F_1, G_2, F_2, \dots)$  of subsets of  $X$  is said to be a play of  $\Gamma(X)$  if for each  $n \in N$  we have

- 1°  $G_n \in \mathfrak{G}$  and  $G_n$  is chosen by the first player, and
- 2°  $F_n \in \mathfrak{F}$ ,  $F_n \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n G_k$  and  $F_n$  is chosen by the second player.

A play  $(G_1, F_1, G_2, F_2, \dots)$  is a win of the first player if  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n = X$  and  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n \neq X$ . A play  $(G_1, F_1, G_2, F_2, \dots)$  is a win of the second player if  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n \neq X$  or if  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = X$ . Clearly, each play is a win of exactly one of the players.

The first player obliges the second one to choose  $F_n$  bounded by  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n G_k$ . The second player wants to choose sufficiently big sets  $F_n$ , because if  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = X$ , then he wins. On the other hand the first player tries to extend the set  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n G_k$  to obtain a cover of  $X$ , because if  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n \neq X$ , then he loses the play.

A strategy of the first player is a function  $s : \{\emptyset\} \cup \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{F}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$ . A strategy of the second player is a function  $t : \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{G}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $t(G_1,$

$\dots, G_n) \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n G_k$  for each  $(G_1, \dots, G_n) \in \mathfrak{G}^n$ ,  $n \in N$ .

For each pair  $(s, t)$  of strategies there exists a unique play  $(G_1, F_1, G_2, F_2, \dots)$  of  $\Gamma(X)$  defined as follows:  $G_1 = s(\emptyset)$ ,  $F_1 = t(G_1)$ ,  $G_2 = s(F_1)$ ,  $F_2 = t(G_1, G_2)$ , and so on.

A strategy  $s$  (resp.  $t$ ) is said to be winning if the first player (resp. the second player) using  $s$  (resp.  $t$ ) wins every play of  $\Gamma(X)$ .

According to Theorem 1 and the definition of strategies we have the following game-theoretical characterization of  $P$ -spaces.

**Theorem 4.**  *$X$  is a  $P$ -space iff the second player has a winning strategy in  $\Gamma(X)$ .*

Let  $\Gamma(X, \mathfrak{A})$  denote the following modification of  $\Gamma(X)$ . The moves of the first player are restricted to the choice of sets belonging to a given family  $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{G}$ .

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $m \geq \aleph_0$ . Then  $X$  is a  $P(m)$ -space iff for each family  $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{G}$  with  $\text{card } \mathfrak{A} \leq m$  the second player has a winning strategy in  $\Gamma(X, \mathfrak{A})$ .*

Theorem 5 is an easy consequence of Theorem 3.

Let us note that the paper [6] contains a sufficient condition for a paracompact space  $X$  to get the paracompactness of the product space  $X \times Y$  with any paracompact space  $Y$  and the condition is nothing else as the existence of a winning strategy in some topological game on  $X$ .

To each space  $X$  we assign a metric space  $P(X)$  defined as follows.

$$P(X) = \left\{ (G_1, G_2, \dots) \in \mathfrak{G}^N : \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n = X \right\}.$$

The canonical base of  $P(X)$  consists of all sets  $B(U_1, \dots, U_n) = \{(G_1, G_2, \dots) \in P(X) : G_1 = U_1, \dots, G_n = U_n\}$  where  $(U_1, \dots, U_n) \in \mathfrak{G}^n$ ,  $n \in N$ . It is well known that the canonical base is  $\sigma$ -discrete, the sets  $B(U_1, \dots, U_n)$  are open and closed and natural distance in  $P(X)$  is defined by setting  $d((U_1, U_2, \dots), (V_1, V_2, \dots)) = 0$  if  $U_n = V_n$  for each  $n \in N$  and  $d((U_1, U_2, \dots), (V_1, V_2, \dots)) = 1/n$  if  $U_k \neq V_k$  for some  $k \in N$  and  $n = \min \{k \in N : U_k \neq V_k\}$ .

In the proof of Theorem 6 we shall need the following

**Lemma.** *Let  $S$  be a normal, countably paracompact space. Then for each  $\sigma$ -locally finite open cover  $\mathfrak{A}$  of  $S$  there exists a locally finite open cover  $\mathfrak{S}$  of  $S$  such that  $\{\bar{H} : H \in \mathfrak{S}\}$  refines  $\mathfrak{A}$ .*

Proof is obvious from [3, Lemma 1.5].

**Theorem 6.** *If  $X \times P(X)$  is normal and countably paracompact, then  $X$  is a  $P$ -space.*

**Proof.** Let  $X$  be a space such that the product space  $X \times P(X)$  is normal and countably paracompact. We shall point out that  $X$  admits a function  $F$  described by Theorem 1. For  $(G_1, \dots, G_n) \in \mathfrak{G}^n$ ,  $n \in N$ ,

we set  $A(G_1, \dots, G_n) = \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n G_k\right) \times B(G_1, \dots, G_n)$ . Since

$$\mathfrak{B} = \{B(G_1, \dots, G_n) : (G_1, \dots, G_n) \in \mathfrak{G}^n, n \in N\}$$

is  $\sigma$ -discrete in  $P(X)$ , it follows that the family

$$\mathfrak{A} = \{A(G_1, \dots, G_n) : (G_1, \dots, G_n) \in \mathfrak{G}^n, n \in N\}$$

is  $\sigma$ -discrete in  $X \times P(X)$ . It is easily seen that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an open cover of  $X \times P(X)$ . By Lemma there exists a locally finite open cover  $\mathfrak{S}$  of  $X \times P(X)$  such that  $\{\bar{H} : H \in \mathfrak{S}\}$  refines  $\mathfrak{A}$ . For each  $(G_1, \dots, G_n) \in \mathfrak{G}^n$ ,  $n \in N$  and  $H \in \mathfrak{S}$  we set

$$D(G_1, \dots, G_n, H) = \overline{\bigcup \{G \in \mathfrak{G} : G \times B(G_1, \dots, G_n) \subset H\}}.$$

Then we have  $D(G_1, \dots, G_n, H) \times B(G_1, \dots, G_n) \subset \bar{H}$  and  $H \subset \bigcup \{D(G_1, \dots, G_n, H) \times B(G_1, \dots, G_n) : (G_1, \dots, G_n) \in \mathfrak{G}^n, n \in N\}$ . Hence it follows that

$\{D(G_1, \dots, G_n, H) \times B(G_1, \dots, G_n) : (G_1, \dots, G_n) \in \mathfrak{G}^n, n \in N, H \in \mathfrak{S}\}$  is a refinement of  $\{\bar{H} : H \in \mathfrak{S}\}$  and it covers  $X \times P(X)$ . Let  $n \in N$  and  $(G_1, \dots, G_n) \in \mathfrak{G}^n$ . Then the family  $\{D(G_1, \dots, G_n, H) : H \in \mathfrak{S}\}$  is locally finite in  $X$ , because  $D(G_1, \dots, G_n, H) \times B(G_1, \dots, G_n) \subset \bar{H}$  and  $\{\bar{H} : H \in \mathfrak{S}\}$  is locally finite in  $X \times P(X)$ . Let  $H \in \mathfrak{S}$  and set  $E(G_1, \dots, G_n, H)$

$= \bigcup \left\{ D(G_1, \dots, G_m, H) : m \leq n, B(G_1, \dots, G_m) = B(G_1, \dots, G_n) \text{ and } D(G_1, \dots, G_m, H) \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n G_k \right\}$ . It is easy to verify that the family  $\{E(G_1, \dots, G_n, H) : H \in \mathfrak{S}\}$  is locally finite in  $X$ . For each  $n \in N$  and  $(G_1, \dots, G_n) \in \mathfrak{G}^n$  we set  $F(G_1, \dots, G_n) = \bigcup \{E(G_1, \dots, G_n, H) : H \in \mathfrak{S}\}$ . The set  $F(G_1, \dots, G_n)$  is closed in  $X$ , because it is the union of a locally finite family of closed sets. It follows from the definition of  $F(G_1, \dots, G_n)$  that  $F(G_1, \dots, G_n) \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n G_k$ . Thus it remains to prove the condition 1.2

of Theorem 1. Let  $(G_1, G_2, \dots) \in P(X)$ . We claim that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F(G_1, \dots, G_n) = X$ . Let  $x \in X$ . Then there exists  $H \in \mathfrak{S}$  such that  $(x, (G_1, G_2, \dots)) \in H$ . Since  $\mathfrak{S}$  refines  $\mathfrak{A}$ , there exists  $A(U_1, \dots, U_n) \in \mathfrak{A}$  such that  $H \subset A(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ . Since  $A(U_1, \dots, U_n) = \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n U_k\right) \times B(U_1, \dots, U_n)$  and  $(G_1, G_2, \dots) \in B(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ , we have  $U_1 = G_1, \dots, U_n = G_n$ . Hence  $H \subset A(G_1, \dots, G_n)$ . Since

$H \subset \bigcup \{D(V_1, \dots, V_m, H) \times B(V_1, \dots, V_m) : (V_1, \dots, V_m) \in \mathfrak{G}^m, m \in N\}$ , it follows that there exists  $m \in N$  and  $(V_1, \dots, V_m) \in \mathfrak{G}^m$  such that  $(x, (G_1, G_2, \dots)) \in D(V_1, \dots, V_m, H) \times B(V_1, \dots, V_m)$ . Now again  $(G_1, G_2, \dots) \in B(V_1, \dots, V_m)$  implies  $V_1 = G_1, \dots, V_m = G_m$  and therefore  $x \in D(G_1, \dots, G_m, H)$ . Moreover  $B(G_1, \dots, G_m) \subset B(G_1, \dots, G_n)$  and  $D(G_1, \dots, G_m, H) \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n G_k$ . We distinguish two cases. *Case 1:*  $m \leq n$ . Then  $B(G_1, \dots, G_m) = B(G_1, \dots, G_n)$  and hence  $x \in D(G_1, \dots, G_m, H) \subset E(G_1,$

$\dots, G_n, H) \subset F(G_1, \dots, G_n)$ . Case 2:  $m > n$ . Then  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n G_k \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^m G_k$  and hence  $x \in D(G_1, \dots, G_m, H) \subset E(G_1, \dots, G_m, H) \subset F(G_1, \dots, G_m)$ . Therefore  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F(G_1, \dots, G_n) = X$ .

Let us note that the proof of Theorem 6 is an adaptation of a construction used by K. Morita [3], Lemma 4.5.

**Theorem 7.**  $X$  is a normal  $P$ -space iff the product space  $X \times P(X) \times C$  is normal, where  $C$  denotes the Cantor Discontinuum.

**Theorem 8.**  $X$  is a paracompact  $P$ -space iff the space  $X \times P(X)$  is paracompact.

The implications ( $\Rightarrow$ ) of both preceding theorems were proved by K. Morita [3]. Since the normality of  $X \times P(X) \times C$  implies the normality and the countable paracompactness of  $X \times P(X)$  (cf. [4], Theorem 1.3), the implications ( $\Leftarrow$ ) of the theorems follow from Theorem 6.

### References

- [1] R. Engelking: Outline of General Topology. Amsterdam (1968).
- [2] K. Morita: On the product of a normal space with a metric spaces. Proc. Japan Acad., **39**, 148–150 (1963).
- [3] —: Products of normal spaces with metric spaces. Math. Annalen, **154**, 365–382 (1964).
- [4] —: Note on paracompactness. Proc. Japan Acad., **37**, 1–3 (1961).
- [5] H. Tamano: On compactifications. J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **1**, 162–193 (1962).
- [6] R. Telgársky: Spaces defined by topological games. Fund. Math., **88**, 15–45 (1975).